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0. Abstract. We prove that sufficiently separated sequences are interpolating sequences for $f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ where $f$ is a Bloch function. If the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an $\eta$ net then the boundedness of $f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ on $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a sufficient condition for $f$ to be a Bloch function. The essential norm of a Hankel operator with a conjugate analytic symbol $\bar{f}$ acting on the Bergman space is shown to be equivalent to $\limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$.

1. Introduction. Let $D$ denote the open unit disc in the complex plane $C$ and let $L_{a}^{p}$ denote the collection of holomorphic functions $f$ on $D$ for which $\|f\|_{p}^{p}=\int|f|^{p} d A$ is finite. Here and elsewhere $d A$ denotes the Lebesgue area measure on $D$ and the unadorned integral is always taken over $D$. As usual $H^{\infty}$ will denote the space of bounded analytic functions on $D$. For $w \in D$ let $\phi_{w}(z)=(w-z)(1-\bar{w} z)^{-1}, z \in D$ be the Möbius map taking $w$ to 0 . For $z, w \in D$ the pseudo-hyperbolic distance between $z$ and $w$ is defined by $\rho(z, w)=\left|\phi_{w}(z)\right|$. For $0<\eta<1$, let $D_{w}(\eta)$ denote the pseudo-hyperbolic disc of center $w$ and radius $\eta$. A sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ is called $\eta$ separated if $D_{z_{n}}(\eta) \cap D_{z_{m}}(\eta)=\varnothing$ whenever $n \neq m$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is said to be $\eta$ dense if $D=\cup D_{z_{n}}(\eta)$.

A holomorphic function $f$ on $D$ is called a Bloch function if $\sup _{z \in D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ is finite. The space of Bloch functions together with the norm

$$
\|f\|=|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
$$

is denoted by $\mathscr{B}$. An important and useful property of Bloch functions is the Möbius invariance of the norm:

$$
\left\|f \circ \phi_{w}-f(w)\right\|=\|f-f(0)\|, \quad f \in \mathscr{B}
$$

The "little Bloch space" $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ is the subspace of $\mathscr{B}$ consisting of functions $f$ such that $f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. It is not hard to verify that $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ is the closure of the polynomials in $\mathscr{B}$.

A sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ is called an interpolating sequence for the drivatives of Bloch functions (or simply an interpolating sequence) if for each bounded sequence of complex numbers $c_{n}, f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=c_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, for some Bloch function $f$. One may note in passing that $f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ is the derivative of $f: D \rightarrow C$ when $D$ is endowed with the pseudo-hyperbolic metric. We will show that a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is interpolating only if it is sufficiently separated and that interpolating sequences are necessarily separated. As an application we will estimate the distance from a Bloch function $f$ to the little Bloch space and then we will estimate the essential norm of a Hankel operator (see Section 3 for the definition of this Hankel operator) from the Bergman space $L_{a}^{2}$ to $L^{2}(D, d A)$.
2. Interpolating sequences. We begin by organizing in Proposition 1 some well known facts about Bloch functions.

Proposition 1. For a holomorphic function $f$ on $D$ the following are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \mathscr{B}$;
(2) $\left|f \circ \phi_{w}(z)-f(w)\right| \leq c \log \frac{(1+|z|}{(1-|z|)}$ for some constant $c$ independent of both $z$ and $w$;
(3) $f \in L_{a}^{2}$ and $\sup _{w \in D} \int\left|f \circ \phi_{w}-f(w)\right|^{2} d A$ is finite;
(4) $\left\{f \circ \phi_{w}-f(w)\right\}_{w \in D}$ is a normal family.

Proof (sketch). Condition 2 is the usual point estimate for Bloch functions. The claim $1 \Rightarrow 2$ follows from an integration of the growth condition of $f^{\prime}$ and the Möbius invariance of the Bloch norm. Clearly $2 \Rightarrow 3$. The assertion $3 \Rightarrow 4$ follows from the general result that any norm bounded family in $L_{a}^{2}$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of the disc, and therefore, by Montel's theorem, is a normal family. Finally $4 \Rightarrow 1$ is a theorem of Pommerenke [8].

The dual of the Bergman space $L_{a}^{1}$ can be identified with the Bloch space. There are several versions of the pairing of this identification [2, Theorem 2.4]; [4, Theorem 2.6]; [5, Lemma 5.1]; here is the version that we will use in this note:

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int f(z) \bar{g}^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z), \quad f \in L_{a}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad g \in \mathscr{B}
$$

The little Bloch space is the pre-dual of the Bergman space $L_{a}^{1}[2$, Theorem 2.4] with the pairing

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \bar{g}(z) d A(z) \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad g \in L_{a}^{1}
$$

None of the above identifications are isometries; they are only Banach space isomorphisms.

To derive a necessary condition for a sequence to be an interpolating sequence, we first prove a Schwarz-Pick lemma type inequality for Bloch functions.

Lemma 2. Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)-f^{\prime}(w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)\right| \leq 9\|f\| \rho(z, w), \quad z \text { and } w \in D .
$$

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathscr{B}$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)^{2} \leq 4\|f-f(0)\|, \quad z \in D
$$

(see for example [3, Lemma 2.1, lines 2.2]). Let $z \in D$ and pick $\alpha$ such that $e^{-i \alpha} z=|z|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)-f^{\prime}(0)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{|z|}\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(t e^{\iota \alpha}\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq 4\|f-f(0)\| \int_{0}^{|z|}(1-t)^{-2} d t \\
& \leq 4\|f-f(0)\||z|(1-|z|)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)-f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 8\|f-f(0)\||z|+\|f-f(0)\||z|^{2} \leq 9\|f-f(0)\||z|
$$

Let $w \in D$ and replace $f$ by $f \circ \phi_{w}$. Recalling the Möbius invariance of the Bloch norm and that $\left|\phi_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)=1-\left|\phi_{w}(z)\right|^{2}$, we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime} \circ \phi_{w}(z)\left(1-\left|\phi_{w}(z)\right|^{2}\right)-f^{\prime}(w)\left(1-|\dot{w}|^{2}\right)\right| \leq\|f-f(0)\||z|,
$$

from which the desired result follows by replacing $z$ by $\phi_{w}(z)$.
Corollary 3. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be an interpolating sequence. Then $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is separated.
Proof. The usual proof for a sequence to be an interpolating sequence for bounded analytic functions [6, page 285] is also applicable here. Indeed, let $T: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow l^{\infty}$ be the operator map $T(f)=\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}$. Clearly $T$ is bounded and if $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is interpolating, then $T$ is onto, so by the open mapping theorem there exists a constant $c$ such that for each sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $l^{\infty}$,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=a_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

for some $f \in \mathscr{B}$ with $\|f\| \leq c\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty}$. Let $n$ and $m$ be distinct positive integers. Then there exists $f \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\|f\| \leq c$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=1$ but $f^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{m}\right|^{2}\right)=0$. Now by Lemma 2 clearly the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is separated.

We now prove the main proposition of this section. It asserts that if a sequence is sufficiently separated then it is an interpolating sequence. The author wishes to thank the referee for suggesting the following statement of the proposition.

Proposition 4. There exists a constant $c_{1}$ such that, given any $\eta$ separated sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and any $\left\{c_{n}\right\} \in l^{\infty}$, there exists an $f \in \mathscr{B}$ such the: $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=c_{n}, \quad n=$ $1,2, \ldots$, and $\|f\| \leq c_{1} c(\eta)(1-c(\eta) o(1-\eta))^{-1}\left\|c_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$, where $c(\eta)=(1+\eta)^{4} \pi^{-1} \eta^{-2}$ and $o(1-\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 1-$. Moreover, such an $f$ must have norm $\geq\left\|c_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a $\eta, 0<\eta<1$, separated sequence. Define the operator map $T: L_{a}^{1} \rightarrow l^{1}$ by $T(f)=\left\{f\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}$. Then $T$ is bounded with $\|T\| \leq(1+\eta)^{4} \pi^{-1} \eta^{-2}$ (see for example, [7, page 96, line 4.5]). The adjoint $T^{*}: l^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ is given by

$$
\left(T^{*}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{n} a_{n} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)^{3}}
$$

Let $S$ be the operator defined on $l^{\infty}$ by

$$
S\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{\left(T^{*}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $I$ be the identity operator on $l^{\infty}$. Then the $k$ th term of $(S-I)\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{n \neq k} a_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z_{k}\right)^{-3} .
$$

Let us temporarily write $D_{n}(\eta)$ for $D_{z_{n}}(\eta)$ and $\phi_{n}$ for $\phi_{z_{n}}$. The following estimates in (1) and (2) are well known and the calculations presented are standard:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\eta)^{4}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \leq \operatorname{area}\left(D_{n}\right) \leq(1-\eta)^{-4}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and, if $f$ is analytic on $D$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{D_{n}(\eta)}|f(z)| d A(z) & =\int_{|z|<\eta}\left|f \circ \phi_{n}(z)\right|\left|\phi_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& \geq(1+\eta)^{-4}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \int_{|z|<\eta}\left|f \circ \phi_{n}(z)\right| d A(z) \\
& \geq \pi \eta^{2}(1+\eta)^{-4}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus writing $c(\eta)=(1+\eta)^{4} / \pi \eta^{2}$ and applying (2) to $f(z)=\left(1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right)^{-3}, z \in D$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(S-I)\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)\right\|_{\infty} & \leq c \sup _{k} \sum_{n \neq k}\left|a_{n}\right|\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{D_{n}(\eta)}\left|1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right|^{-3} d A(z) \\
& \leq c\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{k}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{D-D_{k}(\eta)}\left|1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right|^{-3} d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the change of variable $z$ to $\phi_{z_{k}}(z)$ we get the last integral to be equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \| & \left\{a_{n}\right\} \|_{\infty} \sup _{k} \int_{|z|>\eta}\left|1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right|^{-1} d A(z) \\
& =c\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{k} \int_{\eta}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right| r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{-1} d \theta / 2 \pi\right) r d r \\
& \leq c\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{|z|>\eta}|1-z|^{-1} d A(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In deducing the last inequality we used the fact that the mean modulus of an analytic function on the circle $|z|=r$ is an increasing function of $r$. Thus when $\eta$ is sufficiently close to $1, S$ is invertible on $l^{\infty}$, and $\left\|S^{-1}\right\| \leq(1-\|I-S\|)^{-1}$. Given $\left\{c_{n}\right\} \in l^{\infty}$, write $f=T^{*}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)$ where $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=S\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)=c_{n}$. Then, clearly $\|f\| \geq\left\|\left\{c_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty}$ and

$$
\|f\| \leq \frac{\left\|T^{*}\right\|}{(1-\|I-S\|)}\left\|\left\{c_{n}\right\}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

from which the proposition follows.
Corollary 5. Every separated sequence is the finite union of interpolating sequences.
Proof. The decomposition given in [1, page 718], shows that a separated sequence is the finite union of sequences which are sufficiently separated to be interpolating sequences.

Let $c_{0}$ denote the space of all null sequences. A sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is called an interpolating sequence for the derivative of functions in $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ if for every sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ in $c_{0}$ there exists a function $f$ in $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=c_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$

Corollary 6. If a sequence is sufficiently separated, then it is an interpolating sequence for the derivatives of functions in $\mathscr{B}_{0}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be an interpolating sequence. Then we will show that it also has the claimed interpolating property. Define the operator map $T: \mathscr{B}_{0} \rightarrow c_{0}$ by $T(f)=$ $\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}$. Then $T^{*}: l^{1} \rightarrow L_{a}^{1}$ and $T^{* *}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow l^{\infty}$. The operator $T^{* *}$ can be verified
to be the natural extension of $T$, thus $T^{* *}$ is onto. In particular $T^{* *}$ has closed range, so $T$ has closed range. Now a standard argument involving the annihilator of the range of operators and the kernels of the adjoints shows that $T$ is onto.

Since sufficiently separated sequences are interpolating, a sequence close enough to a given sequence, which is sufficiently separated to be interpolating, is also an interpolating sequence. The following proposition shows that this is true for any interpolating sequence, whether it is sufficiently separated or not. (See [7, Theorem 5.1] for a similar theorem.)

Proposition 7 (Stability of Interpolating Sequences). Suppose $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an interpolating sequence. Then there exists a number $\delta$ depending on the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that if $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)<\delta, n=1,2, \ldots$, then the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is also an interpolating sequence.

Proof. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be an interpolating sequence. Then the operator $T: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow l^{\infty}$ defined by $T(f)=\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}$ is onto, so $T^{*}:\left(l^{\infty}\right)^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{*}$ is one-one and (since $T$ has closed range) has closed range. Therefore $T^{*}$ is left-invertible. Recall that the left-invertible elements in a Banach algebra form an open set. Let $S: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow l^{\infty}$ be an operator. Now by a standard argument one can show that there exists a number $\delta=\delta(T)$ such that if $\|T-S\|<\delta$, then $S$ is also onto. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)<\delta / 9$ and let $S$ be the operator $S(f)=\left\{f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma 2, $\|T-S\|<\delta$, so $S$ is onto.
3. Essential norm of Hankel operators. A sequence which is $\eta / a$ separated and $a \eta$ dense, for some $a>0$, is called an $\eta$ net. When computing the Bloch norm it suffices to sup only over a given $\eta$ net as the following proposition shows. But first we note a well known lemma which is the Paley-Littlewood formula in the context of the Bergman space.

Lemma 8. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function on $D$ with $f(0)=0$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{3}\|f\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. Compute the norms.
Proposition 9. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be an $\eta$ net. A holomorphic function on $D$ is a Bloch function if and only if

$$
\sup _{n}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)<\infty .
$$

Proof. Suppose $\sup _{n}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=\sqrt{ } M<\infty$. Let $w \in D$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left|f \circ \phi_{w}-f(w)\right|^{2} d A & \leq 3 \int\left|\left(f \circ \phi_{w}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} d A(z) \quad \text { (by Lemma 8) } \\
& =3 \int\left|f^{\prime}\left(\phi_{w}(z)\right)\right|^{2}\left|\phi_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} d A(z) \\
& =3 \int\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\phi_{w}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & c \sum\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|\phi_{w}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& (\text { by }[7, \text { Theorem 4.1]) } \\
\leq & c M \sum\left(1-\left|\phi_{w}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $t_{n}=\phi_{w}\left(z_{n}\right)$. Then, by the conformal invariance of the pseudo-hyperbolic metric, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is also a $\eta / a$ separated sequence. Thus, for some constant $c=c(\eta / a)$,

$$
\sum\left(1-\left|t_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \leq c \sum \operatorname{area}\left(D_{n}(\eta / a)\right) \leq c \operatorname{area}(D)
$$

Hence by Proposition 1, we get $f$ to be a Bloch function.
We can now estimate the distance from a Bloch function to the little Bloch space.
Proposition 10. Let $f$ be a Bloch function. Then, for some constant $c$,

$$
\limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(f, \mathscr{B}_{0}\right) \leq c \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. The left-hand side of the inequality follows immediately from the definitions of $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{0}$. To prove right-hand side of the inequality, fix an $\eta$ net which is sufficiently separated to be an interpolating sequence. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then by Corollary 6, there exists a $g \in \mathscr{B}_{0}$ such that $g^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)$ if $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $g^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)=0$ otherwise. Then by Proposition 9 ,

$$
\|f-g\| \leq c \sup _{j>n}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right),
$$

from which the desired result follows easily.
Let $\langle f, g\rangle_{2}=\int f \bar{g} d A / 2 \pi$ denote the usual inner product in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(D, d A)$. Let $\left(L_{a}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $L_{a}^{2}$ in $L^{2}(D, d A)$ and let $Q$ denote the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(D, d A)$ to $\left(L_{a}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$. For a function $f \in L_{a}^{2}$ the densely defined operator from $L_{a}^{2}$ to $\left(L_{a}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$

$$
H_{\bar{f}}(g)=Q(\bar{f} g), \quad g \in H^{\infty}
$$

is called a Hankel operator. In a 1986 paper Sheldon Axler [2] proved that the operator norm $\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|$ is equivalent to the Bloch norm of $f$ and that $H_{f}$ is compact if and only if $f \in \mathscr{B}_{0}$. After all the hard work of Axler, in the following proposition we estimate the essential norm $\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{e}$ of this Hankel operator.

Proposition 11. Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. Then, for some constant $c$,

$$
c^{-1} \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{e} \leq c \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathscr{B}_{0}$, then $H_{f}$ is compact [2, Theorem 7], so by Axler's theorem [2, Theorem 6], we have,

$$
\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{e} \leq\left\|H_{\bar{f}}-H_{\bar{g}}\right\| \leq c\|f-g\| .
$$

Thus, $\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{e} \leq c \operatorname{dist}\left(f, \mathscr{B}_{0}\right)$. Now the right-hand side of the inequality in Proposition 11 follows from Proposition 10. To establish the reverse inequality, consider the kernel
$l_{w}(z)=(1-\bar{w} z)^{-3 / 2}, z \in D$. Then

$$
\pi\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{-1} \leq\left\|l_{w}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \pi(1+|w|)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Moreover by differentiating the identity

$$
h(w)=\pi^{-1} \int h(z)(1-\bar{z} w)^{-2} d A(z), \quad h \in L_{a}^{2}
$$

we have $h^{\prime}(w)=2 \pi^{-1} \int h \bar{z} l_{w}^{2} d A, h \in L_{a}^{2}$.
Let $K: L_{a}^{2} \rightarrow\left(L_{a}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$ be a compact operator. Since $\left\{\left\|l_{w}\right\|^{-1} l_{w}\right\}$ goes to zero weakly in $L_{a}^{2}$ as $|w| \rightarrow 1,\left\{K\left(\left\|l_{w}\right\|^{-1} l_{w}\right)\right\}$ goes to zero strongly in $L_{a}^{2}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|H_{\bar{f}}-K\right\| & \geq \limsup _{|w| \rightarrow 1}\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\left(\left\|l_{w}\right\|_{2}^{-1} l_{w}\right)\right\| \\
& \geq \limsup _{|w| \rightarrow 1}\left\|l_{w}\right\|_{2}^{-2}\left|\left\langle H_{\bar{f}}\left(l_{w}\right), \bar{z} \bar{l}_{w}\right\rangle_{2}\right| \\
& =\limsup _{|w| \rightarrow 1}\left\|l_{w}\right\|_{2}^{-2}\left|\left\langle\bar{f} l_{w}, Q\left(\bar{z} \bar{l}_{w}\right)\right\rangle_{2}\right| \\
& =\limsup _{|w| \rightarrow 1} \|\left. l_{w}\right|_{2} ^{-2}\left|\left\langle\bar{f} l_{w}, \bar{z} \bar{l}_{w}\right\rangle_{2}\right| \\
& \geq 8^{-1} \limsup _{|w| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.
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