# ON COUNTABILITY OF POINT-FINITE FAMILIES OF SETS 

HEIKKI J. K. JUNNILA

1. Introduction. It is well known that in a separable topological space every point-finite family of open subsets is countable. In the following we are going to show that both in $\sigma$-finite measure-spaces and in topological spaces satisfying the countable chain condition, point-finite families consisting of "large" subsets are countable.

Notation and terminology. Let $A$ be a set. The family consisting of all (finite) subsets of $A$ is denoted by $\mathscr{P}(A)(\mathscr{F}(A))$. Let $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{P}(A)$ be a family of subsets of $A$. The sets $\cup\{L \mid L \in \mathscr{L}\}$ and $\cap\{L \mid L \in \mathscr{L}\}$ are denoted by $\cup \mathscr{L}$ and $\cap \mathscr{L}$, respectively. We say that the family $\mathscr{L}$ is point-finite (disjoint) if for each $a \in A$, the family $\{L \in \mathscr{L} \mid a \in L\}$ has at most finitely many members (at most one member).

Throughout the following, we let $X$ denote some set (the "basic" set).
For the meaning of terminology and notation used without definition in this paper, see [7] and [3].
2. Countability of point-finite families. We start by making the notion of a "large" set explicit; this is best done by considering systems of "small" sets.

Definition 1. A family $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ is a $\sigma$-ideal if the following conditions are satisfied: (i) if $N \in \mathscr{N}$ and $K \subset N$, then $K \in \mathscr{N}$; (ii) if $N_{n} \in \mathscr{N}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} N_{n} \in \mathscr{N}$.

Let $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ be a measure-space. Denote by $\mathscr{N}_{\mu}$ the family formed by all those sets which are contained in some $A \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\mu(A)=0$. Then $\mathscr{N}_{\mu}$ is the $\sigma$-ideal of $\mu$-negligible sets. In this case the "large" subsets of $X$ are the sets with positive outer measure with respect to $\mu$.

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. Denote by $\mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ the family formed by all those sets which are contained in some countable union of nowhere-dense subsets of the space $(X, \tau)$. Then $\mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ is the $\sigma$-ideal of the subsets of 1 . category and the "large" subsets of $X$ are the sets of 2 . category (with respect to $\tau$ ).

To state our basic lemma, we need the concept of separability of a family of sets with respect to a $\sigma$-ideal.
Definition 2. Let $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ be a $\sigma$-ideal. We say that a family $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ is $\mathscr{N}$-separable if $\mathscr{L}$ has a countable subfamily $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ such that $L \sim \cup \mathscr{L}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{N}$
for each $L \in \mathscr{L}$. If every subfamily of $\mathscr{L}$ is $\mathscr{N}$-separable, then we say that $\mathscr{L}$ is hereditarily $\mathcal{N}$-separable.

Countable families of sets are obviously hereditarily $\mathcal{N}$-separable with respect to any $\sigma$-ideal $\mathscr{N}$; the following result shows that the converse is true for point-finite families consisting of "large" sets.

Lemma. Let $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ be a $\sigma$-ideal. Then every hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable and point-finite subfamily of $\mathscr{P}(X) \sim \mathscr{N}$ is countable.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable and point-finite subfamily of $\mathscr{P}(X) \sim \mathscr{N}$. Let $\mathscr{L}_{0}=\emptyset$ and define the subfamilies $\mathscr{L}_{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, of $\mathscr{L}$ inductively as follows: if the families $\mathscr{L}_{k}$ have been defined for $k<n$, then we take for $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ some countable subfamily $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{L} \sim \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{L}_{k}$ such that $L \sim \cup \mathscr{L}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{N}$ for each $L \in \mathscr{L} \sim \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{L}_{k}$; such countable subfamilies exist, since the family $\mathscr{L}$ is hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable.

To show that $\mathscr{L}$ is countable, it is enough to show that $\mathscr{L}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathscr{L}_{n}$. Assume on the contrary that the family $\mathscr{L} \sim \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathscr{L}_{n}$ is non-empty and let $L$ be a set of this family. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $L \in \mathscr{L} \backsim \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{L}_{k}$ and it follows from the definition of the family $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ that the set $L_{n}=L \sim \cup \mathscr{L}_{n}$ belongs to the $\sigma$-ideal $\mathscr{N}$. We have $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} L_{n} \in \mathscr{N}$ and it follows that we must have $L \sim \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} L_{n} \neq \emptyset$, since no set of $\mathscr{L}$ belongs to $\mathscr{N}$. Let $x \in L \sim \cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} L_{n}$. Then we have $x \in \cup \mathscr{L}_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$; this, however, is a contradiction as the family $\mathscr{L}$ is point-finite and as $\mathscr{L}_{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, are mutually disjoint subfamilies of $\mathscr{L}$. It follows that $\mathscr{L}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathscr{L}_{n}$; the family $\mathscr{L}$ is thus countable.

By using this lemma, we can show that certain countability conditions are equivalent for subfamilies of $\sigma$-algebras. Besides hereditary $\mathscr{N}$-separability, we are going to consider the following condition.

Definition 3. A family $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ is chain-countable if every disjoint subfamily of $\mathscr{L}$ is countable.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ be a $\sigma$-ideal and let $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ be a $\sigma$-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable.
(ii) The family $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}$ is chain-countable.
(iii) Every point-finite subfamily of $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}$ is countable.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from the lemma and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivially true. It remains to prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Assume that the family $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}$ is chain-countable. To show that $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable, assume on the contrary that $\mathscr{A}$ has a subfamily, say $\mathscr{B}$, which is not $\mathscr{N}$-separable. We use transfinite induction to construct a subfamily $\left\{B_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\Omega\right\}$ of $\mathscr{B}$, where $\Omega$ is the smallest uncountable ordinal. As $\mathscr{B}$ is not $\mathscr{N}$-separable, there exists $B_{1} \in \mathscr{B}$ with $B_{1} \notin \mathscr{N}$. Assume that for $\alpha<\Omega$, the sets $B_{\beta}, \beta<\alpha$, have been defined. The subfamily $\left\{B_{\beta} \mid \beta<\alpha\right\}$ is countable
and it follows, since $\mathscr{B}$ is not $\mathscr{N}$-separable, that there exists $B_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}$ such that we have $B_{\alpha} \sim \cup_{\beta<\alpha} B_{\beta} \notin \mathscr{N}$. By the principle of transfinite induction, the foregoing defines the sets $B_{\alpha}, \alpha<\Omega$. For each $\alpha<\Omega$, the set $C_{\alpha}=B_{\alpha} \sim$ $\cup_{\beta<\alpha} B_{\beta}$ belongs to the family $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathcal{N}$; this, however, contradicts our assumption, since $\left\{C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\Omega\right\}$ is an uncountable disjoint family. It follows that the family $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditarily $\mathscr{N}$-separable.

Let $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ be a measure-space. A set $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be of $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-measure if we have $A \subset \cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n}$ for some sets $A_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ with finite $\mu$-measure; if the basic set $X$ is of $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-measure, then we say that the measurespace $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ is $\sigma$-finite. $\sigma$-finiteness of the space $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ is closely related to chain-countability of the family $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\mu}$. On the one hand we see, by considering a maximal disjoint subfamily of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of sets of finite positive measure, that if $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\mu}$ is chain-countable, then there exists a set $A \in \mathscr{A}$ of $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-measure such that for each $B \in \mathscr{A}$ with $B \cap A=\emptyset$, we have either $\mu(B)=0$ or $\mu(B)=\infty$. On the other hand, it is well known and easily seen that if the measure-space $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ is $\sigma$-finite, then the family $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\mu}$ is chain-countable; hence we see that $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ is $\sigma$-finite if and only if $\mathscr{A} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\mu}$ is chain-countable and every set of infinite measure contains a set of positive finite measure.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.
Corollary. In a $\sigma$-finite measure space, every point-finite family consisting of measurable sets of positive measure is countable.

For a related result, see [4], Lemma 3.
We now turn to consider countability of point-finite families of subsets of topological spaces. To be able to use the result of Theorem 1 in this setting, we have to consider some suitable $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of a topological space. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. For all $A \subset X$ and $B \subset X$, denote by $A \Delta B$ the symmetric difference $(A \sim B) \cup(B \sim A)$ of $A$ and $B$. A subset $A$ of $X$ is said to have the Baire property if we have $A=O \Delta N$ for some $O \in \tau$ and $N \in \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$. The family consisting of all subsets of $X$ with the Baire property is denoted by $\mathscr{B}_{\tau}$. It is well-known that the family $\mathscr{B}_{\tau}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra (see e.g. [7]).

Theorem 2. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space such that the family $\tau \sim \mathcal{N}_{\tau}$ is chain-countable. Then every point-finite subfamily of $\mathscr{B}_{\tau} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ is countable.

Proof. By Theorem 1, it is enough to show that the family $\mathscr{B}_{\tau} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ is chaincountable. Assume on the contrary that there exists a disjoint family $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{B}_{\tau} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ such that $\mathscr{B}$ is uncountable. We can represent some subfamily of $\mathscr{B}$ in the form $\left\{B_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\Omega\right\}$ so that $B_{\alpha} \neq B_{\beta}$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. For each $\alpha<\Omega$, there are sets $O_{\alpha} \in \tau$ and $N_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}_{\tau}$ so that $B_{\alpha}=O_{\alpha} \Delta N_{\alpha}$. Let $U_{\alpha}=U_{\beta<\alpha} O_{\beta}$ and $V_{\alpha}=O_{\alpha} \sim \bar{U}_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha<\Omega$. Then $\left\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\Omega\right\}$ is an uncountable disjoint subfamily of $\tau$. We show that none of the sets $V_{\alpha}, \alpha<\Omega$, belong
to the $\sigma$-ideal $\mathcal{N}_{r}$. Let $\alpha$ be an ordinal, $\alpha<\Omega$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\alpha} \subset O_{\alpha} \cup N_{\alpha}=V_{\alpha} \cup\left(O_{\alpha} \cap \bar{U}_{\alpha}\right) \cup N_{\alpha} \subset V_{\alpha} \cup\left(O_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \cup\left(\bar{U}_{\alpha} \sim U_{\alpha}\right) \cup N_{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

For each $\beta<\alpha$, we have

$$
O_{\alpha} \cap O_{\beta} \subset\left(B_{\alpha} \cup N_{\alpha}\right) \cap\left(B_{\beta} \cup N_{\beta}\right) \subset N_{\alpha} \cup N_{\beta}
$$

It follows that $O_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha} \subset \cup_{\beta \leqq \alpha} N_{\beta}$. As there are at most countably many ordinals less than $\alpha$, it follows from the foregoing that $O_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$. On the other hand, as $U_{\alpha}$ is an open set, the set $\bar{U}_{\alpha} \sim U_{\alpha}$ is closed and nowhere-dense so that we have $\bar{U}_{\alpha} \sim U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}_{\tau}$. It now follows by using (1) that we have $B_{\alpha} \subset V_{\alpha} \cap N$ for some $N \in \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$; since $B_{\alpha} \notin \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$, it follows that $V_{\alpha} \notin \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$. We have shown that $V_{\alpha} \in \sim \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ for each $\alpha<\Omega$; this, however, is a contradiction since the family $\mathscr{T} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\tau}$ was assumed to be chain-countable. It follows that the family $\mathscr{B}_{\tau} \sim \mathscr{N}_{\tau}$ is chain-countable.

We say that a topological space $(X, \tau)$ satisfies the countable chain condition (ccc) if the family $\tau$ is chain-countable. Theorem 2 generalizes some results in [1] and [10] on countability of point-finite families of open subsets of spaces satisfying ccc.
3. Uncountability of point-finite families. In this section we give an example of a metacompact topological space which satisfies ccc but is not Lindelöf; such a space obviously has uncountable point-finite families of open subsets.

Example. A normal metacompact $\sigma$-space ( $X, \tau$ ) which satisfies ccc but is not Lindelöf.
(Metacompact spaces are called weakly paracompact in [3]. A topological space is a $\sigma$-space if it has a $\sigma$-discrete family of closed subsets such that every open set is the union of some sets of this family.)

Construction. Let $A_{1}$ be some uncountable set. Define the sets $A_{n}$, $n=2,3, \ldots$, inductively by the formula $A_{n+1}=\mathscr{F}\left(\mathscr{P}\left(A_{n}\right)\right), n \in \mathbf{N}$. Denote the set $\cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n}$ by $X$. For every $x \in X$, denote by $n(x)$ that number $n \in \mathbf{N}$ for which we have $x \in A_{n}$. For all $x \in X$ and $z \in A_{n(x)+1}$, let

$$
z_{x}=\{a \in z \mid x \in a\}
$$

and let $P_{z}(x)=\left\{u \in A_{n(x)+1} \mid u \cap z=z_{x}\right\}$. It is not difficult to show that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all $x, y \in A_{n}$ and $z, u \in A_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{z}(x) \cap P_{u}(x)=P_{z \cup u}(x) ;  \tag{1}\\
& P_{z}(x) \cap P_{u}(y)=\emptyset \text { if and only if either } z_{x} \cap\left(u \sim u_{y}\right) \neq \emptyset \text { or } \\
& u_{y} \cap\left(z \sim z_{x}\right) \neq \emptyset .
\end{align*}
$$

We define a topology $\tau$ on $X$ as follows: a set $O \subset X$ belongs to $\tau$ if and only
if for each $x \in O$, we have $P_{z}(x) \subset O$ for some $z \in A_{n(x)+1}$. Condition (1) above shows that the family $\tau$ is indeed a topology.

Verification of properties. (i) ( $X, \tau$ ) is normal. Let $S$ and $F$ be closed subsets of $X$ such that $S \cap F=\emptyset$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $S_{n}=S \cap A_{n}$ and $F_{n}=F \cap A_{n}$. We define inductively mutually disjoint subsets $R_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ of $A_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ as follows. We let $R_{1}=S_{1}$ and $Q_{1}=F_{1}$. If the sets $R_{n-1}$ and $Q_{n-1}$ have been defined, then we let $z=\left\{R_{n-1}, Q_{n-1}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad R_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\cup\left\{P_{z}(x) \mid x \in R_{n-1}\right\}, \\
& Q_{n}^{\prime}=\cup\left\{P_{z}(x) \mid x \in Q_{n-1}\right\} \text { and, finally, } R_{n}=\left(R_{n}^{\prime} \sim F_{n}\right) \cup S_{n} \text { and } \\
& Q_{n}=\left(Q_{n}^{\prime} \sim S_{n}\right) \cup F_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $R_{n} \cap Q_{n}=\emptyset$, let $v$ be a point of $R_{n}$. If $v \in S_{n}$, then $v \notin Q_{n}$, since $S_{n} \cap F_{n}=\emptyset$. Assume that $v \in R_{n}{ }^{\prime} \sim F_{n}$. Then we have $v \in P_{z}(x)$ for some $x \in R_{n-1}$ and it follows that we have $R_{n-1} \in v$. As $R_{n-1} \cap Q_{n-1}=\emptyset$, we have $R_{n-1} \in \sim w$ for each $w \in Q_{n}{ }^{\prime}$; hence we have $v \notin Q_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ and thus, further, $v \notin Q_{n}$. We have shown that $R_{n} \cap Q_{n}=\emptyset$. When we let $O=\cup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} R_{k}$ and $U=\cup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} Q_{k}, O$ and $U$ are open sets containing $S$ and $F$, respectively, and as we have $R_{k} \cap Q_{k}=\emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, the sets $O$ and $U$ are disjoint.
(ii) $(X, \tau)$ is a metacompact $\sigma$-space. To show this, we construct a sequence $\left(V_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of neighborhoods for each $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$. The set $A_{n(x)}$ is infinite and hence we can find a sequence $\left(B_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of distinct subsets of $A_{n(x)}$ such that $B_{1}(x)=\{x\}$ and $x \in B_{n}(x)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $z(x, n)=\left\{B_{1}(x), \ldots, B_{n}(x)\right\}$ and further, let $R_{n, 1}(x)=\{x\} \cup P_{z(x, n)}(x)$. For all $y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we now define the sets $R_{n, k}(y)$, for $k>1$, inductively by the formula

$$
R_{n, k}(y)=\bigcup\left\{R_{n, 1}(x) \mid x \in R_{n, k-1}(y)\right\}
$$

and, further, we denote by $V_{n}(y)$ the open neighborhood $\cup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} R_{n, k}(y)$ of $y$.
To show that $(X, \tau)$ is metacompact, we show first that for each $x \in X$, the set $C(x)=\left\{y \in X \mid x \in V_{1}(y)\right\}$ is finite. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and assume that we have shown that the sets $C(x), x \in A_{n}$, are finite. Let $x \in A_{n+1}$ and let $C^{\prime}(x)=\left\{r \in A_{n} \mid x \in R_{1,1}(r)\right\}$. For each $r \in C^{\prime}(x)$, we have $\{r\} \in x$ and it follows, since $x$ is a finite set, that the set $C^{\prime}(x)$ is finite. It is easily seen that we have $V_{1}(y) \cap C^{\prime}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in C(x) \sim\{x\}$; hence, we have $C(x) \sim$ $\{x\} \subset \cup\left\{C(r) \mid r \in C^{\prime}(x)\right\}$. By the assumption that we have made, each of the sets $C(r), r \in C^{\prime}(x)$, is finite; from this it follows by the finiteness of the set $C^{\prime}(x)$ that the set $C(x)$ is finite. We have shown that if the sets $C(x)$, $x \in A_{n}$, are finite, then so are the sets $C(x), x \in A_{n+1}$. As we have $C(x)=\{x\}$ for each $x \in A_{1}$, it follows that $C(x)$ is a finite set for every $x \in X$. Now, if $\mathscr{U}$ is an open cover of $(X, \tau)$ and for each $x \in X, U(x)$ a set of $\mathscr{U}$ containing $x$,
then it follows from the foregoing that the open refinement

$$
\left\{U(x) \cap V_{1}(x) \mid x \in X\right\}
$$

of $\mathscr{U}$ is point-finite; the space $(X, \tau)$ is thus metacompact.
To show that $(X, \tau)$ is a $\sigma$-space, it is clearly enough to show that $X$ can be represented in the form $X=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_{n}$ so that the sets $F_{n}$ are closed and discrete in $(X, \tau)$. Let $F_{1}=A_{1}$ and for each $n>1$, denote by $F_{n}$ the set consisting of all those elements of $X \sim A_{1}$ which have less than $n$ elements. As the elements of $X \sim A_{1}$ are finite sets, we see that $X=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_{n}$. That the sets $F_{n}$ are closed and discrete is seen by observing that for every $x \in X$, we have $V_{n}(x) \cap$ $F_{n} \subset\{x\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$; this is clear for $n=1$, since

$$
V_{1}(x) \cap \cup_{n \leqq n(x)} A_{n}=\{x\}
$$

and for $n>1$, it is a consequence of the fact that we have

$$
V_{n}(x) \sim\{x\}=\bigcup\left\{P_{2(y, n)}(y) \mid y \in V_{n}(x)\right\}
$$

(note that for each $y \in X$, we have $P_{z(y, n)}(y) \cap F_{n}=\emptyset$, since every element of the set $P_{z(y, n)}(y)$ contains the $n$-element set $\left.z(y, n)\right)$. It follows from the foregoing that ( $X, \tau$ ) is a $\sigma$-space (and also a $T_{1}$-space).
(iii) $(X, \tau)$ satisfies ccc. Assume on the contrary that there exists an uncountable disjoint family $\mathscr{O}$ of open subsets of $X$. Choose a point from each set of the family $\mathscr{O}$ and denote the set of these points by $D$. To every $x \in D$, there corresponds an element $z(x)$ of the set $A_{n(x)+1}$ such that the set $P_{z(x)}(x)$ is contained in that set of the family $\mathscr{O}$ to which $x$ belongs. As the set $D$ is uncountable, we see that there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}, k \in \mathbf{N}$ and an uncountable subset $H$ of $D$ such that $H \subset A_{n}$ and such that for each $x \in H$, the set $z(x)$ has $k$ elements. By using a result in [5] (vii, p. 235), we see that there exists $z \in A_{n+1}$ and an uncountable set $I \subset H$ such that for any two distinct elements $x$ and $y$ of $I$, we have $z(x)_{x} \cap z(y)_{y}=z$; further, by the same result, there exists $u \in A_{n+1}$ and an uncountable set $J \subset I$ such that we have $\left(z(x) \sim z(x)_{x}\right) \cap(z(y) \sim$ $\left.z(y)_{y}\right)=u$ for all $x \in J$ and $y \in J, x \neq y$. Now, let $x$ be a point of $J$. We have $P_{z(y)}(y) \cap P_{z(x)}(x)=\emptyset$ for each $y \in J \sim\{x\}$ and it follows from condition (2) above, since the set $z(x)$ is finite and the set $J$ uncountable, that for some $B \in z(x)$ and for some uncountable $K \subset J$, we have either $B \in z(x)_{x}$ and $B \in \cap_{y \in K}\left(z(y) \sim z(y)_{y}\right)$ or $B \in z(x) \sim z(x)_{x}$ and $B \in \cap_{y \in K} z(y)_{y}$. The first case is impossible since $B \in z(x)_{x}$ would imply that $B \notin u$ and

$$
B \in \cap_{y \in K}\left(z(y) \sim z(y)_{y}\right)
$$

would imply that $B \in u$. Similarly, we see that the second case is impossible. This contradiction shows that ( $X, \tau$ ) must satisfy ccc.
(iv) $(X, \tau)$ is not a Lindelöf-space. This is clear, since the uncountable subset $A_{1}$ of $X$ is closed and discrete.

Remarks. (i) For some other examples of metacompact, non-Lindelöf spaces satisfying ccc, see [8] and [9].
(ii) In example $G$ of [2], R. H. Bing constructed a normal, non-collectionwise normal space $F$, starting from an arbitrary uncountable set $P$. If we take $P=A_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then it is easily seen that the subspace $A_{n} \cup A_{n+1}$ of the space $(X, \tau)$ in the example above is homeomorphic with the subspace of $F$ considered in Example 2 of [6].
(iii) By observing that we can consider $\left(A_{1}, \mathscr{P}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)$ as a discrete topological space, we see that the construction of the above example can be modified so as to yield for each $T_{1}$-space ( $Y, \sigma$ ) an imbedding of $(Y, \sigma)$ as a closed $G_{\delta}$-subspace in a space $(X, \tau)$ satisfying ccc; this space $(X, \tau)$ can moreover be chosen so that the set $X \sim Y$ is a countable union of closed and discrete sets and so that if ( $Y, \sigma$ ) is normal (metacompact), then ( $X, \tau$ ) is also normal (metacompact).

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to W. Pfeffer, who reminded him of the category-measure duality when seeing the result of the Corollary to Theorem 1 and an earlier version of Theorem 2; professor Pfeffer's remark led the author to isolate the common part of these results (Theorem 1) and to reformulate the proofs in terms of $\sigma$-ideals.
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