K. MimachiNagoya Math. J.Vol. 116 (1989), 149-161

# CONNECTION PROBLEM IN HOLONOMIC q-DIFFERENCE SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH A JACKSON INTEGRAL OF JORDAN-POCHHAMMER TYPE

#### KATSUHISA MIMACHI

## § 0. Introduction

Fix a complex number q with |q| < 1. Let  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  be n-commuting q-difference operators defined by

$$T_j f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, qx_j, \dots, x_n)$$

for a function f(x),  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (C^*)^n$ . Consider a system of linear q-difference equations in several variables for a matrix valued function  $\Xi(x)$  on  $(C^*)^n$  as follows:

(1) 
$$T_i \Xi(x) = \Xi(x) A_i(x) \qquad (1 \le i \le n).$$

We assume that each  $A_i(x)$  is a matrix valued rational function satisfying the following conditions:

(2) 
$$A_{i}(x)T_{i}A_{i}(x) = A_{i}(x)T_{i}A_{i}(x) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Then (1) defines a holonomic q-difference system. It is known [3] that there is a solution of the system (1) characterized by asymptotic behavior at a boundary point of  $(C^*)^n$ . More precisely, we denote by  $L_{\nu}(x) = \{(q^{\nu_1 m}x_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n m}x_n) | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  the trajectory through  $x \in (C^*)^n$  of the transformation  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \to (q^{\nu_1}y_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n}y_n)$  which is determined by integers  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ . Under Ass 1 and Ass 2 in the direction  $L_{\nu}$  for  $A_{\iota}(x)$  stated in [3] the above solution denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(x)$  is characterized by the following asymptotic behavior along  $L_{\nu}$  at  $m = \infty$ :

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} ar{x}_{
u}(x) &\sim \overline{x}_{1}^{\Lambda_{1}} \overline{x}_{2}^{\Lambda_{2}} & \cdots & \overline{x}_{n}^{\Lambda_{n}} & U_{
u}, \end{aligned} \ & \overline{x}_{1} &= g^{
u_{1}m} x_{1}, & \cdots, & \overline{x}_{n} &= g^{
u_{n}m} x_{n}, \end{aligned} \quad ext{ as } m 
ightarrow \infty,$$

where  $U_{n}$  denotes a certain non-singular lower triangular matrix which

Received August 23, 1988.

is constant, and  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$  denote constant diagonal matrices. We call  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(x)$  the solution determined along the trajectory  $L_{\nu}$ . We take two trajectories  $L_{\nu}$  and  $L_{\mu}$  for two sequences of integers  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ . Then there exists a linear relation between the corresponding solutions  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(x)$  along the trajectories  $L_{\nu}$  and  $L_{\mu}$  respectively as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\nu}(x) = P_{\nu,u}(x)\mathcal{Z}_{u}(x)$$

where  $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$  denotes a matrix valued function satisfying

$$T_j P_{\nu,\mu}(x) = P_{\nu,\mu}(x) \qquad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

The matrix  $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$  is called a *connection matrix* between the two solutions determined by the trajectories  $L_{\nu}$  and  $L_{\mu}$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to solve the connection problem, namely to compute the matrices  $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$ , in holonomic q-difference system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type under a generic condition. Jordan-Pochhammer type is a natural extension of Heine's basic hypergeometric series.

The contents of this paper are as follows. Section 1 gives basic notation in the q-analysis and a short review of a system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type. Section 2 is devoted to give relations among Jackson integrals over suitable q-intervals of the first kind, which play a key role in our argument. We remark that, as a bonus of these relations, a connection formula of the basic hypergeometric series is obtained. In Section 3 we compute asymptotic behavior of the solutions along generic trajectories, and solve the corresponding connection problem.

## § 1. Preliminaries

Fix a complex number q with |q| < 1. Following F.H. Jackson [12], for a nonzero complex number  $c \in C^*$ , define on a half-line [0, c]

$$\int_{\lceil 0,c\rceil} F(t) d_q t = \int_0^c F(t) d_q t = c(1-q) \sum_{n \geq 0} F(cq^n) q^n,$$

which is a q-analogue of the Riemann integral and is called a Jackson integral. We also consider a Jackson integral on a whole line  $[0, \infty(s)]$ 

$$\int_{\lceil 0,\infty(s)\rceil} F(t) d_q t = \int_0^{\infty(s)} F(t) d_q t = s(1-q) \sum_{-\infty \leq n \leq +\infty} F(sq^n) q^n \,,$$

for a complex number  $s \in C^*$ . We shall call [0, c] a *q-interval* of the first

kind or of the second kind according as  $c \in C^*$  or  $c = \infty(s)$ . The following is easily deduced.

$$\int_0^c F(t)d_q t = c \int_0^1 F(ct)d_q t.$$

Here we define a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type by

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}} t^{\alpha_0-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{(t/x_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{\alpha_j}t/x_j)_{\infty}} d_q t,$$

where  $(a)_{\infty} = \prod_{n\geq 0} (1-aq^n)$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$   $(0 \leq j \leq n)$  and  $\mathscr{C}$  denotes a suitable q-interval. The Jackson integral (3) tends to a Jordan-Pochhammer type integral:

$$\int t^{lpha_0-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(1 - rac{t}{x_j}
ight)^{lpha_j} dt$$
 ,

as  $q \to 1$ . In fact, if  $(a)_n = (a)_{\infty}/(aq^n)_{\infty}$ , then we have

$$\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q^{\alpha}t)_{\infty}}=(1-t)^{\alpha},$$

by the q-binomial theorem ([2], [7])

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(a)_n}{(q)_n} x^n = \frac{(ax)_\infty}{(x)_\infty}.$$

The holonomic q-difference system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type is given as follows. Set

$$egin{align} arPhi(t) &= t^{a_0-1} \prod\limits_{0 \leq j \leq n} rac{(t/x_j)_\infty}{(q^{a_j}t/x_j)_\infty} \ , \ arPhi_i(t) &= arPhi(t)/(1-t/x_i) \ . \ \end{matrix}$$

The following lemma has been communicated to the author by Prof. K. Aomoto ([4]):

Lemma 1. 1) A holonomic q-difference system for the function  $\int \Phi d_q t$  can be derived in an explicit way

(5) 
$$T_k \left( \int \Phi_1 d_q t, \cdots, \int \Phi_n d_q t \right) = \left( \int \Phi_1 d_q t, \cdots, \int \Phi_n d_q t \right) A_k \qquad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

Here each  $A_k = (a_{i,j}^{(k)})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix valued function of x with the entries which are rational in x

$$a_{i,j}^{(k)} = q^{a_0} \frac{(1 - q^{a_k}) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i} q^{a_i}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_j} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i}\right)} \qquad (i, j \ne k, i \ne j) \,,$$

$$a_{i,k}^{(k)} = q^{a_0} \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i} q^{a_i}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i}\right)} \qquad (i \ne k) \,,$$

$$a_{i,i}^{(k)} = \frac{1 - \frac{x_i}{qx_k}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_k} q^{a_{k-1}}} + q^{a_0} \frac{\left(1 - q^{a_i}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i} q^{a_i}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_i} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i}\right)} \qquad (i \ne k) \,,$$

$$a_{k,i}^{(k)} = q^{a_0} \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_k}{x_i} q^{a_i}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_j} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_k}{x_i}\right)} \qquad (k \ne j) \,,$$

$$a_{k,k}^{(k)} = q^{a_0} \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \ne k}}} \frac{1 - \frac{x_k}{x_i} q^{a_i}}{1 - \frac{x_k}{x_i}} \,.$$

2) A fundamental solution matrix is given by

$$\Xi(x) = (\Xi_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \left( \int_{w_i} \Phi_j(t) d_q t \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n},$$

where  $w_i$  denotes a q-interval  $[0, x_j]$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

We investigate the behavior of  $\mathcal{Z}(q^{\nu_1 m} x_1, \cdots, q^{\nu_n m} x_n)$  at  $m = \infty$  along all generic trajectories  $L_{\nu}$  determined by the n! inequalities  $\nu_{\sigma(1)} < \nu_{\sigma(2)} < \cdots < \nu_{\sigma(n)}$  where  $\sigma$  run over the symmetric group of order n. If we put  $\overline{x}_1 = x_1 q^{\nu_1 m}, \cdots, \overline{x}_n = x_n q^{\nu_n m}$  for  $x_j \in C^*$ , then the condition  $\nu_{\sigma(1)} < \cdots < \nu_{\sigma(n)}$  is equivalent to the condition  $|\overline{x}_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \cdots \gg |\overline{x}_{\sigma(n)}|$  when m is sufficiently large. Therefore the connection problem is reduced to find a relation between  $\mathcal{Z}(x)$  in the region  $|x_1| \gg \cdots \gg |x_n| \gg 1$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(x)$  in the region  $|x_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \cdots \gg |x_{\sigma(n)}| \gg 1$ , which will be denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}(x)$  respectively.

#### § 2. Relations among Jackson integrals of the first kind

In this section we give relations among Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type, which will be essential in the sequel, and also give a connection formula for the basic hypergeometric series as its corollary. See [7], [15], [16] for related formulae.

We shall frequently use the theta function  $\Theta(t) = (t)_{\infty} (q/t)_{\infty} (q)_{\infty}$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $k=1,\,\cdots,\,n-1$ . Under the condition  $|q^{-a_k-\cdots-a_n}|<|q^{a_0}|<1$  and  $x_i/x_j\neq 1,\,q^{\pm 1},\,q^{\pm 2},\,\cdots$ , we have relations

(6) 
$$\int_{w_{k}} t^{a_{0}-1} \prod_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(t/x_{j})_{\infty}}{(q^{a_{j}}t/x_{j})_{\infty}} d_{q}t + \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} C_{k,l} \int_{w_{l}} t^{a_{0}-1} \sum_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(t/x_{j})_{\infty}}{(q^{a_{j}}t/x_{j})_{\infty}} d_{q}t$$

$$= x_{k}^{a_{0}} \frac{\Theta(q^{1+a_{0}+a_{k}+\cdots+a_{n}})}{\Theta(q^{a_{0}+a_{k}+1}+\cdots+a_{n})} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{\Theta(x_{j}/x_{k})}{\Theta(x_{j}/x_{x}q^{a_{j}})}$$

$$\times \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-a_{0}-a_{k}-\cdots-a_{n}} \prod_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(x_{j}x_{k}^{-1}q^{a_{k}-a_{j}}t)_{\infty}}{(x_{j}x_{k}^{-1}q^{a_{k}t})_{\infty}} d_{q}t,$$

where

$$C_{k,t} = \left(rac{x_k}{x_l}
ight)^{lpha_0+1} rac{\Theta(x_k \cdot x_l^{-1} q^{lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n+1}) \prod\limits_{j=k+1}^n \Theta(x_i/x_k)\Theta(x_l q^{lpha_i+1}/x_i)}{\Theta(q^{lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n+1}) \prod\limits_{\substack{k \leq i \leq n \ i 
eq i}} \Theta(x_i/x_l) \prod\limits_{i=k+1}^n \Theta(x_k q^{lpha_i+1}/x_i)}$$

Remark. If a function  $F(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{\lambda_i} f(x)$ , where f(x) is a meromorphic function on  $(C^*)^n$ , satisfies  $T_j F(x) = F(x)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then we say F(x) to be pseudo-constant, which is also said to be q-periodic by C.R. Adams, G.D. Birkhoff, R.D. Carmichael, and W.J. Trjitzinsky ([1], [5], [6], [9]). The above functions  $C_{k,l}$   $(1 \leq k \leq n-1, k+1 \leq l \leq n)$  are pseudo-constant.

*Proof of Lemma* 2. We show these relations by residue calculus, which is an extension of the method as in our previous paper [13]. Set

$$F(t) = rac{(q^{-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n-1}/t)_{\infty}(q^{lpha_0+lpha_k+\cdots+lpha_n+2}t)_{\infty}}{(1/t)_{\infty}(q^{lpha_k+1}t)_{\infty}}\prod_{l=k+1}^{n}rac{(x_{k}^{-1}x_{l}q^{lpha_k+1-lpha_l}t)_{\infty}}{(x_{k}^{-1}x_{l}q^{lpha_k+1}t)_{\infty}}$$
 ,

and

$$ilde{F}(t) = x_k^{a_0} q^{a_0 + a_k + 1} rac{(1-q)(q)_{\infty}^2}{\Theta(q^{a_0 + a_k + 1 + \dots + a_n + 1})} \prod_{i=k+1}^n rac{\Theta(x_i/x_k)}{\Theta(x_i/x_k q^{a_i})} F(t) \, .$$

Then F(t) is a meromorphic function on  $C^*$ . The residues of  $\tilde{F}(t)$  at each point  $q^{-1-a_k-j}$ ,  $x_k x_l^{-1} q^{-1-a_k-j}$ ,  $q^i$   $(j=0,1,2,\cdots)$  are expressed by the following Jackson integrals.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathop{\rm Res}_{t = q^{-1-\alpha_k-j}} \tilde{F}(t) = - \! \int_{w_k} \! t^{\alpha_0-1} \prod_{i=k}^n \frac{(t/x_i)_\infty}{(q^{\alpha_i}t/x_i)_\infty} d_q t \;, \\ &\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathop{\rm Res}_{t = x_k x_k^{-1} q^{-1-\alpha_k-j}} \! \tilde{F}(t) = - C_{k,t} \! \int_{w_t} \! t^{\alpha_0-1} \prod_{j=k}^n \frac{(t/x_j)_\infty}{(q^{\alpha_j}t/x_i)_\infty} d_q t \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\geq 0} \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{t=q^j} \tilde{F}(t) &= x_k^{\alpha_0} \frac{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n})}{\Theta(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_k+1+\cdots+\alpha_n})} \prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{\Theta(x_j/x_k)}{\Theta(x_j/x_k q^{\alpha_j})} \\ &\qquad \times \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{\alpha_k-\alpha_j} t)_{\infty}}{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{\alpha_k} t)_{\infty}} d_q t \,, \end{split}$$

where  $\operatorname{Res}_{t=x} F(t)$  denotes the residue of a function F(t) at t=x. Therefore it remains to prove

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^{-1-\alpha_k-j}} F(t) + \sum_{l=k+1}^n \sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=x\nu, \tau; -1, \alpha-1-\alpha_k-j} F(t) + \sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^j} F(t) = 0.$$

Here we set two circles  $\mathscr{C}_m$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_m$  for a natural number m as follows:

with the counterclockwise direction. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \operatorname*{Res}_{t=q^{-1-\alpha_{k}-j}} F(t) &+ \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m(l)} \operatorname*{Res}_{t=x_{k}x_{l}^{-1}q^{-1-\alpha_{k}-j}} F(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \operatorname*{Res}_{t=q^{j}} F(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{m}} F(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathscr{C}_{m}} F(t) dt \,, \end{split}$$

where each m(l)  $(l = k + 1, \dots, n)$  is a certain positive integer. And theer exists a positive number M such that

$$|F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})| \leq M |q^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n}|^m$$

for  $0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi$ . Indeed

$$egin{align*} F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi}) &= F(
ho_0 |q|^m e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi}) \ &= (q^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\dots-lpha_n})^m rac{(q^{2-m+lpha_0+lpha_k+\dots+lpha_n} |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_m}{(q^{1-m} |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_m} \ & imes rac{(q^{m-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\dots-lpha_n} / |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty (q^{2+lpha_0+lpha_k+\dots+lpha_n} |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty}{(q^m / |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty (q^{1+lpha_k} |q|^m 
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty} \end{split}$$

$$imes \prod_{l=k+1}^{n} rac{(x_{k}^{-1}x_{l}q^{1+lpha_{k}-lpha_{l}}|q|^{m}
ho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_{\infty}}{(x_{k}^{-1}x_{l}q^{1+lpha_{k}}|q|^{m}
ho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_{\infty}}$$
 .

Hence we get the following estimates.

$$igg|rac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{arepsilon_m}F(t)dtigg|\leq igg|rac{
ho_m}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}arphi})darphiigg|\leq 
ho_m \max_{0\leqarphi\leq 2\pi}|F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}arphi})| \ \leq 
ho_m M|q^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n}|^m\leq 
ho_0 M|q^{-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n}|^m\,.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\mathscr{E}_m}F(t)dt\longrightarrow 0 \qquad (m\to\infty),$$

when  $|q^{-a_0-a_k-\cdots-a_n}|$  is less than one. By the same argument we can show

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\tilde{g}_m} F(t)dt \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (m \to \infty),$$

when  $|q^{a_0}|$  is less than one. This completes the proof.

As a corollary of Lemma 2, a connection formula of the basic hypergeometric series, which tends to that of hypergeometric series as  $q \to 1$ , can be deduced. To state the corollary, we recall the definitions of the basic hypergeometric series  ${}_{2}\varphi_{1}$  and a q-analogue of the gamma function  $\Gamma_{q}$ :

$${}_2arphi_1(lpha,eta,\Upsilon;x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} rac{(q^lpha)_n (q^eta)_n}{(q^r)_n (q)_n} x^n ,$$
  $\Gamma_q(x) = rac{(q)_\infty}{(q^x)_\infty} (1-q)^{1-x} .$ 

Refer to [2], [5], [6], [11] for details.

COROLLARY.

*Proof.* Consider the case of k=1 and n=2 in (6). Putting  $\alpha_0=1+\beta-\gamma$ ,  $\alpha_1=\gamma-1-\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2=-\beta$  and  $\alpha_1\cdot \alpha_2^{-1}=q^{\gamma-\alpha}\alpha^{-1}$ , one has

$$\int_0^1 t^{\alpha_0-1} \frac{(qt)_{\infty}(q^{\beta}xt)_{\infty}}{(q^{r-\alpha}t)_{\infty}(qxt)_{\infty}} d_q t$$

$$egin{aligned} &=rac{\Theta(q^{eta+1-7})\Theta(q^{eta}x)}{\Theta(q^{lpha-eta})\Theta(x)}\int_{0}^{1}t^{eta-7}rac{(qt)_{\infty}(q^{7-lpha+1}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}{(q^{7-lpha}t)_{\infty}(q^{7+1-lpha-eta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}d_{q}t\ &+rac{\Theta(q^{1-eta})\Theta(q^{lpha}x)}{\Theta(q^{1+lpha-eta})\Theta(x)}\int_{0}^{1}t^{lpha-1}rac{(qt)_{\infty}(q^{2-eta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}{(q^{1-eta}t)_{\infty}(q^{7+1-lpha-eta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}d_{q}t\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Jackson integral representation of the basic hypergeometric series

$${}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(lpha,eta,lpha;x) = rac{arGamma_q(oldsymbol{\gamma})}{arGamma_q(lpha)arGamma_q(oldsymbol{\gamma}-lpha)} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} t^{\scriptscriptstyle lpha-1} rac{(qt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}(q^{eta}xt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}{(q^{\scriptscriptstyle 7-lpha}t)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}(xt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}} d_q t \, ,$$

we obtain the required relation.

#### § 3. Solution to the connection problem

Let  $s = \max\{|x_2/x_1|, |x_3/x_2|, \dots, |x_n/x_{n-1}|\}$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have the following estimates, which are easily shown by the q-binomial theorem (4).

1) For 
$$l = k, \dots, n$$
,

$$\int_{w_k} \Phi(t) d_q t = \int_{w_l} t^{a_0-1} \prod_{j=k}^n \frac{(t/x_j)_\infty}{(q^{a_j}t/x_j)_\infty} d_q t (1 + O(s)) \qquad (s o 0) \, .$$

2)

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n})}{\Theta(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n})} \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \prod_{j=k}^n \frac{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{a_k-\alpha_j} t)_{\infty}}{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{a_k} t)_{\infty}} d_q t \\ &= \frac{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n})}{\Theta(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n})} \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q^{a_k} t)_{\infty}} d_q t (1+O(s)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_k) \Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n)} q^{-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} (1+O(s) \quad (s\to 0) \,. \end{split}$$

By the above estimates 1), the left hand side of (6) is

$$\left(\int_{w_k} \Phi d_q t + \sum_{l=k+1}^n C_{k,l} \int_{w_l} \Phi d_q t\right) (1 + O(s)) \qquad (s \to 0),$$

and by 2) the right hand side of (6) is

$$rac{\Gamma_q(1+lpha_k)\Gamma_q(lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(1+lpha_0+lpha_k+\cdots+lpha_n)}q^{-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n} \ imes x_k^{lpha_0}\prod_{j=k+1}^nrac{\Theta(x_j/x_k)}{\Theta(x_j/x_kq^{lpha_j})}(1+O(arepsilon)) \quad (s o 0)\,.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 3. Let  $k=1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\tilde{W}_k$  be the q-interval  $w_k+\sum_{l=k+1}^n C_{k,l}w_l$ . Then we have

$$egin{aligned} \int_{ ilde{W}_k} \varPhi(t) d_q t &= ilde{C}_k rac{ arGamma_q (1 + lpha_k) arGamma_q (lpha_0 + lpha_{k+1} + \cdots + lpha_n)}{ arGamma_q (1 + lpha_0 + lpha_k + \cdots + lpha_n)} \, q^{-lpha_0 - lpha_k - \cdots - lpha_n} \ & imes x_k^{lpha_0} \prod\limits_{j=k+1}^n \left(rac{x_k}{x_j}
ight)^{lpha_j} (1 + O(s)) \qquad (s o 0) \, , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$ilde{C}_k = \prod_{j=k+1}^n \left( rac{x_j}{x_k} 
ight)^{a_j} rac{\Theta(x_j/x_k)}{\Theta(x_j/x_k q^{a_j})} \ .$$

*Remark.* The functions  $\tilde{C}_k$   $(1 \le k \le n-1)$  are pseudo-constant.

By using Lemma 3, we give the asymptotic behavior of fundamental solutions of the system (5) in the region  $|x_1|\gg \cdots \gg |x_n|\gg 1$ . In fact, if the variables  $\alpha_j$  and  $x_j$  are changed by  $\alpha_j-1$  and  $x_jq^{-1}$  respectively, then  $\int_{w_l} \Phi(t) d_q t$  is transformed into  $\int_{w_l} \Phi_j(t) d_q t$  for  $1\leq j,\ l\leq n$ , and  $\tilde{C}_k$ ,  $C_{k,l}$  remain invariant. Hence if we put  $\tilde{W}_n=w_n$ , we have

(7) 
$$\begin{bmatrix}
\int_{\bar{W}_{1}} \Phi_{1} d_{q} t, & \cdots, & \int_{\bar{W}_{1}} \Phi_{n} d_{q} t \\
& \cdots & \cdots \\
\int_{\bar{W}_{n}} \Phi_{1} d_{q} t, & \cdots, & \int_{\bar{W}_{n}} \Phi_{n} d_{q} t
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & C_{1,2} & \cdots & C_{1,n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ddots & C_{n-1,n} \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\int_{w_{1}} \Phi_{1} d_{q} t, & \cdots, & \int_{w_{1}} \Phi_{n} d_{q} t \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{1} d_{q} t, & \cdots, & \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{n} d_{q} t
\end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$egin{aligned} ilde{C}_k rac{arGamma_q (1+lpha_k) arGamma_q (lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n)}{arGamma_q (1+lpha_0+lpha_k+\cdots+lpha_n)} q^{1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n} \ & imes x_k^{lpha_0} \prod\limits_{l=k+1}^n \left(rac{x_k}{x_l}
ight)^{lpha_l} \ (1 \leq k \leq n-1, \ 1 \leq j \leq k-1) \,, \ & ilde{C}_k rac{arGamma_q (lpha_k) arGamma_q (lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n)}{arGamma_q (lpha_0+lpha_k+\cdots+lpha_n)} q^{1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n} \ & imes (q^{-1}x_k)^{lpha_0} \prod\limits_{l=k+1}^n \left(rac{x_k}{qx_l}
ight)^{lpha_l} \ (1 \leq k \leq n-1, \ j=k) \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\tilde{W}_k} \Phi_j d_q t \sim \begin{cases} \tilde{C}_k \frac{\Gamma_q (1+\alpha_k) \Gamma_q (\alpha_0 + \alpha_{k+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n - 1)}{\Gamma_q (\alpha_0 + \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_n)} q^{(-\alpha_0 - \alpha_k - \cdots - \alpha_n) + \alpha_j} \\ \times x_j x_k^{\alpha_0 - 1} \prod_{l=k+1}^n \left(\frac{x_k}{x_l}\right)^{\alpha_l} & (1 \leq k \leq n-1, \ k+1 \leq j \leq n), \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_q (\alpha_0) \Gamma_q (\alpha_n + 1)}{\Gamma_q (\alpha_0 + \alpha_n + 1)} (q x_n)^{\alpha_0} \qquad (k = n, \ 1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_q (\alpha_0) \Gamma_q (\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q (\alpha_0 + \alpha_n)} x_n^{\alpha_0} \qquad (k = n, \ j = n).$$

The matrix on the left hand side of (7), which will be denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}$ , may be taken as a fundamental solution of system (5) in the region  $|x_1| \gg \cdots \gg |x_n| \gg 1$ . Then the matrix expressed by

$$(8) \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) \cdots \sigma(C_{1,n}) \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \sigma(C_{n-1,n}) \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{w_{\sigma(1)}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \\ & \cdots & \ddots & \\ \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \end{bmatrix}$$

defines a fundamental solution in the region  $|x_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \cdots \gg |x_{\sigma(n)}| \gg 1$ , where  $\sigma$  is an element of the symmetric group of order n. By the expressions (7) and (8), we can get the connection matrix  $P_{\sigma,e}(x)$  between the solutions  $\mathcal{E}_{e}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(x)$ . Indeed,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\sigma}(x) &= egin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) & \cdots & \sigma(C_{1,n}) \ 1 & \ddots & dots \ 0 & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} \int_{w_{\sigma}(1)}^{\Phi_{1}} d_{q}t, & \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma}(1)}^{\Phi_{n}} d_{q}t \ & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \ \int_{w_{\sigma}(n)}^{\Phi_{1}} d_{q}t, & \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma}(n)}^{\Phi_{n}} d_{q}t \end{pmatrix} \ &= egin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) & \cdots & \sigma(C_{1,n}) \ & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots \ & \ddots & & \sigma(C_{n-1,n}) \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \ & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot S_{\sigma} \cdot egin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{1,2} & \cdots & C_{1,n} \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}(x) \,, \end{array}$$

where  $S_{\sigma}$  is a permutation defined by

$$S_{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma(1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\sigma(n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the action of  $\sigma$  on  $C_{i,j}$  is defined by

$$\sigma(C_{i,j}) = C_{i,j}(\alpha_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}; x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Hence we finally come to the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem. The connection matrix between the solution  $\mathcal{Z}_e$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_s$  is given by

Remark. The connection matrices  $P_{\sigma,e}$  satisfy the following cocycle conditions:  $P_{\sigma,\tau}=P_{\sigma,e}P_{\tau,e}^{-1}$ ,  $P_{\sigma\nu,e}=\sigma(P_{\tau,e})P_{\sigma,e}$  for arbitrary two permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

## § 4. Appendix

During the preparation of this paper K. Aomoto suggested to the author the following question: to evaluate the integral (3) over an arbitrary q-interval  $\mathscr{C} = [0, \infty(s)]$  of the second kind in terms of the integrals (3) over  $w_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . The answer is the following.

Theorem. Under the condition  $|q^{-\alpha_1-\cdots-\alpha_n}| < |q^{\alpha_0}| < 1$ , we have

$$\int_{\llbracket 0,\infty(s)
brace} arPhi(t) d_q t = \sum_{l=1}^n R_l \int_{\llbracket 0,x_l
brace} arPhi(t) d_q t$$
 ,

where

$$R_{\iota} = \left(rac{s}{qx_{\iota}}
ight)^{lpha_0-1} rac{arTheta(q^{lpha_l+1})arDelta(q^{lpha_0+\cdots+lpha_n-2}sx_{\iota}^{-1})}{arTheta(q^{lpha_0+\cdots+lpha_n-1})arDelta(q^{-1}sx_{\iota}^{-1})} \prod_{j=1}^{n} rac{arDelta(s/x_{j})}{arDelta(q^{lpha_j}s/x_{j})} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j\neq l}}^{n} rac{arDelta(x_{j}x_{\iota}^{-1}q^{-lpha_j})}{arDelta(x_{j}x_{\iota}^{-1})}$$
 ,

and

$$\Phi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(t/x_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{a_j}t/x_j)_{\infty}}.$$

Proof. Set

$$F(t) = \frac{(q^{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1}/t)_{\infty} (q^{2 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_n} t)_{\infty}}{(1/t)_{\infty} (qt)_{\infty}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(qx_j/q^{\alpha_j} st)_{\infty}}{(qx_j/st)_{\infty}}$$

and

$$ilde{F}(t) = rac{s^{a_0}(1-q)(q)_{\infty}^2}{(q^{a_0+a_1+\cdots+a_n-1})_{\infty}(q^{-a_0-a_1-\cdots-a_n+2})_{\infty}} \prod_{j=1}^n rac{\Theta(s/x_j)}{\Theta(sq^{a_j}/x_j)} F(t) \ .$$

Then the residues of  $\tilde{F}(t)$  are expressed by the following Jackson integrals.

$$\sum_{-\infty < i < +\infty} \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{t=q^i} ilde{F}(t) = \int_{\llbracket 0, \infty(s) 
brace} ilde{\Phi}(t) d_q t \, ,$$
  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{t=qxt/sq^i} ilde{F}(t) = -R_l \int_{\llbracket 0, x_l 
brace} ilde{\Phi}(t) d_q t \, ,$ 

where  $\operatorname{Res}_{t=x} F(t)$  denotes the residue of a function F(t) at t=x. Therefore it remains to prove

$$\sum_{-\infty < i < +\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^i} F(t) + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=qx_l/sq^i} F(t) = 0.$$

We can show it in a similar way to that of Lemma 2; i.e. estimates of the integration of the function F(t) on suitable cycles.

#### Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kazuhiko Aomoto for valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. R. Adams, On the linear ordinary q-difference equation, Ann. of Math., 30 (1929), 195-205.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, "q-Series: Their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra", CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math. 66 AMS, 1986.
- [3] K. Aomoto, A note on holonomic q-difference system, Algebraic Analysis I, ed. by
   M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, Acad. Press, 1988, 25-28.
- [4] —, private communications with the author.
- [5] R. Askey, The q-gamma and q-beta functions, Applicable Anal., 8 (1980), 346-359.
- [6] —, Ramanujan's extensions of the gamma and beta functions, Amer. Math. Monthly, 87 (1980), 346-359.
- [7] W. N. Bailey, "Generalized Hypergeometric Series", Cambridge University Press, 1935.
- [8] G. D. Birkhoff, The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts and Sci., 49 (1914), 521-568.

- [9] R. D. Carmichael, The general theory of linear q-difference equations, Amer. J. Math., 34 (1912), 147-168.
- [10] E. Heine, Uber die Reihe...., J. Reine Angew. Math., 32 (1846), 210-212.
- [11] —, Untersuchungen über die Reihe..., J. Reine Angew. Math., 34 (1847), 285–328.
- [12] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 11 (1910), 193-203.
- [13] K. Mimachi, A proof of Ramanujan's identity by use of loop integrals, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 19 (1988), 1490-1493.
- [14] L. Pochhammer, Ueber eine lineare Differentialgleichung n-ter Ordnung mit einem endlichen singulären Punkte, J. Reine Angew. Math., 108 (1891), 50-87.
- [15] L. J. Slater, "Generalized Hypergeometric Functions", Cambridge University Press, 1966.
- [16] W. J. Trjitzinsky, Analytic theory of linear q-difference equations, Acta Math., 61 (1933), 1-38.
- [17] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, "A Course of Modern Analysis", The Macmillan Company, 1943.

Department of Mathematics School of Science Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan