Annals of Glaciology



Article

Cite this article: Delf R, Schroeder DM, Curtis A, Giannopoulos A, Bingham RG (2020). A comparison of automated approaches to extracting englacial-layer geometry from radar data across ice sheets. *Annals of Glaciology* **61** (81), 234–241. https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2020.42

Received: 1 December 2019 Revised: 17 May 2020 Accepted: 18 May 2020 First published online: 17 June 2020

Key words:

Radio-echo sounding; glacier geophysics; Antarctic glaciology

Author for correspondence: Richard Delf, E-mail: r.delf@ed.ac.uk

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativeccommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

cambridge.org/aog

A comparison of automated approaches to extracting englacial-layer geometry from radar data across ice sheets

Richard Delf^{1,2} (b), Dustin M. Schroeder³ (b), Andrew Curtis¹ (b), Antonios Giannopoulos⁴ (b) and Robert G. Bingham¹ (b)

¹School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ²Department of Arctic Geology, University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway; ³Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA and ⁴School of Engineering, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Abstract

Radar surveys across ice sheets typically measure numerous englacial layers that can often be regarded as isochrones. Such layers are valuable for extrapolating age-depth relationships away from ice-core locations, reconstructing palaeoaccumulation variability, and investigating past ice-sheet dynamics. However, the use of englacial layers in Antarctica has been hampered by underdeveloped techniques for characterising layer continuity and geometry over large distances, with techniques developed independently and little opportunity for inter-comparison of results. In this paper, we present a methodology to assess the performance of automated layer-tracking and layer-dip-estimation algorithms through their ability to propagate a correct age-depth model. We use this to assess isochrone-tracking techniques applied to two test case datasets, selected from CreSIS MCoRDS data over Antarctica from a range of environments including low-dip, continuous layers and layers with terminations. We find that dip-estimation techniques are generally successful in tracking englacial dip but break down in the upper and lower regions of the ice sheet. The results of testing two previously published layer-tracking algorithms show that further development is required to attain a good constraint of age-depth relationships away from dated ice cores. We recommend that auto-tracking techniques focus on improved linking of picked stratigraphy across signal disruptions to enable accurate determination of the Antarctic-wide age-depth structure.

Introduction

Ice-sheet englacial stratigraphy is prevalent throughout radar-sounding data collected over Antarctica and Greenland (e.g. Bailey and others, 1964; MacGregor and others, 2015; Schroeder and others, 2019). Due to the isochronous nature of most englacial layers (Siegert, 1999) such stratigraphy has been used to extrapolate age-depth relationships away from deep ice cores (Siegert and others, 2003; Siegert and Payne, 2004; MacGregor and others, 2015; Cavitte and others, 2016) to calculate palaeoaccumulation rates and variability (Fahnestock and others, 2001; Hindmarsh and others, 2009; Karlsson and others, 2014; Koutnik and others, 2016; Cavitte and others, 2018) and to make inferences concerning historical and contemporary ice dynamics (Nereson and others, 2000; Rippin and others, 2003; Siegert and others, 2003; Leysinger Vieli and others, 2007; Parrenin and Hindmarsh, 2007; Carter and others, 2009; Bingham and others, 2015; Holschuh and others, 2017).

To date, most studies exploiting ice-sheet englacial stratigraphy have employed manual tracing approaches, which can be prohibitively slow. After five decades of radioglaciology, and many thousands of radar profiles already in the archive and, in principle, available for ice-sheet modelling applications, it is imperative to develop automated and semiautomated approaches to trace englacial layers and/or characterise englacial-layer dip. This is far from straightforward, with surveys across Antarctica especially having been undertaken using a wide range of radar systems with different performance characteristics (Winter and others, 2017).

In this paper, we present a scheme for assessing the relative effectiveness of automated interpretation algorithms in a range of englacial stratigraphic settings. We apply each algorithm to a set of control radargrams from East Antarctica that cover the full range of englacial-layering geometries from continuous (typically associated with steady ice flow) to buckled/discontinuous (typically associated with fast or variable flow; Karlsson and others, 2012). We focus on two sets of algorithms: those that focus on tracing layers or layer continuity; and those that extract the slope, or dip, of englacial layers. The former are, in principle, of primary value for extracting age–depth information across ice sheets (e.g. MacGregor and others, 2015), while the latter have been advocated as a more practical alternative input for ice-sheet modelling (Holschuh and others, 2017). Our principal objective is to present a set of performance metrics for the intercomparison of algorithms as applied to future datasets. We use our results to highlight the principal shortcomings of current implementations of layer autotracking algorithms, and make recommendations for future development of automated interpretation algorithms to facilitate continent-scale interpretation.

Data and methodology

Reference data

We focus on two reference datasets from the CReSIS data archive (https://data.cresis.ku.edu/, accessed 04/09/19, CReSIS, 2016). The datasets were chosen to present variable levels of challenge to automated picking algorithms. Example 1 was acquired across the Vostok region of East Antarctica on 27/11/2013 (frames 01_034-036, 77.0825 S 111.1064 E to 76.4341 S 116.3196 E), and represents a set of relatively low-dip, continuous englacial layers throughout much of the ice depth (Fig. 1). Example 2 was obtained over Antarctica's Gamburtsev Mountain Province on 25/12/2008 (frames 04_002-005, 83.7551°S 75.0735°E to 82.4212°S 75.9330°E), and includes numerous reflector terminations and conflicting dips throughout. We begin with data lodged in the archive from processing stage L1B, after pulse compression, coherent channel averaging and SAR focusing through f-k migration (CReSIS, 2016). We firstly applied a simple high-pass filter by convolving the data with a Gaussian kernel in time and subtracting this from the original data to remove the trend of decreasing amplitude with depth, as in Panton (2014), which balances the amplitudes of near-surface, high amplitude reflections and deeper, low amplitude reflections. To generate reference englaciallayer picks, against which to test the further algorithms explored in this study, we imported the radargrams into Schlumberger Petrel, wherein we then traced layers using a combination of semiautomated local maxima peak tracking, guided tracking and fully manual tracking. For example 1, we were able to pick 33 layers, mostly continuously traversing the 147 km radar profile; for example 2, we picked 36 layers across a 145 km radar profile as a greater number of reflector terminations are observed.

Automated isochrone picking

To our reference radar datasets, we applied three algorithms designed to trace layers automatically, which, for simplicity, we will hereafter term the ARESELP, Steger and Sobel-Feldman algorithms. The Automated Radio-Echo Sounding Englacial Layer-tracing Package (ARESELP) autotracker algorithm was developed by Xiong and others (2018) to autotrace englacial layers. It uses a continuous wavelet transform (CWT) peak detection algorithm with an assumed Ricker or Morlet wavelet, combined with local Hough transform, to estimate local dip and propagate picks away from peak CWT response (seed) points. Initial tests showed that optimum performance was attained using a Morlet wavelet for the CWT and a block size of 20 pixels, ~50 m (depth) × 1500 m (along track) (assuming $v_{ice} = 1.68 \times$ 10⁸ ms⁻¹). We found that the Ricker wavelet applied by Xiong and others (2018), while suppressing noise in regions of high amplitude stratigraphy, failed to generate picks in lower amplitude regions (e.g.between 90 and 120 km in Fig. 2a).

The Steger algorithm is an image-processing technique that follows Ferro and Bruzzone (2013) in applying a pre-conditioning block-matching and 3D-filtering process (BM3D filter) (Dabov and others, 2007), followed by a Steger filter ridge detection algorithm (Steger, 1998) to enhance and detect englacial layering. In this image-processing context, the 'ridges' being detected equate to sets of amplitude peaks in adjacent traces, that represent englacial layers on radargrams. Ferro and Bruzzone (2013) applied this technique to extract layering in Mars' North Polar Ice Cap, but it has not previously been applied to terrestrial radar data. To our reference data, we firstly applied the BM3D filter followed by a stretch to the range [0,255] and application of the Steger ridge detector, filtering to a minimum line length of 50 pixels with an upper and lower threshold of 0.5 and 1, respectively. Finally, the Sobel–Feldman algorithm is an image-processing edge-detection tool that identifies peak gradients in image brightness (Sobel, 1990). We apply this to the reference data wherein the edges equate to englacial layers, then skeletonise the results to binary images of the detected lines, filtered to remove lines below a minimum size of 50 pixels. This approach is the most simplistic but has the lowest computational cost.

Age-depth relationship propagation

One means of assessing layer-tracking performance is to test the effect each imposes on the propagation of an age-depth relationship through the ice sheet. To gauge this, we deployed a technique similar to MacGregor and others (2015), but using a synthetic age-depth profile. We generated a synthetic age-depth relationship using a 1-dimensional Nye model of reflector depth (Nye, 1963), as implemented in Leysinger Vieli and others (2011) by

$$A = \frac{H}{a} \ln\left(\frac{z-b}{H}\right),\tag{1}$$

where A is the age (years), H, z and b are ice thickness, elevation in the ice column and bed elevation respectively (all in m), and a is the mean accumulation rate (ma^{-1}) . We use a representative average accumulation rate of $0.05 ma^{-1}$, typical of East Antarctica (e.g. Leysinger Vieli and others, 2004), although only the relative amplitudes of errors reported here are of interest and the findings are independent of this rate. This approach makes the assumption of steady-state flow with zero horizontal advection and that all motion is due to basal sliding. We intersect picked isochrones (henceforth referred to as picks) at location A (Fig. 1a) with this age-depth relationship, which can be considered to be similar to a synthetically generated ice core with a known age-depth profile, and assign an age to each pick. We then propagate this age-depth relationship away from A. For each trace, we generate a 1-dimensional age-depth relationship from previously dated picks using a spline interpolation, then assign an age to each un-dated pick with the generated profile. All dated picks are then used to generate the age-depth relationship for the subsequent trace. We then extract the interpolated age-depth profile at a second location A' (Fig. 1a).

A principal benefit of manual interpretation is the ability to match layers through regions of discontinuous reflectors by recognising patterns or packages of reflections (see, e.g. Karlsson and others, 2014). For each picked isochrone, we calculate the degree of connectivity between that pick and the starting age-depth profile at A (Fig. 1a). Uninterrupted picks intersecting A are assigned a score of 0 as they present the lowest age uncertainty, assuming the pick is correctly aligned with an isochrone. For each interpolation required to assign a date to a new pick, the connectivity increases by one. The newly dated pick is assigned this score of connectivity, and used for subsequent pick dating. This approach to assessing uncertainty highlights when the interpretation consists of a high number of disconnected isochrones, potentially indicating a high sensitivity to low amplitude signal anomalies.

Englacial dip estimations

To extract dip fields through the reference data, we applied three approaches, which we will hereafter term Panton, ARESP and PWD. The Panton algorithm is described as Step 2 in Panton (2014). We convolve the radar data with a variably-slanted Gaussian kernel to derive the maximum stacking amplitude as a function of dip. The dip field is then filtered to remove noise caused by regions of low signal amplitude, characterised by



Fig. 1. Comparison of age propagation through auto-tracked isochrones. (a) The raw radar data showing coherent, low-dip layers. (b) Location within Antarctica. (c) Synthetic age-depth relationship applied at location A. (d) Manually-picked reference dataset with (e) isochrone connectivity metric and (f) profile of misfit between reference picks age-depth relationship with uncertainties propagated through the picks. (g) Englacial picks, (h) isochrone-connectivity metric and (i) misfit profile for ARESELP algorithm. (j) Englacial picks, (k) isochrone-connectivity metric and (l) misfit profile for Steger algorithm. (m) Englacial picks, (n) isochrone-connectivity metric and (l) misfit profile for Steger algorithm. (m) Englacial picks, (n) isochrone-connectivity metric and (o) misfit profile for Sobel–Feldman algorithm.

bands of dip noise between layers, by using an amplitude-weighed spline filter of dip. In Panton (2014), this procedure was presented mainly as pre-processing for englacial-layer tracing, but for this paper, we treat it as one of the direct methods that can be used to extract englacial-layer dip fields across wide swathes of ice sheets.

The Automated Radio Echo Sounding Processing (ARESP) algorithm (Sime and others, 2011) firstly applies horizontal stacking to reduce SNR, followed by a binarisation of layers and estimation of local dip of high amplitude signals using a moving window approach.

The plane wave destruction (PWD) algorithm follows Fomel (2002) in minimising the convolution of a predicted texture

with the data to derive local slope. While the Panton and ARESP algorithms have previously been applied widely to radar surveys in Greenland (Sime and others, 2014; Panton and Karlsson, 2015), the PWD method, used routinely in seismic-data processing, is yet to be applied in radioglaciology.

To assess the performance of each algorithm in deriving englacial-layer dip, we use the synthetic age-depth profiles derived previously and consider that the dip of an isochronous reflector will be perpendicular to the angle of maximum gradient. The dip field relative to the surface can therefore be calculated as:

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{g_y}{g_x} - 90 \tag{2}$$



Fig. 2. Detail of each isochrone tracking methodology showing different failure points for each algorithm: (a) Manually-picked reference dataset, (b) ARESELP, (c) Steger filter and (d) Sobel edge detection.

where $g_x = dA/dx$ and $g_y = dA/dy$ and A(x, y) is the inferred age-depth structure as a function of trace *x* and depth *y*. We then propagated a streamline through the data, which represents an integral across the dip field, starting from the location of the synthetic ice core described previously. This highlights the variation in algorithm performance as a function of depth through the radargram.

Results

Isochrone tracking

Figure 1 presents the application of our workflow to our reference data (frames 01_034-036 collected 27/11/2013, Fig. 1a), from East Antarctica's Vostok region, characterised by low dip, continuous reflectors. Parallel results for the more disrupted radar profiles across the Gamburtsev Mountains are presented in Supplementary Figure 1. The numerical results for isochrone tracking algorithms are summarised in Table 1. Englacial layers extracted using ARESELP indicated a high degree of continuity along the section (Fig. 1g). This approach took 4 min 56 s for the example 1 dataset. We extracted 66 individual elements, that in effect pick out most of the englacial layers that we had picked manually, yet the error between reference age-depth profiles at A' shows a significant deviation of up to -25 ka in the upper 50% of the ice column with a significant swing to positive errors ~100 ka deeper in the ice column (Figs 1h and i). This error can be traced to breaks in the englacial layers in two ways. Firstly, the algorithm fails to constrain multiple isochrones crossing the amplitude low at 90-120 km, resulting in a region over which the age-depth profile is continued unconstrained in the deeper ice. Secondly, there are additional breaks in layer continuity in the upper layers across which the linking stage of the algorithm has mismatched wavelet peaks between seed points of the layers either side of the breaks.

The Steger approach shows improvements in some areas (Figs 1j-l) over the ARESELP algorithm. The retrieved

age-depth profile shows a maximum error of 10 ka in the deepest regions of ice (Fig. 11), but there is a greater degree of disconnectivity between A and A' than for ARESELP (compare Fig. 11 with Fig. 1i). This approach took 48 s per radargram for the BM3D filter, and 23 s for the picking and isochrone linking. We undertook parameter testing to increase the sensitivity to cross the amplitude low at 90–120 km, which led to an increase of picking success, but with an increase of false alarms in the deepest regions of ice. Overall, the Steger algorithm was able to identify 1076 elements in the radargram, compared with 66 from ARESELP, comparatively identifying more isochrones but with more disconnectivity. Up to 35 interpolations were required to constrain the age model throughout (Fig. 1k).

The Sobel–Feldman edge-detection algorithm extracted the highest number of individual elements (3210) throughout the data (Fig. 1m), showing a high sensitivity to low-amplitude signals. This is also highlighted through the degree of connectivity between A and A', where the maximum number of interpolations reaches 91 at the bed (Fig. 1n). This approach typically took under 1 s for each radargram. This experiment serves to highlight that although a good constraint on age–depth relationship can be achieved using simple edge-detection implementations, the uncertainties associated with propagating age between a high number of low-quality picks suggest that such approaches should be avoided.

Figure 2 shows a detailed sub-set of the data shown in Figure 1 to better highlight the relative strengths and weaknesses of each of the three tracing approaches. The ARESELP algorithm shows the most continuity and smoothest reflector dip throughout the data. In regions of good signal to noise ratio, the Hough transform approach to isochrone linking generally performs well, but dips deviate from the radargram structure for numerous internal layers (e.g. the layer starting at 1550 m depth). There are also numerous picks where the interpretation fails to trace reflector peaks successfully or, more significantly, jumps at a high angle (e.g. depth \approx 1400 m in Fig. 2b). This can give rise to substantial

Table 1. Summary of quantitative assessment of auto-tracking algorithms. *N* is the number of elements (picks) generated by the algorithm, $\langle L \rangle$ is the average length of these picks in number of traces, *Median age error* and *Max age error* are the median and maximum difference between interpreted and reference age-depth profiles at A' (Fig. 1a), and *Max Conn.* is the maximum value of the connectivity index at A'

Method	Example 1					Example 2				
	N	$\langle L \rangle$ (traces)	Median age error (ka)	Max age error (ka)	Max Conn.	N	$\langle L \rangle$ (traces)	Median age error (ka)	Max age error (ka)	Max Conn.
Manual	33	2425	N/A	N/A	4	36	1222	N/A	N/A	5
ARESELP	66	1652	14.9	101.2	5	95	885	6.4	70.0	11
Steger filter	1076	185	2.7	11.1	35	641	134	19.3	92.4	34
Sobel-Feldman	3210	57	13.8	19.7	91	6391	28	97.1	207.0	127

deviations in the age-depth profile propagated along profiles. This behaviour reflects the results of Figures 1g-i, where the ARESELP algorithm shows that a low degree of connectivity (Fig. 1h) can be achieved between A and A', but large errors in age-depth relationship are obtained when compared to the reference dataset (Fig. 1i).

The Steger filter gives much more variability and a rougher pick as each ridge is picked independently of the previous one; there is only limited local directional guidance between traces. As such, in regions of strong signal with continuous isochrones the ridge tracking performs well in estimating the dip of structures within the radargram (in general, in the deeper regions of Fig. 2c). Increasing noise levels in the upper region of the figure (depths <1400 m) result in a larger number of noisy, conflicting-dip picks which do not track the radargram structure well. From Figures 1j–l, the general ability of the Steger filter to track the dip of continuous reflectors results in a better age–depth error compared to the ARESELP algorithm, but with more age interpolations required to connect A and A'.

The Sobel–Feldman edge detection algorithm is similar in that the linking is unguided by dip and shows similar variation and susceptibility to the variation of reflection amplitude to the Steger pick. The sensitivity of the Sobel–Feldman technique is higher to low-amplitude signals, resulting in the higher number of picks, but should be noted that despite this, the Sobel– Feldman shows a much lower susceptibility to crossing radargram layers in Figure 2d compared to both the Steger filter and ARESELP algorithms. This results in a much larger number of interpolations required to propagate the age–depth structure, which is reflected in Figure 1n.

Dip estimation techniques

Figure 3 presents englacial-dip fields along profile AA' derived directly from the manual englacial-layering picking (panel a) then from the three automated approaches. The Panton approach to dip estimation (Fig. 3b) shows a good agreement with the reference dataset. This approach includes a step to remove noise in regions of low amplitudes and applies an interpolation across such regions. There is also little differentiation between noise and englacial-layer dips, such that incorrect dips are propagated across the echo-free zone, shown for example by a reversal of the dip at the deepest regions of ice at ~110 km along the profile and small-scale variations close the lowest streamline in Figure 3b.

The ARESP approach exhibits higher sensitivity to lower-SNR regions, highlighted in the middle of the ice column at 110 km (Fig. 3c). Beneath the lowest traced englacial layer the average dip is zero, although slight noise in dip amplitude is observed.

Finally, the PWD method yields a higher degree of smoothing than ARESP, and has a flat streamline through the deepest ice. It is, however, sensitive to non-zero dips in the near surface that are not present or detected by the other techniques, and the uppermost streamlines deviate towards the surface as a result.

Discussion

Isochrone tracking intercomparison

Xiong and others (2018) compared their ARESELP results to the picks from the manually-constrained interpretation of MacGregor and others (2015) using a direct overlay of extracted isochrones, which enabled only a limited degree of inter-comparison and quantitative assessment. Ferro and Bruzzone (2013) similarly used a manually-picked dataset as a reference against which to compare their application of the Steger algorithm, but further compared their results in terms of false alarms and missed layers to guide optimal parameter selection. Critically, neither of these approaches facilitated quantitative insights into the glaciological implications of incorrect picking in an automated algorithm, which we can gain from the age-depth relationship propagation.

The results of this comparison show that significant errors in age-depth structure can result from isochrone tracking algorithms. On our least complex dataset, the best algorithm resulted in a 11.1 ka error, but with large uncertainties due to the low number of continuous reflections between A and A'. Cavitte and others (2016) model the age uncertainty from manual layer tracking as a combination of ice core age uncertainty and the radar range resolution and estimate an upper bound of uncertainty of 3.73 ka. Winter and others (2019) similarly estimate typical errors <2 ka, but up to 3.7 ka. Hence, none of the isochrone-tracking methods trialled in this study is yet able to rival the accuracy of picking englacial layers manually. However, manual interpretation of multiple layers takes of the order of days per 100 km, especially where the layering structure is disrupted or broken. Expanding this across the Antarctic data record, with ongoing surveys with ever-improving resolution rapidly becomes a considerable effort, which motivates the further development of auto-tracking algorithms.

The approach to age-depth propagation used here comprises a simpler implementation of the effective-strain estimation approach of MacGregor and others (2015), giving an interpolated fit rather than a physically-derived age-depth profile between iso-chrones. We found that due to the noisy and potentially converging layer picks from the automated approaches tested here, effective-strain estimations can be highly variable resulting in rapid deviations away from the expected ages. As algorithms improve, age propagation through a local strain estimation should be used. Despite ours being an approximate approach, it nonetheless gives insights into the performance of age-structure propagation through picked isochrones beyond a direct comparison of picked horizons.

Our approach to age-depth uncertainty using synthetic icecore connectivity also highlights further issues that may be encountered with auto-tracking techniques. When manual picking is used, isochrones may be tracked across discontinuous regions in the absence of intersections with other interpreted profiles through recognising similar packages of reflections on either side of the noisy region (Cavitte and others, 2016; Ashmore and



Fig. 3. Propagation of a streamline through dip fields derived from (a) reference picks, (b) Panton slope-extraction step, (c) ARESP and (d) using PWD.

others, 2020), similar to practice in the seismic interpretation workflow (Nanda, 2016). However, none of the automated layertracking algorithms investigated here have implementations that allow the recognition, and matching, of similar packages of layers across gaps in the records. Such shortcomings that have challenged wider layer-tracing efforts have indeed been one of the major motivations for developing dip tracking as an alternate approach. Matching layer packages has long been a challenge in the field of automated seismic interpretation, where discontinuities are prevalent as faults. Discontinuities could potentially be overcome using artificial neural networks (Harrigan and others, 1992) or through global interpretation (Hoyes and Cheret, 2011) but these approaches have yet to be applied to ice-sheet radiostratigraphy.

Future automated interpretation techniques need to target automated linking of interpreted horizons to match disconnected isochronal picks derived from the local peak tracking. The principal issue experienced with implementations tested here relates to trackers deviating from the target reflection. The ARESELP algorithm overcomes this to an extent as a result of the use of a Hough transform, yet still results in errors of age-depth profile as a result of deviations away from the data stratigraphy. Such linking may further be enhanced using correlation or artificial neural network approaches to signal matching, or through tracking along multiple trajectories using a confidence-based metric. The example and approach of age propagation testing presented here could be used to test these approaches.

Isochrone dip estimation

Each of the tested dip-retrieval algorithms worked well in the case of high-amplitude, high-SNR regions of the radargram. Failures occurred at three major locations: the deepest regions of ice with weaker or absent echoes; where englacial layers dip most steeply and SNR drops; and in the near surface. These are each where the signal power drops, or where local amplitudes vary rapidly as in the near surface. To combat this, operational use of algorithms could limit dip estimation to the middle of the ice column, following an approach commonly adopted for estimating layer continuity where the upper and lower 20% of the ice column are not used (Karlsson and others, 2012; Bingham and others, 2015). The Panton approach tested here did attempt to remove such low-amplitude regions by removing high spatial-frequency noise, but the drawback of this approach is the interpolation and associated loss of information from regions where dip is high, e.g. in the lower ice. This compares to Holschuh and others (2017), who used signal-amplitude thresholding within a moving window to reduce the impact of low-amplitude areas, returning a zero dip field in these regions.

Modern SAR processing of airborne phase-coherent data offers opportunities for direct estimation of englacial-layer dip. Castelletti and others (2019) presented a process to derive englacial-layer dips using retrieved phase before stacking to undertake in phase-coherent systems to reduce the effects of destructive stacking described in Holschuh and others (2014). Such an approach shows promising results in retrieving a dip field and enhancing layer coherence from complex data, but has yet to be applied to a larger region of Antarctica to aid a wider interpretation of ice-sheet stratigraphy.

Conclusions

We have undertaken an intercomparison of three isochrone autotracking and three englacial-dip estimation algorithms which may be used for wider exploration of the englacial radio-stratigraphic structure of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. We have presented a formal procedure for evaluating these approaches relative to a manuallypicked reference dataset to give a reliable indication of the benefits and shortcomings of each isochrone tracking technique. Of the automated isochrone trackers tested here, there is only limited success in linking the age-depth profile between two locations along a relatively simple isochrone geometry. Of the three algorithms tested, the ARESELP procedure (Xiong and others, 2018) is best at retrieving substantial lengths of isochrones, yet can be prone to deviations in dip. While the Steger algorithm (Ferro and Bruzzone, 2013) shows a greater uncertainty in propagated ages, it shows an improvement in tracking general dip and a lower error in propagated age profile. Supplementing this approach with more advanced pattern-matching using, for example, neural networks to improve isochrone pick continuity is recommended.

The three different approaches to dip estimation that we tested all performed relatively well on our reference datasets, although all are challenged in the deepest ice and at the near-surface. All have the potential for higher performance by adopting improved data preprocessing, application of tapered windows or thresholding (e.g. Holschuh and others, 2017). Future developments in automated tracking should focus on the ability to automate linking of interpreted isochrones and use a similar approach to age propagation to demonstrate successful implementation. We propose that the methodology developed here, and the two datasets from East Antarctica presented in this study, covering a range of englacial conditions, may be used as a standard validation approach for future algorithm developments.

Author contribution statement

RD, DMS and RGB designed the research. RD performed the analyses at Stanford University with DMS and at the University of Edinburgh with RGB, AC and AG. RD wrote the paper, and all authors contributed edits to the final paper.

Acknowledgments. This paper was stimulated by the AntArchitecture Action Group of the Scientific Committee for Antarctic Research. RD and RGB acknowledge funding from the U.K.'s Natural Environment Research Council via RD's scholarship in the Edinburgh E³ Doctoral Training Partnership (NE/L002558/1) and the Scottish Alliance for Geoscience, Environment and Society (SAGES) via a Postdoctoral Early Career Research Exchange (PECRE) for RD to work with DMS at Stanford University. DMS was partially supported by an NSF CAREER award. We also acknowledge support from the Trans-Antarctic Association (Small Grant TAA-04-19) that allowed RD to present the work at the IGS Radioglaciology Symposium associated with this volume. We acknowledge the use of data and/or data products from CReSIS generated with support from the University of Kansas, NSF grant ANT-0424589, and NASA Operation IceBridge grant NNX16AH54G. We thank Martin Siegert, Hester Jiskoot and one anonymous reviewer for their comments on this paper.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2020.42

References

- Ashmore DW and 5 others (2020) Englacial architecture and age-depth constraints across the West Antarctic ice sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters* 47 (6), e2019GL086663. doi: 10.1029/2019GL086663
- Bailey J, Evans S and Robin GdQ (1964) Radio echo sounding of polar ice sheets. Nature 204(4957), 420–421. doi:10.1038/204420a0
- Bingham RG and 9 others (2015) Ice-flow structure and ice dynamic changes in the Weddell Sea sector of West Antarctica from radar-imaged internal layering. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **120**(4), 655–670. doi:10.1002/2014JF003291
- Carter SP, Blankenship DD, Young DA and Holt JW (2009) Using radarsounding data to identify the distribution and sources of subglacial water: application to Dome C, East Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology* 55(194), 1025–1040. doi:10.3189/002214309790794931

- Castelletti D, Schroeder DM, Mantelli E and Hilger A (2019) Layer optimized SAR processing and slope estimation in radar sounder data. *Journal of Glaciology* 65(254), 983–988. doi:10.1017/jog.2019.72
- Cavitte MGP and 7 others (2016) Deep radiostratigraphy of the East Antarctic plateau: connecting the Dome C and Vostok ice core sites. *Journal of Glaciology* **62**(232), 323–334. doi:10.1017/jog.2016.11
- Cavitte MGP and 7 others (2018) Accumulation patterns around Dome C, East Antarctica, in the last 73 kyr. *The Cryosphere* **12**(4), 1401–1414. doi:10.5194/tc-12-1401-2018
- **CReSIS** (2016) CReSIS Radar Depth Sounder Data. Lawrence, Kansas, USA. Digital Media. http://data.cresis.ku.edu.
- Dabov K, Foi A, Katkovnik V and Egiazarian K (2007) Image denoising by sparse 3-D transform-domain collaborative filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 16(8), 2080–2095. doi:10.1109/TIP.2007.901238
- Fahnestock M, Abdalati W, Luo S and Gogineni S (2001) Internal layer tracing and age-depth-accumulation relationships for the northern Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 106(D24), 33789– 33797. doi:10.1029/2001JD900200
- Ferro A and Bruzzone L (2013) Automatic extraction and analysis of ice layering in radar sounder data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 51(3), 1622–1634. doi:10.1109/TGRS.2012.2206078
- Fomel S (2002) Applications of plane-wave destruction filters. *Geophysics* 67 (6), 1946–1960. doi:10.1190/1.1527095
- Harrigan E, Kroh JR, Sandham WA and Durrani TS (1992) Seismic horizon picking using an Artificial Neural Network. In ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing - Proceedings, vol. 3. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc, pp. 105–108.
- Hindmarsh RC, Leysinger Vieli G and Parrenin F (2009) A large-scale numerical model for computing isochrone geometry. *Annals of Glaciology* 50(51), 130–140. doi:10.3189/172756409789097450
- Holschuh N, Christianson K and Anandakrishnan S (2014) Power loss in dipping internal reflectors, imaged using ice-penetrating radar. Annals of Glaciology 55(67), 49–56. doi:10.3189/2014AoG67A005
- Holschuh N, Parizek BR, Alley RB and Anandakrishnan S (2017) Decoding ice sheet behavior using englacial layer slopes. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44(11), 5561–5570. doi:10.1002/2017GL073417
- Hoyes J and Cheret T (2011) A review of global interpretation methods for automated 3D horizon picking. *The Leading Edge* 30(1), 38–47. doi:10. 1190/1.3535431
- Karlsson NB and 5 others (2014) Constraining past accumulation in the central Pine Island Glacier basin, West Antarctica, using radio-echo sounding. *Journal of Glaciology* 60(221), 553–562. doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J180
- Karlsson NB, Rippin DM, Bingham RG and Vaughan DG (2012) A 'continuity-index' for assessing ice-sheet dynamics from radar-sounded internal layers. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 335-336, 88-94. doi:10.1016/J.EPSL.2012.04.034
- Koutnik MR and 7 others (2016) Holocene accumulation and ice flow near the West Antarctic ice sheet divide ice core site. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 121(5), 907–924. doi:10.1002/2015JF003668
- Leysinger Vieli G, Hindmarsh R and Siegert M (2007) Three-dimensional flow influences on radar layer stratigraphy. Annals of Glaciology 46, 22– 28. doi:10.3189/172756407782871729
- Leysinger Vieli G, Hindmarsh RC, Siegert MJ and Bo S (2011) Time-dependence of the spatial pattern of accumulation rate in East Antarctica deduced from isochronic radar layers using a 3-D numerical ice flow model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 116(2), 00–00. doi:10.1029/2010JF001785
- Leysinger Vieli G, Siegert MJ and Payne AJ (2004) Reconstructing ice-sheet accu-mulation rates at ridge B, East Antarctica. Annals of Glaciology 39(1), 326–330. doi:10.3189/172756404781814519
- MacGregor JA and 9 others (2015) Radiostratigraphy and age structure of the Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **120**(2), 212–241. doi:10.1002/2014JF003215
- Nanda NC (2016) Seismic Data Interpretation and Evaluation for Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production. Springer International Publishing.
- Nereson NA, Raymond CF, Jacobel R and Waddington ED (2000) The accumulation pattern across Siple Dome, West Antarctica, inferred from radardetected internal layers. *Journal of Glaciology* 46(152), 75–87. doi:10.3189/ 172756500781833449
- Nye JF (1963) Correction Factor for Accumulation Measured by the thickness of the annual layers in an ice sheet. *Journal of Glaciology* 4(36), 785–788. doi:10.3189/s0022143000028367

- Panton C (2014) Automated mapping of local layer slope and tracing of internal layers in radio echograms. *Annals of Glaciology* 55(67), 71–77. doi:10.3189/2014AoG67A048
- Panton C and Karlsson NB (2015) Automated mapping of near bed radioecho layer disruptions in the Greenland ice sheet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 432, 323–331. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.10.024
- Parrenin F and Hindmarsh R (2007) Influence of a non-uniform velocity field on isochrone geometry along a steady flowline of an ice sheet. *Journal of Glaciology* 53(183), 612–622. doi:10.3189/002214307784409298
- Rippin DM, Siegert MJ and Bamber JL (2003) The englacial stratigraphy of Wilkes Land, East Antarctica, as revealed by internal radio-echo sounding layering, and its relationship with balance velocities. *Annals of Glaciology* 36, 189–196. doi:10.3189/172756403781816356
- Schroeder DM and 9 others (2019) Multidecadal observations of the Antarctic ice sheet from restored analog radar records. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116(38), 18867–18873. doi:10.1073/pnas.1821646116
- Siegert MJ (1999) On the origin, nature and uses of Antarctic ice-sheet radioecho layering. *Progress in Physical Geography* 23(2), 159–179. doi:10.1177/ 030913339902300201
- Siegert MJ and Payne AJ (2004) Past rates of accumulation in central West Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31(12), L12403. doi: 10.1029/ 2004GL020290.

- Siegert MJ, Payne AJ and Joughin I (2003) Spatial stability of Ice Stream D and its tributaries, West Antarctica, revealed by radio-echo sounding and interferometry. Annals of Glaciology 37, 377–382. doi:10.3189/172756403781816022
- Sime LC, Hindmarsh RC and Corr H (2011) Automated processing to derive dip angles of englacial radar reflectors in ice sheets. *Journal of Glaciology* 57 (202), 260–266. doi:10.3189/002214311796405870
- Sime LC, Karlsson NB, Paden JD and Prasad Gogineni S (2014) Isochronous information in a Greenland ice sheet radio echo sounding data set. *Geophysical Research Letters* **41**(5), 1593–1599. doi:10.1002/2013GL057928
- **Sobel I** (1990) Generalized and separable Sobel operators. In Danielsson PE and Seger O (eds.), *Machine Vision for Three-Dimensional Scenes*. Academic Press.
- Steger C (1998) An unbiased detector of curvilinear structures. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 20(2), 113–125. doi:10.1109/34.659930
- Winter A and 9 others (2017) Comparison of measurements from different radioecho sounding systems and synchronization with the ice core at Dome C, Antarctica. *The Cryosphere* **11**(1), 653–668. doi:10.5194/tc-11-653-2017
- Winter A, Steinhage D, Creyts TT, Kleiner T and Eisen O (2019) Age stratigraphy in the East Antarctic Ice Sheet inferred from radio-echo sounding horizons. *Earth System Science Data* 11(3), 1069–1081. doi:10.5194/essd-11-1069-2019
- Xiong S, Muller JP and Carretero RC (2018) A new method for automatically tracing englacial layers from MCoRDS Data in NW Greenland. *Remote Sensing* 10(1), 1–22. doi:10.3390/rs10010043