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#### Abstract

We study the strong continuity of semigroups of composition operators on local Dirichlet spaces. 2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 47B33; secondary 30H05, 32A37, 47D06, 46E15. Keywords and phrases: local Dirichlet spaces, composition operators, semigroups of composition operators.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. The Dirichlet space $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ be its boundary. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, let $P_{\lambda}$ denote the Poisson kernel at $\lambda$ :

$$
P_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\lambda-z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

For a nonnegative finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{T}$, define the harmonic function

$$
P_{\mu}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\lambda-z|^{2}} d \mu(\lambda)
$$

Thus, when $\mu=\delta_{\lambda}$, the Dirac point mass at $\lambda$, we have $P_{\delta_{\lambda}}(z)=P_{\lambda}(z)$.
The Dirichlet space $D(\mu)$ consists of those analytic functions $f$ that belong to the Hardy space $H^{2}$ such that

$$
D_{\mu}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{\mu}(z) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where $d A$ denotes the normalised two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. If $\mu=0$, then it is convenient to identify $D(\mu)$ with the Hardy space $H^{2}$. The space $D(\mu)$ is a Hilbert space with norm

$$
\|f\|_{D(\mu)}^{2}=\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+D_{\mu}(f)
$$

[^0]and inner product
$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{D(\mu)}=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}+\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} P_{\mu}(z) d A(z), \quad f, g \in D(\mu)
$$

In the special case when $\mu=\delta_{\lambda}$, the space $D(\mu)$ is called the local Dirichlet space $D\left(\delta_{\lambda}\right)$. We write $D_{\lambda}(f)$ instead of $D_{\mu}(f)$. It follows that if $D_{\lambda}(f)$ is finite, then the oricyclic limit of $f$ at $\lambda$ exists and is denoted by $f(\lambda)$, that is, $f(z) \rightarrow f(\lambda)$ as $z \rightarrow \lambda$ in any oricyclic approach region

$$
Q_{k}(\lambda)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|z-\lambda|^{2}<k\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\}, \quad k>0
$$

Moreover, every function $f \in D\left(\delta_{\lambda}\right)$ can be written as $f(z)=f(\lambda)+(z-\lambda) g(z)$ for some $g \in H^{2}$. It can be proved that

$$
D_{\lambda}(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{f\left(e^{i t}\right)-f(\lambda)}{e^{i t}-\lambda}\right|^{2} d t
$$

and thus $D_{\lambda}(f)=\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2}$. In addition, the following identity holds:

$$
D_{\mu}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} D_{\lambda}(f) d \mu(\lambda)
$$

For additional information about the Dirichlet spaces $D(\mu)$, see [11] and [10].
1.2. Composition operators on $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic. For $f$ analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ is given by

$$
C_{\varphi}(f)(z)=f(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

By the subordination principle, composition operators act continuously on the Hardy spaces $H^{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$ [7]. Recently, Sarason and Silva [12] studied composition operators on the Dirichlet space $D(\mu)$. They used counting functions along with Bergman embedding theorems to describe when $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded or compact on $D(\mu)$. Among other things, they showed that if $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $D\left(\delta_{\lambda}\right)$, then $\varphi(\lambda)$ exists in the oricyclic sense and it is either in $\mathbb{D}$ or it is equal to $\lambda$. In the latter case, that is, $\varphi(\lambda)=\lambda$, the operator $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $D\left(\delta_{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if the angular derivative $\varphi^{\prime}(\lambda)$ exists [12, Theorem 2].
1.3. Semigroups of composition operators. A semigroup of analytic functions is a family $\left\{\varphi_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ of analytic self maps of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\varphi_{0}$ is the identity map of $\mathbb{D}$;
(2) $\varphi_{s} \circ \varphi_{t}=\varphi_{s+t}$ for $s, t \geq 0$;
(3) the map $(t, z) \rightarrow \varphi_{t}(z)$ is jointly continuous on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{D}$.

For each semigroup the following basic properties hold [1]. The limit

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{t}(z)}{\partial t}=G(z)
$$

exists uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. The analytic function $G$ satisfies the identities

$$
G\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)=\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}(z)}{\partial t}=G(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_{t}(z)}{\partial z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, t \geq 0
$$

Moreover, $G(z)$ has the unique representation

$$
G(z)=(\bar{b} z-1)(z-b) F(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $b \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $F(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with nonnegative real part. The function $G$ is called the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{\varphi_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$. The point $b$ is the common DenjoyWolff point of all the functions $\varphi_{t}$. This point plays an important role in the dynamical behaviour of the semigroup (see, for example, [2, 3, 5]).

Each semigroup $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ gives rise to a semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ of composition operators

$$
C_{t}(f)(z)=f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right), \quad f \text { analytic in } \mathbb{D} .
$$

If $X$ is a Banach space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, we say that the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $X$ if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|C_{t} f-f\right\|_{X}=0 \quad \text { for every } f \in X
$$

Furthermore, if the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $X$, then the linear operator $\Gamma$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)=\left\{f \in X: \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{C_{t} f-f}{t} \text { exists }\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma(f)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{C_{t} f-f}{t}
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$.
The properties of these semigroups $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ on various spaces of analytic functions have been studied over the last few decades. For example, the strong continuity of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$, their spectral properties and the corresponding resolvent operators were the subject of several papers such as $[1,13]$. Here we are interested in the strong continuity of the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ on the Dirichlet space $D(\mu)$.

## 2. Composition operator semigroups on $D(\mu)$

In this section we will characterise the strong continuity of a composition operator semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ on $D(\mu)$ in terms of the growth of the norms $\left\|C_{t}\right\|$ for $t \in[0,1]$.

Lemma 2.1. Point evaluation functionals are continuous on $D(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in D(\mu)$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)|^{2} & \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} \| z\right|^{n}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|z|^{2 n}\right) \\
& =\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{D(\mu)}^{2} \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Riesz representation theorem, for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a $K_{w} \in D(\mu)$ such that for every $f \in D(\mu)$,

$$
f(w)=\left\langle f, K_{w}\right\rangle_{D(\mu)} .
$$

The function $K_{w}$ is called the reproducing kernel at $w$. Thus, $D(\mu)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions and $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ be the induced operator semigroup consisting of bounded operators on $D(\mu)$. Then $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D(\mu)$ if and only if

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty
$$

Proof. If $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D(\mu)$, then, from the general theory of semigroups [9, Theorem 2.2, page 4], it follows that sup $\left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$. Since $C_{t}(f)(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ pointwise as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, by [6, Corollary 1.3, page 3], it follows that $C_{t} f \rightarrow f$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$ weakly for every $f \in D(\mu)$. Thus, by [9, Theorem 1.4, page 44], $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D(\mu)$.

## 3. Composition operator semigroups on $D\left(\delta_{\lambda}\right)$

In this section we consider composition operator semigroups $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ acting on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$. Following the work of Sarason and Silva [12] on composition operators, we will distinguish two cases. The first case is when $\zeta$ is a fixed point for some member (and hence for every member [4]) of the family $\left\{\varphi_{t}: t \in(0, \infty)\right\}$ and the second case is when $\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|<1$ for every $t>0$.
3.1. Case $\varphi_{t}(\zeta)=\zeta$ for every $t \geq 0$. The following theorem provides us with a characterisation of the strong continuity of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ in terms of the generator $G$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions with generator $G$ and $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ be the induced composition operator semigroup. If the semigroup $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ has the point $\zeta$ as a common boundary fixed point, the following are equivalent:
(i) $\quad\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$;
(ii) the angular limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=\angle \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{G(z)}{z-\zeta} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists finitely;
(iii) $\quad C_{t}$ is bounded on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$ for every $t>0$.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume first that the angular limit in (3.1) is finite. By [5, Theorem 1], $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and, for every $t \geq 0$, the angular derivative $\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(\zeta)$ exists with $\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(\zeta)=e^{\alpha t}$. Thus, $C_{t}$ is bounded for every $t>0$ [12, Theorem 2]. Therefore,

$$
\sup \left\{\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(\zeta): 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}=\sup \left\{\sup \left\{\frac{P_{\zeta}(z)}{P_{\zeta}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)}: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty
$$

Recall that by the Julia-Carathéodory theorem,

$$
\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(\zeta)=\sup \left\{\frac{P_{\zeta}(z)}{P_{\zeta}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)}: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

(see [8]). Thus, there is an absolute constant $K>0$ such that

$$
P_{\zeta}(z) \leq K P_{\zeta}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and every $0 \leq t \leq 1$. If we show that $\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$, then, by Theorem 2.2, the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ will be strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\left\|C_{t} f\right\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2}=\left\|C_{t} f\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+D_{\zeta}\left(C_{t} f\right)
$$

We estimate both terms on the right-hand side of the above equality. Since $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $H^{2}$, by [6, Corollary 3.7],

$$
\left\|C_{t} f\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\|f\|_{D\left(\delta_{\xi}\right)}^{2}
$$

For the second term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\zeta}\left(C_{t} f\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)\right|^{2}\left|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{\zeta}(z) d A(z) \\
& \leq K \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)\right|^{2}\left|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{\zeta}\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right) d A(z) \\
& =K \int_{\varphi_{t}(\mathbb{D})}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{\zeta}(z) d A(z) \\
& \leq K \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{\zeta}(z) d A(z) \leq K\|f\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above estimates yields

$$
\left\|C_{t}\right\|^{2} \leq K+\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}
$$

and it follows that $\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$, since the set $\left\{\varphi_{t}(0): 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). This is obvious.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since $C_{t}$ is bounded for every $t>0$, the angular derivative $\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(\zeta)$ exists for every $t>0$ [12, Theorem 2]. This means that $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is a nonsuperrepulsive fixed point for the semigroup $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ [5, Lemmas 1 and 3] and thus by [5, Theorem 1]

$$
\angle \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{G(z)}{z-\zeta}
$$

exists finitely.
Example 3.2. Let

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=1-(1-z)^{e^{-t}}
$$

with generator $G(z)=-(1-z) \log (1 /(1-z))$. The point 1 is the common boundary fixed point for the semigroup. The image $\varphi_{t}(\mathbb{D})$ is an angular region inside $\mathbb{D}$ whose angle vertex is at 1 . The angular limit $\angle \lim _{z \rightarrow 1} G(z) /(z-1)$ does not exist. Thus, $C_{t}$ is not bounded on $D\left(\delta_{1}\right)$ for every $t>0$ and therefore $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is not strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{1}\right)$.

Example 3.3. Let

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=\frac{e^{-t} z}{\left(e^{-t}-1\right) z+1}
$$

with generator $G(z)=-z(1-z)$. The point 1 is the common boundary fixed point for the semigroup. The image $\varphi_{t}(\mathbb{D})$ is a disk tangent to the unit circle at 1 whose diameter shrinks to $1 / 2$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The angular limit $\angle \lim _{z \rightarrow 1} G(z) /(z-1)$ exists and therefore $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{1}\right)$.
3.2. Case $\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|<\mathbf{1}$ for every $\boldsymbol{t}>\mathbf{0}$. In this case we were unable to fully describe strong continuity of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ in terms of the generator $G$. The main theorem of this section is a partial result in this direction.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions with generator $G$. If

$$
K:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)<\infty,
$$

then, for every $t \geq 0$,
( $\alpha) \quad \varphi_{t}^{\prime} \in H^{\infty}$ with $\left\|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq \exp \{K t\}$ and
( $\beta$ ) $\quad\left(\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right) /(z-\zeta) \in H^{\infty}$ with $\left\|\left(\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right) /(z-\zeta)\right\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq \exp \{K t\}$.
Proof. Since $\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(z)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} G^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{s}(z)\right) d s\right\}($ see [1]),

$$
\left|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(z)\right|=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{s}(z)\right) d s\right\} \leq \exp \{K t\}
$$

and this finishes the first part of the lemma. For the second half, observe that $\varphi_{t}$ belongs to the disk algebra for every $t \geq 0$, so that

$$
\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}=\frac{1}{z-\zeta} \int_{\zeta}^{z} \varphi_{t}^{\prime}(u) d u
$$

where the integration is performed along the segment joining $z$ and $\zeta$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{z-\zeta} \int_{\zeta}^{z} \varphi_{t}^{\prime}(u) d u\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{t}^{\prime}((1-\lambda) \zeta+\lambda z) d \lambda\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}((1-\lambda) \zeta+\lambda z)\right| d \lambda \leq \exp \{K t\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and $g \in H^{2}$. Then

$$
\left\|\frac{g(z)-g(\lambda)}{z-\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{(1-|\lambda|)^{2}}\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda$ and $g$ as above,

$$
\left\|\frac{g(z)-g(\lambda)}{z-\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left|g\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{\lambda} e^{i t}\right|^{2}} d t-\frac{|g(\lambda)|^{2}}{1-|\lambda|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{(1-|\lambda|)^{2}}\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

as we wanted to show.
Lemma 3.6. Let $g \in H^{2}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions with generator $G$ which satisfies

$$
K:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)<\infty .
$$

Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is such that $\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|<1$ for every $t>0$. Then

$$
\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right)^{2} D_{\zeta}\left(g \circ \varphi_{t}\right) \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $g \in H^{2}$. Using Lemma 3.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\zeta}\left(g \circ \varphi_{t}\right) & =\left\|\frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} \frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left\|\frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\left\|\frac{g(z)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right) \frac{1}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right)^{2}}\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions with generator $G$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)<\infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is such that $\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|<1$ for every $t>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \leq k\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<t \leq 1$ and some $k>0$. Then the semigroup $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.2, it is enough to show that $\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$. Let $f \in D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$ and write $f(z)=f(\zeta)+(z-\zeta) g(z)$ for some $g \in H^{2}$. Then

$$
f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)=f(\zeta)+\left(\varphi_{t}(z)-\zeta\right) g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)
$$

Straightforward calculations show that

$$
\frac{f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}=\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)+\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)-\zeta\right) \frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C_{t} f\right\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2} & =\left\|\frac{f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)+\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)-\zeta\right) \frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+2\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)-\zeta\right|^{2}\left\|\frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first term, we apply Lemma 3.4 to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} & \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left\|g \circ \varphi_{t}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|f\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second term, Lemma 3.6 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)-\zeta\right|^{2}\left\|\frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} & \leq k^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right)^{2}\left\|\frac{g\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-g\left(\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right)}{z-\zeta}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq k^{2} \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq k^{2} \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|f\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up,

$$
\left\|C_{t} f\right\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2} \leq 2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \exp \{2 K t\}\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)\|f\|_{D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}^{2}
$$

and, since the set $\left\{\varphi_{t}(0): 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$, we see that $\sup \left\{\left\|C_{t}\right\|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}<\infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## Remark 3.8.

(a) The condition

$$
\left|\zeta-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \leq k\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right)
$$

for $0<t \leq 1$ and some $k>0$ says that for these values of the parameter $t$ the point $\varphi_{t}(\zeta)$ lies inside some nontangential approach region of the point $\zeta$.
(b) From the proof of Theorem 3.7, $C_{t}$ is also bounded on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$ for every $t>0$.
(c) In all the proofs, the interval $[0,1]$ for the parameter $t$ can be replaced by any interval of the form $[0, \delta]$ with $\delta>0$.

Remark 3.9. Conditions (3.2) and (3.3) are independent. This can be seen by the following examples. Let

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=1-(1-z)^{e^{-t}}
$$

with generator

$$
G(z)=-(1-z) \log \frac{1}{1-z} .
$$

Obviously, $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)=\infty$ and $\left|\varphi_{t}(-1)\right|<1$ for every $t>0$, although we see that $\left|-1-\varphi_{t}(-1)\right|=1-\left|\varphi_{t}(-1)\right|$ for $t$ close to zero. Thus, (3.3) does not imply (3.2). To see that (3.2) does not imply (3.3), consider the semigroup

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=\frac{(1+i) e^{-(1+i) t} z}{1+i-\left(1-e^{-(1+i) t}\right) z}
$$

with generator

$$
G(z)=-z(1+i-z) .
$$

By straightforward calculations, $\left|\varphi_{t}(1)\right|<1$ for every $t>0$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1-\varphi_{t}(1)}{\left|1-\varphi_{t}(1)\right|}=i .
$$

Thus, $\varphi_{t}(1)$ approaches 1 as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$tangentially, although $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)<\infty$.
Remark 3.10. The next two examples illustrate Theorem 3.7. First, let

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=e^{-t} z
$$

be the dilation semigroup with generator $G(z)=-z$. Obviously, $\operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)<\infty$ and $\left|\zeta-\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \leq k\left(1-\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|\right)$ for every $k \geq 1$. Thus, $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$ for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Second, consider

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=e^{-t} z+1-e^{-t}
$$

with generator $G(z)=1-z$. The image $\varphi_{t}(\mathbb{D})$ is a small disk tangent to the unit circle at 1 , whose diameter goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\operatorname{Re} G^{\prime}(z)=-1$ and $\left|\varphi_{t}(\zeta)\right|<1$ for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \backslash\{1\}$ and every $t>0$. Moreover, $\varphi_{t}(\zeta)$ approaches $\zeta$ nontangentially as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \backslash\{1\}$ and thus $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)$.

The following theorem provides a description of the infinitesimal generator $\Gamma$ of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ in terms of $G$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ be a semigroup of analytic functions with generator $G$ and $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ be the induced composition operator semigroup. If $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ is strongly continuous on $D(\mu)$, then the infinitesimal generator $\Gamma$ of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ on $D(\mu)$ has domain

$$
\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)=\left\{f \in D(\mu): G f^{\prime} \in D(\mu)\right\}
$$

and, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$,

$$
\Gamma(z)=G(z) f^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Proof. By definition, the domain of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)=\left\{f \in D(\mu): \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{C_{t} f-f}{t} \text { exists in } D(\mu)\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{D}=\left\{f \in D(\mu): G f^{\prime} \in D(\mu)\right\}$. We will show that if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, then $G f^{\prime} \in D(\mu)$. Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma(f) \in D(\mu)$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\frac{C_{t} f-f}{t}-\Gamma(f)\right\|_{D(\mu)}=0 .
$$

Convergence in the norm of $D(\mu)$ implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ and therefore pointwise convergence. So, for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(f)(z) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-f(z)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{0}(z)\right)}{t} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial\left[f\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)\right]}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=G(z) f^{\prime}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $G(z) f^{\prime}(z)=\Gamma(f)(z) \in D(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. On the other hand, for $\lambda$ in the resolvent set $\rho(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$, it is easy to see that

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{f \in D(\mu): G f^{\prime} \in D(\mu)\right\}=\left\{f \in D(\mu): G f^{\prime}-\lambda f \in D(\mu)\right\}=R(\lambda, \Gamma)(D(\mu)),
$$

where $R(\lambda, \Gamma)$ is the resolvent of $\Gamma$ at the point $\lambda$. But $R(\lambda, \Gamma)(D(\mu)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ and the proof is finished.
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