Nutrition security, livelihoods and HIV/AIDS: implications for research among farm worker households in South Africa
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Abstract

Objective: Previous research on the health transition and nutrition security in black South African households revealed the need for further research among farm workers who belong to the poorest population categories. This article gives an overview of the link between nutrition security, livelihoods and HIV/AIDS in South Africa, drawing conclusions for research among farm worker households.

Design and setting: A literature review, observations on farms and interviews with farmers and key informants were carried out in 2003 and 2004. Peer examination was done with South African and German researchers from the natural and social sciences.

Results: Farm workers face poverty and nutrition insecurity and continue to be a tragically underserved population group, also in terms of research. There is furthermore a lack of in-depth research on underlying causes for nutrition security in South Africa and on the link with livelihoods, poverty and HIV/AIDS. Micro-social qualitative research from the household and gender perspective is required, as valid data on households, their internal dynamics and therefore the reflection of social realities are missing. A multidisciplinary research approach based on a new conceptual framework was developed to address the situation of farm worker households.

Conclusion: The outlined research contributes to existing programmes on farms in the North West Province, with the findings being valid also for other parts of southern Africa facing poverty, nutrition insecurity and HIV/AIDS.
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Research on the nutrition security1,2 and physical and mental health status3 of Setswana-speaking South Africans in the North West Province† served as initial starting point for the overview and research framework presented herein. Farm worker households‡ were found to be among the most vulnerable of all social strata with regard to income, health status, household nutrition security and education. Previous research also revealed that, besides low socio-economic status, underlying social causes have a negative influence on the nutritional situation of schoolchildren4. It was concluded that a multidisciplinary research approach is needed to investigate underlying social causes for nutrition insecurity further. This information is crucial for sustainable social development. Projects have failed in the past due to a lack of baseline information and due to a lack of understanding of complex processes rooted in the past and continuing into the present. Moreover, in the light of rapid social transformation and sensitive political processes currently taking place in South Africa regarding land restitution and minimum wages, and with criminal attacks on residents of commercial farms being very prevalent, conditions and circumstances on farms urgently need to be addressed. This paper aims at giving an overview on nutrition security in South Africa and its link with poverty, livelihoods and HIV/AIDS, and draws conclusions for research in the specific setting of farm worker households.

Design and setting

Research was carried out in collaboration with the Nutrition Research Group at North West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa, which initiated a larger research and intervention programme on farms in the North West Province‡, as a follow-up of previous research‡.

For the research presented here, farms in the Potchefstroom and Ventersdorp Districts, North West Province,

*Corresponding author: Email stefanie.lemke@zeu.uni-giessen.de

†The largest population group in the North West Province are the Tswana people, who mainly speak Setswana.
‡Farm worker households or farm dwellers refer to dependant wage labourers and their families who work or live on mostly white-owned farms. 'Farm' refers both to commercial farms and also to smallholdings where farm owners perform small-scale farming as an additional income. The term 'farm household' is used for reasons of simplicity instead of 'farm worker household'.
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were visited in April and from August to October 2003, doing observations and interviews with farmers and key informants. A literature review was done on the concepts investigated. For the purpose of peer examination, discussions were held with academics from the disciplines of Nutrition Sciences, Social Anthropology, Sociology, Development Studies and Community Development from different universities and research institutions in South Africa and Germany. The research approach was further presented at the annual conference Anthropology Southern Africa, August 2003, and feedback received.

Nutrition insecurity, poverty and HIV/AIDS in South Africa

Poverty, nutrition insecurity* and HIV/AIDS are among the most pressing social issues in South Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa. About half of the South African population is food-insecure and categorised as being poor. The distribution of income is highly skewed. In no other country in southern Africa are substantial differences with regard to income more striking. The rapid transition taking place in South Africa causes problems of adaptation mainly for the poor regarding their often deteriorating socio-economic conditions. While widely held public opinion still associates hunger mainly with emergencies, conflict and calamities, greater awareness needs to be created of the chronic dimensions of food insecurity, its multiple negative effects and its links with poverty. As national and local surveys in South Africa indicate, there are low levels of acute undernutrition (i.e. wasting), but high levels of chronic undernutrition and malnutrition, manifested as stunting, reflecting chronic exposure to adverse conditions. South Africa is also among the countries with the highest prevalence of HIV/AIDS, with about 20% of the adult population being infected, which further threatens social and economic development and nutrition security. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), in South Africa the projected loss in the agricultural labour force through AIDS from 1985 to 2020 will be 20%, making South Africa one of the nine hardest hit countries in the world.

According to the widely used definition of FAO, ‘Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life’. The term food security was later enlarged to nutrition security or food and nutrition security, as this more accurately reflects the complexity of nutrition problems, beyond food supply and access to food, considering decisive factors such as health issues and care for women and children. It furthermore has to be taken into account that food and nutrition security is not just about the absence of hunger, but also about the absence of risk relating to adequate food consumption. At the 2002 World Food Summit, the commitments made at the 1996 Summit to halve poverty and the number of food-insecure people by 2015 were reaffirmed. The fight against hunger is also one of the Millennium Development Goals that were established in 2000 by 189 nations, among them South Africa.

While there is a vast amount of literature on food and nutrition security in the southern African region, in South Africa, studies on underlying causes of nutrition security are limited. The literature in this field in the past was mainly concerned with food availability at the national level and with health-related issues focusing on immediate causes of nutrition insecurity, nutritional status and under- and malnutrition, without investigating underlying causes. Research on food and nutrition security only started to receive wider recognition during the 1990s, with the emphasis still being on nutritional status. In recent years, qualitative and quantitative studies have been conducted in South Africa to identify the food-insecure and risk factors for undernutrition, pointing out the need for complementary research at the local level and the need to investigate underlying complex causes for nutrition insecurity. In South Africa, as well as in other southern African countries, food and nutrition security is largely a social and also a political problem, as is for example currently experienced in Zimbabwe. In South Africa, to date the issue has mainly been addressed by agricultural economists, physicians and nutritionists. A social perspective is urgently needed to shed light on underlying problems at the individual, household and community level.

The link between nutrition security, livelihoods, gender relations and HIV/AIDS

In the so-called third wave of the AIDS pandemic that has just started in South Africa, mortality rates are outstripping new infections and South Africa is entering the ‘death’ phase, a part of the cycle that threatens both the healthcare system and the economy. The AIDS pandemic is viewed as a result of pre-existing patterns of sexual culture and gender inequality combined with ongoing labour migration, urbanisation, civil strife, growing poverty and family disintegration. The link between nutrition security, livelihoods and HIV/AIDS and the devastating confluence of AIDS and food scarcity is now widely recognised.

†An international conference on HIV/AIDS, Food and Nutrition Security was held on 14–16 April 2005 in Durban, South Africa, organised by the International Food Policy Research Institute in collaboration with other research institutes and international organisations, among them the World Health Organization, FAO, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS and RENEWAL.
reproductively active members of society, thus increasing the number of dependant household members and also the number of orphans and child-headed households, leading to a vicious cycle linking poverty, food insecurity and HIV/AIDS to each other. The nutritional aspect of HIV/AIDS that has been ignored for a long time is now acknowledged, and good nutrition is regarded as one of the few bulwarks against AIDS-related illnesses and early death. Yet, this completely is out of reach for many poor people. HIV/AIDS is not skewed to those households infected and affected, but is systemic and thus affects the social and economic ties upon which communities are built. In Sub-Saharan Africa, women are disproportionately affected by HIV/AIDS and account for 58% of HIV infections. The main reason why they are more vulnerable than men is gender inequality and women's social, cultural, economic and biological vulnerability. Men, due to migrant work and patriarchal ideals, often pursue multiple relationships, which increases the risk for women to be infected with HIV. As women at the same time carry the main burden of HIV/AIDS in caring for the sick and for orphaned children, and as they play a key role for achieving nutrition security and fighting poverty, this situation leads into another vicious cycle and further weakens existent social support networks. As is stated in Article 14 of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS of the United Nations and has been transferred into action by the formation of The Global Coalition on Women and AIDS on 2 February 2004, gender equality and the empowerment of women are fundamental elements in the reduction of the vulnerability of women and girls to HIV/AIDS. This very clearly stresses the need for a comprehensive gendered perspective.

Gender relations play an important role in the general well-being of members of South African households, especially for women and children. Male dominance is still very prevalent in the majority of conjugal relationships and there are high levels of conflict within households, mainly about income and other resources, impacting on household nutrition security. The alarmingly high incidence of violence against women is seen as a result of a patriarchal society and has been described as the ‘crisis of African masculinity’. A trend that had been noted by several authors in South Africa already in the 1960s is that women increasingly prefer to stay single rather than to live with a male partner whom they often regard as an economic liability, which is reflected in the high percentage of female-headed households that reaches up to 50% in South Africa. While this high percentage is partly the result of social forces such as migration, with similar percentages of female-headed households being found in other African countries, it is also the result of choice by women and can therefore partly be regarded as a means of self-empowerment from male dominance. Men, on the other hand, are often not able to marry due to economic constraints, this often being the result of unemployment, and due to the requirement of paying marriage goods. Gender relations therefore directly influence household composition, the socio-economic situation and the characteristics of poverty.

The specific situation of South African farm workers

While in South Africa the association between poverty and unemployment is widely recognised, it is less frequently realised that low pay and poverty are widespread also among those who are formally employed. South African farm workers are the most vulnerable members of the South African workforce, earning the lowest wages, with women earning even less than men. Agriculture provides about 11% of South Africa’s formal employment and 27% of informal employment, thereby constituting an important economic sector. Although the government introduced minimum wages for farm workers in 2003 to improve their economic situation, in practice this sometimes means that farmers cut previous benefits such as housing subsidies and food portions, leaving farm workers with even less than before. The introduction of minimum wages in some cases also led to higher unemployment.

The present-day situation of people working on farms has to be seen in the context of South African history. Unlike in most countries in Sub-Saharan Africa, where households draw on a diverse portfolio of activities and income sources that enhance nutrition security, health, social networks and savings and furthermore bridge the rural–urban divide, in South Africa, as a result of racial and political inequalities, people were uprooted long ago from the natural resource basis of their livelihoods, destroying subsistence farming and contributing to household food insecurity. The absence of trade union organisation and limited means of legal protection from human rights violations and work-related abuses resulted in farm workers and their families being trapped on farms, lacking the skills to engage in the wider economy and having no possibilities of advancement. Studies focusing on the health status of farm workers stress that they continue to represent a seriously and tragically underserved worker population whose health is

The average cash wage in agriculture in 2000 was R544 (€60.80) per month, which was far below the household Minimum Living Level of R970 (€121.25) per month.

A minimum wage for farm workers was implemented on 1 March 2003 and currently amounts to R785.80 (€100.74) or R949.60 (€121.74), respectively, depending on the municipality the farm belongs to (exchange rate 13 March 2005, http://www.x-rates.com/d/ZAR/table.html).

This was elaborated by farm workers in informal conversations during farm visits in 2003 and also by key informants.

The concept of ‘sustainable livelihoods’ has become increasingly central to the international debate about development, poverty reduction and environmental management in recent years.
adversely affected by occupational hazards in agriculture, migrany, social discrimination and poverty. These studies also point out high levels of alcohol abuse, domestic violence and chronic malnutrition. Children living on commercial farms are more likely to be stunted and underweight than any other children, with only one child in four being food-secure.

While some farmers, as well as the larger public and even some academics perceive farm workers as having no self-restraint or willpower and being 'lazy', a number of farmers point out the difficult situation of farm workers and the necessity to improve their situation,* however often not having the resources or not knowing how this could be achieved. During the current process of land restitution in favour of previously discriminated and marginalised population groups, and consequent legal uncertainty and expectations attached to land ownership, farms are sources of conflict and increasing poverty, thus also increasing the vulnerability to nutrition security and consequently high-risk behaviour with regard to HIV/AIDS. The issue of land is furthermore very emotive, as is experienced in the example of neighbouring Zimbabwe where so-called ‘land restitution’ has been made a central political issue by the government. White South African farmers fear that similar processes could take place also in their country. Another serious problem is criminal attacks on (mainly white) residents of farms and smallholdings that have been escalating at a rate disproportionate to the general increase in crime figures prevalent in South Africa.

The concept of ‘household’ – complex social realities

In South Africa, discriminatory political practices and the resultant increase in migrant labour led to the disruption of households and functioning social systems. The bad effects this had on family life have been described by numerous authors. A variety of household categories emerged as this had on family life have been described by numerous authors. A variety of household categories emerged as a social response. † Factors that are nowadays compounding the disruption and disorganisation of households and community life and the maintenance of the migrant labour system, among them high or endemic unemployment, poverty and the devastating effect of HIV/AIDS, have been highlighted in earlier sections.

The household is a fundamental social unit and a standard unit of analysis in censuses. In the economic analysis of food security the assumption still persists that households consist of members with a single economic aim, complementary objectives and tied to the same social networks within a shared social environment. Also, poverty estimates based on household surveys still assume that household resources are equally shared. This assumption is especially misleading in low-income households. A number of authors argue that using the household as a homogeneous unit creates oversimplification. In addition, the perception of the household as a co-residential unit, as it is still defined in national censuses in South Africa, is an inadequate unit of analysis if one wishes to explore social and economic processes. Moreover, the concept of ‘head of household’ in contemporary South Africa attributes headship in general to men and makes it therefore difficult to determine the number and the composition of households actually headed by women. This male-biased classification of headship further gives no indication of the true dynamics within households that are fundamentally significant for the well-being of their members. Experts emphasise the need to give more attention to gender-disaggregated data collection, detailed context-specific research, and comparative empirical research to avoid making simplistic correlations. Data collection methods are therefore required that capture some of the complexities of South African households, such as the fluidity of household composition, high levels of individual and household mobility, non-resident household members and multiple household memberships, and, in the specific context of farms, categories of permanent and temporary workers.

As has been highlighted in this section, in the specific South African context conventional Western concepts of the household do not apply, neither do models of farm households as existent in other African countries, nor the concept of ‘multiple group membership’ that can be found among subsistence cultures. However, certain characteristics of the household do apply in both Western and African societies, which will be illustrated in the following section.

Conclusions for research leading to a new conceptual framework

The following conclusions for research evolve from the overview of the literature:

1. There is a lack of qualitative in-depth research on underlying causes of nutrition insecurity and its link with livelihoods and HIV/AIDS. As has been pointed out, these issues are all interrelated and should therefore be investigated in close association to one another, applying a social sciences research approach.

2. There is furthermore a lack of research on farm worker households. With regard to their poor economic and

---

*These views were expressed to the author and other collaborators in this project during 2003 and 2004.
† Also in Europe and especially Germany, similar household forms to those revealed in South Africa existed during and after World War II. With men being absent at war or imprisoned, women had to cope with extreme poverty and had to find other support networks. When the economic situation improved, nuclear household units were re-established.
social situation, and also with regard to the current tense political situation, the situation of farm workers urgently has to be addressed.

3. The need for a household and gender perspective in the context of nutrition security is emphasised, in order to trace the causal relationships between intra-household dynamics and the well-being of households and its members, providing insights into the social realities of people.

Based on these conclusions, a new conceptual framework and multidisciplinary research project were developed, integrating the disciplines of Nutrition Sciences, Social Anthropology, Sociology, Economics, Geography, Agriculture and History. The new conceptual research framework* (Fig. 1) draws on elements of the UNICEF (United Nations Children’s Fund) framework90 and the household system-logic based on the conceptualisation of Von Schweitzer91, which is founded on a social systems framework* (Fig. 1) draws on elements of the UNICEF (United Nations Children’s Fund) framework90 and the household system-logic based on the conceptualisation of Von Schweitzer91, which is founded on a social systems approach and is historically a progression of the philosophical theme that the whole is more than the sum of its parts or elements.†

In the centre of the framework is the **household triangle**, which represents the basis for all actions at the household level, consisting of activities that are influenced by attitudes towards life in general, livelihood assets or resources and capabilities. It is assumed that in South Africa, the household is still the prime locus for bonds which are enduring and basic whether or not individual household members are present or temporarily absent, as is the case for labour migrants. However, the household is no homogeneous economic unit, but conflict and competition over resources are usually endemic, with household members having different objectives and being connected to different external social networks. It is therefore crucial to uncover the relevant elements of this framework, their relationships, the intensity of interactions and the differences of power and control between individual household members, which are influenced by gender relations and other intra-household dynamics, as highlighted in the centre of the triangle. The different existing household categories that can comprise the triangle, e.g. conjugal households (male-headed/jointly headed) or female-headed households (de facto/de jure female-headed), which can consist of migrant households, pensioner households, sibling households, etc., reflect different stages during the life cycle. As has been described earlier, South African households are characterised by fluid boundaries and are often stretched over several domestic units, resulting in multiple household membership. As illustrated in Fig. 1, multiple household membership is represented by H1 – the core household that is being observed – and by H2 and H3 and possibly also H4, H5, etc., representing extended households that are connected to H1 through kinship or other social relationships. To categorise households, taking into account these complexities, a previously developed concept† is used here, defining the household as all people who share income and other resources and/or certain obligations and interests, whether they belong to the same or different residential units. In most cases, members of these households are related by kinship links. The specific social structure surrounding households includes social networks, such as neighbourhood relationships, relationships with local shop owners, savings associations or other – mostly female – networks. Some of these networks are situated at the community level, with the term ‘community’ having to be investigated carefully, as communities are not undifferentiated and co-operative social groups, as is often assumed. Of central importance are furthermore the relationships between farm workers and the farm owner, as living conditions of farm workers often depend on the wealth and/or on the goodwill of the farmer, which also partly determines what infrastructure is in place.

As is illustrated in the framework, the **household triangle** represents at the same time the **nutrition security system**, as activities, livelihood assets, capabilities and the dynamics within this system, which were referred to above, influence household nutrition security. When investigating the **nutrition security system** at the individual level, it becomes obvious that it is even more differentiated, depending not only on household dynamics, but also on individual activities, assets and capabilities and access of individual household members to social networks and sources of food.

The determinants for nutrition insecurity are placed on different causality levels, represented by the surrounding circles, using a less hierarchical structure than the UNICEF framework90. **Basic causes** relate to the macro-environment, **underlying causes** relate to the meso-environment and **immediate causes** (such as diseases and dietary intake, which are not illustrated in this framework but are recognised in this research) relate to the micro-environment. These underlying causes for nutrition security interact in a mutually reinforcing manner and also interact with the nutrition security system within the household triangle. This is illustrated using the incidence of HIV/AIDS as an example. The impact of HIV/AIDS is made much worse by the malnourished state of a person (immediate cause, relating to micro-environment) and also by a lack of health services and medical treatment (underlying cause, relating to meso-environment). On the other hand,

---

*This framework is preliminary and will be subject to further development during the progress of research.
†For a detailed description of the household system-logic, the reader is referred to Von Schweitzer91, whose original work on which this theory is based92 is currently in the process of being translated into English. For the purpose of this paper, using the household triangle shall suffice to illustrate relationships and dynamics within the household and its micro-environment and to highlight links and interdependencies with the meso-environment, representing underlying causes for nutrition insecurity.
A malnourished person is more vulnerable to contract diseases and to develop AIDS (again relating to the meso-environment: e.g. prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the community, as well as prevalence of other diseases; exposure to diseases, including HIV/AIDS, which is often being transmitted by migrant workers), which is often accompanied by a loss of appetite that can lead to further deterioration of health status. If an adult household member becomes ill, this results in loss of employment and income, while additional time and financial resources are needed to care for the sick person, therefore further degrading the already marginal resources (affecting both the micro- and meso-environment). People who are hungry might be forced to employ dangerous survival strategies to acquire food, such as getting involved in criminal activities, selling sex or pursuing multiple sexual relationships to secure resources, which increases the risk of contracting HIV (relating to the meso-environment: e.g. level of crime in the community or surrounding areas; prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the community; exposure to other sexually transmitted diseases; incidence of rape). Labour migrancy (relating both to the meso- and macro-environment) that on the one hand secures resources for the households, at the same time increases the risk for HIV infection. The macro-environment determines the perception of HIV/AIDS at the political level – which still is regarded as highly controversial in South Africa – impacting on medical treatment, on the dissemination of information and education (meso-environment) and also on subjective perceptions of AIDS (micro-environment).

**Conclusion and outlook**

The results of this research will provide baseline knowledge and a better understanding of the underlying causes for nutrition insecurity and its link with poverty, livelihoods and HIV/AIDS, contributing to existing community initiatives on farms in the North West Province. The findings will be valid not only for the South African context, but by addressing issues that are entailed in the Millennium Development Goals also for other societies that are experiencing similar problems and where the gap between rich and poor is currently widening. The findings will furthermore uncover household dynamics and gender differences in the context of poverty, which is an essential condition for better targeting of development.
programmes. The success and sustainability of such programmes is of utmost importance, especially in South Africa, as it plays a key role for the economic, social and political development of the whole region.
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