Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 16 (4), 1973

A NOTE ON THE IMPLICATIONAL CLASS GENERATED BY A CLASS OF STRUCTURES

BY G. GRÄTZER AND H. LAKSER (¹)

We use the notations of [2], particularly for operators on classes of structures; in addition, $\mathbf{P}_r^*(K)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{P}_r(K)$) denotes the class of all reduced products of families of structures in K (those with respect to a proper dual ideal, respectively). We prove:

THEOREM. Let K be a class of structures. The universal Horn class generated by K is $ISPP_{p}(K)$ and the implicational class⁽²⁾ generated by K is $ISP*P_{p}(K)$.

The proof of the theorem is based on Lemma 1, due to A. I. Mal'cev [6], and Lemma 2.

LEMMA 1 (A. I. Mal'cev). The universal Horn class generated by the class K is $ISP_r(K)$, and the implicational class generated by K is $ISP_r^*(K)$.

LEMMA 2. For any class K of structures $\mathbf{P}_r(K) \subseteq \mathbf{IP}_s \mathbf{P}_p(K)$ and $\mathbf{P}_r^*(K) \subseteq \mathbf{IP}_s^* \mathbf{P}_p(K)$.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I)$ be a family of structures in K, let \mathscr{Q} be a dual ideal in the lattice of subsets of I, and let $\prod_{\mathscr{Q}} (\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I)$ be the reduced product with respect to \mathscr{Q} . Now

$$\prod_{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I) = \prod (\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I) / \Theta(\mathscr{Q}),$$

where $\Theta(\mathcal{D})$ is the congruence on $\prod (\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I)$ determined by requiring that $a \equiv b(\Theta(\mathcal{D}))$ iff $\{i \mid a(i) = b(i)\} \in \mathcal{D}$. Let D be the set of all prime dual ideals containing \mathcal{D} . Then $\mathcal{D} = \cap (\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D} \in D)$ and it follows immediately that $\Theta(\mathcal{D}) = \bigwedge (\Theta(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \in D)$. Thus $\prod_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I)$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of the family $(\prod_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathfrak{A}_i \mid i \in I) \mid \mathcal{D} \in D)$. Observing that D is nonvoid iff \mathcal{D} is proper completes the proof.

The theorem now follows by noting that

$$\operatorname{ISPP}_p(K) \subseteq \operatorname{ISP}_r(K) \subseteq \operatorname{ISIP}_s \mathbb{P}_p(K) \subseteq \operatorname{ISPP}_p(K),$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{ISP}^*\mathbf{P}_p(K)$.

⁽¹⁾ The research of both authors was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

^(*) An implicational class, also called a quasivariety, is a class determined by sentences which are the universal closures of formulas of the form $(\Phi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Phi_n) \rightarrow \Phi_1$, $n \ge 1$, where all Φ_i are atomic formulas.

Two corollaries follow directly:

COROLLARY 1 (Fujiwara [1]). The universal Horn class generated by K is ILSP(K) and the implicational class generated by K is $ILSP^*(K)$.

Proof. We need only recall that $P_p(K) \subseteq ILP(K)$ ([2, p. 160, Exercise 100]) and that universal classes are closed under L.

COROLLARY 2. Let K be a finite set of finite structures. Then the universal Horn class generated by K is ISP(K), and the implicational class generated by K is $ISP^*(K)$.

Proof. Since K consists of a finite number of finite structures, $P_p(K) \subseteq I(K)$. Corollary 2 is in a very convenient form for computation. For example, it provides a counterexample to a claim of Shafaat [7]. Specifically, we construct an



implicational class of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices that is not equational. Let \mathfrak{L}_1 be the pseudocomplemented distributive lattice depicted in Figure 1 and let \mathfrak{L}_2 be that depicted in Figure 2. Then, since \mathfrak{L}_2 cannot be embedded in \mathfrak{L}_1 so as to preserve pseudocomplementation and since \mathfrak{L}_2 is subdirectly irreducible (see [5], also [3]), $\mathfrak{L}_2 \notin ISP^*(\mathfrak{L}_1)$. Since \mathfrak{L}_2 is a homomorphic image of \mathfrak{L}_1 , we conclude that $ISP^*(\mathfrak{L}_1)$ is not an equational class.



Figure 2

We remark in closing that by using Lemma 2 we can give a very short proof of Lemma 1. The fundamental result of Horn [4] states that a universal class is a Horn class iff it is closed under P. Now a class is a universal axiomatic class iff it is closed under I, S and P_p ; thus a class is universal Horn iff it is closed under I, S, P and P_p . Consequently, $ISP_r(K)$ is a universal Horn class and the consequence $ISP_r(K) \subseteq ISPP_p(K)$ of Lemma 2 shows that $ISP_r(K)$ is the least universal Horn class containing K. An analogous proof holds for implicational classes.

REFERENCES

1. T. Fujiwara, On the construction of the least universal Horn class containing a given class, Osaka J. Math. (to appear).

2. G. Grätzer, Universal algebra, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1968.

3. G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, The structure of psuedocomplemented distributive lattices. II: Congruence extension and amalgamation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 343-358.

4. A. Horn, On sentences which are true of direct unions of algebras, J. Symbolic Logic 16 (1951), 14-21.

5. H. Lakser, The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. I: Subdirect decomposition, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 335-342.

6. A. I. Mal'cev, Several remarks on quasivarieties of algebraic systems, (Russian), Algebra i Logika Sem., no. 3, 5 (1966), 3-9.

7. A. Shafaat, Quasivarieties of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices are varieties, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1970), 425.

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA