

THE COMPLETION OF AN ABELIAN l -GROUP

G. OTIS KENNY

Introduction and statement of the main result. A directed partially ordered abelian group (G, \leq) is a tight Riesz group if for $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in G$ with $a_i < b_j, i, j = 1, 2$, there is an $x \in G$ with $a_i < x < b_j, i, j = 1, 2$. The open interval topology on G is the topology having as a base the set of all open intervals $(a, b) = \{x \in G | a < x < b\}$. For any $x \in G$, a neighborhood base at x is the set of all open intervals $(x - a, x + a) = x + (-a, a)$ for $a > 0$.

Let (G, \leq) be an abelian l -group. A compatible tight Riesz order (CTRO) on G is a directed partial order $<$ such that $(G, <)$ is a tight Riesz group and the closure of the positive cone of $(G, <)$ in the open interval topology on $(G, <)$ is the positive cone for the l -group (G, \leq) .

Wirth [8, Theorem 2, p. 106] has shown that a proper subset T of the positive cone of (G, \leq) is the strict cone of a CTRO on G if and only if

- (1) T is a dual ideal of (G, \leq) ; i.e., $x \in T, y \geq x$ implies $y \in T$ and $x, y \in T$ implies $x \wedge y \in T$;
- (2) $T + T = T$;
- (3) $\bigwedge_G T = 0$.

If T is a strict cone of a CTRO on an l -group G , let \leq_T be the tight Riesz order given by T and let \mathcal{U}_T be the open interval topology on (G, \leq_T) . Loy and Miller [6, Theorem 5, p. 228] have shown that (G, \mathcal{U}_T) is a Hausdorff topological group and $(G, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{U})$ is a topological lattice [6, Theorem 1, p. 235].

A subset E of the positive cone of G is said to be a set of topological units if E satisfies

- (1') E is lower directed;
- (2') for each $e \in E$, there is a $d \in E$, with $2d \leq e$;
- (3') $\bigwedge_G E = 0$.

Banaschewski [1, p. 55] has shown that the set of all $U_e = \{x \in G | -e \leq x \leq e\}$ for $e \in E$ defines a closed neighborhood filter at 0 which generates a topology on G under which G is a Hausdorff topological group. The uniform space completion of G with respect to this topology is an l -semigroup [1, Theorem 11, p. 62].

If T is a CTRO on G and E is a cofinal subset of T , then E is a set of topological units on G and conversely, if E is a set of topological units in G , $T = \{x \in G | x \geq e, \text{ some } e \in E\}$ is the strict cone of a CTRO in G .

In this paper all l -groups will be abelian with lattice order \leq . \leq_T will denote a CTRO with strict positive cone T and \mathcal{U}_T , or simply \mathcal{U} , will denote

Received January 2, 1974 and in revised form, November 26, 1974.

the open interval topology associated with T . The main result of this paper (Theorem 2.3) is that for an abelian l -group G , there exists a unique minimal l -group H such that H is complete with respect to all the topologies associated with all the CTRO's on H and G is a large l -subgroup of H .

The author would like to thank the referee for his many suggestions which simplified several proofs and, in particular, for indicating the proof of Theorem 2.3 which is much easier than the author's original proof.

1. Preliminaries.

LEMMA 1.1 (Loy and Miller [6, p. 230]). *Let G be an l -group and let T be the strict cone of a CTRO on G . Then $\text{cl}(a, b) = \{x \in G \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ where the closure is with respect to \mathcal{U}_T .*

Since (G, \mathcal{U}_T) is a Hausdorff topological group, it is a regular topological space and so for $x \in G$, x has a neighborhood base consisting of closed sets, namely $\{x + U_t \mid t \in T\}$ where $U_t = \text{cl}(-t, t)$. Thus the topology considered by Banaschewski in [1] and the open interval topology of Loy and Miller agree.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let G be an l -group and let T be the strict cone of a CTRO on G . Let $C_T(G)$ be the completion of G with respect to \mathcal{U}_T . Then there exists a unique lattice order on $C_T(G)$ such that*

- (1) for $x, y \in C_T(G)$, $(x, y) \rightarrow x \wedge y$ is uniformly continuous,
- (2) $C_T(G)$ is an l -group, and
- (3) G is an l -subgroup of $C_T(G)$.

Moreover, if $x \in C_T(G)$,

$$x = \vee \{y \in G \mid y \leq x\} = \wedge \{z \in G \mid z \geq x\}.$$

Proof. Banaschewski [1, Theorem 11, p. 62] extends the lattice order on G to $C_T(G)$ by the continuity of the lattice operations on G and shows that $C_T(G)$ is an l -semigroup. That $C_T(G)$ is an l -group then follows by continuity arguments and the fact that G is abelian. The uniqueness follows since G is a dense subspace of the Hausdorff space $C_T(G)$ and the last statement follows from Banaschewski's result [1, Theorem 4, p. 58] which states that $C_T(G) \subseteq G^*$, the group of units of the Dedekind completion of G .

For the remainder of this paper, $C_T(G)$ will have the lattice order given above.

COROLLARY 1.3. *If G is archimedean, then $C_T(G)$ is archimedean.*

A convex l -subgroup K of G is said to be closed if whenever $\{x_\nu\} \subseteq K$ and $g = \vee x_\nu$ exists in G , then $g \in K$. G is said to be an a^* -extension of L if L is an l -subgroup of G and the map $K \rightarrow L \cap K$ is a one-to-one map of the closed convex l -subgroups of G onto the closed convex l -subgroups of L . For the properties of a^* -extensions and the existence of a^* -closures, see Bleier and Conrad [2].

COROLLARY 1.4. $C_T(G)$ is an a^* -extension of G .

2. Statement and proof of main result.

Definition. An l -subgroup H of G is said to be *large* in G if for every non-zero convex l -subgroup K of G , $K \cap H \neq \{0\}$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a large l -subgroup of H and let T be the strict cone of a CTRO on G . Then $T' = \{x \in H | x \geq t \text{ for some } t \in T\}$ is the strict cone of a CTRO on H and (an isomorphic copy of) $C_T(G)$ is a large l -subgroup of $C_{T'}(H)$.*

Proof. Clearly T' is a dual ideal of H . Let $x, y \in T'$. There are $u, v \in T$ such that $x \geq u, y \geq v$ and so $x + y \geq u + v$. Let $x \in T'$. There exist $u, v \in T$ such that $u + v \leq x$. Thus, $u \leq x - v$ and $x - v \in T'$. Since $x = (x - v) + v, T' + T' = T'$.

Suppose $0 < x < s$ for every $s \in T'$. Since $T' + T' = T', 0 < nx < s$ for every $s \in T'$. Since G is large in H , there is a $g \in G$ such that $0 < g < nx$ for some n . Thus $0 < g < s$ for every $s \in T' \supseteq T$. But this contradicts the fact $\bigwedge_G T = 0$ and so T' is the strict cone of a CTRO on H .

From the definition of T' , it is easy to show that $\{x_\alpha\} \subseteq G$ is T -Cauchy if and only if it is T' -Cauchy and that if $\{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\alpha\}$ are Cauchy nets in G , then they are T -equivalent if and only if they are T' -equivalent. Thus as topological spaces, $C_T(G) \subseteq C_{T'}(H)$. Continuity arguments show that $C_T(G)$ is an l -subgroup of $C_{T'}(H)$.

Definition. An abelian l -group H is a \mathcal{C} -group if it is complete with respect to all the topologies associated with all the CTRO's on H .

THEOREM 2.2. *If G is a large l -subgroup of a \mathcal{C} -group H , then the intersection U of all l -subgroups of H that contain G and are \mathcal{C} -groups is a \mathcal{C} -group.*

Proof. Let K be an l -subgroup of H which contains G and is a \mathcal{C} -group. Since $G \subseteq U$ and G is large in H, U is large in K . Let T be the strict cone of a CTRO on U and let $T' = \{x \in K | x \geq t \text{ for some } t \in T\},$

$$S = \{y \in H | y \geq t \text{ for some } t \in T\}.$$

By 2.1, T' and S are CTRO's on K and H respectively. Let $\{x_\alpha\}_\Lambda$ be a T' -Cauchy net of elements of U . Since K and H are \mathcal{C} -groups, there is a $y_1 \in K, y_2 \in H$ such that $y_1 = T' - \lim x_\alpha,$ and $y_2 = S - \lim x_\alpha$. By the proof of 2.1, $y_1 = S - \lim x_\alpha \in K$ and U is a \mathcal{C} -group. Thus, U is a minimal \mathcal{C} -group in which G is large. We will call U a \mathcal{C} -hull of G .

THEOREM 2.3. *Each l -group admits a unique \mathcal{C} -hull.*

Proof. In order to show existence, it suffices to show that G is a large l -subgroup of a \mathcal{C} -group H and apply Theorem 2.2. Everett [3, Theorem 8, p. 116] has shown that G^* , the group of units of the Dedekind completion, $\delta G,$ of G is an l -group. Since $\delta(G^*) = \delta G, C_T(G^*) \subseteq G^*$ so G^* is a \mathcal{C} -group. Let U

be the \mathcal{C} -hull of G contained in G^* . Since $U \subseteq G^*$, each element of U is the supremum of its lower bounds in G . This property characterizes U , for if N is another \mathcal{C} -hull of G with this property, then the identity map on G extends naturally to an l -isomorphism $\tau : N \rightarrow \delta G$ and hence into G^* . $N\tau$ is a \mathcal{C} -group and thus contains U . Minimality of N forces minimality of $N\tau$, so $N\tau = U$.

To complete the proof, we need only show that any \mathcal{C} -hull N of G has the property that each element of N is the supremum of its lower bounds in G . Let K be an l -subgroup of N which contains G and is maximal with respect to the property that each element of K is the supremum of its lower bounds in G . If $K \neq N$, then there is a CTRO T on K with $C_T(K) \neq K \subseteq N$. Since each element of K is the supremum of its lower bounds in G , $K^* = G^*$ so $C_T(K) \subseteq K^* = G^*$. But then each element of $C_T(K)$ is the supremum of its lower bounds in G and this contradicts the maximality of K . Thus $K = N$ and $N \cong U$.

Definition. Let \bar{G} be the \mathcal{C} -hull of G .

By 2.1, if T is a CTRO on G , then (an isomorphic copy of) $C_T(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$. By the proof of 2.3, we may assume $\bar{G} \subseteq G^*$. Thus we have the following

COROLLARY 2.4. (1) *If $g \in \bar{G}$, then*

$$g = \vee \{x \in G \mid x \leq g\} = \wedge \{y \in G \mid y \in G \mid y \geq g\}.$$

(2) *If G is archimedean, so is \bar{G} .*

If G is totally ordered, then $\bar{G} = G^*$ [1, p. 59] but, in general, this is not true (see Example 5).

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If G is divisible and archimedean, then \bar{G} is a vector lattice.*

Proof. Let $g \in \bar{G}$ and let $\bar{G}(g)$ be the convex l -subgroup of \bar{G} generated by g . Let T be a CTRO on $\bar{G}(g)$. Reilly [7, Theorem 4.2] has shown that $T' = \{x \in \bar{G} \mid x \geq t, \text{ for some } t \in T\}$ is a CTRO on \bar{G} . Let $\{x_\alpha\}_\Lambda$ be T' -Cauchy. Since \bar{G} is a \mathcal{C} -group, there is a $y \in G$ such that $y = T' - \lim x_\alpha$. Let $t \in T$. Then there is a $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $y - t \leq x_\beta \leq y + t$. Thus, $x_\beta - t \leq y \leq x_\beta + t$. Since $x_\beta - t, x_\beta + t \in \bar{G}(g)$ and $\bar{G}(g)$ is convex, $y \in \bar{G}(g)$. Thus, $\bar{G}(g)$ is a \mathcal{C} -group, and it suffices to show $\bar{G}(g)$ is a vector lattice. Let K be a divisible archimedean \mathcal{C} -group with a strong unit ($\bar{G}(g)$ has these properties). From Wirth's characterization, $T = \{\text{strong units of } K\}$ is a CTRO on K . Let $a \in T$ and let $B = \{(1/n)a \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ where \mathbf{N} is the set of natural numbers. Then, $B \subseteq T$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{U_b \mid b \in B\}$ is a countable base for the open neighborhoods of 0. (If $t \in T$, then there exists an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $nt \geq a$. Thus, $t \geq (1/n)a$ and $U_t \supseteq U_{((1/n)a)}$.) Thus \mathcal{U}_T is first countable. Let $r \in \mathbf{R}$, the real numbers, and let $\{p_m\}_\mathbf{N}$ be a sequence of rational numbers converging to r . Let $x \in K$. Since a is a strong unit in K , there is a $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $ka \geq |x|$. Let $b = (1/n)a \in B$. Since $\{p_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{R} , there is an N such that $s, t \geq N$ implies $|p_s - p_t| \leq 1/nk$. Thus,

$$|p_s x - p_t x| = |p_s - p_t| |x| \leq b$$

and $\{p_mx\}$ is T -Cauchy. Since K is a \mathcal{C} -group, there is a $y \in K$ such that $y = T - \lim p_mx$. Define $rx = y = T - \lim p_mx$. Using standard arguments, it can be shown that $(r, x) \rightarrow rx$ defines a scalar multiplication on K so that K is a vector lattice.

Examples. (1) A dense archimedean l -group G such that the \mathcal{C} -hull \bar{G} of G is not a vector lattice: (G is a dense l -group if for each $0 < x \in G$, there exists a $y \in G$ such that $0 < y < x$.)

Reilly [7, Example 2, p. 31] has shown that the l -group G of all periodic sequences of integers under the usual pointwise order and operations is dense and has no CTRO. Thus $G = \bar{G}$ and is not a vector lattice.

Remark. It is easy to see that for any l -group with a cyclic cardinal summand, the \mathcal{C} -hull is not a vector lattice.

(2) A divisible l -group G such that \bar{G} is not a vector lattice: Let $G = Q \oplus Q$ ($Q =$ rational numbers) with order $(a, b) \geq 0$ if $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then $\bar{G} = Q \oplus \mathbf{R}$ with the “same” lexicographic ordering.

Remark. Holland [5, Lemma T4, p. 73] has shown that if Γ is an inversely well-ordered set with no minimal element and if $G = \prod_{\gamma} Q_{\gamma}$ where $Q_{\gamma} = Q$ and with the order given by $(g_{\gamma}) \geq 0$ if for the largest γ such that $g_{\gamma} \neq 0$, we have $g_{\gamma} > 0$ (a Hahn Group), then G is a \mathcal{C} -group.

(3) A vector lattice G such that G is not a \mathcal{C} -group and \bar{G} is not an a -extension of G (H is an a -extension of G if for $0 < a \in H$, there are $b \in G, m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $mb \geq a$ and $na \geq b$).

Let G be the l -subgroup of $C[0, 1]$ generated by the polynomials. Then $f \in G$ if and only if f is piecewise a polynomial. Thus if $f \in G$, then $f^{-1}(0)$ has finitely many connected components. Since $g(x) = x \sin 1/x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $g(0) = 0$ is continuous with $g^{-1}(0)$ having countably many components $G \neq C[0, 1]$. The constant function 1 is a strong unit in G and G is an archimedean vector lattice. Thus $T = \{\text{strong units of } G\}$ is the strict cone of a CTRO on G . \mathcal{U}_T is the sup-norm topology on G so $C_T(G) = C[0, 1]$ and G is not complete. If $f \in G, g \in C[0, 1]$ are a -equivalent, then $f^{-1}(0) = g^{-1}(0)$ and thus $C[0, 1]$ is not an a -extension of G .

Remark. If G is a divisible archimedean l -group with a strong unit, and $T = \{\text{strong units}\}$ then $C_T(G)$ is the \mathcal{C} -hull of G .

(4) Let $G = \oplus_I Q$ be a cardinal sum of rationals. The CTRO's on G are in 1-1 correspondence with finite subsets of I , namely if $A \subseteq I$ is finite, then $T_A = \{f \in G | f(i) > 0 \text{ for all } i \in A\}$ is a CTRO on G , and conversely.

$$C_{T_A}(G) = (\oplus_A \mathbf{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{I \setminus A} Q)$$

and the \mathcal{C} -hull of G is $\oplus_I \mathbf{R}$.

(5) An l -group G so that $\bar{G} \neq G^*$: Let

$$G = \{f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \text{there is an } m \in \mathbf{N} \text{ so that } f(n) = f(m) \text{ for all } n \geq m\}$$

with the usual pointwise order and addition (the “eventually constant” sequences.). Then \bar{G} is the l -group of convergent sequences while G^* is the l -group of all bounded sequences.

REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski, *Über die Vervollständigung geordneter Gruppen*, Math. Nachr. *16* (1957), 51–71.
2. R. Bleier and P. Conrad, *The lattice of closed ideals and a^* -extensions of an abelian l -group*, Pacific J. Math. *47* (1973), 329–340.
3. C. J. Everett, *Lattice modules*, Duke Math. J. *11* (1944), 109–119.
4. N. Bourbaki, *General topology, Part I*, Elements of Mathematics Series (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966).
5. C. Holland, *Extensions of ordered groups and sequence completions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *107* (1963), 71–82.
6. R. J. Loy and J. B. Miller, *Tight Riesz groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. *13* (1972), 224–240.
7. N. R. Reilly, *Compatible tight Riesz orders and prime subgroups*, Glasgow Math. J. *14* (1973), 145–160.
8. A. Wirth, *Compatible tight Riesz orders*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. *15* (1973), 105–111.

*University of Kansas,
Lawrence, Kansas*