

SOME PROPERTIES OF θ -CLOSURE

M. SOLVEIG ESPELIE AND JAMES E. JOSEPH

1. Introduction. The concept of θ -closure was introduced by Veličko to study H -closed spaces and to generalize Taimanov's extension theorem [11], [12]. More recently, this notion has been used by Dickman and Porter [1] to characterize those Hausdorff spaces in which the Fomin H -closed extension operator commutes with the projective cover (absolute) operator and [2] to study extensions of functions. If X is a topological space and $A \subset X$, we let $\Sigma(A)$ and $\Gamma(A)$ represent, respectively, the family of open subsets which contain A and closed subsets which contain some element of $\Sigma(A)$. The θ -closure of $A \subset X$, denoted by $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$ ($\text{cl}_\theta(v)$ if $A = \{v\}$), is $\{x \in X: \text{each } V \in \Gamma(x) \text{ satisfies } V \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ and A is called θ -closed in case $\text{cl}_\theta(A) = A$. It is known that the θ -closure operator, cl_θ , is not (in general) a Kuratowski closure operator since $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$ might not be θ -closed [5].

In this article we improve several results in [1] and [2]. We also establish that a very simple observation about θ -closures of points (Lemma 1) leads to several known results as well as a number of other interesting new results; the new results include the equality $\text{cl}_\theta(A) = \bigcup_A \text{cl}_\theta(x)$ for a θ -rigid subset A of a space. We prove that a space X is compact if and only if for each upper-semicontinuous multifunction, λ , on X , the multifunction, μ , defined by $\mu(x) = \text{cl}_\theta(\lambda(x))$ assumes a maximal value with respect to set inclusion. We also prove a theorem on the maximality of θ -closures of points, when these θ -closures contain a compact θ -closure of a point. Utilizing the above results and motivated by the well-known equivalence relation, x is equivalent to y ($x \equiv y$) if and only if $\text{cl}(x) = \text{cl}(y)$, we initiate an investigation of the equivalence relation, $x \equiv y$ if and only if $\text{cl}_\theta(x) = \text{cl}_\theta(y)$. No separation axioms are assumed in this paper unless otherwise stated.

2. Some separation and decomposition results. In this section we improve results from [1] and [2] on separation of certain subsets by open subsets, improve a result from [10] on the question of when the θ -closure of a nowhere dense subset is nowhere dense, and establish that certain subsets A of topological spaces satisfy $\text{cl}_\theta(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \text{cl}_\theta(x)$.

A subset A of a space X is called *quasi H -closed* (QHC) relative to X [8] if for each covering, Ω , of A by open subsets of X , some finite $\Omega^* \subset \Omega$

Received May 4, 1979 and in revised form August 17, 1979 and January 28, 1980.

satisfies $A \subset \bigcup_{\Omega} \text{cl}(V)$. The θ -adherence of a filterbase Ω ($\text{ad}_{\theta}\Omega$) on a space is defined [11] to be $\bigcap_{\Omega} \text{cl}_{\theta}(F)$. It is shown in [4] that a subset A of a space X is QHC relative to X if and only if each filterbase Ω on A satisfies $A \cap \text{ad}_{\theta}\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $\text{ad}\Omega$ denote the adherence of a filterbase Ω on a space X and let $\text{cl}(A)$ represent the closure of a subset A . We extend the definition of the operator ad , writing $\text{ad}\Omega = \bigcap_{\Omega} \text{cl}(F)$ for any family Ω of subsets of X . The equality $\text{cl}_{\theta}(A) = \text{ad}\Sigma(A)$ is utilized in [6] to show that $\text{cl}_{\theta}(A)$ is QHC relative to an $H(i)$ space X for each $A \subset X$. This generalizes the result [11] that a θ -closed subset of an H -closed space is an H -set.

Theorem 1 significantly generalizes (2.4) of [1] which states that disjoint θ -closed subsets of an H -closed space are separated by disjoint open sets.

THEOREM 1. *Two subsets of an $H(i)$ space with disjoint θ -closures are separated by disjoint open sets.*

Proof. Let X be $H(i)$ and let A, B be subsets of X satisfying $\text{cl}_{\theta}(A) \cap \text{cl}_{\theta}(B) = \emptyset$. If all $V \in \Sigma(A)$ and $W \in \Sigma(B)$ satisfy $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ then $\Omega = \{V \cap W: V \in \Sigma(A), W \in \Sigma(B)\}$ is an open filterbase on X . Since X is $H(i)$ and $\text{cl}_{\theta}(Q) = \text{cl}(Q)$ for open Q , we have

$$\emptyset \neq \text{ad}_{\theta}\Omega \subset \text{ad}\Sigma(A) \cap \text{ad}\Sigma(B) = \text{cl}_{\theta}(A) \cap \text{cl}_{\theta}(B).$$

This is a contradiction of the hypothesis. Hence the theorem is verified.

In [2], a subset A of a space X is called θ -rigid if every filterbase Ω on X satisfying $F \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \Gamma(A), F \in \Omega$, also satisfies $A \cap \text{ad}_{\theta}\Omega \neq \emptyset$. The following theorem is easily seen to be an improvement of the result, (6.1) from [2], that disjoint θ -rigid subsets of a Hausdorff space are separated by open subsets.

THEOREM 2. *If X is any space and A, B are subsets of X with A θ -rigid and $A \cap \text{cl}_{\theta}(B) = \emptyset$, then A and B are separated by disjoint open subsets.*

Proof. If $\Omega = \{V \cap W: V \in \Sigma(A), W \in \Sigma(B)\}$ is a filterbase on X then, since A is θ -rigid, we have $A \cap \text{ad}_{\theta}\Omega \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

The following easily established lemma on θ -closures of points will be useful in the sequel.

LEMMA 1. *If x and y are points in a space, then $y \in \text{cl}_{\theta}(x)$ if and only if $x \in \text{cl}_{\theta}(y)$.*

It is readily seen that $\bigcup_A \text{cl}_{\theta}(x) \subset \text{cl}_{\theta}(A)$ for any subset A of a space. It is surprising that if A is a θ -rigid subset of a space we have equality.

THEOREM 3. *If $A \subset X$ is θ -rigid, then $\text{cl}_{\theta}(A) = \bigcup_A \text{cl}_{\theta}(x)$.*

Proof. Let A be θ -rigid and let $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(A)$. The constant net x is frequently in $\text{cl}(V)$ for all $V \in \Sigma(A)$. Hence there is a $y \in A$ such that x is frequently in $\text{cl}(V)$ for all $V \in \Sigma(y)$; therefore $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(y)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 1 may also be used to establish Theorem 4 below.

THEOREM 4. *If X is a space and $A \subset X$ is QHC relative to X , then $\text{cl}(A) \subset \bigcup_A \text{cl}_\theta(x)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in \text{cl}(A)$. Then $\Omega = \{V \cap A : V \in \Sigma(y)\}$ is a filterbase on A . Hence

$$\emptyset \neq A \cap \text{ad}_\theta \Sigma(y) = A \cap \text{cl}_\theta(y).$$

For $x \in A \cap \text{cl}_\theta(y)$ we have $y \in \text{cl}_\theta(x)$. The proof is complete.

Our next theorem improves Theorem 5 of [10]. A subset V of a space X is *regular-open* if $V = \text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$ ($\text{int}(A)$ represents the interior of A) and *regular-closed* if $X - V$ is regular-open. $\Omega \subset \Sigma(A)$ is a *base of open sets for A* if, for each $V \in \Sigma(A)$, some $W \in \Omega$ satisfies $W \subset V$. We remark that the *spiral* of a point x [10] is $\text{cl}_\theta(x)$.

THEOREM 5. *Let $A \subset X$ be nowhere dense and assume that A has a base of regular open subsets. If X is T_1 , then $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. Suppose that V is a nonempty open subset of $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$ and let $\nabla(A)$ represent a base of regular-open subsets about A . Then $V \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(W)) = W$ for all $W \in \nabla(A)$. Since X is T_1 , we have $A = \bigcap_{W \in \nabla(A)} W$. Hence $V \subset A$. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete.

A net (g, D) , in a space X , θ -converges to x in X ($g \rightarrow_\theta x$) if g is eventually in $\text{cl}(V)$ for each $V \in \Sigma(x)$ [11]. If Ω is an open filterbase on X and $x \in \text{ad}\Omega$, the usual construction of a net from the filterbase and $\Sigma(x)$ yields a net g such that $g \rightarrow_\theta x$; the question of how, given a net g in X with $g \rightarrow_\theta x$, to construct an open filterbase Ω on X with $x \in \text{ad}\Omega$ has gone unanswered. Our final result in this section answers this question.

THEOREM 6. *Let X be a space and let (g, D) be a net in X . For each $\mu \in D$, let $S(\mu) = \{g(\alpha) : \alpha \geq \mu\}$. Then $\Omega(g) = \bigcup_D \Sigma(S(\mu))$ is an open filterbase on X , and $x \in \text{ad}\Omega(g)$ if and only if some subnet of g θ -converges to x .*

Proof. It is clear that $\Omega(g)$ is an open filterbase on X . Suppose $x \in \text{ad}\Omega(g)$. We see that

$$\text{ad}\Omega(g) = \bigcap_D \text{ad}\Sigma(S(\mu)) = \bigcap_D \text{cl}_\theta(S(\mu)),$$

so that g is frequently in $\text{cl}(V)$ for each $V \in \Sigma(x)$. A standard con-

struction produces a subnet of g which θ -converges to x . Conversely, if g has a subnet which θ -converges to x , we obtain from the last stated equation that $x \in \text{ad}\Omega(g)$. This completes the proof.

3. Characterizations of compactness in terms of upper-semicontinuous multifunctions and the θ -closure operator. A *multifunction* from a set X to a set Y is a function from X to $\mathcal{P}(Y) - \{\emptyset\}$, where $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ is the power set of Y . Smithson [9] has contributed a survey relating some of the principal results for multifunctions. If λ is a multifunction from X to Y we will write $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)$. If X and Y are spaces, we say that $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)$ is *upper-semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) at $x \in X$ if for each $W \in \Sigma(\lambda(x))$ in Y there is a $V \in \Sigma(x)$ in X satisfying $\lambda(V) \subset W$; λ is *upper-semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) on X if λ is u.s.c. at each $x \in X$. We say that $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)$ has a *strongly-closed graph* if $\text{ad}_\theta\lambda(\Omega) \subset \{\lambda(x)\}$ for each $x \in X$ and filterbase Ω on X satisfying $\Omega \rightarrow x$ [7]. We are now in a position to give several new characterizations of compact spaces.

THEOREM 7. *The following statements are equivalent for a space X :*

(a) X is compact.

(b) For each u.s.c. multifunction, λ , on X , the multifunction, μ , on X defined by

$$\mu(x) = \text{cl}_\theta(\lambda(x))$$

assumes a maximal value under set inclusion.

(c) Each u.s.c. multifunction, λ , on X with $\lambda(x)$ θ -closed for each x assumes a maximal value under set inclusion.

(d) Each u.s.c. multifunction, λ , on X with a strongly-closed graph assumes a maximal value under set inclusion.

Proof. The proof that (b) implies (c) is obvious. The fact that (c) and (d) are equivalent follows from Theorem 3.7 of [6]. Now, assume (a), let $\Omega = \{\mu(x) : x \in X\}$ be ordered by inclusion and let Ω_1 be a nonempty chain in Ω . For each y such that $\mu(y) \in \Omega_1$, let

$$F(y) = \{x \in X : \mu(y) \subset \mu(x)\}.$$

Then $\{F(y)\}$ is a filterbase on the compact space X . For any such y , let $v \in \text{cl}(F(y))$ and let $W \in \Sigma(\lambda(v))$. There is a $V \in \Sigma(v)$ satisfying $\lambda(V) \subset W$. Let $q \in V \cap F(y)$. Then

$$\mu(y) \subset \mu(q) = \text{cl}_\theta(\lambda(q)) \subset \text{cl}(W).$$

Thus $\mu(y) \subset \mu(v)$, $v \in F(y)$ and $F(y)$ is closed. Let $q \in \bigcap F(y)$. Then $\mu(q)$ is an upper bound for Ω_1 . By Zorn's Lemma, Ω has a maximal element. This establishes that (a) implies (b). To complete the proof, we will verify that (a) is implied by (c). If X is not compact, there is a net, g , in X with an ordinal \mathcal{D} as its index set and no convergent subnet.

Let \mathcal{D} have the order topology and, for each $k \in \mathcal{D}$, let

$$V(k) = X - \text{cl}(\{g(j) : j \geq k\}).$$

Then $\{V(k) : k \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is an increasing open cover of X with no finite subcover. Define $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X, \mathcal{D})$ by $\lambda(x) = \{j \in \mathcal{D} : j \geq k_x\}$ where k_x is the first element k of \mathcal{D} with $x \in V(k)$. Since \mathcal{D} with the order topology is regular and $\lambda(x)$ is closed for each x , then $\mu(x) = \lambda(x)$ for each x . We now show that λ is u.s.c. Let $W \in \Sigma(\lambda(x))$ and let $y \in V(k_x)$. Then $k_y \leq k_x$, so that $\lambda(y) \subset \lambda(x) \subset W$. Hence $\lambda(V(k_x)) \subset W$ and λ is u.s.c. Since μ clearly assumes no maximal value with respect to set inclusion, we see that (c) does not hold. The proof of the theorem is complete.

In a Hausdorff space the θ -closure of each point is trivially compact and maximal in the set of θ -closures of points ordered by inclusion. We may use Theorem 7 to prove that in any space, the θ -closures of points satisfy a maximality condition, when the θ -closure of some point is compact.

THEOREM 8. *Let Y be a space and let $y_0 \in Y$ with $\text{cl}_\theta(y_0)$ compact. Then there is a $y \in Y$ such that (1) $\text{cl}_\theta(y_0) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)$, and (2) $\text{cl}_\theta(y)$ is maximal in the set of θ -closures of points when this set is ordered by inclusion.*

Proof. Let $X = \{y \in Y : \text{cl}_\theta(y_0) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)\}$. For each $y \in X$ we have $y \in \text{cl}_\theta(y_0)$ from Lemma 1. Moreover, if $v \in \text{cl}(X)$ and $W \in \Sigma(v)$ then some $y \in W$ satisfies $\text{cl}_\theta(y_0) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y) \subset \text{cl}(W)$. Hence $\text{cl}_\theta(y_0) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(v)$ and X is closed in Y . Therefore X is a compact subset of Y and since the identity function from X to Y is u.s.c., the proof may be completed by appeal to the fact that (a) implies (b) in Theorem 7.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 8.

COROLLARY 1. *If Y is compact, then for each $y_0 \in Y$, there is a $y \in Y$ such that (1) $\text{cl}_\theta(y_0) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)$, and (2) $\text{cl}_\theta(y)$ is maximal in the set of θ -closures of points, when this set is ordered by inclusion.*

Our final result in this section is another corollary which derives from Theorem 7.

COROLLARY 2. *If Y is a regular space and $y_0 \in Y$, then there is a $y \in Y$ such that (1) $y_0 \in \text{cl}(y)$, and (2) $\text{cl}(y)$ is maximal in the set of closures of points, when this set is ordered by inclusion.*

Proof. It is well-known that in a regular space, the closure of a compact set is compact. Hence the result follows.

4. The quotient space induced by identifying those points with identical θ -closures. We define an equivalence relation, θ , on a space X by $x \theta y$ if and only if $\text{cl}_\theta(x) = \text{cl}_\theta(y)$. Our main result in this section

is that the quotient space induced on X by θ is a T_0 space. For each $A \subset X$ let $\theta[A]$ represent the saturation of the set A by θ (i.e., $\theta[A] = \{y \in X: x \theta y \text{ for some } x \in A\}$); A is saturated with θ if $\theta[A] = A$. We obtain the following properties of θ from previous results.

THEOREM 9. *The following properties hold in a topological space X :*

- (a) *Each $x \in X$ satisfies $\theta[\text{cl}_\theta(x)] = \text{cl}_\theta(x)$.*
- (b) *Each θ -rigid subset A of X satisfies $\theta[\text{cl}_\theta(A)] = \text{cl}_\theta(A)$.*
- (c) *Each subset A of X satisfies $\theta[A] \subset \text{cl}_\theta(A)$.*
- (d) *Each open subset A of X satisfies $\theta[A] \subset \text{cl}(A)$.*
- (e) *For each $x \in X$, $\bigcap_{\text{cl}_\theta(x)} \text{cl}_\theta(v) = \{y \in X: \text{cl}_\theta(x) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)\}$.*
- (f) *For $x, y \in X$, the relations (1) $y \in \text{cl}_\theta(x)$, (2) $\theta[y] \cap \text{cl}_\theta(x) \neq \emptyset$, (3) $\theta[x] \cap \text{cl}_\theta(y) \neq \emptyset$, (4) $\theta[x] \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)$ and (5) $\theta[y] \subset \text{cl}_\theta(x)$ are equivalent.*

Proof. For the proof of (a), let $y \in \theta[\text{cl}_\theta(x)]$. There is a $v \in \text{cl}_\theta(x)$ with $y \theta v$. We have, from Lemma 1, that $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(v)$ and, since $\text{cl}_\theta(v) = \text{cl}_\theta(y)$ we obtain $y \in \text{cl}_\theta(x)$. Since $\text{cl}_\theta(x) \subset \theta[\text{cl}_\theta(x)]$ from a general property of equivalence relations, the proof of (a) is complete. The proof that (b) holds follows directly from (a), Theorem 4, and the fact that $\theta[\bigcup_\Omega F] = \bigcup_\Omega \theta[F]$ for any family, Ω , of subsets of X . It is obvious that (d) follows from (c). To verify (c), we note that for any $A \subset X$,

$$\theta[A] = \bigcup_A \theta[x] \subset \bigcup_A \theta[\text{cl}_\theta(x)] = \bigcup_A \text{cl}_\theta(x) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(A).$$

Similar methods may be employed to establish (e) and (f). The proofs are omitted.

Theorem 10 is the main result in this section. We let $X(\text{mod } \theta)$ represent the quotient space induced on X by θ .

THEOREM 10. *$X(\text{mod } \theta)$ is T_0 for any space X .*

Proof. Suppose $x, y \in X$ with $\theta[x] \neq \theta[y]$. Without loss of generality, let $v \in \text{cl}_\theta(x) - \text{cl}_\theta(y)$. Then $y \notin \text{cl}_\theta(v)$ and, consequently, $\theta[y] \cap \text{cl}_\theta(v) = \emptyset$ from Theorem 9(f). Hence

$$\theta[y] \subset X - \text{cl}_\theta(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta[x] \subset \text{cl}_\theta(v).$$

Since $X - \text{cl}_\theta(v)$ is an open subset of X and saturated with θ , we conclude that $X(\text{mod } \theta)$ is T_0 . The proof is complete.

If $\text{cl}_\theta(x)$ is maximal in the set of θ -closures of points when this set is ordered by inclusion, it follows that $\theta[x] = \{y \in X: \text{cl}_\theta(x) \subset \text{cl}_\theta(y)\}$ and, therefore, from Theorem 9(e) we have $\theta[x]$ closed in X . Hence we obtain the following theorem and two corollaries.

THEOREM 11. *If X is a space and $\text{cl}_\theta(x)$ is maximal in the set of θ -closures of points when this set is ordered by inclusion, then $\theta[x]$ is closed in X .*

COROLLARY 3. *If X is a space and $\text{cl}_\theta(x)$ is maximal for all $x \in X$ then $X(\text{mod } \theta)$ is T_1 .*

COROLLARY 4. *If X is compact then $X(\text{mod } \theta)$ has at least one closed singleton.*

Proof. Use Theorems 8 and 11.

5. Some examples. In this final section, we give some examples in connection with the above results.

Example 1. *A space X with an open subset V such that $\theta[V]$ is not open in X .*

Let X be the closed interval $[0, 1]$, where the basic open sets are the usual open sets in $[0, 1)$ along with all sets of the form $[0, x) \cup (y, 1]$. Then $\theta[[0, \frac{1}{2}]] = [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup \{1\}$, which is not open.

Example 2. *A compact T_1 space with $\theta[V]$ open in X for each open V and $X(\text{mod } \theta)$ not T_1 .*

For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$, let $A(1)$ be the set of primes larger than 9, and $A(n) = [2n, 2n + 1]$ otherwise. For each n , let $\Omega(n)$ be the filter of finite complements on $A(n)$. Let $X = \cup A(n) \cup \{0, 1\}$ with the topology generated by the following collection of sets as base:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{V \subset X : V \text{ is a usual open set in } \cup_{n \geq 2} A(n)\} \\ & \cup \{\{0\} \cup F(1) \cup F(2) \cup F(3) : F(n) \in \Omega(n), n = 1, 2, 3\} \\ & \cup \{\{1\} \cup F(1) \cup F(2) \cup F(4) : F(n) \in \Omega(n), n = 1, 2, 4\} \\ & \cup \{\{p\} \cup F(2) : p \in A(1), F(2) \in \Omega(2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then X is compact and T_1 , but $\theta[11]$ is not closed since $0 \in \text{cl}(\theta[11]) - \theta[11]$; $\theta[V]$ is open for each open V . We note that in this space, $\text{cl}_\theta(0)$ and $\text{cl}_\theta(1)$ are maximal and distinct.

Our next example establishes that, even in a compact space, the iterate, $\text{cl}_\theta^n(x)$, may fail to be θ -closed for some x and every nonnegative integer n .

Example 3. Let \mathbf{N} be the set of positive integers. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $J(n) = (2n, 2n + 2)$, and let $\Omega(n)$ be the filter of finite complements on $(2n - 1, 2n + 1)$. Let X be the nonnegative reals with topology generated by the base

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A \subset X : A \text{ is a usual open set in } \cup_{\mathbf{N}} J(n)\} \\ & \cup \{\{2n\} \cup B : n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } B \in \Omega(n)\} \\ & \cup \{\{0, x\} \cup (2n - 1, \infty) : 0 < x < 1, n \in \mathbf{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{cl}_\theta^n(2)$ fails to be θ -closed for each nonnegative integer n .

Example 4. A non-Hausdorff space in which $\text{cl}_\theta(x)$ is maximal for each x in the space.

Such a space is an infinite set with the topology of finite complements.

Acknowledgement. We are indebted to Professor Myung H. Kwack for her many helpful insights and discussions on aspects of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Dickman and J. R. Porter, θ -closed subsets of Hausdorff spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 59 (1975), 407–415.
2. ——— θ -perfect and θ -absolutely closed functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 21 (1977), 42–60.
3. S. Fomin, *Extensions of topological spaces*, *Ann. Math.* 44 (1943), 471–480.
4. L. L. Herrington, $H(i)$ spaces and strongly-closed graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 58 (1976), 277–283.
5. J. E. Joseph, θ -closure and θ -subclosed graphs, *Math. Chron.* 8 (1979), 99–117.
6. ——— *Multifunctions and cluster sets*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1979), 329–337.
7. ——— *Multifunctions and graphs*, *Pacific J. Math.* 79 (1978), 509–529.
8. J. Porter and J. Thomas, *On H -closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (1969), 159–170.
9. R. E. Smithson, *Multifunctions*, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* 20 (1972), 31–53.
10. T. Thompson, *Concerning certain subsets of non-Hausdorff topological spaces*, *Boll. U.M.I.* 14-A (1977), 34–37.
11. N. V. Veličko, *H -closed topological spaces*, *Mat. Sb.* 70 (112) (1966), 98–112; *Amer. Math. Lec. Transl.* 78 (Series 2) (1969), 103–118.
12. ——— *On the theory of H -closed topological spaces*, *Sibirskii Mat. Z.* 8 (1967), 754–763; Translation: *Siberian Math. J.* 8 (1967), 569–579.

*Howard University,
Washington, D.C.*