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#### Abstract

We prove a hyperstability result for the Cauchy functional equation $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$, which complements some earlier stability outcomes of J. M. Rassias. As a consequence, we obtain the slightly surprising corollary that for every function $f$, mapping a normed space $E_{1}$ into a normed space $E_{2}$, and for all real numbers $r, s$ with $r+s>0$ one of the following two conditions must be valid: $$
\begin{aligned} & \sup _{x, y \in E_{1}}\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\|\|x\|^{r}\|y\|^{s}=\infty, \\ & \sup _{x, y \in E_{1}}\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\|\|x\|^{r}\|y\|^{s}=0 . \end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we present a new method for proving stability for functional equations, based on a fixed point theorem.
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## 1. Introduction

The main motivation for the investigation of the stability of functional equations was given by Ulam in 1940 in his talk at the University of Wisconsin (see [17, 36]), where he presented the following unsolved problem, among others.

Let $G_{1}$ be a group and $\left(G_{2}, d\right)$ a metric group. Given $\varepsilon>0$, does there exist $\delta>0$ such that if $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies

$$
d(f(x y), f(x) f(y))<\delta
$$

for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then a homomorphism $T: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ exists with

$$
d(f(x), T(x))<\varepsilon
$$

for all $x, y \in G_{1}$ ?

[^0]For more information on this area of research and further references, see [18, 21]. Let us only mention that the following theorem seems to be the most classical result concerning stability of the Cauchy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x+y)=T(x)+T(y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be two normed spaces, with $E_{2}$ complete. Take $c \geq 0$ and let $p \neq 1$ be a fixed real number. Let $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ be a mapping such that

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq c\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right), \quad x, y \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Then there exists a unique solution $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ of (1.1) with

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{c\|x\|^{p}}{\left|1-2^{p-1}\right|}, \quad x \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Theorem 1.1 is due to Aoki [1] for $0<p<1$ (see also [31]); Gajda [16] for $p>1$; Hyers [17] for $p=0$; and Th. M. Rassias [32] for $p<0$ (see [33, page 326] and [4]). Quite often the result contained in the theorem is described as the Hyers-UlamRassias stability of the Cauchy equation (1.1). It has motivated J. M. Rassias [29, 30] (see also [21, pages 50-51]) to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be two normed spaces, with $E_{2}$ complete. Take $c \geq 0$ and let $p, q$ be real numbers with $p+q \in[0,1)$. Let $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ be an operator such that

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq c\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}, \quad x, y \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Then there exists a unique solution $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ of (1.1) with

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{c\|x\|^{p+q}}{2-2^{p+q}}, \quad x \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\}
$$

We provide a complement for this result in the case $p+q<0$; moreover, we do so on a restricted domain. Namely, we prove the following theorem (in which $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers).

Theorem 1.3. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be normed spaces, and $X \subset E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ be nonempty. Take $c \geq 0$ and let $p, q$ be real numbers with $p+q<0$. Assume that there exists a positive integer $m_{0}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
n x \in X, \quad x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq m_{0} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every operator $g: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$, satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g(x+y)-g(x)-g(y)\| \leq c\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}, \quad x, y \in X, x+y \in X, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is additive on $X$, that is, fulfils the condition

$$
g(x+y)=g(x)+g(y), \quad x, y \in X, \quad x+y \in X
$$

Clearly the statement of Theorem 1.3 is much stronger than that of Theorem 1.1. Using the terminology proposed in [26], we name the property of equation (1.1) described in Theorem $1.3 \phi$-hyperstability on $X$, with $\phi(x, y)=c\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}$ for $x, y \in X$.

Note that, as a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we obtain at once the slightly surprising corollary that every function $f$ mapping a normed space $E_{1}$ into a normed space $E_{2}$ is either additive (that is, $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ for $x, y \in E_{1}$ ) or satisfies the condition

$$
\sup _{x, y \in E_{1}}\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\|\|x\|^{r}\|y\|^{s}=\infty
$$

for all real numbers $r, s$ with $r+s>0$.

## 2. Auxiliary results

The method of proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on a fixed point theorem in [5, Theorem 1] (see also [6, Theorem 2]). Our method can be considered to be an extension of the investigations in [2, 7, 22-24, 27, 28]. (For a survey on this subject, see [8].)

We need the following hypotheses. (Here, $\mathbb{R}_{+}$stands for the set of nonnegative reals and $A^{B}$ denotes the family of all functions mapping a set $B \neq \emptyset$ into a set $A \neq \emptyset$.)
$(\mathrm{H} 1) X \neq \emptyset$ is a set, $E_{2}$ is a Banach space, $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}: X \rightarrow X$ and $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. (H2) $\mathcal{T}: E_{2}{ }^{X} \rightarrow E_{2}{ }^{X}$ satisfies

$$
\|\mathcal{T} \xi(x)-\mathcal{T} \mu(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}(x)\left\|\xi\left(f_{i}(x)\right)-\mu\left(f_{i}(x)\right)\right\|, \quad \xi, \mu \in E_{2}{ }^{X}, \quad x \in X
$$

(H3) $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{X}$ is given by

$$
\Lambda \delta(x):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}(x) \delta\left(f_{i}(x)\right), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{X}, x \in X
$$

We are now in a position to present the fixed point theorem mentioned above.
Theorem 2.1. Let (H1)-(H3) hold and let $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \varphi: X \rightarrow E_{2}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\|\mathcal{T} \varphi(x)-\varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X \\
\varepsilon^{*}(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{n} \varepsilon(x)<\infty, \quad x \in X .
\end{array}
$$

Then there exists a unique fixed point $\psi$ of $\mathcal{T}$ with

$$
\|\varphi(x)-\psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon^{*}(x), \quad x \in X
$$

Moreover, $\psi$ is given by

$$
\psi(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}^{n} \varphi(x), \quad x \in X
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $E_{2}$ is complete, because otherwise we can simply replace $E_{2}$ by its completion. Note that, in view of the assumption that $p+q<0$, we must have $p<0$ or $q<0$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider only the case where $q<0$.

Let $f$ denote the restriction of $g$ to the set $X$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq m_{0}$ and

$$
m^{p+q}+(1+m)^{p+q}<1
$$

Taking $y=m x$ in (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f((m+1) x)-f(x)-f(m x)\| \leq c m^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}, \quad x \in X . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define operators $\mathcal{T}: E_{2}{ }^{X} \rightarrow E_{2}{ }^{X}$ and $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{X}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T} \xi(x):=\xi((m+1) x)-\xi(m x), & x \in X, \quad \xi \in E_{2}^{X}, \\
\Lambda \delta(x):=\delta((m+1) x)+\delta(m x), & x \in X, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Lambda$ has the form described in (H3) with $k=2, f_{1}(x)=(m+1) x, f_{2}(x)=m x$, $L_{1}(x)=L_{2}(x)=1$ for $x \in X$ and (3.1) can be written as

$$
\|\mathcal{T} f(x)-f(x)\| \leq c m^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}=: \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X
$$

Furthermore, (H2) is also valid.
Since

$$
\varepsilon^{*}(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{n} \varepsilon(x) \leq c m^{q}\|x\|^{p+q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(m^{p+q}+(m+1)^{p+q}\right)^{n}, \quad x \in X,
$$

we have

$$
\varepsilon^{*}(x) \leq \frac{c m^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}}{1-m^{p+q}-(m+1)^{p+q}}, \quad x \in X .
$$

Hence, according to Theorem 2.1, there is a solution $T_{m}: X \rightarrow E_{2}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=T((1+m) x)-T(m x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-T_{m}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{c m^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}}{1-m^{p+q}-(m+1)^{p+q}}, \quad x \in X \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
T_{m}(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}^{n} f(x) \quad x \in X
$$

Next, it can be easily shown by induction that, for every $x, y \in X$ with $x+y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{T}^{n} f(x+y)-\mathcal{T}^{n} f(x)-\mathcal{T}^{n} f(y)\right\| \leq c\left(m^{p+q}+(m+1)^{p+q}\right)^{n}\left(\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, it is enough to observe that the case $n=0$ is just (1.3) and, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $x, y \in X$ with $x+y \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{T}^{k+1} f(x+y)-\mathcal{T}^{k+1} f(x)-\mathcal{T}^{k+1} f(y)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\mathcal{T}^{k} f((m+1) x+(m+1) y)-\mathcal{T}^{k} f((m+1) x)-\mathcal{T}^{k} f((m+1) y)\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|\mathcal{T}^{k} f(m x+m y)-\mathcal{T}^{k} f(m x)-\mathcal{T}^{k} f(m y)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m}(x+y)=T_{m}(x)+T_{m}(y), \quad x, y \in X, \quad x+y \in X \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove that $T_{m}$ is the unique function mapping $X$ into $E_{2}$ that is additive on $X$ and such that

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left\|f(x)-T_{m}(x)\right\|\|x\|^{-p-q}<\infty
$$

So, suppose that $T_{0}: X \rightarrow Y$ is additive on $X$ and satisfies

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left\|f(x)-T_{0}(x)\right\|\|x\|^{-p-q}<\infty .
$$

Then there is a positive real constant $M$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{m}(x)-T_{0}(x)\right\| \leq M\|x\|^{p+q}, \quad x \in X \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily show by induction that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{m}(x)-T_{0}(x)\right\| \leq M\|x\|^{p+q} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}\left(m^{p+q}+(m+1)^{p+q}\right)^{n}, \quad x \in X . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is enough to note that the case $j=0$ follows from (3.6) and, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\left\|T_{m}(x)-T_{0}(x)\right\| \leq\left\|T_{m}((m+1) x)-T_{0}((m+1) x)\right\|+\left\|T_{m}(m x)-T_{0}(m x)\right\|, \quad x \in X,
$$

because $T_{m}$ and $T_{0}$ are solutions to (3.2). Hence, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7), we get $T_{m}=T_{0}$.

Thus we have proved that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m_{0}$, there exists a unique solution $T_{m}: X \rightarrow Y$ to (3.5) satisfying (3.3). The uniqueness of $T_{m}$ means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-T_{k}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{c n^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}}{1-n^{p+q}-(n+1)^{p+q}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq m_{0}$ and $k \geq m_{0}$. In fact, if $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k \geq m_{0}$, then

$$
\left\|f(x)-T_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{c n^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}}{1-n^{p+q}-(n+1)^{p+q}} \leq \frac{c k^{q}\|x\|^{p+q}}{1-k^{p+q}-(k+1)^{p+q}}, \quad x \in X
$$

whence $T_{n}=T_{k}$, which yields (3.8).
Fixing $k$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8), we get $f=T_{k}$. This implies that $f$ is additive on the set $X$.

## 4. Final remarks

We end the paper with some comments and corollaries.
Remark 4.1. There arises a natural question: when, for $T_{0}: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ additive on $X \subset E_{1}$, is there an additive $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ with $T(x)=T_{0}(x)$ for $x \in X$ ? This is the case when $X$ is a subsemigroup of the group ( $E_{1},+$ ) (see [25, Theorem 1.1, Ch. XVIII]). Some further information on this issue can be found in [34, Ch. 4]; an example of the extension procedure yielding such a result is provided in [35, pages 143-144].

Theorem 1.3 yields the following two simple corollaries, which correspond to the results in [3, 9-13, 15, 19] on the inhomogeneous Cauchy equation (4.2) and the cocycle equation (4.3).
Corollary 4.2. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be normed spaces, $X \subset E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ be nonempty, $G: X^{2} \rightarrow$ $E_{2}$, and $G\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for some $x_{0}, y_{0} \in X$ with $x_{0}+y_{0} \in X$. Assume that (1.2) holds with some $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and there are real $p, q$ and $c>0$ such that $p+q<0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G(x, y)\| \leq c\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}, \quad x, y \in X, x+y \in X \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(x+y)=g_{0}(x)+g_{0}(y)+G(x, y), \quad x, y \in X, x+y \in X \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solutions in the class of functions $g_{0}: X \rightarrow E_{2}$.
Proof. Let $g_{0}: X \rightarrow E_{2}$ be a solution to (4.2). Define $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ by $f(x)=g_{0}(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $f(x)=0$ for $x \in E_{1} \backslash X$. Then (1.3) holds and consequently, by Theorem 1.3, $f$ is additive on $X$, which means that $G\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction.

Corollary 4.3. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be normed spaces, $X \subset E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ be nonempty, $G: E_{1}{ }^{2} \rightarrow$ $E_{2}$ satisfy the cocycle functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, y)+G(x+y, z)=G(x, y+z)+G(y, z), \quad x, y, z \in E_{1}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G(x, y)=G(y, x)$ for $x, y \in E_{2}$. Assume that (1.2) holds with some $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and there are real $p, q$ and $c>0$ such that $p+q<0$ and (4.1) holds. Then $G(x, y)=0$ for every $x, y \in X$ with $x+y \in X$.

Proof. It is well known (see [14] or [20]) that $G$ is coboundary, which means that there exists $g: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ such that $G(x, y)=g(x+y)-g(x)-g(y)$ for $x, y \in E_{1}$. This means that $g_{0}: X \rightarrow E_{2}$, given by $g_{0}(x):=g(x)$ for $x \in X$, is a solution to (4.2). So Corollary 4.2 yields the result.
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