5. CHRONOLOGY OF THE KUSAN DYNASTY OF NORTHERN TNDIA.

> Cheltenham. December 16, 1901.

My DEAR PROFESSOR RHYS DAVIDS,—I shall be obliged if you can spare a little space in the January number of the Journal for the announcement that I believe myself to be so fortunate as to have solved the long-debated problem of the Kusan chronology.

The known dates are:-

These dates are, I think, expressed in the Laukika or Saptarshi Era of Kaśmīr, the millenniums and centuries being omitted in accordance with the practice of the Rajatarangini.

The corresponding dates are:

King.	Laukika.		Kālī Yuga.		A.D.1
Kaniska	 [32]05 + 2	<b>5</b> ,=	3230	=	129 - 130
,,	 [32]28 ,,	=	3253	==	$154-155^{2}$
Huviska	 [32]29 ,,	=	3254	=	155-156 <sup>2</sup>
,,	 [32]60 ,,	_	3285	=	184-185
<b>Vā</b> sudeva	 [32]74 ,,	=	3299	=	198-199
,,	 [32]98 ,,		<b>3323</b>	=	222 - 223

I have worked out this result in detail, after consideration of all the principal writings on this subject, including the recent essays of Messrs. Bhandarkar, Boyer, Sylvain Lévi, and Specht.

I hope on another occasion, in due course, to convince others, as I have convinced myself, that my solution is in conformity with the Chinese, epigraphic, numismatic, and monumental evidence—or, in other words, that it satisfies all the conditions of the problem.—Yours sincerely,

VINCENT A. SMITH.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Book of Indian Eras," Table xvii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The table gives the figures as stated, but I should have expected 152-153 and 153-154.