

SCALES OF LOGARITHMIC METHODS OF SUMMABILITY

D. Borwein and R. Phillips

(received November 6, 1968)

1. Introduction. We suppose throughout that  $p$  is a non-negative integer, and use the following notations:

$$\pi_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\log_0 x \cdot \log_1 x \cdot \dots \cdot \log_p x} & \text{for } x \geq e_p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\log_0 x = x$  for  $x \geq e_0 = 1$ , and  $\log_{n+1} x = \log(\log_n x)$  for  $x \geq e_{n+1} = e^{e^n}$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ );

$$\sigma_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n) x^n \quad (-1 < x < 1);$$

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots);$$

$$t_p(n) = \frac{1}{\log_{p+1} n} \sum_{k=0}^n \pi_p(k) s_k \quad (n \geq e_{p+1}).$$

The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be summable  $L_p$  to  $s$ , and we write  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s (L_p)$  or  $s_n \rightarrow s (L_p)$ , if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{\sigma_p(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n) s_n x^n = s.$$

If  $t_p(n) \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be summable  $l_p$  to  $s$ , and we write  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s (l_p)$  or  $s_n \rightarrow s (l_p)$  (see [5]).

Given two summability methods  $A, B$  we write  $A \supseteq B$  if any series summable  $B$  is summable  $A$  to the same sum; if in addition there is a series summable  $A$  but not summable  $B$  we write  $A \supset B$ . If  $A \supseteq B$  and  $B \supseteq A$  the two methods are said to be equivalent and we write  $A \simeq B$ . It is known [5] that the  $L_p$  and  $l_p$  methods are regular and that  $L_0 \simeq L$ ,  $l_0 \simeq l$  where  $L$  and  $l$  are standard logarithmic methods (for definitions see [3]). The aim of this paper is to establish various inclusion theorems for the two scales of methods.

2. Lemmas. We require four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $s_n \rightarrow s (l_p)$ , then  $s_n = o\left(\frac{1}{\pi_{p+1}(n)}\right)$  and  
 $a_n = o\left(\frac{1}{\pi_{p+1}(n)}\right)$ .

Proof. The case  $p = 0$  of this lemma is due to Ishiguro [3, Theorem 4]. For  $n - 1 \geq e_{p+1}$  we have that

$$s_n = \frac{1}{\pi_p(n)} [t_p(n) \log_{p+1} n - t_p(n-1) \log_{p+1}(n-1)];$$

hence

$$\pi_{p+1}(n)s_n = t_p(n) - t_p(n-1) \frac{\log_{p+1}(n-1)}{\log_{p+1} n} \rightarrow 0,$$

and so,

$$\pi_{p+1}(n)a_n = \pi_{p+1}(n)s_n - \frac{\pi_{p+1}(n)}{\pi_{p+1}(n-1)} \pi_{p+1}(n-1)s_{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

LEMMA 2.  $L_p \supseteq \ell_p$ .

Proof. Since  $\ell_p \simeq (\mathbb{N}, q_n)$  with  $q_n = \pi_p(n)$ , the lemma follows from a known result [4, Theorem 1].

LEMMA 3. If  $x \geq e_p$ ,  $y > 0$ , then

$$(\log_p x)^{-y} = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \lambda_{p,y}(t) dt,$$

where  $\lambda_{p,y}(t)$  is defined by the recursive formulae:

$$\lambda_{0,y}(t) = \frac{t^{y-1}}{\Gamma(y)},$$

$$\lambda_{r+1,y}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty u^{y-1} \lambda_{r,u}(t) du \quad (r=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. The lemma is true for  $p = 0$ , since, when  $x \geq e_0 = 1$ ,

$$(\log_0 x)^{-y} = x^{-y} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} t^{y-1} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \lambda_{0,y}(t) dt.$$

Assume the lemma is true for  $p = r$ . Then, for  $x \geq e_{r+1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\log_{r+1} x)^{-y} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u \log_{r+1} x} u^{y-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty (\log_r x)^{-u} u^{y-1} du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty u^{y-1} du \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \lambda_{r,u}(t) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} dt \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_0^\infty u^{y-1} \lambda_{r,u}(t) du \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \lambda_{r+1,y}(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

the inversion in the order of integration being justified by Fubini's theorem since all the functions concerned are non-negative and Lebesgue measurable. The lemma is thus established by induction.

The case  $p = 1$  of the next lemma is due to Hardy [2, page 268].

LEMMA 4. If  $n \geq e_p$ ,  $y > 0$ , then

$$(\log_p n)^{-y} = \int_0^1 t^n \phi(t) dt,$$

where the function  $\phi$  is non-negative and independent of  $n$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3,

$$(\log_p n)^{-y} = \int_0^\infty e^{-nx} \lambda_{p,y}(x) dx = \int_0^1 t^n \phi(t) dt,$$

where  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \lambda_{p,y}(\log \frac{1}{t})$ .

### 3. Inclusion Theorems.

THEOREM 1. There is a series summable  $\ell_{p+1}$  but not summable  $L_p$  i.e.  $L_p \not\supset \ell_{p+1}$ .

Proof. Let  $N$  be the integer such that  $N - 1 < e_{p+1} \leq N$ , and, with  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , let

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \pi_p(n) (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1-i} & \text{for } n \geq e_{p+1}, \\ 0 & \text{for } n < e_{p+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n-1} &= \left( (\log_{p+1} n)^{-i} - (\log_{p+1} N)^{-i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} \pi_p(k) (\log_{p+1} k)^{-1-i} - \int_N^n (\log_{p+1} t)^{-1-i} \pi_p(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} \Phi_k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k &= \int_k^{k+1} \left( \int_k^t \left( -\frac{d}{dx} \pi_p(x) (\log_{p+1} x)^{-1-i} \right) dx \right) dt \\ &= \int_k^{k+1} \left( \int_k^t (\pi_p(x))^2 (\log_{p+1} x)^{-1-i} \left( \sum_{r=0}^p \frac{\pi_r(x)}{\pi_p(x)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (1+i)(\log_{p+1} x)^{-1} \right) dx \right) dt \\ &= \int_k^{k+1} \left( \int_k^t O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) dx \right) dt \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \Phi_k$  converges, and so  $s_{n-1}^{-i} (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1}$  tends to a finite limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $s_n = s_{n-1} + \pi_p(n) (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1-i}$ , we have that  $s_n = i (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1} + k_n$  where  $k_n$  tends to a finite limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consequently  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded but does not converge, and as  $a_n = O(\pi_{p+1}(n))$ , it follows from a known tauberian theorem [5, Corollary] that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is not  $L_p$  summable.

We now show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is  $\ell_{p+1}$  summable. For  $m \geq N$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{p+1}(m) &= \frac{1}{\log_{p+2} m} \sum_{n=N}^m \pi_{p+1}(n) \left( \frac{(\log_{p+1} n)^{-i}}{i} + k_n \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{i \log_{p+2} m} \sum_{n=N}^m \pi_p(n) (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1-i} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\log_{p+2} m} \sum_{n=0}^m \pi_{p+1}(n) k_n \\ &= \frac{1}{i \log_{p+2} m} s_m + \frac{1}{\log_{p+2} m} \sum_{n=0}^m \pi_{p+1}(n) k_n, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $t_{p+1}(m)$  tends to a finite limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.**  $L_{p+1} \supset L_p$ .

Proof. By Lemma 4, for  $n \geq e_{p+1}$ ,

$$\frac{\pi_{p+1}(n)}{\pi_p(n)} = (\log_{p+1} n)^{-1} = \int_0^1 t^n \phi(t) dt,$$

where  $\phi(t)$  is non-negative and independent of  $n$ , and hence, by a result due to Borwein [1, Theorem A],  $L_{p+1} \supseteq L_p$ . The stronger inclusion follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

THEOREM 3.  $L_p \supset l_p$ .

Proof. We consider a series used to show the existence of a series summable by the Abel method A, but not summable by any Cesàro method [2, Theorem 56].

Let

$$e^{1/(1+x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

It is known that  $a_n$  is not  $O(n^r)$  for any  $r$ , and hence, by Lemma 1,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is not summable  $l_p$ . Since the series is summable A, and [2, page 81]  $A \subset L \simeq L_0 \subseteq L_p$ , the theorem can now be deduced from Lemma 2.

THEOREM 4.  $l_{p+1} \supset l_p$ .

Proof. The inclusion  $l_{p+1} \supseteq l_p$  follows immediately from a known theorem for  $\bar{N}$  methods [2, Theorem 14]. The stronger inclusion may be deduced from Theorem 1. However a direct proof is easy.

Consider

$$s_n = (-1)^n \frac{1}{\pi_{p+1}(n)} \quad (n \geq e_{p+1}).$$

Then  $s_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $l_{p+1}$ ), i.e.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is summable  $l_{p+1}$ ,  
 but  $s_n \neq o\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right)$ ; hence, by Lemma 1,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is not  $l_p$   
 summable.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein, On methods of summability based on power series. Proc. Roy. Soc. Ed. 64 (1957) 342-349.
2. G.H. Hardy, Divergent Series (Oxford, 1949).
3. K. Ishiguro, On the summability methods of logarithmic type. Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962) 703-705.
4. K. Ishiguro, A tauberian theorem for  $(J, p_n)$  summability. Proc. Japan Acad. 40 (1964) 807-812.
5. R. Phillips, A tauberian theorem for a scale of logarithmic methods of summation. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. (to be published).

The University of Western Ontario  
 London, Ontario