## EXTREMAL PROBLEMS FOR SCHLICHT FUNCTIONS IN THE EXTERIOR OF THE UNIT GIRCLE

E. NETANYAHU

1. Introduction. Let $\Sigma$ represent the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=g(z)=z+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{-n}, \quad g(z) \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are schlicht and regular, except for the pole at infinity, in $|z|>1$. Further let $\Sigma^{-1}$ be the class of inverse functions of $\Sigma$ which at $w=\infty$ have the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma(w)=w-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n} w^{-n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a recent paper W. C. Royster (4) considers the subclass of functions of $\Sigma$ which map $|z|>1$ onto a domain whose complement is starlike with respect to the origin. He found, by using the Julia variational method, the exact bounds for $\left|\beta_{2}\right|$ and $\left|\beta_{3}\right|$ and conjectured that for this subclass

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper it is proved that the sharp inequality (3) holds for $\Sigma^{-1}$, and as the extremal function is essentially only the function inverse to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=z+2+\frac{1}{z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the above-mentioned conjecture is answered in the affirmative.
2. The differential equation satisfied by the extremal functions. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma(w)=w-\beta_{0}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{w}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{w^{2}}-\ldots-\frac{\beta_{n}}{w^{n}}-\ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an extremal function maximizing $\left|\beta_{n}\right|$ which is inverse to the schlicht function in $|z|>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=g(z)=z+b_{0}+\frac{b_{1}}{z}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $g(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z) \neq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and normalize it so that $\beta_{n}>0$.
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We sketch a derivation of the differential equation satisfied by $g(z)$ and refer the reader to $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7})$ for the rigorous treatment.

We note first that the function $w=g(z)$ maps $|z|=1$ onto a system of curves $\Gamma$. For suppose, on the contrary, that the complement of the image of $|z|>1$ in the $w$-plane has an interior point $w_{0}$; then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}=w+\frac{e^{i \theta} \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{e^{i \theta} \rho^{2}}{w_{0}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi, \rho>0$ and small enough, will be schlicht and $\neq 0$ in $|z|>1$. By taking the inverse of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}=g^{*}(z)=g(z)+\frac{e^{i \theta} \rho^{2}}{g(z)-w_{0}}+\frac{e^{i \theta} \rho^{2}}{w_{0}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denoting the coefficient of $1 / w^{n}$ by $-\beta_{n}{ }^{*}$, it can be proved that for an appropriate choice of $\theta$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}{ }^{*}\right|>\beta_{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in contradiction to $\beta_{n}$ being maximal.
Now let $w_{0}$ be a point of $\Gamma_{\rho}$, an arc of $\Gamma$ of outer conformal radius $\rho$. Then there is a conformal mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(w)=w-w_{0}+B_{0}(\rho) \rho+\frac{B_{1}(\rho) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{B_{2}(\rho) \rho^{3}}{\left(w-w_{0}\right)^{2}}+\ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which maps the exterior of $\Gamma_{\rho}$ into the exterior of $|\zeta|>\rho$ in the $\zeta$-plane, and it is known that for each $n$ the coefficients $B_{n}(\rho)$ are bounded uniformly in $\rho$.

We introduce the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\zeta)=\zeta+\frac{C_{1} \rho^{2}}{\zeta} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{1}\right| \leqslant 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are schlicht in $|\xi|>\rho$. Except for (13) $C_{1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily.
By composing the mappings (6), (11), and (12), we get for $|z|>1$ the schlicht function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(z)=\eta(\zeta(g(z)))=g(z)-w_{0}+B_{0} \rho+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{g(z)-w_{0}}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normalizing this function in accordance with (7), we obtain the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}=g^{*}(z)=w+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w_{0}}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

schlicht and regular, except for the pole at infinity, in $|z|>1$.
Let the inverse of (15) be

$$
\begin{align*}
z=\gamma^{*}\left(w^{*}\right) & =\gamma^{*}\left(w+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w_{0}}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{16}\\
& =\gamma^{*}(w)+\left[\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w_{0}}\right] \gamma^{* \prime}(w)+o\left(\rho^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $\gamma^{* \prime}(w)$ by $\gamma^{\prime}(w)$ in (16), the error will be $o\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma^{*}\left(w^{*}\right)=\gamma^{*}(w)+\left[\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho_{2}}{w_{0}}\right] \gamma^{\prime}(w)+o\left(\rho_{2}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{*}(w)=\gamma(w)-\left[\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w-w_{0}}+\frac{\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}}{w_{0}}\right] \gamma^{\prime}(w)+o\left(\rho^{2}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the extremal property of $\beta_{n}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \beta_{n}+\left(B_{1}+C_{1}\right) \rho^{2}\left[\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{w_{0}}+(n-2) \beta_{n-2}+(n-3) \beta_{n-3} w_{0}\right.\right.  \tag{19}\\
&\left.+\ldots+w_{0}^{n-1}\right]+o\left(\rho^{2}\right) \mid \leqslant \beta_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

We let $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and note that $B_{1} \rightarrow-e^{2 i \phi}$, where $\phi$ is the angle of inclination of the tangent to $\Gamma$ at $w_{0}$; (6). Since $\beta_{n}>0$, we get from (19) in the limit, as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{( C _ { 1 } - e ^ { 2 i \phi } ) \left[\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{w_{0}}+(n-2) \beta_{n-2}+\right.\right. & (n-3) \beta_{n-3} w_{0}  \tag{20}\\
& \left.\left.+\ldots+w_{0}^{n-1}\right]\right\} \leqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is any complex number satisfying (13). Because of this property of $C_{1}$ we get from (20) the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{w_{0}}+(n-2) \beta_{n-2}+(n-3) \beta_{n-3} w_{0}+\ldots+w_{0}^{n-1}\right] d w_{0}^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d w_{0}$ is the differential element of $\Gamma$ at the point $w_{0}$.
From (21) we can derive a differential equation satisfied by the function $g(z)$ whose inverse is the extremal function $\gamma(w)$. The expression

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
z^{2} g^{\prime}(z)^{2}\left[\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{g(z)}+(n-2) \beta_{n-2}+(n-3) \beta_{n-3} g(z)+\ldots\right.  \tag{22}\\
& \left.+g(z)^{n-1}\right]
\end{array}\right)=z^{n+1}+\ldots .
$$

is an analytic function for $|z|>1$, except for a pole at infinity, of order $n+1$. According to (21), this function is real for $|z|=1$, and hence it can be continued analytically into $|z|<1$ by the Schwarz reflection principle. The function has a pole at the origin of order $n+1$, and hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{2} g^{\prime}(z)^{2} & {\left[\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{g(z)}+(n-2) \beta_{n-2}+(n-3) \beta_{n-3} g(z)+\ldots+g(z)^{n-1}\right] }  \tag{23}\\
& =z^{n+1}+A_{1} z^{n}+\ldots+A_{n} z+A_{n+1}+\frac{\bar{A}_{n}}{z}+\ldots+\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{z^{n}}+\frac{1}{z^{n+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n+1}$ can be calculated explicity in terms of the coefficients $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$.
3. The sharp bounds for $\beta_{n}$. From (5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=g^{\prime}(z)\left[1+\frac{\beta_{1}}{g(z)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{g(z)^{n}}+\ldots\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{2} g(z)^{n-1} g^{\prime}(z)^{2} & {\left[1+\frac{\beta_{1}}{g(z)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{(n-1) \beta_{n-1}}{g(z)^{n}}\right] }  \tag{25}\\
& =z^{2} g(z)^{n-1} g^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n \beta_{n} z^{2} g^{\prime}(z)^{2}}{g(z)^{2}}-\frac{(n+1) \beta_{n+1} z^{2} g^{\prime}(z)^{2}}{g(z)^{3}}-\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

As non-negative powers of $z$ can occur only in the first two expressions of the right-hand side of (25), the coefficients $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n+1}$ can be calculated from the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} g(z)^{n-1} g^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n \beta_{n} z^{2} g^{\prime}(z)^{2}}{g(z)^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the residue theorem we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{g(z)^{n-1} g^{\prime}(z)}{z^{n-k}} d z=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{w^{n-1}}{\gamma(w)^{n-k}} d w, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is carried along a closed curve in $|z|>1$ (and the corresponding image in the $w$-plane), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n+1}=-(n+1) \beta_{n} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second integral in (27) it is seen that $A_{k}$ is a polynomial $P_{k}\left(\beta_{0}\right.$, $\left.\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}\right)$ in $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}$ with positive coefficients.

It is well known that under the normalization (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{0}\right| \leqslant 2 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{\nu}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\nu+1}\binom{2 \nu-1}{\nu-1}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we are going to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the inverse of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=z+2+\frac{1}{z} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=w-2-\frac{1}{w}-\ldots-\frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1} \frac{1}{w^{n}}-\ldots, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get, on the assumption (30), an upper bound for

$$
A_{k}=P_{k}\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}\right), \quad k=1,{ }^{\prime} 2, \ldots, n,
$$

by inserting

$$
g(z)=z+2+\frac{1}{z}
$$

in (25). We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{k}\right| \leqslant\binom{ 2 n-1}{k}-\binom{2 n-1}{k-1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (28), (34), and the fact that the right-hand side of (23) must vanish for some value $z_{0}=e^{i \theta_{0}}$ we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

As

$$
\frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1}
$$

is the absolute value of the coefficient of $1 / w^{n}$ in (33), equality must hold in (35).
Now, equality in (35) holds only if

$$
\left|\beta_{\nu}\right|=\frac{2}{\nu+1}\binom{2 \nu-1}{\nu-1}, \quad \nu=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

Hence $\left|\beta_{0}\right|=2,\left|\beta_{1}\right|=1$, but then, except for rotations,

$$
w=z+2+\frac{1}{z} .
$$

Hence, we have proved the following
Theorem. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+b_{0}+\frac{b_{1}}{z}+\frac{b_{2}}{z^{2}}+\ldots \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

be schlicht, different from zero, and regular, except for the pole at infinity, in $|z|>1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma(w)=w-\beta_{0}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{w}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{w^{2}}-\ldots \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the inverse function of (36). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n+1}\binom{2 n-1}{n-1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equality holds essentially only for the function which is inverse to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=z+2+\frac{1}{z} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound (38) is also the bound given by Löwner (2) for the coefficients of the inverses of schlicht functions in the unit circle.

We close by considering functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=z+\frac{C_{n-1}}{z^{n-1}}+\frac{C_{2 n-1}}{z^{2 n-1}}+\ldots, \quad n \geqslant 2 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

schlicht and regular, except for the pole at infinity, in $|z|>1$ (evidently $w \neq 0$ there).

The inverse functions of (40) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=w \sqrt[n]{1-\frac{\beta_{0}}{w^{n}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{w^{2 n}}-\ldots}=w-\frac{q_{1}}{w^{n-1}}-\frac{q_{2}}{w^{2 n-1}}-\ldots, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
z=\gamma(w)=w-\frac{\beta_{0}}{w}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{w^{2}}-\ldots
$$

is an inverse of a function of the form (5). Evidently $q_{\nu}(\nu=1,2, \ldots)$ are polynomials in $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\nu-1}$ with positive coefficients. Hence we have the

Corollary. The coefficients of functions inverse to functions of the form (40) attain their greatest absolute value for (essentially) the function inverse to

$$
w=z\left(1+\frac{1}{z^{n}}\right)^{2 / n} .
$$

This throws some light also on the problem of finding bounds for the absolute value of the coefficients of functions of the form

$$
g(z)=z+\frac{b_{1}}{z}+\ldots
$$

dealt with in (8).
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