

FOURIER TRANSFORMS OF UNBOUNDED MEASURES

JAMES STEWART

1. Introduction. One of the basic objects of study in harmonic analysis is the Fourier transform (or Fourier-Stieltjes transform) μ of a bounded (complex) measure μ on the real line R :

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{\mu}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} d\mu(x).$$

More generally, if μ is a bounded measure on a locally compact abelian group G , then its Fourier transform is the function

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) = \int_G \overline{[x, \hat{x}]} d\mu(x) \quad (\hat{x} \in \hat{G})$$

where \hat{G} is the dual group of G and $[x, \hat{x}] = \hat{x}(x)$. One answer to the question “Which functions can be represented as Fourier transforms of bounded measures?” was given by the following criterion due to Schoenberg [11] for the real line and Eberlein [5] in general: f is a Fourier transform of a bounded measure if and only if there is a constant M such that

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \int_G f\phi \right| \leq M \sup_{x \in \hat{G}} |\hat{\phi}(x)|$$

for all $\phi \in L^1(G)$, where $\hat{\phi}(x) = \int_G [x, \hat{x}]\phi(x)dx$.

The integrals (1.1) and (1.2) do not exist if μ is unbounded, and so the question arises as to the existence of a meaningful notion of Fourier transform in the case of unbounded measures. One could, of course, interpret (1.1) or (1.2) as holding in a summability sense, and this has sometimes been done. (See [4], [12], and [7, 8].) But Argabright and Gil de Lamadrid [1] have recently proposed a very general definition of a Fourier transform. They defined a measure μ to be *transformable* if there exists a measure $\hat{\mu}$ on \hat{G} such that, for every $\phi \in C_c(G)$ (the continuous functions with compact support), $\hat{\phi} \in L^2(\hat{\mu})$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_G \phi * \tilde{\phi}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} |\phi(-\hat{x})|^2 d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x}),$$

where $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \overline{\phi(-x)}$ and $*$ denotes convolution. If μ is transformable, then the measure $\hat{\mu}$ occurring on the right side of (1.4) is called the *Fourier transform* of μ .

Received September 16, 1977.

This definition of Fourier transform is easily seen to be a generalization of both the Fourier-Stieltjes transform (1.2) and the classical Fourier transform of L^p functions, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. It also encompasses the representation of unbounded positive definite functions as Fourier transforms of positive unbounded measures [4], [12].

Argabright and Gil de Lamadrid showed that any Fourier transform μ must be *translation-bounded* in the sense that

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{x \in \hat{G}} |\hat{\mu}(\hat{x} + C)| < \infty$$

for every compact set C in \hat{G} . They also established extended versions of the Poisson Summation Formula and the Inversion Theorem for Fourier transforms.

The present paper has two main purposes. The first is to describe a class of measures which are transformable in the sense of (1.4). If $\sum_{\alpha} [|\mu|(K_{\alpha})]^r < \infty$, where $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and the K_{α} 's are certain subsets of the group G related to its structure as described in §3, then μ will be shown to be transformable. The second purpose is to generalize the Schoenberg-Eberlein criterion (1.3) to unbounded measures. If $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and there is a constant M such that

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \int_G f \phi \right| \leq M \left[\sum_{\alpha} \sup_{x \in K_{\alpha}} |\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

for every $\phi \in C_c(G)$, then it will be shown that f is a Fourier transform.

Some of the main theorems of this paper are generalizations of results of Finbarr Holland [7, 8] to the context of groups. Therefore we devote §2 to a short exposition of his work on amalgams of L^p and l^q . In §3 we show how to extend some of his definitions and results to groups. Then in §4 we apply these to prove the results stated in the above paragraph.

2. Amalgams of L^p and l^q on the real line. If f is a measurable function on R and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, define

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left[\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_n^{n+1} |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{q/p} \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\|f\|_{\infty,q} = \left[\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sup_{n \leq x \leq n+1} |f(x)|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

and let

$$(L^p, l^q) = \{f; \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\}, \quad (C_0, l^q) = C_0 \cap (L^{\infty}, l^q).$$

These spaces were introduced and studied systematically by Holland [7], although certain special cases had been used earlier by Wiener [13] ($p = 2$, $q = \infty$), [14], ($p = \infty$, $q = 1$ and $p = 1$, $q = \infty$) and Cooper [4] ($p = 2$, $q = 1$), and certain related spaces had been used by Pitt [9] and Benedek and Panzone [2].

We list here some of Holland's results.

THEOREM A. (L^p, l^q) is a Banach space and for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ its dual space is isometrically isomorphic to $(L^{p'}, l^{q'})$, where $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$.

THEOREM B. If T is a continuous linear functional on (C_0, l^q) , where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then there exists a measure $\mu \in M_{q'}$ such that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi d\mu \quad (\phi \in (C_0, l^q))$$

where $M_r = \{\text{complex measures } \mu; \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|\mu|([n, n+1])]^r < \infty\}$.

THEOREM C. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$. If $f \in (L^p, l^q)$, then $\int_{-N}^N e^{-itx} f(x) dx$ converges to an element $\hat{f} \in (L^{q'}, l^{p'})$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. There is a constant $M_{p,q}$ such that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{q', p'} \leq M_{p,q} \|f\|_{p,q} \quad (f \in (L^p, l^q)).$$

THEOREM D. If $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and $\mu \in M_q$, then

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} d\mu(x)$$

exists in the sense of Cesàro summability.

3. Amalgams on groups. Let G be a locally compact abelian group. The structure theorem for such groups [6, Theorem 24.30] allows us to write $G = R^a \times G_1$, where a is a nonnegative integer and G_1 is a group which contains a compact open subgroup H . (If G_1 is compact, we take $H = G_1$. If G_1 is discrete and infinite, we take $H = \{0\}$. Otherwise H is arbitrary but fixed. If the relationship between H and G needs to be made explicit, then we write $H = H(G)$.) We normalize the Haar measure m on G_1 so that $m(H) = 1$.

The dual group of G can then be written as $\hat{G} = R^a \times \hat{G}_1$. If A is the annihilator of H , $A = \{\hat{s} \in \hat{G}_1; [s, \hat{s}] = 1 \text{ for all } s \in H\}$, then A is a compact open subgroup of \hat{G}_1 . (Since H is open, G_1/H is discrete, and so A , being its dual group, is compact. Since H is compact, its dual \hat{G}_1/A is discrete, and thus A is open.) We can therefore make the choice $A = H(\hat{G})$. This is consistent with the conventions in the above paragraph and with the inversion theorems for Fourier transforms.

Define $K = [0, 1]^a \times H$ and $L = [0, 1]^a \times H$. We can then write G as a disjoint union

$$G = \cup_{\alpha \in J} L_{\alpha}$$

where $L_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha} + L$ and each g_{α} is of the form (n_1, \dots, n_a, t) with $n_i \in Z$, $t \in G_1$, (the collection of t 's being a transversal of H in G_1). It will sometimes be convenient to use the (nondisjoint) decomposition $G = \cup_{\alpha \in J} K_{\alpha}$, where $K_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha} + K$.

Definition. If $f \in L^p(C)$ for any compact subset C of G and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, define

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left[\sum_{\alpha \in J} \left[\int_{K_\alpha} |f|^p \right]^{q/p} \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\|f\|_{\infty,q} = \left[\sum_{\alpha \in J} \sup_{x \in K_\alpha} |f(x)|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

and let

$$(L^p, l^q) = \{f; \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\}, (C_0, l^q) = C_0 \cap (L^\infty, l^q)$$

where C_0 denotes the continuous functions on G which vanish at infinity.

This definition clearly reduces to Holland's when $G = R$. Other reasons for choosing to define (L^p, l^q) via the particular decomposition $G = \cup_\alpha K_\alpha$ will be seen in the proofs of Theorems 3.1, 3.3, and 4.3.

We begin our study of the amalgams (L^p, l^q) by listing some relations between them.

$$(3.1) \quad (L^p, l^{q_1}) \subset (L^p, l^{q_2}) \quad \text{if } q_1 \leq q_2$$

$$(3.2) \quad (L^{p_2}, l^q) \subset (L^{p_1}, l^q) \quad \text{if } p_1 \leq p_2$$

$$(3.3) \quad (L^p, l^p) = L^p$$

$$(3.4) \quad (L^p, l^q) \subset L^p \cap L^q \quad \text{if } q \leq p.$$

The last relation follows from the first two relations which are consequences of the following inequalities.

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_{p,q_2} \leq \|f\|_{p,q_1} \quad \text{if } q_1 \leq q_2$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|f\|_{p_1,q} \leq \|f\|_{p_2,q} \quad \text{if } p_1 \leq p_2.$$

The inequality (3.5) follows from Jensen's inequality while (3.6) is easily proved using Holder's inequality, remembering that the Haar measure of K_α is 1.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let C be a compact subset of G , and let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then there is a function $g \in C_c(G)$ such that $g \equiv 1$ on C and $\hat{g} \in (L^p, l^q)$.*

Proof. Write $C \subset C_1 \times C_2$ where C_1 is compact in R^q and C_2 is compact in G_1 . Then C_2 is covered by a finite number of cosets of H :

$$C_2 \subset \cup_{i=1}^k s_i + H = F, \text{ say.}$$

Let $g_2 = \chi_F$, the characteristic function of F . Then $g_2 \in C_c(G_1)$. Since $g_2(s) = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_H(s - s_i)$, we have

$$\hat{g}_2(\hat{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{[s_i, \hat{s}]} \hat{\chi}_H(\hat{s}) = \chi_A(\hat{s}) \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{[s_i, \hat{s}]}.$$

Since the support of \hat{g}_2 is A , we have $\hat{g}_2 \in (L^p, l^q)(\hat{G}_1)$. Let g_1 be a function which is equal to 1 on C_1 , has compact support, and is an a -fold product of functions which are m times differentiable functions of a real variable (where m is to be chosen). Then \hat{g}_1 is an a -fold product of continuous functions which are $0(x^{-m})$ as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Such functions are in $(L^p, l^q)(R)$ for sufficiently large m . (Specifically, $m > (p + q)p^{-2}$ if $p, q < \infty$ or $m > q^{-1}$ if $p = \infty$.) Therefore $\hat{g}_1 \in (L^p, l^q)(R^a)$. If g is defined on G by $g(s, t) = g_1(s)g_2(t)$, then g and \hat{g} possess the desired properties.

THEOREM 3.2. *(L^p, l^q) is a Banach space and for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ its dual space is isometrically isomorphic to $(L^{p'}, l^{q'})$.*

The proof of this theorem is virtually identical with the proof of Theorem A given in [7].

THEOREM 3.3. *Translation is a bounded operator on (L^∞, l^1) . Specifically, if $f_t(x) = f(x - t)$ and $f \in (L^\infty, l^1)$, then*

$$\|f_t\|_{\infty,1} \leq 2^a \|f\|_{\infty,1}.$$

Proof.

$$\|f_t\|_{\infty,1} = \sum_{\alpha \in J} \sup_{x \in K_\alpha} |f(x - t)| = \sum_{\alpha} \sup_{x \in t + K_\alpha} |f(x)|$$

where $K_\alpha = g_\alpha + K$ and $K = [0, 1]^a \times H$.

If $t = g_\alpha$ for some α , then clearly $\|f_t\|_{\infty,1} = \|f\|_{\infty,1}$. Otherwise $t + K_\alpha$ intersects the interiors of at most $2^a K_\beta$'s and so we can write

$$\|f_t\|_{\infty,1} = \sum_{\alpha \in J} \sup_{x \in t + K_\alpha} |f(x)| \leq 2^a \sum_{\beta \in J} \sup_{x \in K_\beta} |f(x)| = 2^a \|f\|_{\infty,1}.$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose $f \in L^r(G)$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset C$, where C is compact and $1 \leq r \leq 2$. Then*

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty,r'} \leq M \|f\|_{r'}$$

where M is a constant depending only on C and r .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 there exists a real function $g \in C_c(G)$ with $g \equiv 1$ on C and $\hat{g} \in (L^\infty, l^1)$. Then

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \int_C f(x)[x, \hat{x}]dx = \int_G f(x)g(x)[x, \hat{x}]dx = \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{f}(\hat{t})\hat{g}(\hat{t} - \hat{x})d\hat{t}$$

by the Parseval formula. So Holder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^{r'} &\leq \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{f}(\hat{t})|^{r'} |\hat{g}(\hat{t} - \hat{x})| dt \cdot \left[\int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{g}(\hat{t} - \hat{x})| d\hat{t} \right]^{r'/r} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{f}(\hat{t})|^{r'} |\hat{g}(\hat{x} - \hat{t})| d\hat{t} \left[\int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{g}(\hat{t})| d\hat{t} \right]^{r'/r} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{f}(\hat{t})|^{r'} |\hat{g}_\hat{t}(\hat{x})| d\hat{t} \cdot \|\hat{g}\|_1^{r'/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty, r'}^{r'} &= \sum_{\alpha} \sup_{x \in K_{\alpha}} |\hat{f}(x)|^{r'} \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha} \sup_{x \in K_{\alpha}} \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{f}(t)|^{r'} |\hat{g}_i(x)| dt \cdot \|\hat{g}\|_1^{r'/r} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{f}(t)|^{r'} \|\hat{g}_i\|_{\infty, 1} dt \cdot \|\hat{g}\|_1^{r'/r} \leq 2^a \|\hat{g}\|_{\infty, 1} \|\hat{f}\|_{r'}^{r'/r} \|\hat{g}\|_1^{r'/r} \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 3.3. Therefore the inequality holds with

$$M = 2^{a/r'} \|\hat{g}\|_{\infty, 1}^{1/r'} \|\hat{g}\|_1^{1/r}.$$

THEOREM 3.5. *If $f \in (L^p, l^1)$ where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $\hat{f} \in (L^{\infty}, l^{p'})$. There is a constant A_p such that*

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p, 1} \quad (f \in (L^p, l^1)).$$

Proof. Write $f = \sum_{\alpha \in J} f_{\alpha}$, where $\text{supp}(f_{\alpha}) \subset K_{\alpha}$. This series converges in L^1 and so $\hat{f} = \sum \hat{f}_{\alpha}$ is uniformly convergent. Applying Theorem 3.4 to f_{α} with $C = K_{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\|\hat{f}_{\alpha}\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|\hat{f}_{\alpha}\|_{p'}.$$

(Note that A_p is independent of α because each K_{α} is a translate of $K = [0, 1]^a \times H$. The corresponding functions $g = g_{\alpha}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.4 can be chosen to be translates of each other, and so $|\hat{g}_{\alpha}|$ is independent of α .)

The Hausdorff-Young inequality [6, (31.21)] then gives

$$\|\hat{f}_{\alpha}\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|f_{\alpha}\|_p.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\alpha} \|\hat{f}_{\alpha}\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p, 1}.$$

Since $(L^{\infty}, l^{p'})$ is a Banach space, this shows that

$$\hat{f} \in (L^{\infty}, l^{p'}) \text{ and } \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p, 1}.$$

4. Unbounded measures. The word “measure” will mean a set function μ which is locally a complex measure, i.e., for each compact subset C of G , $\mu_C(E) = \mu(E \cap C)$ is a complex measure (in the usual sense of the word [10, Ch. 6]) on the Borel subsets of G . This is consistent with the point of view taken by Argabright and Gil de Lamadrid in discussing transformable measures [1] which is the continuous functional point of view of Bourbaki [3]. (The functional $\mu(f) = \int_G f d\mu \equiv \int_C f d\mu_C$, where $C = \text{supp}(f)$, is a continuous linear functional on $C_c(G)$ topologized as the inductive limit of the spaces $C(G, A) = \{f \in C_c(G); \text{supp}(f) \subset A\}$, A compact in G , i.e., for each compact A there is a constant M_A such that $|\mu(f)| \leq M_A \|f\|_{\infty}$ for every $f \in C(G, A)$. Indeed $M_A = |\mu|(A)$.)

Before exhibiting a class of unbounded measures that are transformable, we state, for ease of reference, a Parseval formula for transforms of bounded measures.

LEMMA 4.1. (Extended Parseval Formula) *Suppose that μ is a bounded complex measure on G and let $\check{\phi}$ denote the inverse Fourier transform of $\phi \in L^1(\hat{G})$: $\check{\phi}(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} [x, \hat{x}] \phi(\hat{x}) d\hat{x}$, $x \in G$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_G \overline{\check{\phi}(x)} d\mu(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\phi(\hat{x})} \hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) d\hat{x}$$

holds whenever $\phi \in L^1(\hat{G})$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_G \overline{\phi(x)} d\mu(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\phi(\hat{x})} \hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) d\hat{x}$$

holds whenever $\phi \in L^1(G)$, $\hat{\phi} \in L^1(\hat{G})$, and ϕ is continuous.

Proof. (4.1) is a straightforward consequence of Fubini's Theorem and (4.2) follows from (4.1) and the Inversion Theorem.

Definition. Let $M_r = M_r(G)$ be the set of all measures μ on G such that

$$\|\mu\|_{M_r} = [\sum_{\alpha \in J} [|\mu|(K_\alpha)]^r]^{1/r} < \infty.$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $\mu \in M_r$, $1 \leq r \leq 2$. Then*

- (i) μ is transformable (in the sense of (1.4)),
- (ii) $\hat{\mu}$ is a function, $\hat{\mu} \in (L^r, l^\infty)$, and there is a constant A_r such that

$$\|\hat{\mu}\|_{r', \infty} \leq A_r \|\mu\|_{M_r} \quad (\mu \in M_r).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be the set of all finite unions of the sets K_β , $\beta \in J$. For each $\alpha \in I$, define a finite measure μ_α on G by

$$\mu_\alpha(E) = \mu(E \cap V_\alpha) \quad (E \text{ a Borel set in } G)$$

and let

$$(4.3) \quad T_\alpha(\phi) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\phi(\hat{x})} \hat{\mu}_\alpha(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} \quad (\phi \in (L^r, l^1)(\hat{G})).$$

The integral in (4.3) exists since $\hat{\mu}_\alpha \in L^\infty(\hat{G})$ and $(L^r, l^1) \subset L^1(\hat{G})$. Theorem 3.2 gives $\|T_\alpha\| = \|\hat{\mu}_\alpha\|_{r', \infty}$. Using (4.1) we also have

$$(4.4) \quad T_\alpha(\phi) = \int_G \overline{\check{\phi}(x)} d\mu_\alpha(x) \quad (\phi \in (L^r, l^1)(\hat{G}))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_\alpha(\phi) - T_\beta(\phi)| &\leq \sum_{n \in J} \int_{K_n} |\check{\phi}(x)| d|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(x) \\
 &\leq \sum \sup_{x \in K_n} |\check{\phi}(x)| \cdot |\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(K_n) \\
 &\leq \left[\sum \sup_{x \in K_n} |\check{\phi}(x)|^{r'} \right]^{1/r'} \cdot \left[\sum (|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(K_n))^r \right]^{1/r} \\
 &= \|\check{\phi}\|_{\infty, r'} \left[\sum (|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(K_n))^r \right]^{1/r} \leq A_\tau \|\phi\|_{r, 1} \left[\sum (|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(K_n))^r \right]^{1/r}
 \end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 3.5. Thus

$$\|T_\alpha - T_\beta\| \leq \left[\sum_n (|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta|(K_n))^r \right]^{1/r}.$$

If $V_\alpha \supset V_\beta$, then $(\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta)(K_n) = \mu(K_n)$ if $K_n \subset V_\alpha \setminus V_\beta$ and is 0 otherwise. Therefore

$$\|T_\alpha - T_\beta\| \leq A_\tau \left[\sum_{K_n \subset V_\alpha \setminus V_\beta} (|\mu|(K_n))^r \right]^{1/r}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small since $\mu \in M_r$. Hence $\|\hat{\mu}_\alpha - \hat{\mu}_\beta\|_{r', \infty} \rightarrow 0$ along \mathcal{V} .

Let $\hat{\mu} = \lim_\alpha \hat{\mu}_\alpha$ in $(L^{r'}, l^\infty)$. Then the above gives

$$\|\hat{\mu}\|_{r', \infty} \leq A_\tau \left[\sum_\alpha (|\mu|(K_\alpha))^r \right]^{1/r} = A_\tau \|\mu\|_{M_r}.$$

To prove (i) we must show that

$$\int_G \phi * \check{\phi}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{\phi}(-\hat{x})|^2 \hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} \quad (\phi \in C_c(G)),$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4.5) \quad \int_G \phi * \check{\phi}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})|^2 \hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} \quad (\phi \in C_c(G)).$$

First let us check that the integral on the right side of (4.5) exists. Since $\mu \in (L^{r'}, l^\infty)$ it will exist if $|\hat{\phi}|^2 \in (L^r, l^1)$. Now $\phi \in C_c(G) \subset (L^p, l^q)$ for all $p, q \geq 1$. We claim that $\hat{\phi} \in (L^{q'}, l^{p'})$. To prove this, write $\phi = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Then

$$\|\hat{\phi}_\alpha\|_{q', p'} \leq \|\hat{\phi}_\alpha\|_{\infty, p'} \leq A_p \|\phi_\alpha\|_p$$

and so

$$\sum_\alpha \|\hat{\phi}_\alpha\|_{q', p'} \leq A_p \|\phi\|_{p, 1} < \infty.$$

Since $(L^{q'}, l^{p'})$ is a Banach space, this shows that $\hat{\phi} = \sum \hat{\phi}_\alpha \in (L^{q'}, l^{p'})$. In par-

ticular $\hat{\phi} \in (L^{2r}, l^2)$ and so

$$\sum_{\alpha} \left[\int_{K_{\alpha}} (|\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})|^2)^r d\hat{x} \right]^{1/r} = \sum_{\alpha} \left[\int_{K_{\alpha}} |\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})|^{2r} d\hat{x} \right]^{2/2r} < \infty,$$

i.e., $|\hat{\phi}|^2 \in (L^r, l^1)$.

To prove (4.5) we apply (4.2) to the bounded measure μ_{α} . This gives

$$(4.6) \quad \int_G \overline{\phi * \tilde{\phi}(x)} d\mu_{\alpha}(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} |\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x})|^2 \hat{\mu}_{\alpha}(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} \quad (\phi \in C_c(G)).$$

It is clear from the definition of μ_{α} , and the fact that $\phi * \tilde{\phi}$ has compact support, that the left side of (4.6) converges to the left side of (4.5). The same is true of the right sides because $|\hat{\phi}|^2 \in (L^r, l^1)$ and $\|\hat{\mu}_{\alpha} - \hat{\mu}\|_{r', \infty} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore μ is transformable with Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$.

Remark. It follows from (i) of Theorem 4.2 and a result of Argabright and Gil de Lamadrid [1] that if $\mu \in M_r$ then $\hat{\mu}$ is translation-bounded (see (1.5)). But an easier way of seeing this is to note that $\hat{\mu} \in (L^{r'}, l^{\infty}) \subset (L^1, l^{\infty})$. It is not hard to see that the class of translation-bounded functions is precisely $(L^1, l^{\infty}) = \{f; \sup_{\alpha} \int_{K_{\alpha}} |f| < \infty\}$.

THEOREM 4.3. *If Φ is a continuous linear functional on (C_0, l^q) , then there exists a measure $\mu \in M_{q'}$ such that*

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi(f) = \int_G f d\mu \quad (f \in (C_0, l^q)).$$

Proof. Let $C_{\alpha} = C(K_{\alpha})$, the continuous functions on K_{α} with the usual topology, and let $f_{\alpha} = f|_{K_{\alpha}}$. Now (C_0, l^q) is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace S of $(\Pi_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}, l^q)$ via $f \rightarrow \{f_{\alpha}\}$, where $\{f_{\alpha}\} \in S$ if and only if $f_{\alpha} = f_{\beta}$ on $K_{\alpha} \cap K_{\beta}$. If Φ_{α} is a continuous linear functional on C_{α} , then the Riesz Representation Theorem gives a finite measure μ_{α} on K_{α} such that

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(g) = \int_{K_{\alpha}} g d\mu_{\alpha} \quad \text{whenever } g \in C_{\alpha}.$$

If $\Phi \in (C_0, l^q)^* = (S, l^q)^*$, extend Φ by the Hahn-Banach Theorem to a functional Φ in $(\Pi_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}, l^q)^* = (\Pi_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^*, l^q)$. There exist $\Phi_{\alpha} \in C_{\alpha}^*$ such that

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}(f_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{K_{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} \quad (f \in (C_0, l^q)).$$

Define μ by

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(E \cap K_{\alpha}),$$

the domain of μ consisting of those Borel sets in G for which the series converges. Clearly $\mu_C(E) = \mu(E \cap C)$ is a complex measure whenever C is a compact subset of G .

We give a detailed proof of (4.7) for the case $a = 2$. For general a the proof is similar but there are 2^a groups of terms in the corresponding sums. For $a = 2$ we index $\alpha \in J$ as $\alpha = (m, n, \beta)$ where $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{I}$. If $\{t_\beta; \beta \in I\}$ is a transversal of H in G_1 , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha &= K_{mn\beta} = \{(x, y, t); m \leq x \leq m + 1, n \leq y \leq n + 1, t \in t_\beta + H\} \\ L_\alpha &= L_{mn\beta} = \{(x, y, t); m \leq x < m + 1, n \leq y < n + 1, t \in t_\beta + H\} \\ V_{mn\beta} &= \{(m, y, t); n \leq y < n + 1, t \in t_\beta + H\} \\ H_{mn\beta} &= \{(x, n, t); m \leq x < m + 1, t \in t_\beta + H\} \\ P_{mn\beta} &= \{(m, n, t); t \in t_\beta + H\} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$K_{mn\beta} = L_{mn\beta} \cup V_{m+1,n,\beta} \cup H_{m,n+1,\beta} \cup P_{m+1,n+1,\beta}$$

is a disjoint union.

Now suppose that $E \subset L_{mn\beta}$ is a Borel set. Since $\mu(E) = \sum \mu_\alpha(E \cap K_\alpha)$ and the cosets $t_\beta + H$ are disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &= \mu_{mn\beta}(E) + \mu_{m-1,n,\beta}(E \cap K_{m-1,n,\beta}) + \mu_{m,n-1,\beta}(E \cap K_{m,n-1,\beta}) \\ &\quad + \mu_{m-1,n-1,\beta}(E \cap K_{m-1,n-1,\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(E) &= \mu_{mn\beta}(E) + \mu_{m-1,n,\beta}(E \cap V_{mn\beta}) + \mu_{m,n-1,\beta}(E \cap H_{mn\beta}) \\ &\quad + \mu_{m-1,n-1,\beta}(E \cap P_{mn\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $E \subset L_{mn\beta}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f) &= \sum_{m,n,\beta} \int_{K_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} = \sum \left[\int_{L_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} + \int_{V_{m+1,n+1,\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{H_{m,n+1,\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} + \int_{P_{m+1,n+1,\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} \right] \\ &= \sum \int_{L_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} + \sum \int_{V_{mn\beta}} f_{m-1,n,\beta} d\mu_{m-1,n,\beta} \\ &\quad + \sum \int_{H_{mn\beta}} f_{m,n-1,\beta} d\mu_{m,n-1,\beta} + \sum \int_{P_{mn\beta}} f_{m-1,n-1,\beta} d\mu_{m-1,n-1,\beta} \\ &= \sum \left[\int_{L_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{mn\beta} + \int_{V_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{m-1,n,\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{H_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{m,n-1,\beta} + \int_{P_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu_{m-1,n-1,\beta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(since $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ on $K_\alpha \cap K_\beta$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum \int_{L_{mn\beta}} f_{mn\beta} d\mu \quad (\text{by 4.8}) \\ &= \int f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.4. Let $1 \leq r \leq 2$, and suppose there is a constant M such that

$$(4.9) \quad \left| \int_G f\phi \right| \leq M \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\infty, r'}$$

whenever $\phi \in C_c$. Then f is a Fourier transform, i.e., there exists $\mu \in M_r(\hat{G})$ such that $f = \hat{\mu}$.

Proof. The inequality (4.9) shows that the linear functional

$$T(\hat{\phi}) = \int_G f\phi$$

is continuous on the subspace $\{\hat{\phi} \in (C_0, l'); \phi \in C_c\}$ of (C_0, l') . Use the Hahn-Banach Theorem to extend T to a continuous linear functional on (C_0, l') . Then Theorem 4.3 yields a measure $\mu \in M_r$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \int_G f\phi = \int_G \hat{\phi} d\mu \quad (\phi \in C_c(G), \hat{\phi} \in (C_0, l')).$$

Combining Theorem 3.5 with inequality (4.9) we get a constant B_r such that

$$\left| \int_G f\phi \right| \leq B_r \|\phi\|_{r,1} \quad (\phi \in C_c, \hat{\phi} \in (C_0, l')).$$

This shows that the linear functional $F(\phi) = \int f\phi$ is continuous on a dense subspace of (L^r, l^1) and so $f \in (L^r, l^\infty)$. Consequently (4.10) is valid whenever $\phi \in (L^r, l^1)$.

Given $\psi \in C_c$ we know that $|\check{\psi}|^2 \in (L^r, l^1)$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Applying (4.10) with $\phi(x) = |\check{\psi}(x)|^2$ we obtain

$$\int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\psi * \check{\psi}(\hat{x})} d\mu(\hat{x}) = \int_G |\check{\psi}(x)|^2 f(x) dx$$

and this is, by definition, the statement that $f = \check{\mu}$.

REFERENCES

1. L. Argabright and J. Gil de Lamadrid, *Fourier analysis of unbounded measures on locally compact abelian groups*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 145, Providence, R.I., 1974.
2. A. Benedek and R. Panzone, *The spaces L^p with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 301–324.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de mathématique. Intégration*, Chaps. 1–4 (1952), Chap. 6 (Act. Sci. et Ind. 1175, 1281, Hermann, Paris, 1959).
4. J. L. B. Cooper, *Positive definite functions of a real variable*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 10 (1960), 53–66.
5. W. F. Eberlein, *Characterizations of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, Duke Math. J. 22 (1955), 465–468.
6. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. I (1963), Vol. II (1970) (Springer-Verlag, New York).
7. F. Holland, *Harmonic analysis on amalgams of L^p and l^q* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 295–305.

8. ——— *On the representation of functions as Fourier transforms of unbounded measures*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 30 (1975), 347–365.
9. H. R. Pitt, *On Wiener's general harmonic analysis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 46 (1940), 1–18.
10. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966).
11. I. J. Schoenberg, *A remark on a preceding note by Bochner*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 277–278.
12. J. Stewart, *Unbounded positive definite functions*, Can. J. Math. 21 (1969), 1309–1318.
13. N. Wiener, *On the representation of functions by trigonometric integrals*, Math. Z. 24 (1926), 575–616.
14. ——— *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. of Math. 33 (1932), 1–100.

*McMaster University,
Hamilton, Ontario*