Association Between ERCC2 Lys751Gln Polymorphism and Lung Cancer Risk: A Meta-Analysis Involving 23,370 Subjects
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Recent studies report a correlation between excision repair cross-complementing group 2 (ERCC2) Lys751Gln polymorphism and an increased risk of lung cancer, but results are controversial and inconclusive. Thus, we conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis in order to assess the correlation between them. Our study uses an odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) to evaluate the strength of the association; we also performed Begg’s funnel plot and the Egger’s test to assess the publication bias of previous articles. Finally, our meta-analysis is comprised of 28 full studies, including 23,370 subjects (10,242 cases and 13,128 controls). Our overall research shows that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism carries an increased risk of developing lung cancer (C vs. A: OR = 1.160, 95% CI = 1.081–1.245, p = .000; CC vs. AA: OR = 1.252, 95% CI = 1.130–1.388, p = .000; CA vs. AA: OR = 1.152, 95% CI = 1.060–1.252, p = .001; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.186, 95% CI = 1.089–1.292, p = .000; CC vs. CA+AA: OR = 1.196, 95% CI = 1.087–1.316, p = .000). In ethnic subgroup analyses, we find a significant risk among Caucasians (C vs. A: OR = 1.106, 95% CI = 1.048–1.166, p = .000; CC vs. AA: OR = 1.233, 95% CI = 1.103–1.378, p = .000; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.113, 95% CI = 1.033–1.199, p = .005; CC vs. CA+AA: OR = 1.185, 95% CI = 1.069–1.313, p = .001) and among Asians under two genetic models (CA vs. AA: OR = 1.265, 95% CI = 1.034–1.549, p = .023; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.252, 95% CI = 1.015–1.544, p = .036). These results were confirmed by similar findings, demonstrated by stratified analyses in study design and histological typing. This meta-analysis indicates that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism may lead to an increased susceptibility to lung cancer risk among Caucasians and Asians.
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Lung cancer is one of the most frequently diagnosed forms of cancer, as well as the leading cause of cancer-related death among males, whereas it is the fourth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related death among females worldwide (Jemal et al., 2011). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) might account for 85% of these cases, which mainly include squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma (AC), and large cell carcinoma (Zandberga et al., 2013). Although the etiology and the mechanisms of lung cancer remain uncertain, it seems likely that the development of lung cancer is a multi-factor and multi-step process. Tobacco smoking greatly increases one’s risk of developing lung cancer, in addition to environmental exposure, such as ionizing radiation and ultraviolet rays, which can cause bulky adducts, cross-links, and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage (Sakoda et al., 2012). However, research has also indicated that individual genetic variation might increase one’s susceptibility to lung cancer.

Excision repair cross-complementing group 2 (ERCC2), also called Xerodermapigmentosum group D (XPD), is located on chromosome 19q13.3 and comprises 23 exons.
and spans across 54,000 base pairs; this group includes important DNA damage repair genes, which play an important role in nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathways (Weber et al., 1990). DNA damage, caused by exogenous carcinogens or endogenous carcinogens, plays an important role in the carcinogenesis process (Dong et al., 2013). However, NER pathways repair a broad variety of DNA damage, including oxidative damage, cross-links, and bulky adducts, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon and other aromatic compounds (Sreeja et al., 2008). Researchers have identified the high frequency Lys751Gln (rs13181) of ERCC2 mutations in protein regions. The most important change occurred between the ERCC2 encoded protein and its helicase activator, p44 protein, inside the transcription factor II H (TFIIH) complex, where A to C substitution in exon 23 might result in an amino acid alteration from lysine (Lys) to glycine (Gln). The A→C variation might be associated with adduced repair capacity, which could facilitate cancer development (Benhamou et al., 2002).

Researchers report that Gln variant alleles are associated with a lower defect repair capacity (DRC) of UV-induced DNA damage, as opposed to homozygous, wild-type alleles (Benhamou et al., 2002). ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism might lead to a defect in NER, deficient DRC, and an increased susceptibility to cancer. Previous studies have suggested that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism is associated with various cancers, such as esophageal cancer, cutaneous melanoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma (Ding et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Shen et al. (2005) have shown that ERCC2 Lys751Gln is associated with a decreased risk of lung cancer, whereas Liang et al. (2003) have revealed that a 751Gln/Gln genotype may lead to an increased risk for lung cancer. Most studies, including recent meta-analysis (Manuguerra et al., 2006; Zhan et al., 2010), have assessed the potential relationship of ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer. However, the results remain inconclusive and inconsistent, possibly due to a small sample size that is not adequate for investigating the relationship between them. We conducted a comprehensive, updated meta-analysis in order to assess the association between ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer.

**Materials and Methods**

**Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

We searched through PubMed and Embase for all eligible studies published prior to April 20, 2013, for ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer risk, using the following terms: ‘Excision repair cross complementing group 2’, ‘ERCC2’, ‘Xerodermapigmentosum group D’, ‘XPD’, ‘Lys751Gln’, ‘rs13181’, ‘polymorphism’, and ‘lung cancer’. Our meta-analysis did not include any limitations on language and encompassed all human subjects. Eligibility criteria included: (1) using case-control designs, (2) estimating the association of the ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer, (3) calculating the results with an odds ratio (OR) of 95% confidence interval (95% CI) in each study, and (4) providing sufficient genotype data for estimations. We excluded all case-only studies or reviews.

**Data Extraction**

According to the above criteria, two investigators (X. Tan and L. Xian) carefully extracted literature searches and data from all eligible studies, while a third party (M. W. Chen) made independent discrepancy decisions. The following characteristics were extracted from the included studies: the author/s’ name/s, country of origin, year of publication, ethnicity, source of controls, genotyping method, and the number of cases and controls, respectively.

**Statistical Analysis**

We estimated the strength of the association between the ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer risk using the pooled OR and 95% CI in the following genetic models: allele contrast (Gln allele vs. Lys allele), homozygote comparison (CC vs. AA), heterozygote comparison (CA vs. AA), recessive model (CC vs. CA+AA), and dominant model (CC+CA vs. AA).

Using a chi-square-based Q statistic test and $I^2$ statistic test, we checked the between-study heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003). No heterogeneity existed, according to calculations using the $p$ value $> .1$ and $I^2 < 25\%$, whereas $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated that heterogeneity was moderate. We used the fixed-effects model to conduct Mantel-Haenszel’s method (Hu et al., 2013), whereas we found that the random effects model with the DerSimonian and Laird method must be performed when $I^2 > 50\%$ or $p$ value $< .1$ (Lau et al., 1997). We estimated the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using a chi-square test in the genotype distribution of ERCC2 Lys751Gln among the controls. The equation $p > .05$ revealed that the distribution of genotypes among the controls agreed with HWE (Bosco et al., 2012). We then estimated the potential publication bias using Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s linear regression test. No publication bias existed for a $p$ value $> .05$ (Peters et al., 2006).

We conducted an assessment of our methodology using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) in our meta-analysis. The NOS included eight items, divided into three dimensions: selection, comparability, and study type exposure (case-control studies) or outcome (cohort studies). A star system may be used for semi-quantitative evaluation of the studies quality. We allowed the comparability group to reach two stars, whereas we awarded each other item a maximum of one star in the highest quality studies. We used NOS ranges from zero to nine stars to estimate the values, though standard criteria have not been established (Stang et al., 2010). We considered each article gained seven or more than seven stars as a high quality article in our research.
subjects (10,242 cases and 13,128 controls; Table 1). In the subgroup analysis, there were 14 studies on Caucasians and 13 studies on Asians (Table 1). Two studies, Chang et al. (2008) and David-Beabes et al. (2001), focused on African-Americans and Latino-Americans, respectively. Within the subgroup analysis, 18 studies included a population-based group and another 12 studies involved a hospital-based group (Table 1). Only some studies (Osawa et al., 2010; Popanda et al., 2004; Xing et al., 2002; Yin et al., 2006b, 2009) provided raw data concerning genotype distribution. Finally, we investigated the relationship between Lys751Gln polymorphism and pathologic types of lung cancer.

**Meta-Analysis Results**

The primary results from our meta-analysis on the relationship between ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer are shown in Table 2. We considered using two studies (Osawa et al., 2010; Zienolddiny et al., 2006) from the controls that disagreed with HWE, but they were excluded in the sensitivity analysis (Minelli et al., 2008). Therefore, our pooled analysis indicates that a carried Gln allele might be associated with an increased risk of lung cancer (Gln allele vs. Lys allele: OR = 1.160, 95% CI = 1.081–1.245, p = .000; Figure 2). Similarly, we find the same results under any genetic models (C vs. AA: OR = 1.252, 95% CI = 1.130–1.388, p = .000; CA vs. AA: OR = 1.152, 95% CI = 1.060–1.252, p = .001; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.186, 95% CI = 1.089–1.292, p = .000; CC vs. CA+AA: OR = 1.196, 95% CI = 1.087–1.316, p = .000; Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis based on Caucasians and Asians, there is a significant relationship between ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and susceptibility to lung cancer according to four contrast models among Caucasians (C vs. A: OR = 1.106, 95% CI = 1.048–1.166, p = .000; CC vs. AA: OR = 1.233, 95% CI = 1.103–1.378, p = .000; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.113, 95% CI = 1.033–1.199, P = .005; CC vs. CA+AA: OR = 1.185, 95% CI = 1.069–1.313, p = .001; Table 2, Figure 3). Similarly, a significant association exists among Asians in two genetic models (CA vs. AA: OR = 1.265, 95% CI = 1.034–1.549, p = .023; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.252, 95% CI = 1.015–1.544, p = .036; Table 2, Figure 4).

When we stratified our analysis by source of control, we found a statistically elevated risk in the hospital-based and population-based groups (Table 2). In our pathologic types analysis, we also found a significantly increased risk in the AC in three genetic models (C vs. A: OR = 1.295, 95% CI = 1.075–1.560, p = .006; CA vs. AA: OR = 1.366, 95% CI = 1.065–1.753, p = .014; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.396, 95% CI = 1.099–1.773, p = .006), while in the SCC we also obtained the same results under any genetic models (C vs. A: OR = 1.720, 95% CI = 1.194–2.478, p = .004; CA vs. AA: OR = 1.780, 95% CI = 1.170–2.708, p = .007; CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.864, 95% CI = 1.242–2.799, p = .003).

**Results**

**Characteristics of Studies**

We identified a total of 29 relevant studies via our PubMed and Embase research and by scanning the abstracts (the publication selection process is shown in Figure 1). However, we excluded one of the studies after checking the full-text articles due to a lack of data (Park et al., 2002). We ultimately included 28 studies in our meta-analysis. One study contained two ethnicities, divided into two individual studies (Chang et al., 2008; David-Beabes et al., 2001). Our research encompassed 28 full studies (Chang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2002; David-Beabes et al., 2001; De Ruyck et al., 2007; Harms et al., 2004; Hou et al., 2002; Hu et al., 2006; Kiyohara et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2003; López-Cima et al., 2007; Matullo et al., 2006; Misra et al., 2003; Osawa et al., 2010; Popanda et al., 2004; Qian et al., 2011; Raaschou-Nielsen et al., 2008; Sakoda et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2005; Spitz et al., 2001; Sreeja et al., 2008; Vogel et al., 2004; Xing et al., 2002; Yin et al., 2006a; Yin et al., 2006b; Yin et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2002, 2012; Zienolddiny et al., 2006), including 30 individual studies, with a total of 23,370
genotoxicants, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), such as benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) which could be bioactivated in vivo into benzo[a] pyrenedihydrodiol epoxide (BPDE), thus leading to irreversible DNA damage through oxidation or covalent binding (Wei et al., 1996). BPDE-induced DNA adducts, UV-induced photoproducts, bulky mono-adducts, cross-links, and oxidative damage are all mostly repaired by the NER pathway (Spitz et al., 2001; Tang et al., 1992). Hemminki et al. (2001) revealed that Gln/Gln carriers, when compared to the wild-type Lys/Lys carriers, were 50% less efficient when repairing ultraviolet-specific cyclobutane pyrimidine dimmers. ERCC2 gene variations may restrain its protein products from interacting with p44, a subunit of TFIIH, thereby reducing its helicase activity, which leads to a NER defect (Taylor et al., 1997). The ERCC2 gene plays an important role in NER pathway and basal transcription, and acts as an adenine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent helicase in the multisubunit transcription repair factor of the TFIIH complex (Spitz et al., 2001). Qiao et al. (2002) demonstrated that the DNA repair capacity (or DRC) among the subjects who were homozygous for the wild-type genotypes ERCC2 Lys/Lys, was significantly higher than those with variant genotypes Gln/Gln. Research suggests there is a five-fold variation in

Test for Heterogeneity, Sensitive Analysis and Test for Publication Bias

We could not detect any obvious heterogeneity in our overall pooled analyses; however, some genetic models in the Asian and population-based subgroups did display significant heterogeneity. We performed a sensitivity analysis by omitting every study once; every time, the heterogeneity did not decrease significantly.

Begg’s funnel plot and the Egger’s test were used to assess the potential publication bias. No evidence of publication bias were detected in the overall pooled studies (C vs. A: Begg’s test, *p* = .354, Egger’s test, *p* = .191; CC vs. AA: Begg’s test, *p* = .646, Egger’s test, *p* = .222; CA vs. AA: Begg’s test, *p* = .269, Egger’s test, *p* = .067; dominant model: Begg’s test, *p* = .432, Egger’s test, *p* = .145; recessive model: Begg’s test, *p* = .416, Egger’s test, *p* = .335; Figure 5).

Discussion

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death via malignancy, and the most common among tobacco-induced cancers. Cigarette smoke contains a multitude of carcinogens and genotoxins, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons...
DRC within the general population, and decreased DRC may increase the risk of lung cancer (Hu et al., 2006).

Many case-control studies have investigated the correlation between ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer. For example, Yin et al. (2009) have shown that the ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism with lung cancer risk among Asians. Some additional factors could affect the development of cancer, including the fact that various ethnicities have different genetic polymorphisms and genetic differences have different genetic polymorphisms and genetic bias when we performed the Begg's and Egger's tests, which made our meta-analysis conclusions more credible.

The subgroup analyses for Caucasians and Asians, in addition to previous meta-analyses, show that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism is associated with lung cancer among Caucasians. There was also a significant association among Caucasians in the Gln allele, compared to the Lys allele, compared to the Lys allele (OR = 1.106, CI = 1.048–1.166). Our meta-analysis also provides new evidence that suggests that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism may be associated with an increased lung cancer risk among Asians under two genetic models (CA vs. AA: OR = 1.265, 95% CI = 1.034–1.549, p = .023 and CC+CA vs. AA: OR = 1.252, 95% CI = 1.015–1.544, p = .036). Our study reveals that the heterozygote CA carriers may increase the risk of developing lung cancer among Asians. Some additional factors could affect the development of cancer, including the fact that various ethnicities have different genetic polymorphisms and genetic

### TABLE 2
The Results of the Meta-Analysis Lys751Gln Relation With Lung Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast model</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>I&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs. A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.160 (1.081–1.245)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>48.90</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.252 (1.130–1.388)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA vs. AA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.152 (1.060–1.252)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>34.80</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.186 (1.089–1.292)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>42.80</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.196 (1.087–1.316)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs. A</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.106 (1.048–1.166)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.233 (1.103–1.378)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA vs. AA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.075 (0.994–1.164)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.113 (1.033–1.199)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.185 (1.069–1.313)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs. A</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.196 (0.983–1.455)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>68.50</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.285 (0.922–1.789)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA vs. AA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.265 (1.034–1.549)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>59.90</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.252 (1.015–1.544)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>65.10</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.171 (0.852–1.610)</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs. A</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.114 (1.016–1.221)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>51.50</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.270 (1.063–1.516)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>33.90</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA vs. AA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.068 (0.982–1.158)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.470 (1.154–1.872)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.262 (1.025–1.555)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>56.70</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs. A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.238 (1.108–1.382)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. AA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.289 (1.089–1.526)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA vs. AA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.264 (1.105–1.446)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.290 (1.131–1.471)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC vs. CA+AA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.222 (1.044–1.430)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *The pooled p value; †p value for heterogeneity test; ‡random-effect model; §fixed-effect model.
backgrounds. In addition, previous reports may have used a low sample size, so large-scale subjects would be needed to investigate the correlations. The subgroup analyses that are based on source controls reveal a significant association between ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism and lung cancer in the population-based and hospital-based groups. The source of the hospital-based subgroup might be associated with other diseases, particularly the genotypes investigated in the controls, which might lead to some biases in our results. Our research shows the same results in two groups. So we carefully and cautiously interpreted our results. In the pathologic types’ analyses, we found a significant association between AC and SCC, though we note that SCC might be induced by B[a]P or other PAH (Deutsch-Wenzel et al., 1983). Further research should be done to investigate the link between Lys751Gln polymorphism, SCC, and AC.

Although we made considerable efforts to collect all available data in our comprehensive analysis, some limitations should be acknowledged. First, although we included 23,370 subjects in our research, the sample size was relatively small in the stratified analyses, particularly for pathologic types. More research needs to be done to establish the relationship. Second, although the source of the controls did not differ, the inclusion criteria did not have a uniform definition. Healthy controls who were recruited from the same geographical area acted as the reference group for some studies, whereas others studies selected hospital patients without organic lung cancer as the reference group. Furthermore, age, gender, smoking status, cancer type, and ethnicity were not consistent in all studied subjects. As stated above, these factors may be sources of heterogeneity. Finally, although Begg’s funnel plot and the Egger’s test did not indicate any publication bias in our research, the tests excluded unpublished articles and abstracts, which might lead to a publication bias. In spite of this, our research is far more comprehensive than any single study to date.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis suggested that ERCC2 Lys751Gln polymorphism most likely contributes to an increased susceptibility to lung cancer risk among...
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Caucasians and Asians alike. In addition, gene-gene and gene-environmental interactions within lung cancer should be studied further, because the existing data is insufficient. Therefore, further well-designed case-control studies with a large sample size are necessary to continue to investigate the correlation between ERCC2 polymorphisms and lung cancer development.
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