In this address I shall discuss head trauma from an angle which may be unusual for neuroscientists. Our preoccupations are diagnostic challenges and management problems, but that which we experience at the bedside is only a narrow segment of a continuum which started with trauma somewhere in a war, on the road, at home, on the football field, in the boxing ring, and in many other distinct locations. When our role is over, there are only three places where head trauma victims can be found: in cemeteries, where every year, 5,000 new graves are made to accommodate fatal head injuries in Canada; in chronic hospitals, which are already overloaded with victims of various insults to the brain, and, of course, within society, which accepts the fully recovered or tolerates the subtle and not so subtle consequences of so-called ‘minor’ head injuries.

To begin, I shall focus on trauma itself and will try to define the magnitude of the problem and match the response of society to it. The magnitude of a health problem, can be judged from the incidence, mortality, and morbidity figures and from the financial costs to society (Waller, 1980). A major epidemic is a disaster in continuity which keeps decimating the population and saps the financial resources of society. But, according to Brian Jennett: “Head injured patients are so common place that they often fail to attract the attention they deserve.” (Jennett and Teasdale, 1981)

Let us examine the facts more closely. Reliable data are collected regularly and come from a number of sources. The National Head and Spinal Cord Injury Survey (Anderson and McLaurin, 1980), a supplement to the Journal of Neurosurgery, and the Central Nervous System Trauma Research Status Report (Odom, 1979) remain excellent documents which can be updated from the World Health Organization Vital Statistics. From these, and other published statistics (Canadian 1982; Causes of Death 1977, 1980; Hospital 1978; World Almanac 1970-1983), it can be established that the annual incidence of serious head trauma is very high, and affects as many as 20,000 to 30,000 people in Canada. The variation of 100 to 700 per 100,000 population is age specific, and a tragic peak occurs between 15 and 19 years of age. This should be noted and imprinted on the conscience of all parents of the world.

About 100,000 people die in the United States every year because of accidents. Half of these deaths are related to road accidents; 70% of fatal injuries occur because of head trauma. In Canada, the situation is not much better, despite the fact that we passed the highest peak in 1973, when road fatalities alone claimed nearly 7,000 lives. In the 1970’s sharing the distinction with West Germany, and surpassing the United States, WE were the world leaders in highway fatalities and WE had the highest percentage of accidental deaths in the world. There is overwhelming proof from excellent studies covering several geographic locations, that road accidents are the single most common cause of head injuries (51%), surpassing the home, which nevertheless, maintains the distinction of being the second most dangerous place for the head.

Looking at morbidity, the picture remains equally distressing. Figures for the United States show that there were 9 million disabling injuries in 1981, resulting in 350,000 cases of permanent disability. We don’t have reliable figures in Canada, but as a rough estimate, 10% would probably be realistic.

Translating the morbidity and mortality figures to dollars and cents, the cost of accidents has grown from 18.2 billion dollars in 1970 to 78.4 billion dollars in 1982 in the United States. Motor vehicle accidents account for nearly half of these expenses. Head injuries, therefore, in Canada, cost at least 4 billion dollars per year.

At a recent Trauma Conference in Calgary, Professor John Read stated, “One in twenty Canadian children born today will be killed or severely injured before reaching the age of 15 (Read, 1984). The majority of these injury events will occur in traffic.” Just think about it for a minute. The world’s population will be about 6 billion in the year 2000, 2.5 billion of which will be children under 14 years of age. If all countries on earth were as advanced as we are technologically, 1.25 million children would die in the year 2001 because of head injuries alone.

In this frightening shadow of an Orwellian forecast, my second concern in this address is to examine society’s response to the vastness of the problem and ask:

1. Is it really true that accident is a natural phenomenon?
2. Do head injury patients fail to attract the attention they deserve?
3. Is it really tenable that in 15 years, accidents will continue decimating the children of this country?

Allow me to illustrate the “Vital Signs” of society or the “Coma Scale”, if you wish, whereby the response of society can be assessed. Whether a society is alert or in coma may be gauged by testing cultural and organizational phenomena, checking what industry, government and the law do about a noxious reality, and testing how society propagates new knowledge, processes new information, and implements the needed change.

Let us look first at Canadian and American agencies concerned with trauma. These organizations which represent the medical profession, business, industry, government and citi-
zen groups are listed in Table 1. These are only about 40% of the agencies concerned internationally with trauma and head injuries.

Organized medicine should be proud of the leadership of the American College of Surgeons which has been a powerhouse of resources on how to establish and maintain effective structural and high professional standards in the management of trauma victims. The number of brochures, pamphlets and symposia, reflect the profound concern of the American College about this epidemic of civilized living which can be subdued by improving every phase of trauma management.

In Canada, I must confess, there has been a slow awakening, but within the past few years, from the Royal College to the Canadian Medical Association, the activity has been very impressive.

Time prevents me from checking the "Vital Signs" of society systematically and exhaustively. I shall, however, touch upon a few issues which I consider important because they reflect Canadian concern. These are: 1. Head protection, 2. Injuries to children, 3. Alcohol and youth, 4. Seat-belt and restraint, 5. Trauma research funding.

**Head Protection**

Great events in history have hinged on head protection. It is no secret that Goliath had a helmet of brass upon his head and that his helmet had a rather substandard construction for David "smote the Philistine, the stone sunk into his forehead and he fell upon his face to the earth." The Romans and Greeks had excellent helmets. Alexander the Great (330 B.C.) had a fluted helmet which successfully deflected blows to his head, and saved his life in many battles. Obviously, a man ahead of his time, he decreed that in all campaigns, horse soldiers should wear helmets. The current trend is in agreement with him, as protective devices in transport, sport, and leisure activity are showing a resurgence today.

The excellent posters of the Ontario Easter Seal Society uses the best psychology to reinforce the use of sports helmets. The improvement of existing helmets is very important, as is shown by a study covering five years of football injuries in the United States (Schneider, 1982) In this study, 69 subdural hematomas with 28 deaths occurred because the helmets did not properly absorb the energy from severe impact. This prompted research to improve helmet design and reduce injury.

In our study, we found bicycle injuries the single most common cause of head injury in children (Ivan et al., 1983; Lapner and Ivan, 1981). We suggest the use of helmets for cyclists and bicycles that are CSA (Canadian Standards Association) approved. We hope that legislation will deal with these issues.

Concerning motorcycle helmets, in the United States, 35 states repealed mandatory motorcycle helmet legislation in May of 1976 (Watson et al., 1980). The result, an increase in head injuries and death, and a 200% increase in medical cost and disability (McSwain and Petrucelli, 1984).

This might be the time to reflect on boxing. The World Federation of Neurosurgeons and the Canadian Neurosurgical Society have been fighting this savage sport for a long time. Last year, our view was presented (by Dr. J. Stratford) to the Canadian Medical Association. In a recent letter, I was informed that the Canadian Medical Association has made a resolution that boxing be banned in Canada, while the Ontario Medical Association, at its annual meeting, indicated that all boxing need not be banned (Rich, 1984). Dr. George Lundberg, in a recent editorial, stated that blows to the head should be made illegal, as have blows to the testes (Newsbriefs, 1984).

**Injuries to Children**

Injuries to children is a special issue because of the enormity of the mortality figures compared to other causes of death in childhood (Accidents, 1981). Interestingly, the most revealing figures come from the Cancer Journal for Clinicians (Silverberg, 1982), which clearly shows that accidents in the United States killed more children between 1 and 14 years of age, than cancer, congenital anomalies, heart disease, meningitis, cerebral palsy and cystic fibrosis, altogether. For children, the road is deadly, but for toddlers and infants, the home remains the commonest place of head trauma, and through the Canada Safety Council and the Canadian Institute of Child Health, we have convinced the government to modify safety standards for stairs, walkers and homes.

**Alcohol and Youth**

Drinking and driving is a serious problem in all age groups (Simpson, 1982; Zuska et al., 1983). The Canadian Automobile Association tells us that in 1981, from the 641 persons killed in traffic accidents, 376 had been drinking. The Bulletin of the American College of Surgeons states, and I quote, "More than 2 million Americans died in wars since 1775" (Connaughton, 1983). Since approximately 50% of motor vehicle deaths are alcohol related, it becomes apparent that drinking and driving has killed more on the streets and highways than we have lost in all wars as a nation." Alcohol abuse and road trauma combined are the leading causes of death between 17 and 21 years of age. A recent study in the province of Ontario revealed that from 484 fatally injured drivers and pedestrians in one year, only 32% had neither alcohol nor drug in their blood samples (Warren and Simpson, 1980).

The Ontario Medical Association Committee on Accidental Injuries has made specific recommendations for strategies to decrease drinking and driving (Committe, 1984). This may ultimately result in provincial legislation. The Law Reform Commission of Canada has already forwarded a report to Parliament with specific recommendations about alcohol related issues (Report, 1983).

One of the best documents I had in my hands, revealing society's concern is the booklet Task Force of the Government of Ontario on Drinking and Driving (Discussion, 1983). It deals with the problem in depth, both nationally and internationally. At the request of a citizen's group, a Task Force was established by Premier W. Davis in the fall of 1982, in order to spur a new assault on the problem. The Task Force made several recommendations in this study which should surface soon in Parliamentary debate.

The Traffic Injury Research Foundation has an equally valuable document in which the emphasis is again on youth with specific reference to changing social behaviour and attitudes towards drinking and driving (Alcohol, 1983). This report also emphasizes the development of community based strategies. Examples of these are M.A.D.D. (Mothers Against Drunk Driving), S.A.D.D. (Students Against Drunk Driving). Many of these voices reach the media quite frequently, and some concern appear on such things as shopping bags issued by the Liquor Control Board of Ontario. In magazines, unfortunately,
alcohol is a frequent object of beautiful advertisements. In the
distinguished Canadian Geographic (Canadian, 1984), I found
five excellent ads, promoting alcohol. The one which caught
my eye was Seagrams, which says “When we say don’t drink
and drive we’re not just talking about liquor. Twelve ounces of
beer, a 5½ oz. glass of wine and 1½ oz. of spirits — they all
contain the same amount of alcohol. So they’re all equally
wrong to mix with driving, and for that matter, just as wrong to
abuse at any time”. Moral or immoral, conformist or not, it is
good to know that at least one of the merchants of this lethal
combination feels some societal pressure.

Seetalts and Restraining

There has been a remarkable decrease in deaths and injuries
since January 1st, 1976, when seatbelts became mandatory in
Ontario. In 1975, the year before seatbelt legislation, 1314
drivers and passengers were killed in motor vehicle accidents in
Ontario. In 1982, Canadian Road Safety Statistics show that
the number had dropped to 783, a drop of 40%; the lowest
number of deaths and injuries since 1965. Unfortunately, seatbelt
laws in many countries are not enforced, or if they are, the
compliance may be low. A recent blitz in Ottawa showed only
74% adult and 60% child restraint compliance which improved
by 10% after a second blitz (Surveys, 1984). Child restraint
legislation is now in effect on Ontario, Quebec, Manitoba, Nova
Scotia, Newfoundland, Saskatchewan and 41 states of the United
States. It remains, however, a matter of education and legisla­
tion to protect little citizens who cannot make the decision to
protect themselves.

Some researchers believe that a passive restraint system will
improve the problem and industrial research remains very active
in this field. The U.S. government has recently legislated that
air bags and automatic seat belts will be phased into new cars by
the manufacturers.

Trauma Research

Finally, I would like to touch upon trauma research. In spite
of the improvement of mortality with aggressive treatment of
severe head injuries, we seem to have reached a plateau where
further improvement remains questionable. Maybe it is true,
that a scrambled brain cannot be unscrambled; but, there is
plenty of room for research. (Ghent, 1981)

Certain therapeutic vacuums exist which may effect society
and man in a rather insidious manner. Probably the two most
important areas which deserve more research are the
moment of the ‘accident’ to the earliest possible management
of coma, and the subtle consequences of head injuries. As an
example; a 15 year old boy suffered a slight head injury while
under the influence of alcohol, when he fell backwards and
struck his head on the floor. He had a Glasgow coma scale of 12
at admission and left the hospital in less then a week. The CT
scan showed, to our astonishment, a dozen small contre-coup
haemorrhages in the frontal and temporal areas. He went back
to school, but dropped out before graduating, and now works as
a painter’s helper.

I recently saw him again when he was painting the walls of
the hospital where he was treated. He is jolly, uninhibited, calls
himself a paintologist and is reintegrated to productive life; but
is he really? Can we measure his loss? What else could he have
painted? Why did he drop out of school?

Nobody can answer these questions for we don’t know the
value of lost neurons, and how can we know, when such a small
amount of money is spent on head injury research. The figures
are embarrassing for only one percent or less of the grant
dollars support head injury research. People who suffer from
incurable diseases have my deepest sympathy and I am happy
for the millions of dollars governments will spend to attempt to
solve their problems, but I also have healthy children and three
of them are teenage drivers. From the depth of my heart I am
crying for all teenagers of Canada and the world, that we may
find the wisdom and the money to stop their slaughter on the
roads.

Prevention should be the solution, but prevention comes
from education and education is the transfer of knowledge.
Knowledge can be based only on experience and research, and
education and research together are the key issues to the solu­
tion of the problem of head trauma.

Neuroscientists should be the champions of this cause, for
they know best that the greatest treasure of evolution is the
human brain. There should be a new line of evolution in society,
not the fittest, but the wisest. An evolution which brings deeper
maturity and wisdom to human behaviour, a changed attitude
which will protect man from self-destruction. Head injury is not
a natural phenomenon. It comes from a hostile environment
which is man made and it should be controlled by man.

According to my analysis, the vital signs of our society are
quite stable. We are making progress and the scores are quite
high on the responsiveness scale. The two weak areas, research
and education, are strongly dependent on government support
and as we know, it takes a long time before the fixed pupils of
some government agencies start responding to light.
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Table 1: Partial list of North American agencies and organizations concerned with trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Institute of Child Health</td>
<td>17 York Street, Suite 202, Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Seal Society of Ontario ('Head First')</td>
<td>PO Box 663, Station 'K', Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Injury Association of Canada (Parents)</td>
<td>PO Box 8464, Dundas, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Safety Council</td>
<td>1765 St. Laurent Blvd., Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Injury Research Foundation</td>
<td>171 Nepean Street, Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biokinetics and Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>1481 Cyrville Road, Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Medical Association</td>
<td>Committee on Trauma, 1867 Alta Vista Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Medical Association</td>
<td>Committee on Accidental Injuries, 240 St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Association of Canada</td>
<td>251 Laurier Ave. W., Suite 703, Ottawa,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Association of Automotive Medicine</td>
<td>40 Second Avenue, Arlington Heights, Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Welfare Canada</td>
<td>General Inquiries, (613) 996-4950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Canada</td>
<td>Road Safety, Place de Ville, Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer and Corporate Affairs</td>
<td>General Inquiries, (613) 997-2938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics Canada — Health Division</td>
<td>Inquiries, (613) 995-9544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Transportation and Communications</td>
<td>1201 Wilson Avenue, Downsview, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Trauma Foundation</td>
<td>Address enquiries to the attention of:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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