

On a class of diophantine inequalities

Kurt Mahler

Dedicated to B. Segre, on his 70th birthday, 16 February 1973.

As a special case of more general results, it is proved in this note that, if α is any real number and δ any positive number, then there exists a positive integer X such that the inequality

$$\left| X\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^h - Y_h - \alpha \right| < \delta$$

has infinitely many solutions in positive integers h and Y_h .

The method depends on the study of infinite sequences of real linear forms in a fixed number of variables. It has relations to that used by Kronecker in the proof of his classical theorem and can be generalised.

1.

For real α put

$$\|\alpha\| = \min_{y=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots} |\alpha - y|,$$

so that $\|\alpha\|$ denotes the distance of α from the nearest integer and hence that

$$0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By H_0 we understand a fixed strictly increasing infinite sequence of positive integers h (H_0 usually will be the set of all positive

Received 20 November 1972.

integers), and H denotes some infinite subsequence of H_0 , not necessarily always the same.

2.

Let r be a fixed and n a variable positive integer; let further S_n be the set of all r -vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with integral components satisfying

$$1 \leq \max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_r|) \leq n.$$

Thus S_n is a finite set, and all vectors in S_n are distinct from the zero vector

$$0 = (0, \dots, 0).$$

Next consider an infinite sequence of r -vectors

$$a_h = (a_{h1}, \dots, a_{hr}) \quad (h \in H_0)$$

with real components and the associated linear forms

$$L_h(x) = a_{h1}x_1 + \dots + a_{hr}x_r \quad (h \in H_0)$$

in x . Then put

$$M_h(n) = \min_{x \in S_n} \|L_h(x)\| \quad (h \in H_0)$$

and

$$M(n) = \limsup_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} M_h(n).$$

It is obvious that

$$0 \leq M_h(n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (h \in H_0)$$

and hence that also

$$0 \leq M(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

3.

For $n \geq 3$ these upper bounds for $M_h(n)$ and $M(n)$ can be improved.

For this purpose, denote by y a further integral variable. The system of $r + 1$ linear forms

$$n^{-1}x_1, \dots, n^{-1}x_r, n^r(a_{h1}x_1 + \dots + a_{hr}x_r - y) \quad (h \in H_0)$$

in x_1, \dots, x_r, y has the determinant -1 . Hence, by Minkowski's Theorem on linear forms, there exist integers

$$x_{h1}, \dots, x_{hr}, y_h$$

not all zero, which in general will depend on h , such that simultaneously

$$\max(|x_{h1}|, \dots, |x_{hr}|) \leq n, \quad |a_{h1}x_{h1} + \dots + a_{hr}x_{hr} - y_h| < n^{-r} \quad (h \in H_0).$$

Here at least one of the first r integers

$$x_{h1}, \dots, x_{hr}$$

does not vanish. For otherwise $y_h \neq 0$, whence

$$1 \leq |y_h| < n^{-r} \leq 1,$$

which is impossible.

The vector

$$x_h = (x_{h1}, \dots, x_{hr})$$

therefore lies in S_n and in addition satisfies the inequality

$$\|L_h(x_h)\| < n^{-r} \quad (h \in H_0)$$

From this it follows immediately that

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq M_h(n) < n^{-r} \quad (h \in H_0)$$

and hence also that

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq M(n) \leq n^{-r}.$$

On the other hand, since obviously $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$, it is clear that

$$M_h(1) \geq M_h(2) \geq M_h(3) \geq \dots \geq 0 \quad (h \in H_0),$$

from which it is easily deduced that also

$$M(1) \geq M(2) \geq M(3) \geq \dots \geq 0 .$$

4.

The definition of $M(n)$ as an upper limit implies that there exists a subsequence H of H_0 such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} M_h(n) = M(n) .$$

Here, to each suffix h in H , we can find a vector x_h in S_n such that

$$M_h(n) = \|L_h(x_h)\| \quad (h \in H) ;$$

note that x_h need not be the same as the vector x_h constructed in §3.

As h runs over H , x_h is restricted by the condition of belonging to the finite set S_n . Therefore, if necessary, H can be replaced by an infinite subsequence which we call again H such that, without loss of generality,

$$x = x_h \quad \text{for all } h \in H$$

is a fixed vector in S_n independent of h ; naturally,

$$x \neq 0 .$$

Since this vector has the basic property that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} \|L_h(x)\| = M(n) ,$$

the following result has been established.

LEMMA 1. *For every positive integer n there exist an infinite subsequence H of H_0 and a constant vector x in S_n with the property*

$$(3) .$$

5.

In this lemma, H will in general be a proper subsequence of H_0 as the following example shows.

Fix n and choose $r = 1$ so that a_h and x are now scalars a_h and x . As the linear forms take

$$L_h(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } h \text{ is even,} \\ x\sqrt{2} & \text{if } h \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this example, $M_h(n)$ evidently vanishes for even h (we may put $x = 1$), but is positive and independent of h for odd h . Hence also $M(n)$ is positive. Thus, if H_0 is the set of all positive integers h , H in (3) essentially (that is, except for possibly finitely many even numbers) is the sequence of all odd integers.

6.

Consider again the general case, but assume that, for a certain n , $M(n) = 0$. Since $M_h(n) \geq 0$ for all $h \in H_0$, it is clear that now the upper limit in the definition of $M(n)$ becomes the limit, hence that (3) takes the form

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} \|L_h(x)\| = 0.$$

Denote by α an arbitrary real number which is not an integer. The relation (4) implies that

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} \|L_h(x) - \alpha\| = \|\alpha\| > 0.$$

This formula suggests the problem whether there exist an infinite subsequence H of H_0 and an integral vector X distinct from x such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} \|L_h(X) - \alpha\| = 0.$$

The answer to this problem depends very much on the special forms L_h and the sequences H_0 and H .

A positive answer can be given in the following trivial example. Let $r = 1$ and $n = 2$; let H_0 and H be the sequences of all positive integers and of all odd positive integers, respectively; and let further

$$L_h(x) = \frac{1}{2}x \quad \text{for } h \in H_0.$$

Since $L_h(2) = 1$, evidently

$$M_h(2) = M(2) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|L_h(1) - \frac{1}{2}\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

A negative answer holds in the following rather more interesting example. Let again $r = 1$, and let H_0 be again the sequence of all positive integers. Assume that the forms L_h have the property

$$(5) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} \|L_h(1)\| = 0.$$

Then obviously also

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} \|L_h(x)\| = 0 \quad \text{for every integer } x,$$

and hence there cannot exist a subsequence H of H_0 and an integer X satisfying

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} \|L_h(X) - \alpha\| = 0$$

unless α is an integer.

7.

A simple example in which the condition (5) is satisfied and therefore also the conclusion about (7) is given by the linear forms

$$L_h(x) = h!ex \text{ for } h \in H_0$$

where H_0 still denotes the sequence of all positive integers.

Of much greater interest is, however, the sequence of forms

$$(8) \quad L_h(x) = \lambda\theta^n x \text{ for } h \in H_0$$

where $\theta > 1$ is a fixed algebraic number, and $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. A theorem due to Pisot [1] (see also Salem [2]) asserts that the limit equation

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda\theta^h\| = 0,$$

that is, the condition (5), is satisfied if and only if the following two properties hold.

(i) $\theta = \theta^{(1)}$ is an algebraic integer of some degree $m \geq 1$ such that all its algebraic conjugates $\theta^{(2)}, \dots, \theta^{(m)}$ are less than 1 in absolute value.

(ii) λ lies in the algebraic number field $Q(\theta)$ generated by θ .

Call $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ a Pisot pair whenever these two properties are satisfied. By (7), such pairs have the following further property.

(iii) If α is any real number, H any subsequence of H_0 , and X any integer, then the equation

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} \|\lambda\theta^h X - \alpha\| = 0$$

implies that α is an integer.

If $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ is a Pisot pair, then by (6) the forms (8) satisfy

$$(9) \quad M(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

This result has a converse. For assume that $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ is not necessarily a Pisot pair, but that (9) is true. This equation (9) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H_0}} \min_{x=\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n} \|\lambda \theta^h x\| = 0 .$$

Now for every real number α and for every integer g ,

$$\|g\alpha\| \leq |g| \cdot \|\alpha\| ,$$

hence

$$\|n! \lambda \theta^h\| \leq n! \min_{x=\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n} \|\lambda \theta^h x\| ,$$

because all factors x are divisors of $n!$. The equation (10) implies then that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \|n! \lambda \theta^h\| = 0 .$$

This, however, means that $\{\theta, n! \lambda\}$ and hence also $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ are Pisot pairs. Thus the following result holds.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\theta > 1$ be an algebraic number and λ a positive number, let again H_0 be the sequence of all positive integers, and let*

$$L_h(x) = \lambda \theta^h x \text{ for } h \in H_0 .$$

Then $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ is a Pisot pair if and only if

$$M(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1 .$$

8.

We return to the general case of §2, but assume now that for a certain value of n ,

$$M(n) > 0 .$$

Denote by x the constant vector in S_n given by Lemma 1 and for which

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ h \in H}} \|L_h(x)\| = M(n) .$$

It follows that there exists an infinite subsequence of H which we call

again H such that

$$\frac{2}{3}M(n) < \|L_h(x)\| < \frac{4}{3}M(n) \quad \text{for all } h \in H$$

In explicit form, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, and there exists to each $h \in H$ an integer y_h such that the sum

$$s_h = a_{h1}x_1 + \dots + a_{hr}x_r - y_h$$

satisfies the equation

$$|s_h| = \|L_h(x)\|$$

and therefore also the inequality

$$(11) \quad \frac{2}{3}M(n) < |s_h| < \frac{4}{3}M(n) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

9.

Next let α be an arbitrary real number, and let y be the unique integer for which the real number

$$\beta = \alpha + y$$

satisfies the inequality

$$(12) \quad \frac{2}{3} < \beta \leq \frac{5}{3}.$$

The integral multiples

$$s_h^z \quad (z = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$$

of s_h form an arithmetic progression of distance $|s_h| > 0$. By (11), every open interval of length $\frac{4}{3}M(n)$ contains then at least one element of this progression.

We apply this property to the open interval

$$\text{from } \beta - \frac{2}{3}M(n) \text{ to } \beta + \frac{2}{3}M(n)$$

of this length and deduce that

for every $h \in H$ there exists an integer z_h such that

$$-\frac{2}{3}M(n) < s_h z_h - \beta < \frac{2}{3}M(n).$$

Here $\beta \leq \frac{5}{3}$ and $M(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, so that by (11),

$$|z_h| < \frac{\beta + \frac{2}{3}M(n)}{\frac{2}{3}M(n)} \leq \frac{5 + 2M(n)}{2M(n)}$$

and therefore

$$(13) \quad |z_h| < \frac{3}{M(n)}.$$

On the other hand, $\beta > \frac{2}{3}$, and so again by (11),

$$s_h z_h > \beta - \frac{2}{3}M(n) \geq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} > 0,$$

whence also

$$z_h \neq 0.$$

In this construction, z_h is a function of $h \in H$ which, by (13), has only finitely many possible values. Since H may, if necessary, once more be replaced by a suitable infinite subsequence, we may without loss of generality assume that

$$z_h = z \text{ for all } h \in H$$

has a *fixed* integral value independent of h , where by (13) and (14)

$$(15) \quad 0 < |z| < \frac{3}{M(n)}.$$

10.

Put finally

$$X_1 = x_1 z, \dots, X_r = x_r z, \quad Y_h = y_h z + y.$$

Then $X = (X_1, \dots, X_r)$ is an integral r -vector independent of h such that

$$(16) \quad 1 \leq \max(|X_1|, \dots, |X_r|) < \frac{3n}{M(n)},$$

while Y_h is an integer which in general depends on h . In this new notation, the lower and upper estimates for $s_h z_h - \beta$ take the form

$$-\frac{2}{3}M(n) < L_h(X) - Y_h - \alpha < \frac{2}{3}M(n) \text{ for all } h \in H .$$

Since $\frac{2}{3}M(n) < \frac{1}{2}$, this is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad \|L_h(X) - \alpha\| < \frac{2}{3}M(n) \text{ for all } h \in H .$$

Thus the following result has been obtained.

LEMMA 3. *For a certain $n \geq 1$ let $M(n) > 0$. Then, to every real number α , there exist an infinite subsequence H of H_0 and a constant integral vector X such that both (16) and (17) are satisfied.*

This lemma becomes particularly interesting when $M(n)$ is positive for all positive integers n . For, by the earlier estimate (2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(n) = 0 .$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n , the right-hand side of (17) can be made arbitrarily small, giving the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer, and let H_0 be a strictly increasing infinite sequence of positive integers. Associate with each h in H_0 a real linear form*

$$L_h(x) = a_{h1}x_1 + \dots + a_{hr}x_r ,$$

and assume that the upper limit $M(n)$, as defined in §2, is positive for every positive integer n .

Then, given any real number α and any positive number δ , there exist an infinite subsequence H of H_0 and an integral vector $X \neq 0$ independent of h such that

$$\|L_h(X) - \alpha\| < \delta \text{ for all suffices } h \text{ in } H .$$

11.

We combine this theorem with Lemma 2, taking $r = 1$. Let θ and λ be as in Lemma 2, but assume that $\{\theta, \lambda\}$ is not a Pisot pair. Then $M(n)$ is positive for all $n \geq 1$, and Theorem 1 gives the following consequence.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\theta > 1$ be an algebraic number, and $\lambda > 0$ a*

constant. Assume that at least one of the following two properties is not satisfied.

(i) $\theta = \theta^{(1)}$ is an algebraic integer of degree $m \geq 1$ such that all its algebraic conjugates $\theta^{(2)}, \dots, \theta^{(m)}$ have absolute values less than 1.

(ii) λ lies in the algebraic number field $Q(\theta)$ generated by θ .

Then, given any real number α and any positive number δ , there exists a positive integer X such that the inequality

$$\|X\lambda\theta^h - \alpha\| < \delta$$

has infinitely many solutions in positive integers h .

By way of example, this theorem can be applied to each of the inequalities

$$\left\| X\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^h - \alpha \right\| < \delta, \quad \|Xe(1+\sqrt{2})^h - \alpha\| < \delta, \quad \|X\lambda \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^h - \alpha\| < \delta,$$

where in the last inequality λ may be an arbitrary positive number.

12.

We conclude this note with an application of Theorem 1 when r is an arbitrary positive integer. For this purpose, assume that

$$L_h(x) = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r$$

does not depend on h . Any relation $M(n) = 0$ where $n \geq 1$ now implies that the numbers

$$a_1, \dots, a_r, 1$$

are linearly dependent over the rational field Q . Conversely, if these numbers are linearly independent over Q , then $M(n)$ is positive for all $n > 1$. In this case it follows from Theorem 1 that for every real number α and for every positive number δ there exist r integers X_1, \dots, X_r not all zero such that

$$\|a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_r X_r - \alpha\| < \delta.$$

We obtain thus a rather special case of Kronecker's Theorem.

References

- [1] Charles Pisot, "La repartition modulo 1 et les nombres algébriques",
Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Math. (2) 7 (1938),
205-248.
- [2] Raphael Salem, *Algebraic numbers and Fourier analysis* (D.C. Heath and
Co., Boston, Massachusetts, 1963).

Department of Mathematics,
Institute of Advanced Studies,
Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT.