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#### Abstract

We give a complete description of maximal compatible partial orders on the mono-unary algebra ( $A, f$ ), where $f: A \rightarrow A$ is an arbitrary unary operation.
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## 1. Introduction

The well-known Szpilrajn theorem ([9]) asserts that any partial order $\leq_{r}$ (or $r$ ) on a set $A$ can be extended to a linear order $\leq_{R}$. Recent work related to this early result includes ([2-4,6,7]). As a consequence of Szpilrajn's theorem we obtain that the maximal partial orders (with respect to the containment relation) on $A$ are exactly the linear orders of $A$. A general scheme for extending Szpilrajn's theorem consists of restricting attention to orders with some prescribed property, and requiring that the linear extension also possess this property (see [1]). In particular, if $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a unary operation, then we can restrict our consideration to the so called compatible partial orders of $(A, f)$, that is, to partial orders with the following property: $x \leq_{r} y$ implies $f(x) \leq_{r} f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. In the present paper we investigate the compatible extensions of a given $r$ in a partially ordered mono-unary algebra $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$. Using $f$-prohibited pairs, for compatible partial orders we define the notion of $f$-quasilinearity. Our main result states, that a compatible partial order $r$ on $(A, f)$ can always be extended to a compatible $f$-quasilinear partial order $R$. As

[^0]a consequence, we obtain that the maximal compatible partial orders on $(A, f)$ are exactly the compatible $f$-quasilinear partial orders. It turns out, that a compatible $f$-quasilinear partial order is linear if and only if the function $f$ has no proper cycle (acyclic according to the terminology of [8]). Thus the following main theorem of [8] will appear as a special case of our Theorem 4.2.

Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be an acyclic function (there is no $c \in A$ such that $f(c) \neq c$ and $f^{n}(c)=c$ for some integer $n \geq 2$ ) and $r \subseteq A \times A$ a compatible partial order on $(A, f)$. Then there exists a compatible linear order $R \subseteq A \times A$ on $(A, f)$ with $r \subseteq R$.

On the other hand, we shall make extensive use of the above result in proving Theorem 4.2.

## 2. Components, cycles and distance

Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a function (unary operation on the set $A$ ). We define the relation $\sim_{f}$ as follows: for $x, y \in A$ let $x \sim_{f} y$ if $f^{k}(x)=f^{l}(y)$ for some integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$. It is straightforward to see that $\sim_{f}$ is an equivalence on $A$. The equivalence class $[x]_{f}$ of an element $x \in A$ is called the $f$-component of $x$. Clearly, $[x]_{f} \subseteq A$ is a subalgebra in $(A, f)$, that is, $f\left([x]_{f}\right) \subseteq[x]_{f}$. An element $c \in A$ is called cyclic with respect to $f$ (or cyclic in $(A, f)$ ), if $f^{m}(c)=c$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. For a cyclic element $c$,

$$
n=n(c)=\min \left\{m \mid m \geq 1 \text { and } f^{m}(c)=c\right\}
$$

is called the period of $c$ or the length of the cycle $C=\left\{c, f(c), \ldots, f^{n-1}(c)\right\}$; it is easy to prove that $C$ has exactly $n$ elements, $f(C)=C$ and $f^{k}(c)=f^{l}(c)$ holds if and only if $k-l$ is divisible by $n$. A pair $(x, y) \in A \times A$ is called $f$-prohibited, if we can find integers $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$ such that $m$ is not a divisor of $k-l$, the elements $f^{k}(x), f^{k+1}(x), \ldots, f^{k+m-1}(x)$ are distinct and $f^{k+m}(x)=f^{k}(x)=f^{l}(y)$. For an $f$-prohibited pair $(x, y)$ and an integer $k \geq 0$ as above, we have $y \in[x]_{f}$, and $f^{k}(x)$ is a cyclic element in $[x]_{f}$ of period $m$. It is easy to verify, that a pair $(x, y)$ is $f$-prohibited, if and only if $f^{k}(x)=f^{l}(y)$ is cyclic and $f^{k+l}(x) \neq f^{k+l}(y)$ for some integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$ (the latter condition can be replaced by $f^{t}(x) \neq f^{t}(y)$ for all integers $t \geq 0$ ). The distance between an element $y \in[x]_{f}$ and a given cyclic element $c \in[x]_{f}$ is defined in part (1) of the following proposition, the proof of which is straightforward and hence omitted.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $y \in[x]_{f}$ and $c \in[x]_{f}$ be a cyclic element of period $n \geq 1$. Then we have the following.
(1) There exists an integer $t \geq 0$ such that $f^{t}(y)=c$. Let

$$
d(y, c)=\min \left\{t \mid t \geq 0 \text { and } f^{t}(y)=c\right\}
$$

denote the distance of $y$ from $c$.
(2) $d(f(c), c)=n-1$ and for $y \neq c$, we have $d(f(y), c)=d(y, c)-1$.
(3) All cyclic elements of $[x]_{f}$ are in $C=\left\{c, f(c), \ldots, f^{n-1}(c)\right\}$ and each element in $C$ is cyclic of period $n$.
(4) If $l \geq 0$ is an integer, then $f^{l}(y)=c$ holds if and only if $l \geq d(y, c)$ and $l-d(y, c)$ is divisible by $n$.
(5) $(x, y)$ is $f$-prohibited if and only if $d(x, c)-d(y, c)$ is not divisible by $n$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. If $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$ is a partially ordered mono-unary algebra, then we have the following.
(1) If $c \in A$ is a cyclic element of period $n \geq 1$, then $C=\left\{c, f(c), \ldots, f^{n-1}(c)\right\}$ is an antichain with respect to $\leq_{r}$.
(2) If $(x, y) \in A \times A$ is an $f$-prohibited pair, then $x$ and $y$ are incomparable with respect to $\leq r$.

Proof. (1) Take $c^{*}=f^{i}(c)$ and $t=j-i$. Then $f^{t}\left(c^{*}\right)=f^{j}(c)$. Now $c^{*} \leq_{r} f^{t}\left(c^{*}\right)$ implies $c^{*} \leq_{r} f^{t}\left(c^{*}\right) \leq_{r} f^{2 t}\left(c^{*}\right) \leq_{r} \cdots \leq_{r} f^{n t}\left(c^{*}\right)=c^{*}$, in contradiction with $c^{*} \neq f^{t}\left(c^{*}\right)$. The reverse relation $f^{t}\left(c^{*}\right) \leq_{r} c^{*}$ leads to a similar contradiction.
(2) Let $f^{k}(x), \ldots, f^{k+m-1}(x)$ be distinct elements and $f^{k+m}(x)=f^{k}(x)=f^{l}(y)$ for some integers $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$ with $m \nmid k-l$. The assumption $x \leq_{r} y$ implies

$$
f^{k+l}(x) \leq_{r} f^{k+l}(y)
$$

for the elements $f^{k+l}(x)$ and $f^{k+l}(y)=f^{k}\left(f^{l}(y)\right)=f^{k}\left(f^{k}(x)\right)=f^{2 k}(x)$ of the cycle $C=\left\{f^{k}(x), f^{k+1}(x), \ldots, f^{k+m-1}(x)\right\}$, which contradicts (1), since $m \nmid 2 k-(k+l)$. The case $y \leq_{r} x$ can be treated similarly.

## 3. The order components of $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$

Let ( $A, f, \leq r$ ) be a partially ordered mono-unary algebra. Consider the factor set

$$
B=A / \sim_{f}=\left\{[x]_{f} \mid x \in A\right\} .
$$

We define the relation $\triangleleft_{r}$ on $B$ as follows: $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f}$ if $x_{1} \leq_{r} y_{1}$ for some $x_{1} \in[x]_{f}$ and $y_{1} \in[y]_{f}$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. (1) $\triangleleft_{r}$ is a quasiorder (reflexive and transitive) on $B$.
(2) If $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f}$ and $[y]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[x]_{f}$ for the $f$-components $[x]_{f} \neq[y]_{f}$, then there is no cyclic element $c \in[x]_{f} \cup[y]_{f}$ of period $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. (1) In order to see transitivity, suppose $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[z]_{f}$. Then $x_{1} \leq_{r} y_{1}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime} \leq_{r} z_{1}$ for some $x_{1} \in[x]_{f}, y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime} \in[y]_{f}$ and $z_{1} \in[z]_{f}$. Since $y_{1} \sim_{f} y_{1}^{\prime}$, we can find integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$ such that $f^{k}\left(y_{1}\right)=f^{l}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. However,

$$
f^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq_{r} f^{k}\left(y_{1}\right)=f^{l}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq_{r} f^{l}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

for $f^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) \in[x]_{f}$ and $f^{l}\left(z_{1}\right) \in[z]_{f}$, so $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[z]_{f}$.
(2) Suppose that $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[x]_{f},[x]_{f} \neq[y]_{f}$ and, without loss of generality, $c \in[x]_{f}$ is a cyclic element of period $n \geq 1$. There exist $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[x]_{f}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in[y]_{f}$ with the properties $x_{1} \leq_{r} y_{1}$ and $y_{2} \leq_{r} x_{2}$. By part (1) of Proposition 2.1,

$$
f^{t_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)=c=f^{t_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

for some integers $t_{1} \geq 0$ and $t_{2} \geq 0$. Since $f^{t_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) \sim_{f} f^{t_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)$, we can find integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$ such that

$$
f^{k}\left(f^{t_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right)=f^{l}\left(f^{t_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)\right)
$$

The compatibility of $\leq_{r}$ gives

$$
f^{k}(c)=f^{k}\left(f^{t_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \leq_{r} f^{k}\left(f^{t_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right)=f^{l}\left(f^{t_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \leq_{r} f^{l}\left(f^{t_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=f^{l}(c)
$$

where $f^{k}(c)$ and $f^{l}(c)$ are cyclic elements. Applying part (1) of Proposition 2.2, we obtain that $f^{k}(c)=f^{k}\left(f^{t_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right)=f^{l}(c)$ in contradiction with $[x]_{f} \cap[y]_{f}=\varnothing$.

The relation $\equiv_{r}$ is defined on $B=A / \sim_{f}$ as follows: for $x, y \in A$ let $[x]_{f} \equiv \equiv_{r}[y]_{f}$ if $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f}$ and $[y]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[x]_{f}$. It is well-known that starting from the quasiorder $\triangleleft_{r}$, the above definition provides an equivalence on $B$. We define the order component of $x$ in $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$ by

$$
\langle x\rangle=\bigcup_{y \in A \text { and }[y]_{f} \equiv_{r}[x]_{f}}[y]_{f} .
$$

Clearly, $[x]_{f} \subseteq\langle x\rangle \subseteq A$ and $\langle x\rangle$ is a subalgebra in ( $A, f$ ), which corresponds to the $\equiv_{r}$ equivalence class $\left[[x]_{f}\right]_{\equiv_{r}}$ of $[x]_{f}$ in $B$. It is easy to see that $\{\langle x\rangle \mid x \in A\}$ is a partition of $A$.

If $c \in\langle x\rangle$ is a cyclic element, then part (2) of Proposition3.1 gives that $\langle x\rangle=[x]_{f}$. We make use of the partial order $<_{r}$ on $B / \equiv_{r}$, which can be derived from $\triangleleft_{r}$ in a natural way: $\langle x\rangle<_{r}\langle y\rangle$ if $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f}$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$ be a partially ordered mono-unary algebra. If $x \in A$ and there is no cyclic element in $\langle x\rangle$, then there exists a linear order $\rho$ on $\langle x\rangle$ with the following properties:
(1) $\rho$ is compatible on $(\langle x\rangle, f)$,
(2) $\rho$ is an extension of $\leq_{r}$ on the elements of $\langle x\rangle$.

PROOF. The absence of cyclic elements ensures that $f:\langle x\rangle \longrightarrow\langle x\rangle$ is acyclic, preserving the partial order $r \cap(\langle x\rangle \times\langle x\rangle)$. A straightforward application of the Main Theorem in [8] gives the existence of the desired $\rho$.

LEmMA 3.3. Let $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$ be a partially ordered mono-unary algebra, $x \in A$ and $c \in\langle x\rangle$ a cyclic element of period $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a partial order $\rho$ on $\langle x\rangle=[x]_{f}$ with the following properties:
(1) $\rho$ is compatible on $\left([x]_{f}, f\right)$,
(2) $\rho$ is an extension of $\leq_{r}$ on the elements of $[x]_{f}$,
(3) $[x]_{f}=E_{0} \cup E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{n-1}$ is a pairwise disjoint union, where each set

$$
E_{i}=\left\{u \in[x]_{f} \mid d(u, c)-i \text { is divisible by } n\right\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1
$$

is a chain with respect to $\rho$, and for $i \neq j$ the elements of $E_{i} \times E_{j}$ are $f$-prohibited pairs.

Proof. Let $E=[x]_{f}$ and consider the equivalence relation $\varepsilon=\Delta_{E} \cup(C \times C)$ on $E$, where $\Delta_{E}$ is the diagonal of $E \times E$ and $C=\left\{c, f(c), \ldots, f^{n-1}(c)\right\}$ is the set of cyclic elements in $E$. Clearly, $[u]_{\varepsilon}=\{u\}$ if $u \in E \backslash C$ and $[u]_{\varepsilon}=C$ if $u \in C$. Using the factor set $E^{*}=E / \varepsilon$, define a function $f^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow E^{*}$ and a relation $r^{*} \subseteq E^{*} \times E^{*}$ as follows: $f^{*}\left([u]_{\varepsilon}\right)=[f(u)]_{\varepsilon}$ and $r^{*}$ is the transitive closure of the reflexive relation

$$
s=\left\{\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \mid u, v \in E \text { and } u^{\prime} \leq_{r} v^{\prime} \text { for some } u^{\prime} \in[u]_{\varepsilon}, v^{\prime} \in[v]_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

Then $f^{*}$ is well-defined since $f(C) \subseteq C$. It is immediate from the definitions that $f^{*}$ preserves $s$, whence $f^{*}$ preserves $r^{*}$. We claim, that $r^{*}$ is a partial order on $E^{*}$. It is enough to show that there is no proper cycle in $E^{*}$ with respect to $s$. If a proper cycle

$$
\left[u_{1}\right]_{\varepsilon} s\left[u_{2}\right]_{\varepsilon} s \cdots s\left[u_{k}\right]_{\varepsilon} s\left[u_{1}\right]_{\varepsilon}
$$

does not contain $C$, then we have

$$
u_{1} \leq_{r} u_{2} \leq_{r} \cdots \leq_{r} u_{k} \leq_{r} u_{1}
$$

implying that $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{k}$, a contradiction. If $C$ appears in a proper cycle, then we can exhibit a segment of it as

$$
C s\left[v_{1}\right]_{\varepsilon} s\left[v_{2}\right]_{\varepsilon} s \cdots s\left[v_{l}\right]_{\varepsilon} s C
$$

where $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l} \notin C$. Now we have

$$
c^{\prime} \leq_{r} v_{1} \leq_{r} v_{2} \leq_{r} \cdots \leq_{r} v_{l} \leq_{r} c^{\prime \prime}
$$

for some $c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \in C$. Applying part (1) of Proposition 2.2 gives that $c^{\prime}=c^{\prime \prime}$. Thus the elements $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{l}=c^{\prime}=c^{\prime \prime}$ are in $C$, a contradiction. The only cyclic element of $\left(E^{*}, f^{*}\right)$ is $C$ and $f^{*}(C)=C$, so we can apply the Main Theorem of [8] to the partially ordered algebra ( $E^{*}, f^{*}, r^{*}$ ), in order to get a compatible linear order $\rho^{*}$ on $\left(E^{*}, f^{*}\right)$ with $r^{*} \subseteq \rho^{*}$. We claim that

$$
\rho=\left\{(u, v) \mid u, v \in E,\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*} \text { and } n \mid d(u, c)-d(v, c)\right\}
$$

is one of the desired relations on $E$.
The reflexive and transitive properties of $\rho$ can be easily verified. Let $(u, v) \in \rho$ and $(v, u) \in \rho$. Then $\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*}$ and $\left([v]_{\varepsilon},[u]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*}$ imply $[u]_{\varepsilon}=[v]_{\varepsilon}$, whence $u=v$ or $u, v \in C$. If $u, v \in C$, then we also have $u=v$ since $n \mid d(u, c)-d(v, c)$, proving antisymmetry.

Suppose $(u, v) \in \rho$. Then $\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*}$ and the compatibility of $\rho^{*}$ provides that

$$
\left([f(u)]_{\varepsilon},[f(v)]_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left(f^{*}\left([u]_{\varepsilon}\right), f^{*}\left([v]_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \in \rho^{*}
$$

Using part (2) of Proposition 2.1, we obtain $n \mid d(f(u), c)-d(f(v), c)$ as a consequence of the divisibility $n \mid d(u, c)-d(v, c)$, proving that $(f(u), f(v)) \in \rho$.

Suppose $u, v \in E$ and $u \leq_{r} v$. Then first we get $\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in s$ and next $\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in r^{*} \subseteq \rho^{*}$. If $n \nmid d(u, c)-d(v, c)$, then $(u, v)$ is $f$-prohibited by part (5) of Proposition 2.1, contradicting part (2) of Proposition 2.2. Thus we have $n \mid d(u, c)-d(v, c)$ and $(u, v) \in \rho$, proving $r \subseteq \rho$.

For $u, v \in E_{i}$, the divisibility $n \mid d(u, c)-d(v, c)$ follows from $n \mid d(u, c)-i$ and $n \mid d(v, c)-i$. Since $\rho^{*}$ is linear, either $\left([u]_{\varepsilon},[v]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*}$ or $\left([v]_{\varepsilon},[u]_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \rho^{*}$ holds. Thus we have either $(u, v) \in \rho$ or $(v, u) \in \rho$, proving that $E_{i}$ is a chain with respect to $\rho$.

If $i \neq j$ and $(u, v) \in E_{i} \times E_{j}$, then $n \mid d(u, c)-i$ and $n \mid d(v, c)-j$ imply that $d(u, c)-d(v, c)$ is not divisible by $n$, so by part (5) of Proposition 2.1, $(u, v)$ is $f$-prohibited.

Remark 3.4. According to [5, Proposition 3.6], the convexity of the antichain $C$ implies that $\varepsilon=\Delta_{E} \cup(C \times C)$ is an order congruence of $(E, f, r \cap(E \times E))$.

## 4. The main results

A compatible partial order $R$ on a mono-unary algebra ( $A, f$ ) is called $f$-quasilinear, if $(x, y) \in R$ or $(y, x) \in R$ for all non $f$-prohibited pairs $(x, y) \in A \times A$. In view of part (2) of Proposition 2.2, we have the following simple observation.

PROPOSITION 4.1. If a compatible partial order $R$ on a mono-unary algebra $(A, f)$ is $f$-quasilinear, then it is maximal (with respect to containment) among the compatible partial orders of $(A, f)$.

THEOREM 4.2. If $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$ is a partially ordered mono-unary algebra, then there exists a compatible partial order $R$ on $(A, f)$ with the following properties:
(1) $R$ is an extension of $r$,
(2) $R$ is $f$-quasilinear.

Proof. Let $<_{\lambda}$ be an arbitrary linear extension of the partial order $<_{r}$ on the set $B / \not \equiv_{r}$ of order components in $\left(A, f, \leq_{r}\right)$, where $B=A / \sim_{f}$. Let $x \in A$. If there is no cyclic element in $\langle x\rangle$, then fix a compatible linear order $\rho_{\langle x\rangle}$ on $\langle x\rangle$ with the properties described in Lemma 3.2. If there is a cyclic element of period $n \geq 1$ in $\langle x\rangle$, then fix a compatible partial order $\rho_{(x)}$ on $\langle x\rangle=[x]_{f}$ with the properties described in Lemma 3.3. We claim that

$$
R=\left\{(x, y) \in A \times A \mid\langle x\rangle<_{\lambda}\langle y\rangle \text { and }(x, y) \in \rho_{(x)} \text { in case of }\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle\right\}
$$

satisfies (1) and (2).
The reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive properties of $R$ can be easily verified. In order to prove the compatibility of $R$, it is enough to note that $\langle f(x)\rangle=\langle x\rangle$ and that $\rho_{\langle x\rangle}$ is a compatible partial order on $(\langle x\rangle, f)$.

Suppose $x \leq_{r} y$. Then $[x]_{f} \triangleleft_{r}[y]_{f}$, whence we obtain $\langle x\rangle<_{r}\langle y\rangle$ as well as $\langle x\rangle<_{\lambda}\langle y\rangle$. In the case of $\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle$, the relation $(x, y) \in \rho_{(x\rangle}$ follows from $r \cap(\langle x\rangle \times\langle x\rangle) \subseteq \rho_{(x)}$. Thus we have $(x, y) \in R$, proving $r \subseteq R$. Therefore (1) holds.

Suppose now $x, y \in A$ are incomparable elements with respect to $R$. Then the linearity of $<_{\lambda}$ implies that $\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle,(x, y) \notin \rho_{\langle x\rangle}$ and $(y, x) \notin \rho_{\langle x\rangle}$. Since $\rho_{\langle x\rangle}$ is not linear, the order component $\langle x\rangle$ must contain a cyclic element $c$ of period $n \geq 2$. In view of the properties of $\rho_{(x)}$ described in Lemma 3.3, we obtain that $x \in E_{i}$ and $y \in E_{j}$ for some $i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $i \neq j$. Now the last property of the $E_{i}$ 's guarantees that $(x, y)$ is an $f$-prohibited pair. Thus (2) holds.

COROLLARY 4.3. A compatible partial order $R$ on $(A, f)$ is maximal (with respect to containment) if and only if $R$ is $f$-quasilinear.
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