Prebiotic effect of fruit and vegetable shots containing Jerusalem artichoke inulin: a human intervention study
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The present study aimed to determine the prebiotic effect of fruit and vegetable shots containing inulin derived from Jerusalem artichoke (JA). A three-arm parallel, placebo-controlled, double-blind study was carried out with sixty-six healthy human volunteers (thirty-three men and thirty-three women, age range: 18–50 years). Subjects were randomised into three groups (n=22) assigned to consume either the test shots, pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS) or plum-pear-beetroot (PPB), containing JA inulin (5 g/d) or the placebo. Fluorescent in situ hybridisation was used to monitor populations of total bacteria, bacteroides, bifidobacteria, Clostridium perfringens/histolyticum subgroup, Eubacterium rectale/ Clostridium coccoides group, Lactobacillus/Enterococcus spp., Atopobium spp., Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and propionibacteria. Bifidobacteria levels were significantly higher on consumption of both the PCS and PPB shots (10.0 (SD 0.24) and 9.8 (SD 0.22) log_{10} cells/g faeces, respectively) compared with placebo (9.3 (SD 0.42) log_{10} cells/g faeces) (P<0.0001). A small though significant increase in Lactobacillus/Enterococcus group was also observed for both the PCS and PPB shots (8.3 (SD 0.49) and 8.3 (SD 0.36)log_{10} cells/g faeces, respectively) compared with placebo (8.1 (SD 0.37) log_{10} cells/g faeces) (P=0.042). Other bacterial groups and faecal SCFA concentrations remained unaffected. No extremeties were seen in the adverse events, medication or bowel habits. A slight significant increase in flatulence was reported in the subjects consuming the PCS and PPB shots compared with placebo, but overall flatulence levels remained mild. A very high level of compliance (>90%) to the product was observed. The present study confirms the prebiotic efficacy of fruit and vegetable shots containing JA inulin.

Gut microbiota: Inulin: Jerusalem artichoke: Prebiotics

The biological and clinical importance of the human gastrointestinal microbiota is becoming increasingly recognised by consumers and healthcare workers. Although many disease states involve bacterial metabolism, the human gut microbiota may be considered extremely relevant for the maintenance and improvement in host health(1). For instance, bifidobacteria and lactobacilli may contribute to inhibit pathogenic bacteria, reduce blood cholesterol levels, improve the immune response and produce vitamins(2). Scientific concepts underpinning directed modulation of the human gut microbiota have been developed over several decades, with probiotics as the principal focus(3). In recent years, there has been an upsurge of interest in prebiotics, which selectively enhance beneficial components of the gut microbiota(4). Their use is directed towards favouring beneficial components within the gut microbial milieu such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli. They are distinct from most dietary fibres like pectin, cellulose and xylan, which are not selectively metabolised by the gut microbiota. In contrast to probiotics, prebiotics can be added to many foods including those which are cooked or baked as they do not suffer from the survivability issues associated with probiotics(5,6).

The fructans (i.e. neosugar, oligofructose and inulin) are current market leaders for prebiotics worldwide. Most fructans are either synthesised from sucrose or prepared commercially from inulin-rich plant sources such as chicory root (Cichorium intybus)(7–9). However, a number of alternative sources of inulin, such as Jerusalem artichoke (JA) (Helianthus tuberosus)(10) and burdock (Arctium lappa)(10) are now being commercialised, and there is growing scientific literature supportive of their equivalence to chicory-derived inulin(6). These emerging prebiotic candidates may eventually find their way into the global market. However, there is a need to confirm their prebiotic effectiveness using reliable methodologies in different formulations and in human studies.

Here, we report a human study designed to assess the prebiotic capability of fruit and vegetable shots containing inulin from JA root. The effect of JA inulin present in the fruit and vegetable shots upon relative numbers of intestinal microbiota was determined using fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH). Faecal concentrations of SCFA were measured, and digestive tolerance of the prebiotic shots was monitored over the course of the trial.

Abbreviations: FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridisation; ITT, intention to treat; JA, Jerusalem artichoke; PCS, pear-carrot-sea buckthorn; PPB, plum-pear-beetroot; PP, per protocol.
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Materials and methods

Subjects

Sixty-six healthy human volunteers were recruited from the Reading area. Written consent was obtained from all the volunteers, and they were assessed for good health before the start of the trial according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the University of Reading Ethics committee.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria. Signed consent form, age 18–50 years inclusive, non-smoking, BMI 20–30 kg/m² inclusive and good general health as determined by medical questionnaires.

Exclusion criteria. Volunteers were excluded from the trial if there was evidence of physical or mental disease or major surgery, which might limit participation in the study or completion of the study or interfere with the outcome of the study. Volunteers with a history of drug and alcohol abuse, severe allergy, abnormal drug reaction, or who were pregnant, lactating or planning pregnancy, were excluded from the study. Intake of an experimental drug within 4 weeks before study, former participation in probiotics, prebiotics or laxative trial within the previous 3 months, use of antibiotics within the previous 6 months, history of chronic constipation or diarrhoea or other chronic gastrointestinal complaint (e.g. irritable bowel syndrome) and intake of other specific prebiotics (such as fructo-oligosaccharides, galacto-oligosaccharides) or probiotics, drugs active on gastrointestinal motility or a laxative of any class within the 4 weeks before the start of the run-in period of the study were prohibited.

Requirements for diet and medication during study

Volunteers were instructed not to consume any additional prebiotics (such as oligosaccharides e.g. fructo-oligosaccharides or inulin), probiotics (e.g. live yoghurts), drugs active on gastrointestinal motility, antibiotics or laxatives during the study. They were not allowed to participate in any other nutritional or pharmaceutical trials for the duration of the trial. Any medication taken was recorded in the diaries. Volunteers were advised not to alter their usual diet or fluid intake during the trial period.

Treatment and placebo shots

The test and the placebo shots (100 ml) were produced in three groups: two groups were containing JA inulin and the placebo shots did not contain inulin. The shots containing JA inulin were two liquid preparations made of fruit and vegetable juice concentrates and purées: one was predominantly made of pear-carrot-sea buckthorn and JA juices or purées (PCS), and the other preparation was predominantly made of plum-pear-beetroot and JA juices or pureés (PPB). Inulin was not extracted from JA but present in the JA juice concentrate that was used in the formulation. The placebo was a water-based preparation, with added sugar, thickened and flavoured with blood orange, carrot and raspberry extracts and flavours (but no juice or purées). The nutritional information for each of the shots is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Nutritional information of placebo and fruit and vegetable test shots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients (per 100 ml shot)</th>
<th>Placebo*</th>
<th>PCS test shot with JA†</th>
<th>PPB test shot with JA‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ/kcal)</td>
<td>276/65</td>
<td>280/65</td>
<td>260/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g)</td>
<td>15-8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugars (g)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>&lt;0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (g)</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which inulin (g)§</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (g)</td>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>0-02</td>
<td>0-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols (mg)</td>
<td>&lt;0-001</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Placebo ingredients: sugar, potassium sorbate, carboxymethylated cellulose, xanthan, orange flavour, raspberry flavour, carrot flavour, ß-carotene, anthocyanin, caramel, acacia gum, malic acid, citric acid, salt and water.
† PCS ingredients: pear purée, concentrated orange juice, carrot juice concentrate, JA root juice concentrate, pear juice concentrate, apple purée, orange pulp, sea buckthorn purée, acerola purée concentrate.
‡ PPB ingredients: carrot juice concentrate, concentrated apple juice, JA root juice concentrate, pear purée, plum purée, beetroot juice concentrate, orange pulp, acerola purée concentrate, blackcurrant juice concentrate.
§ Sum of all cellulose, non-cellulose (including hemicellulose, pectin, pentose etc.) and lignin.

The volunteers were advised to consume two of the 100 ml shots/d. Each of the test shots provided an inulin dose of 2.5 g, resulting in a total dose of 5 g/d.

All the test products were provided and labelled by Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, The Netherlands. During the study, neither the investigators nor the volunteers were aware of whether they were given the treatment or placebo shots. The study was unblinded after statistical analysis.

Study design

The feeding trial comprised of a three-arm parallel, placebo-controlled, randomised, double-blind study with three groups of twenty-two healthy human volunteers. The sample size was calculated based on previous studies (11–14), which have tested the effect of 5 g oligofructose supplementation on bifidobacteria. Based on these studies, it was determined that to detect an increase in bifidobacteria populations of $0.8 \log_{10}$ cells/g faeces (with SD = 0.89 and a two-sided analysis), the necessary sample size to achieve a power of 0.8 (with a significance level of 5 %) was sixty-three people (twenty-one people/group). Therefore, the study was performed with sixty-six volunteers (twenty-two per group) plus six reserve volunteers to cover potential drop-outs.

Volunteers were randomly assigned to consume either one of the two different formulations containing JA inulin (i.e. PCS, PPB) or placebo as described earlier. The three groups were balanced for sex, age and BMI. After a 2-week run-in period, they were asked to consume the products twice daily, one shot in the morning with breakfast and another in the evening with dinner for a 3-week intervention period. The treatment was followed by a 3-week wash-out period during which no shots were consumed.
Volunteers were assessed using FISH with oligonucleotide probes targeting 16S rRNA. The faecal homogenate was processed for whole-cell FISH and SCFA analyses. Changes in intestinal comfort (abdominal pain, stomach or intestinal bloating and flatulence) of shots qualitatively graded by volunteers as none, mild, moderate and severe were scored as 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Any concomitant medication and adverse events or volunteer comments on the product were recorded. Volunteers were asked to record the time of consumption of the product in the morning and in the evening as a test product consumption check for measuring compliance. Volunteers were instructed to return the empty as well as the unused product bottles. They were considered compliant if they consumed at least 80% of the shots over the 3 weeks intervention and 100% of the shots in the last 3 days before the completion of the intervention.

Volunteers who completed the full intervention study according to protocol and adequate compliance were defined as ‘per protocol’ population (PP). Those who received at least one test product were defined as ‘intention to treat’ population (ITT). Five volunteers dropped out before the end of run-in and provision of a baseline sample, and they were not included in either PP or ITT populations. Only one volunteer dropped out on day 2 of the intervention and was included in the ITT population but not in the PP population. All the six dropouts were replaced by reserve volunteers maintaining the balance of the groups. The PP population (with sixty-six volunteers including the reserves who completed the study) was included in the final analysis. No separate analysis of the ITT population could be performed, as the only difference between ITT population and PP population was one volunteer who dropped out on day 2 of intervention and thus did not provide any faecal sample after the intervention started.

**Stool sample preparation and processing**

Freshly voided stool samples were collected in sterile plastic pots at the University of Reading at the end of run-in (day 0), treatment (day 21) and wash-out (day 42) periods, respectively. Faecal samples were processed within 15 min of defecation. Samples were diluted (1:10, w/w) with sterile anaerobic PBS (0·1 M, pH 7·0) and homogenised in a stomacher (Seward, Kent, UK). The probes used were EUB 338 mix (EUB, EUBII synthesis commercially (Sigma Aldrich Limited, Gillingham, Dorset, UK) fitted with a free fatty acid phase (FFAP) column (30 m × 0·53 mm; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) and flame ionisation detector. The carrier gas, He, was delivered at a flow rate of 14 ml/min. The head pressure was set at 68·95 × 105 Pa, and split ratio was 10:1. Injector, column and detector were set at 220, 140 and 220°C, respectively. A quantity of 1 μl of each sample was injected with a run time of 10·75 min. Peaks were integrated using the Atlas Lab managing software (Thermo Lab Systems, Mainz, Germany). Fatty acid concentrations were calculated by comparing their peak areas with the standards and expressed as mmol/g (wet weight) faeces.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed on bacterial counts (log10 cells/g faeces) and fermentation characteristics using SAS software (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The PP population that fully completed the intervention was included in the analysis. Data are presented as arithmetic means and standard deviations, but statistical significance of the overall treatment effect was judged using the analysis of covariance analysis, with run-in data taken as a covariate. The Tukey–Kramer test was used for multiple comparisons between groups on least square means (adjusted means for multiple comparisons, taking run-in data as covariates. For all group effects were also analysed at the end of the wash-out period by analysis of covariance with Tukey–Kramer for all

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Each treatment group included eleven men and eleven women. The three treatment groups placebo, PCS and PPB were not fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and hybridised with appropriate probes as described by Vulevic et al. (24). Fifteen random fields were counted on each slide using an epifluorescent microscope (Brunel Microscopes Limited, Chippenham, Wiltshire, UK). Microbial counts were expressed as log10 bacterial cells per faeces (wet weight).

**SCFA analysis**

Aliquots, 1·5 ml, of the faecal homogenate prepared earlier were dispensed into micro centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 12500 g for 5 min. The supernatants were acidified with 6 M-HCl (3:1, v/v), vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The mix was again centrifuged at 12 500 g for 5 min and filtered using a 0·2 μm polyvinyl difluoride filter (Millipore, Cork, Republic of Ireland). Hundred microlitres of 2-ethylbutyric acid, used as internal standard, were added to 400 μl of the sample and dispensed in a 2 ml Hichrom vial (Agilent Technologies, South Queensferry, West Lothian, UK) for analysis. Calibration was achieved using standard solutions of acetic, propionic, i-butyric, n-butyric, i-valeric, n-valeric and n-caproic acids prepared in 6 M-HCl. The final concentrations of each external standard were 20, 10, 5, 1 and 0·5 mM. The samples were run though a 5890 Series II GC system (HP, Crawley, West Sussex, UK) fitted with a free fatty acid phase (FFAP) column (30 m × 0·53 mm; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) and flame ionisation detector. The carrier gas, He, was delivered at a flow rate of 14 ml/min. The head pressure was set at 68·95 × 105 Pa, and split ratio was 10:1. Injector, column and detector were set at 220, 140 and 220°C, respectively. A quantity of 1 μl of each sample was injected with a run time of 10·75 min. Peaks were integrated using the Atlas Lab managing software (Thermo Lab Systems, Mainz, Germany). Fatty acid concentrations were calculated by comparing their peak areas with the standards and expressed as mmol/g (wet weight) faeces.
different from each other in terms of age (32.9 (SD 7.3), 33.0 (SD 7.3) and 32.5 (SD 7.7) years, respectively) as well as in BMI (24.3 (SD 2.4), 24.3 (SD 2.9) and 24.1 (SD 2.6) kg/m², respectively) (P>0.80).

Compliance of volunteers
All sixty-six volunteers consumed 100% of the shots for the last 3 d of the intervention. Over the 3-week period, sixty-one volunteers consumed 100% shots, four volunteers missed one shot (>97% compliance) and one volunteer missed four shots (>90% compliance). All the volunteers were thus compliant according to the definition set out by the study protocol.

Volunteers reported 100% compliance to background dietary restrictions required in the study.

Medication and adverse events
The general population of volunteers had consumed a variety of over-the-counter drugs such as remedies for cold and flu, anti-allergy, painkillers and indigestion tablets. There were no extremities, and the level of medication was judged as representative of a typical UK population. Among the sixty-six subjects, one volunteer recorded the intake of Dostinex (cabergoline), a prescription drug used to control thyroid activity.

No serious adverse events were recorded by the volunteers. Volunteers reported, in their individual diaries, a variety of symptoms, such as headache, stomach ache, toothache, backache, sore throat, scattered over the three periods (run-in, treatment and wash-out). Among the volunteers who recorded stomach pain, four specified that it was related to period pain; for others, the reasons were not stated. Two of the subjects (one each from the placebo and PPB treatment group, respectively) reported non-profuse diarrhoea which lasted for less than 2 d.

Faecal microbiota
The faecal bacterial populations present in twenty-two volunteers in each of the three treatment groups were determined at the end of run-in, treatment and wash-out periods, respectively (Table 2). FISH with probes targeting bacterial groups of interest was used for bacterial counts. All the bacterial groups could be quantified in each sample, except lactobacilli which were below the detection limit of FISH (10^9 cells/g) in six samples (three samples of the run-in period, two samples of the treatment period and one sample of the wash-out period). These data points were set as missing data in the statistical analysis.

Bifidobacteria levels were significantly higher upon consumption of both the PCS and PPB shots (10.0 (SD 0.24) and 9.8 (SD 0.22) log10 cells/g faeces, respectively) compared

---

Table 2. Faecal bacterial numbers (log10 cells/g faeces) determined by fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) for sixty-six healthy human volunteers before and after consumption of pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS) and plum-pear-beetroot (PPB) shots containing Jerusalem artichoke (JA) inulin or placebo

![Image of Table 2](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)

Day 0† 10.8 0.22 10.8 0.35 10.8 0.35
Day 21 10.8 0.26 10.8 0.23 10.9 0.18
Day 42 9.8 0.38 9.8 0.36 9.8 0.34

**Day 21**, ***Day 42**

---

Fig. 1. Bifidobacteria counts expressed as log10 cells/g faeces in stool samples after the intervention period with placebo or fruit and vegetable shots (pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS) and plum-pear-beetroot (PPB)) containing Jerusalem artichoke insulin. For each group, the mean (middle line), standard deviation (top and bottom lines) and individual data points are represented. **Mean value was significantly different from that of the placebo (P < 0.0001).**
with placebo (9.3 (SD 0.42) log_{10} cells/g faeces) (P < 0.0001). At the end of the wash-out period, i.e. 3 weeks after the volunteers stopped consumption of the shots, the levels of bifidobacteria returned to approximate baseline levels, and no difference between the groups was observed (P = 0.82). In Fig. 1, bifidobacteria counts (log_{10} cells/g faeces) as determined by FISH) are plotted from each individual volunteer group (Table 2: SD 0.22–0.24) v. 0.36–0.45, respectively and Fig. 1). Finally, the volunteers with the lowest initial bifidobacteria numbers gave the largest increase in bifidobacteria numbers (Fig. 2). This may suggest that the level of bifidobacteria was maximised in the gut after treatment.

Lactobacillus/Enterococcus group levels were also slightly higher at the end of the treatment period for both the test shots (8.3 (SD 0.49) and 8.3 (SD 0.36) log_{10} cells/g faeces, respectively for PCS and PPB shots) compared with placebo (8.1 (SD 0.37) log_{10} cells/g faeces). The overall treatment effect was significant (P = 0.042), although only a trend towards a significant difference between the placebo and PCS groups (P = 0.055) was detected in the multiple comparison.

A third possible effect of the treatments was observed in the bacterial group propionibacteria, however, we believe this is likely to be a statistical artefact. No treatment effect was found at the end of the treatment period (P = 0.90). However, a treatment effect was found at the end of the wash-out period (with run-in data as covariates), due to a statistically significant difference between the placebo and PCS groups (P < 0.05). However, the groups were not clearly separated.

![Fig. 2. Increase in bifidobacteria numbers in stool samples from pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS; ○) and plum-pea-beetroot (PPB; — — —) treatment groups (both shots containing Jerusalem artichoke) as a function of initial bifidobacteria levels at the end of the run-in period. The regression lines indicate that the volunteers with the lowest initial levels of bifidobacteria gave the maximum increase in bifidobacteria numbers after both the PCS and PPB treatments.](image)

### Table 3. Summary of bowel habit data and intestinal comfort recorded in diaries by sixty-six volunteers before and after consumption of pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS) and plum-pea-beetroot (PPB) shots containing Jerusalem artichoke (JA) inulin and placebo (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>PCS test shots with JA</th>
<th>PPB test shots with JA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stool frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stools/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 42</strong></td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool consistency†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 42</strong></td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 42</strong></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach or intestinal bloating‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 42</strong></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 42</strong></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day 0, run-in; day 21, treatment; day 42, wash-out. Average values over the three periods have been represented here.

* Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).

† Stool consistencies graded as hard, formed and soft were scored as 0, 1 and 2, respectively.

‡ Intestinal comfort (abdominal pain, stomach or intestinal bloating and flatulence) graded as none, mild, moderate and severe were scored as 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively.
This suggests that there was no real effect of the shot treatment on propionibacterial populations.

For other groups of enumerated bacterial populations (total bacteria, bacteroides, clostridia, E. rectale/C. coccoides group, Atopobium spp. and F. prausnitzii), overall no significant differences were observed.

Bowel habits and intestinal comfort

Table 3 summarises data on bowel habits and intestinal comfort. No significant differences were observed in the mean daily stool frequency. Average stool scores graded hard, formed and soft are depicted in Table 3 with no significant differences observed. The parameters of intestinal comfort (abdominal pain, stomach or intestinal bloating and flatulence) graded by volunteers as none, mild, moderate and severe are also depicted in Table 3. No significant changes in scores of stomach or intestinal bloating were observed after treatment compared with placebo. A treatment effect on abdominal pain scores was found ($P=0.03$), due to a slightly higher score in the PCS group as compared to placebo at the end of the treatment period (0.42 (SD 0.51) vs. 0.16 (SD 0.19) on a scale of 3, $P=0.03$). This small, but significant effect, was due to slightly higher mean scores (1.4–1.6) for three volunteers in the PCS group after treatment. There was no significant difference in abdominal pain scores between the PPB group and placebo group. Overall, the scores still remained in the range of ‘mild’ ratings. Flatulence scores were also affected by the treatments ($P=0.018$), due to a statistically significant difference between the PCS and placebo groups (0.98 (SD 0.73) vs. 0.44 (SD 0.51), $P=0.02$). There was no difference in flatulence scores between the PPB and placebo groups at the end of the treatment period. In all the groups, levels of flatulence after treatment remained mild (mean scores below 1 on a scale of 3).

SCFA analysis

Parallel to the bacterial counts, faecal samples were also analysed for SCFA (Table 4). The average molar proportion of acetic, propionic and butyric acids varied from 81.1 to 91.6, 1.06 to 14 and 2.0 to 9.4%, respectively. All other fatty acids namely isobutyric, valeric, isovaleric and caproic were below the detection limits. No significant changes in faecal concentrations of any SCFA were observed over the course of the study.

### Table 4. Ratios of SCFA in the faecal samples of volunteers before and after consumption of pear-carrot-sea buckthorn (PCS) and plum-pear-beetroot (PPB) shots containing Jerusalem artichoke (JA) inulin and placebo

(Molar ratio and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group ...</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>PCS test shots with JA</th>
<th>PPB test shots with JA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCFA*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Molar ratio SD</td>
<td>Molar ratio SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0†</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 42</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 42</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 42</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day 0, run-in; day 21, treatment; day 42, wash-out values.

*SCFA represented as ratio (%) of individual SCFA concentration in mmol/g of faeces/total SCFA concentration in mmoles/gram of faeces.

† No significant differences between treatment groups were found. Least square means (adjusted means after correction for the run-in value (day 0)) have been used to determine the statistical differences between groups by analysis of covariance analysis using Tukey–Kramer for multiple comparisons. The least square means are not listed here.

Discussion

Inulin-derived fructans are well characterised and have emerged as the most confirmed group of prebiotics, a fact supported in several human studies(6,14,25). However, most research has been restricted to inulin derived from chicory roots(9). There is growing interest in alternative sources of inulin such as JA. Kleessen et al. (6) confirmed its prebiotic effectiveness and equivalence to chicory inulin in snack bars. Since there is relatively little information on the effects of JA on gut microbiota, there is a need to confirm prebiotic efficacy in different food formulations in vivo.

The present study thus aimed to determine the effect of a fruit and vegetable shot containing inulin from JA on the gut microbiota of sixty-six healthy human volunteers. The study was carried out in a double-blind, randomised, parallel manner, with volunteers consuming the test products for a 3-week period, followed by a 3-week wash-out period. The test shots were delivered in two different flavours PCS and PPB. The total dose of inulin consumed by the volunteers was 5 g/d. The primary objective of the study was to monitor changes in levels of the following faecal bacterial populations: total bacteria, bacteroides, bifidobacteria, clostridia, E. rectale/ C. coccoides group, Lactobacillus/Enterococcus spp., Atopobium spp., F. prausnitzii and propionibacteria using FISH.
The secondary objective was to measure concentrations of SCFA, analyse bowel habits and intestinal comfort. All changes were monitored over the course of the trial on day 0 (end of run-in), day 21 (end of treatment) and day 42 (end of wash-out).

Sixty-six volunteers completed the study with a very high compliance (>90%) to the test products and 100% compliance to background diet with restrictions in the consumption of prebiotic and probiotic foods. No extremities in medication or adverse events were observed. Only one volunteer reported the intake of a prescription drug (Dostinex) to prevent excess thyroid activity. Since no literature data could be found to indicate that this drug has an effect on the gut microbiota, this volunteer was not excluded from the ‘PP’ population.

In the present study, consumption of both the PCS and PPB shots containing JA inulin resulted in a clear and significant increase in bifidobacteria compared with placebo (Fig. 1). The prebiotic effectiveness of JA inulin observed here is well in line with previous feeding studies, where chicory-derived ingredients have been used(6,14,25). However, the increase in bifidobacteria numbers over time was lower than that obtained for JA inulin containing snack bars reported by Kleessen et al. Here, an increase in bifidobacteria numbers of 1.2 log_{10} cells/g faeces in 21 d was observed in comparison to an increase of 0.5–0.6 log_{10} cells/g faeces for a similar intervention period in the present study. This may be attributed to the higher dose of JA inulin (7.7–14.5 g/d) used in the intervention period in the present study. This may be attributed to the increase in bifidobacteria numbers observed for both the test shots compared with placebo: 0.2 log_{10} cells/g faeces for both the PCS and PPB shots compared with placebo. This is consistent with a few studies on fructo-oligosaccharides, where increases in lactobacilli have also been observed(25). However, Kleessen et al. report on JA snack bars did not show any change in the lactobacilli/enterococci populations.

No change in numbers of total bacteria, bacteroides, clostridia, E. rectale/C. coccoides group and Atopobium spp. were observed. This was contrasting to the decrease in levels of potential pathogenic groups such as bacteroides and clostridia reported by Kleessen et al. for JA inulin. However, studies with other inulin-based products report little or no significant changes in other groups of bacteria apart from bifidobacteria(5,8,14,26). F. prausnitzii levels remained unchanged after the treatment, which is consistent with the report by Kleessen et al. The difference between groups observed with propionibacteria was seen after the wash-out period but not the treatment period and seemed to be a statistical artefact. No changes in bacterial populations were observed on ingestion of the placebo shots.

No significant changes were observed in the faecal SCFA concentrations after consumption of PCS or PPB shots containing JA inulin. It has been well documented in human studies that approximately 95% of the SCFA are readily absorbed by the large intestine before excretion in the faeces. Thus, their concentration in the faeces is unlikely to represent their rate of production by the gut microbiota(6,29).

Inulin type fructans are well known to stimulate bowel movements(6,7,14). In the present study, no significant change in stool frequency or consistency was observed, and no extremes were observed for intestinal comfort reports. No significant changes in stomach or intestinal bowing were observed. Moderate increased abdominal pain was reported for three volunteers consuming the PCS shots, but not for the PPB shots. These three volunteers remained compliant to the intervention. Overall, abdominal pain levels remained low. A significant increase in flatulence reports was observed for volunteers consuming the PCS shots. However, levels of flatulence remained mild. The production of hydrogen during bacterial fermentation may be the reason for flatulence. However, the bacterial groups whose numbers increased significantly, namely bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, are not known to produce gas(30). In contrast, clostridia, which are prolific gas producers, did not show any significant increase upon ingestion of the prebiotic shots. Overall, the relationship between specific bacteria in the gut and gas production is not well understood(3,6,14,31).

In conclusion, the study confirms the prebiotic effectiveness of fruit and vegetable shots containing JA inulin as observed by selective increase in bifidobacteria populations and a small increase in lactobacilli. The novel combination of a fruit and vegetable shot with the bacterial modulatory capability of JA inulin constitutes a new food format to deliver functional benefits consisting of natural ingredients.
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