Prediction of Zika-confirmed cases in Brazil and Colombia using Google Trends
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Abstract

Zika virus infection in humans has been linked to severe neurological sequelae and foetal malformations. The rapidly evolving epidemics and serious complications made the frequent updates of Zika virus mandatory. Web search query has emerged as a low-cost real-time surveillance system to anticipate infectious diseases’ outbreaks. Hence, we developed a prediction model that could predict Zika-confirmed cases based on Zika search volume in Google Trends. We extracted weekly confirmed Zika cases of two epidemic countries, Brazil and Colombia. We got the weekly Zika search volume in the two countries from Google Trends. We used standard time-series regression (TSR) to predict the weekly confirmed Zika cases based on the Zika search volume (Zika query). The basis TSR model – using 1-week lag of Zika query and using 1-week lag of Zika cases as a control for autocorrelation – was the best for predicting Zika cases in Brazil and Colombia because it balanced the performance of the model and the advance time in the prediction. Our results showed that we could use Google search queries to predict Zika cases 1 week earlier before the outbreak. These findings are important to help healthcare authorities evaluate the outbreak and take necessary precautions.

Zika virus infection in humans has changed in character from an endemic self-limited mild illness to an epidemic disease [1]. Developing accurate tools to predict Zika infection spread is required for early prevention of the disease [2]. The purpose of this analysis is to explore whether web-based query could effectively predict Zika virus spread.

On 2 October 2016, Pan American Health Organisation (PAHO) released an epidemiological report of Zika virus in different countries [3]. Each report contains the number of confirmed and suspected cases in each country as reported by Ministry of Health in these countries. For our analysis, we selected Colombia and Brazil because there was continuous monitoring for both confirmed and suspected cases. In addition, both countries were considered as most epidemic countries in South America. PAHO report for Brazil included both suspected and confirmed cases from January 2016 to 9 July 2016, which corresponds to the first epidemiologic week of 2016 till the 27th epidemiologic week of 2016. For Colombia, the report had data from 9 August 2015 to 21 May 2016, which corresponds to the 32nd epidemiologic week of 2015 to the 20th epidemiologic week of 2016. We used Weplotdotiser software to extract the weekly confirmed Zika cases of Brazil and Colombia [4]. We only extracted confirmed cases not suspected nor reported cases to avoid overestimation of the epidemic. That is because the case definitions for Zika suspected included rashes with one of the following symptoms: fever, usually <38.5 °C, conjunctivitis (non-purulent/hyperaemic), arthralgia, myalgia and peri-articular oedema with the history of travelling to one of the epidemic areas [5].

These criteria are similar to many infectious diseases that caused reporting of a huge number of Zika cases, mainly suspected cases, while confirmed Zika cases represented only a minimum of these numbers. This can be proved by epidemiological reports released by PAHO in October 2016 in which we noticed a big difference between reported and confirmed cases. We used only confirmed cases to avoid overestimation of the epidemic because we have noticed a big difference between confirmed and reported cases that will affect our results.

To get the web search volume for the word Zika in this specific time period, we used Google Trends (https://trends.google.com/trends/) to get the weekly search volume for word ‘Zika’,
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\[ Y_t - \text{quasi} - \text{Poisson(\(\mu_t\))} \]

\[
\log \mu_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{Lag } E_{t-k} + \beta_2 \text{time} + \beta_{AC} \text{AC}
\]

\[= \text{Basis TSR} + \beta_{AC} \text{AC}. \quad (1)\]

where \(Y_t\) is the weekly Zika count on week \(t\), \(\mu_t\) is the mean parameter of the Poisson distribution, alpha (\(\alpha\)) is the intercept, and Lag \(E_{t-k}\) is the Zika query in week \(t\) minus lag \(k\) (\(k = 0, 1, 2, 3\)).

Time is a variable that takes consecutive numbers ranging from
1 on the day on which observations began to 27 on the final day of
the observation period in Brazil data, and to 41 in Columbia data.
The time variable was used to control the long-term trend in Zika
cases (assumed an increase linear trend) following Bhaskaran
et al.’s method [8]. AC stands for the auto-correlation term. We
invite the reader to refer Imai et al. for the nature of the technical
details of this model [6].

We used R software version 3.4.3 for all the described analyses
In total, seven different models were constructed, and the performance
of them was validated based on the dispersion value, which was used for
the evaluation of the model as reported by Imai et al.[6] (i.e. the smaller the dispersion value, the better
the model in predicting Zika cases). The seven constructed mod-
eels for each country with their dispersion values are described in
Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

In addition, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis to deter-
mine whether the results were dependent on modelling choices.
We replaced the time variable by the peak indicator variable
(i.e. two values: 1 indicates high-peak weeks, 0: otherwise). The
high-peak weeks were defined as the weeks containing Zika case
counts greater than the median value of Zika case counts of the
whole study period.

The best model in predicting Zika cases in Brazil was the
model with basis TSR, including lag zero of Zika query plus lag
one of Zika cases as controlling for auto-correlation (i.e. TSR
lag (Zika, 0) + AC: lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1)) (Supplementary
Table S1). Whereas the model with basis TSR, including lag
one of Zika query plus lag one of Zika cases as controlling for
auto-correlation came into second (i.e. TSR lag (Zika, 1) + AC:
lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1)), Similarly, the best model in predicting Zika
cases in Colombia is TSR lag (Zika, 0) + AC: lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1),
and the model TSR lag (Zika, 1) + AC: lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1) took
second place (Supplementary Table S2).

For the real application, the model that can predict Zika
cases in future would be preferable. Therefore, in this study, we
would recommend using the model TSR lag (Zika, 1) + AC: lag
(log (\(Y + 1\)), 1) in predicting Zika cases in Brazil and Colombia
because it balanced the performance of the model and the
advance time of prediction. The pattern of observed Zika cases
predicted Zika cases using the model TSR lag (Zika, 1) + AC: lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1) in Brazil and Colombia is shown in the
(Fig. 1). The correlation coefficients are 0.986 and 0.918 in
Brazil and Colombia, respectively, indicating a good predictive
capacity of the models. The results of sensitivity analysis were
consistent with the results of the original models, suggesting
that our results are robust and not likely affected by modelling
choices.

Our study explored the possibility to use Google Trends as a
low-cost available Zika bio-surveillance system in developing
countries. Our model was robust for the prediction of Zika in
the two countries 1 week in advance, which can help to activate
 timely vector control by local authorities, and community-based
preventive measures. It has been shown that Zika followed the
same time period and geographic distribution of dengue and
Chikungunya viruses in Brazil [13, 14, 15]. This is because of
the concurrent transmission of these viruses by the same vector.
In addition, the model can be used for monitoring other arboviral

Fig. 1. The figure shows the pattern of observed Zika cases and predicted Zika cases using the model TSR lag (E, 1) + AC: lag (log (\(Y + 1\)), 1) in Brazil (a) and
Colombia (b). (a) Brazil, basis TSR model with lag one of Zika query as a predictor and the lag one of log value of Zika case as controlling for the auto-correlation.
(b) Colombia, basis TSR model with lag one of Zika query as a predictor and the lag one of log value of Zika case as controlling for the auto-correlation. The vertical
line defines years 2015 and 2016.
diseases. After current tropical urbanisation, increasing global transportation and global warming, there is a spread of *Aedes spp.* to other regions in the world [16]. With the presence of these vectors plus the circulating arboviruses in human blood, this will be adequate for another arboviral-emerging disease [16]. More arboviral diseases are expected in the literature to be the next global outbreak including Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, Mayaro and Oropouche [17]. Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus had the same symptoms of Zika including rash, fever, headache, myalgia and arthralgia. The similarity between the symptoms of Zika, Chikungunya and Mayaro virus can lead to misdiagnosis of these diseases as Zika.[18] Theoretically, the similarity between viruses can result in an abnormal increase in search volume or at least change in the trend which will give an initial overview of the state of arboviral circulation. Hence, the model can reflect the status of arboviruses in these two countries. Yet, more research is needed to confirm this theory. With no research tool to discover the epidemic potential of these arboviruses, monitoring Zika can help predicting the status of arboviruses.

Prediction of Zika cases using Google Trends was investigated in previous papers [19, 20]. They used the suspected cases of Zika, and correlated the Zika-related Google searches, Twitter microblogs and HealthMap news reports with the suspected cases of Zika in Colombia, El Salvador, Honduras, Venezuela and Martinique. In our study, however, we used the confirmed Zika cases for correlation and prediction which will give more reliable and consistent results. Another point of our study is the source of data. Our data were directly extracted from PAHO reports, which is considered far more reliable than Twitter microblogs and HealthMap. We tried these data before and we found an overlapped and duplicate data that were immediately discarded, and we decided to depend only on official reports provided in PAHO. For the statistical model, McGough et al. used LASSO regression model for prediction whereas, we used the PDLM. Phung et al. [7] validated the three different models comprising: SMR, SARIMA and PDLM for the prediction of dengue cases and they found that PDLM was the most accurate for prediction.

In conclusion, we could use Zika query to predict Zika cases 1 week in advance, which provides a useful tool for monitoring and controlling Zika outbreaks.
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