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#### Abstract

We show the existence of a convex representation of a maximal monotone operator by a convex function which is invariant with respect to the Fenchel conjugacy (up to an interchange of variables). We use the framework of generalised convexity.


## 1. Introduction

The representations of maximal monotone operators on a reflexive Banach space $X$ by closed proper convex functions on the product $X \times X^{*}$, first obtained by Krauss $[7,8,9,10]$ and Fitzpatrick [4], have recently received a renewed interest. In particular, Martínez-Legaz and Théra [13] have characterised the image of the Fitzpatrick representation and described the inverse correspondence; Burachik and Svaiter [2] have given a criterion ensuring that a convex function on $X \times X^{*}$ represents a maximal monotone operator and have introduced a whole class of such functions [3]. Penot [20] has used a special kind of representations to deduce results about operations on maximal monotone operators from classical results of convex analysis. His approach is connected with the following problem: given a monotone operator $M: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$, it is possible to get a closed convex function $q$ on $X \times X^{*}$ such that $q^{*}\left(x^{*}, x\right)=q\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ for any $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}$ and $f_{M} \leqslant q \leqslant p_{M}$, where $f_{M}$ is the Fitzpatrick representation of $M$ and $p_{M}=f_{M}^{*}$. A positive answer is provided here in the broader framework of generalised convexity and generalised monotonicity (see $[1,14,15,27,29]$ ). For the study of maximal monotone operators and their representations by convex functions (on spaces which are larger than $X \times X^{*}$ ), we refer to the recent monograph by Simons [28].

## 2. Dualities

Definition 1: Given a pair of sets $W, Y$ a duality is a mapping $D: f \mapsto f^{D}$ from $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$ (where $\overline{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$ ), such that, for any family $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\inf _{i \in I} f_{i}\right)^{D}=\sup _{i \in I} f_{i}^{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The reverse (or dual or reciprocal) duality $D^{\prime}: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$ is then defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{D^{\prime}}:=\inf \left\{h \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}: h^{D} \leqslant g\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the pair $\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ is a Galois correspondence between the complete lattices $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$ and one can draw from that useful consequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \quad f^{D D^{\prime} D}=f^{D}, \quad \forall g \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y} \quad g^{D^{\prime} D D^{\prime}}=g^{D^{\prime}} \\
& \forall f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \quad \forall g \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y} \quad\left(f^{D} \leqslant g\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(g^{D^{\prime}} \leqslant f\right) \\
& \forall f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \quad\left(f^{D D^{\prime}}=f\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\exists g \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}: f=g^{D^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\Gamma_{D}(W)$ (respectively, $\Gamma_{D^{\prime}}(Y)$ ) the image of $D$ (respectively, $D^{\prime}$ ).
Among dualities, a familiar class is formed by conjugacies (or conjugations), that is, dualities for which

$$
(f+r)^{D}=f^{D}-r \quad \forall f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

It can be shown ([12]) that $D$ is a conjugacy if and only if there exists a coupling function $c: W \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$ such that $f^{D}=f^{c}$, where

$$
f^{c}(y):=-\inf \{f(w)-c(w, y): w \in W\}
$$

with the convention $(+\infty)+(-\infty)=+\infty$. In such a case, $\Gamma_{D}(W)$ is the set of functions on $W$ which are suprema of families of functions of the form $w \mapsto c(w, y)-r$ for $(y, r)$ in some subset of $Y \times \mathbb{R}$; a similar assertion holds for $\Gamma_{D^{\prime}}(Y)$. Conjugacies have been introduced by Moreau [14] and have been studied by a number of authors (see [1, 6, $11,16,19,18,15,22,23,26]$ and the references therein). It has also be shown by Martínez-Legaz and Singer ([12]) that dualities from $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$ are characterised as the mappings $D: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$ for which there exists a function $G: W \times Y \times \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ (called the generating function of $D$ ) such that for any $(w, y) \in W \times Y$ the function $G(w, y, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing, lower semicontinuous and such that

$$
f^{D}(y)=\sup _{w \in W} G(w, y, f(w)) \quad \forall f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W} \quad \forall y \in Y .
$$

The generating function $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(w, y, r):=\left(\iota_{\{w\}}+r\right)^{D}(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota_{S}$ is the indicator function of a subset $S$ of $W$ given by $\iota_{S}(w)=0$ if $w \in S,+\infty$ else. When $D$ is a conjugacy with coupling function $c$, formula (3) is reduced to

$$
G(w, y, r)=-(r-c(w, y))
$$

With any duality one can associate a notion of subdifferential. However, for simplicity, we only consider the case $D$ is a conjugacy arising from a coupling function $c$; then the $c$-subdifferential of a function $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{W}$ at some point $w \in W$ where $f(w)$ is finite is the set

$$
\partial^{c} f(w):=\{y \in Y: f(\cdot)-c(\cdot, y) \geqslant f(w)-c(w, y)\}
$$

As in [15, Section 2.4], which deals with the case $c$ is an evaluation function, we observe that the multimapping $M: w \rightrightarrows \partial^{c} f(w)$ is $c$-monotone in the following sense:

$$
c(w, y)+c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geqslant c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right) \quad \forall w, w^{\prime} \in W, y \in M(w), y^{\prime} \in M\left(w^{\prime}\right)
$$

When $X$ is a Banach space, $W=X, Y:=X^{*}$ and $c: X \times X^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the natural pairing $c(\cdot, \cdot):=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, this definition coincides with the usual one. We say that a multimapping $M: W \rightrightarrows Y$ is maximal c-monotone if it is $c$-monotone and if its graph is not strictly contained in the graph of a $c$-monotone operator.

## 3. Representations of monotone operators.

In the sequel, $W$ and $Y$ are sets, $c: W \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a coupling function and we focus our attention on $c$-monotone operators $M: W \rightrightarrows Y$. We set $Z:=W \times Y$ and we consider the duality $D: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}$, given by $D(f)=f^{D}$, with

$$
f^{D}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right):=-\inf \left\{f\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)-\left(c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)+c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)\right):(w, y) \in Z\right\} \quad\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in Z
$$

This duality is the conjugacy associated with the coupling function $c_{D}: Z \times Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$
c_{D}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right):=c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)+c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right) \quad \text { for } z:=(w, y), z^{\prime}:=\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in Z
$$

When $W$ is a reflexive Banach space $X, Y=X^{*}$ and $c$ is the evaluation ( $x, x^{*}$ ) $\mapsto x^{*}(x)$, this duality is close to the classical Fenchel conjugacy since it is composed of this conjugacy with the interchange of variables $\left(x^{*}, x\right) \mapsto\left(x, x^{*}\right)$. As in such a case, one disposes of the representations

$$
f_{M}:=\left(c_{M}\right)^{D}, \quad p_{M}:=\left(c_{M}\right)^{D D}
$$

of $[\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2 0}]$ respectively, where $c: W \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the given pairing, $c_{M}:=c+\iota_{M}$ and where $M$ is identified with its graph. Part of the interest of these representations is expounded in the following statement.

Lemma 2. Let $M: W \rightrightarrows Y$ be a $c$-monotone operator. Then
(a) the functions $f_{M}$ and $p_{M}$ belong to $\Gamma_{D}(Z)$ and one has $f_{M} \leqslant p_{M} \leqslant c_{M}$;
(b) if (the graph of) $M$ is contained in the domain of $c$ then one has $c=f_{M}$ $=p_{M}$ on $M$;
(c) if $M$ is maximal $c$-monotone, then one has $c \leqslant f_{M} \leqslant p_{M}$ and $\{z \in Z$ : $\left.f_{M}(z)=c(z)\right\} \subset M ;$
(d) if $M$ is maximal $c$-monotone and if its graph is contained in the domain of $c$ then one has $c \leqslant f_{M} \leqslant p_{M}$ and $M=\left\{z \in Z: f_{M}(z)=c(z)\right\}=\{z \in Z:$ $\left.p_{M}(z)=c(z)\right\}$.
Proof: (a) The inclusions $f_{M}, p_{M} \in \Gamma_{D}(Z)$ are obvious. Using [14, Proposition 3.c], we deduce from the $c$-monotonicity of $M$ that for any $\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in W \times Y,(w, y)$ $\in W \times Y$

$$
c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\iota_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geqslant-\left[c(w, y)+\iota_{M}(w, y)-\left(c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Setting $c_{M}:=c+\iota_{M}$ and taking the supremum over $(w, y) \in W \times Y$ we get

$$
c_{M} \geqslant f_{M}
$$

Taking the biconjugates, we obtain $p_{M} \geqslant f_{M}$.
(b) When $M$ is contained in the domain of $c$, for $\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in M$ one can take $(w, y)=\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in the supremum giving $f_{M}$, one can simplify by $c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and get $f_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geqslant-\left[-c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\iota_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right]=c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $c_{M} \geqslant p_{M} \geqslant f_{M}$, one gets $c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=c_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=p_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=f_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) If $M$ is maximal $c$-monotone, for any $\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in(W \times Y) \backslash M$ one can find some $(w, y) \in M$ such that

$$
c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+c(w, y)<c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then one has $c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)<+\infty, c_{M}(w, y)=c(w, y)<+\infty$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) & <c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)-c(w, y)=-\left[c_{M}(w, y)-\left(c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{-\left[c_{M}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)+c\left(w^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]:\left(w^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \in W \times Y\right\} \\
& \leqslant f_{M}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) is a consequence of (b) and (c).
$\square$
A partial converse of assertion (d) of the preceding lemma can be given. When $W$ is a reflexive Banach space, $Y=W^{*}$ and $c$ is the evaluation map given by $c(w, y)=y(w)$, a full converse is displayed in [2].

Lemma 3. Suppose $W$ and $Y$ are convex subsets of some vector spaces and $Z$ $:=W \times Y$. If $g: Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex function such that $g \geqslant c$, and if the coupling function $c$ takes finite values and is concave in both variables, then $M:=\{z: g(z)=c(z)\}$ is $c$-monotone.

Proof: Let $z:=(w, y) \in M, z^{\prime}:=\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} c(w, y)+\frac{1}{2} c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} g(w, y)+\frac{1}{2} g\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geqslant g\left(\frac{1}{2}(w, y)+\frac{1}{2}\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant c\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(w+w^{\prime}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(y+y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{4} c(w, y)+\frac{1}{4} c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{4} c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+\frac{1}{4} c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $c(w, y)+c\left(w^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geqslant c\left(w^{\prime}, y\right)+c\left(w, y^{\prime}\right): M$ is $c$-monotone.
[
The preceding proof is similar to an argument due to Martínez-Legaz and Svaiter; see also [20, Proposition 3] in which its origins are described. The assumptions on $c$ are satisfied in each of the following examples.
Example 1. $W$ is a normed vector space, $Y=W^{*}$ and the coupling function $c$ is given by $c(w, y):=\langle y, w\rangle-k(w)$, where $k: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex. The case $k(\cdot)=(1 / 2) r\|\cdot\|^{2}$ corresponds to the classical theory of augmented Lagrangians ( $[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2 5 ]}$ ); some extensions to more general situations are given in $[1,18,23,26]$.
Example 2. $W$ is a normed vector space, $Y=W^{*}$ and the coupling function $c$ is given by $c(w, y):=\min (\langle y, w\rangle, 0)$, an important case for quasiconvex programming ([11, 17, 22, 24]).
Example 3. $W$ is a normed vector space, $Y=W^{*} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the coupling function $c$ is given by $c\left(w,\left(w^{*}, r\right)\right):=\min \left(\left\langle w^{*}, w\right\rangle, r\right)$, which is also important for quasiconvex duality ( $[11,16,21]$ ).
EXAMPLE 4. $W$ is a normed vector space, $Y=W^{*} \times L_{+}\left(W, W^{*}\right)$ where $L_{+}\left(W, W^{*}\right)$ is the cone of positive semidefinite symmetric operators from $W$ into $W^{*}$ and $c\left(w,\left(w^{*}, A\right)\right)$ $=\left\langle w^{*}, w\right\rangle-1 / 2\langle A w, w\rangle([5])$.

The representation we have in view is given in the following statement.
THEOREM 4. For any c-monotone operator $M: W \rightrightarrows Y$ there exists $q \in \Gamma_{D}(W$ $\times Y$ ) such that $q^{D}=q$ and $f_{M} \leqslant q \leqslant p_{M}$. If moreover $M$ is maximal $c$-monotone and contained in the domain of $c$, then $M=\{(w, y): q(w, y)=c(w, y)\}$.

Corollary 5. ([20]) For any reflexive Banach space $X$ and any maximal monotone operator $M: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ there exists a closed convex function $q: X \times X^{*} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $q^{*}\left(x^{*}, x\right)=q\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ for any $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}$ and $f_{M} \leqslant q \leqslant p_{M}$. If moreover $M$ is maximal monotone then $M=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right): q\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle\right\}$.

The theorem is a consequence of Lemma 2 and of the following proposition inspired by [9] Theorem 4 and [20] Proposition 10. Let us note that here too uniqueness of $q$ is not ensured. However, as claimed by the second assertion, the coincidence set of $q$ and $c$ is independent of the choice of $q$ when $M$ is maximal monotone; this assertion stems from the fact that this set is also the coincidence set of $p_{M}$ with $c$ and of $p_{M}^{D}$ with $c$.

In the following proposition which casts the preceding statement in a more general framework, $Z$ is any set and $D: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}$ is a duality satisfying the conditions:
(A) $\left((1 / 2) q+(1 / 2) q^{D}\right)^{D} \leqslant(1 / 2) q+(1 / 2) q^{D}$ for any function $q \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}$ such that $q^{D} \leqslant q ;$
(B) for any $z \in Z$ one has $\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left(r-\left(\iota_{\{z\}}+r\right)^{D}(z)\right)>0$,

Condition (B) can be rephrased in terms of the generating function $G$ of $D$ as:
( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) for any $z \in Z$ one has $\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }(r-G(z, z, r))>0$.
It is satisfied when $D$ is the conjugacy induced by a coupling $c$ such that $c(z, z)$ is finite for each $z \in Z$, since then $\iota_{z}^{D}(z)=c(z, z)$ and $(f+r)^{D}=f^{D}-r$ for any $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}$ and any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ (or since then $r-G(z, z, r)=2 r-c(z, z))$. Since $G(z, z, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing, it is also satisfied when the following condition is fulfilled:
( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ) for any $z \in Z$ there exists some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $G(z, z, r)<+\infty$.
Condition (A) is also satisfied whenever $D$ is the conjugacy induced by a coupling $c$. More generally, condition (A) is satisfied when the generating function $G$ associated with the duality $D$ is convex in its third variable. Then $D$ satisfies the following convexity property:
(A') for any $f, g \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $s+t=1$ one has $(s f+t g)^{D} \leqslant s f^{D}+t g^{D}$. In fact, for any $f, g \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $s+t=1$ and for any $z \in Z$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
(s f+t g)^{D}(z) & =\sup _{w \in Z} G(w, z, s f(w)+t g(w)) \\
& \leqslant s \sup _{w \in Z} G(w, z, f(w))+t \sup _{w^{\prime} \in Z} G\left(w^{\prime}, z, g\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant s f^{D}(z)+t g^{D}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6. Let $Z$ be an arbitrary set and let $D: f \mapsto f^{D}, D: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Z}$ be a duality satisfying conditions $(A)$ and (B). Let $p: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be such that $p \geqslant p^{D}$. Then there exists a function $q: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that $q^{D}=q$ and $p \geqslant q \geqslant p^{D}$.

The first part of the following proof is a simplified form due to C. Zalinescu of a proof given in a preliminary version of the paper [20]. The second part fills a gap disclosed by B.F. Svaiter while reading a draft of [20].

Proof: Let $R$ be the set of functions $r: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that $r^{D D}=r, p \geqslant r$ $\geqslant r^{D} \geqslant p^{D}$. This set is nonempty as $p^{D D} \in R$. Let us show that $R$ is (downward) inductive for the pointwise order. Given a totally ordered family $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, let $r:=\left(\inf _{i \in I} r_{i}\right)^{D D}$. Then $r$ is such that $p \geqslant r \geqslant p^{D}$ and $r^{D}=\left(\inf _{i \in I} r_{i}\right)^{D}=\sup _{i \in I} r_{i}^{D}=\lim _{i \in I} r_{i}^{D}$, so that $r^{D} \leqslant \inf _{i \in I} r_{i}$. Taking biconjugates, we get $r^{D} \leqslant r$ and $r \in R$ is a minorant of $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. By Zorn lemma, $R$ has a minimal element $q$. Let us show that $q^{D}=q$. Let $r=(1 / 2) q+(1 / 2) q^{D} \leqslant q$.

Then $r^{D} \geqslant q^{D} \geqslant p^{D}$. On the other hand, by assumption (A),

$$
r^{D}(z) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} q^{D}(z)+\frac{1}{2} q(z)=r(z)
$$

Thus $r \in R$. Since $r \leqslant q$, we get $r=q$, hence $q=q^{D}$ on dom $q$.
Suppose now that there exists some $a \in Z \backslash \operatorname{dom} q$ such that $q^{D}(a)<+\infty$. Let $\alpha \geqslant q^{D}(a)$ and let $s: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be defined by $s(a):=\alpha, s(z):=+\infty$ for $z \neq a$. Taking $\alpha$ large enough, by assumption (B), we may suppose that $s^{D}(a) \leqslant \alpha$. Thus $s^{D} \leqslant s$ and since $s \geqslant q^{D}$ we also have $s^{D} \leqslant q$. Let $t:=(\min (q, s))^{D D}$. Then $t \leqslant q \leqslant p$ and $t^{D}=(\min (q, s))^{D}=\max \left(q^{D}, s^{D}\right) \leqslant q$; we also have $\max \left(q^{D}, s^{D}\right) \leqslant s$, so that $t^{D} \leqslant \min (q, s)$. Taking biconjugates, we get $t^{D} \leqslant t: t \in R$. Since $t \leqslant q$, the minimality of $q$ implies that $t=q$. Since $t(a) \leqslant \alpha<+\infty=q(a)$, we get a contradiction. Thus $q^{D}=q$ everywhere.
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