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Abstract. Continuous maps from the real line to itself give, in a natural way, a partial ordering of permutations. This paper studies the structure of simple permutations which have order a power of two, where simple permutations are permutations corresponding to the simple orbits of Block.

## 0. Introduction

Šarkovskiǐ [9] proved the following theorem:
Theorem. Let $\triangleleft$ be the ordering of the positive integers

$$
3 \triangleleft 5 \triangleleft 7 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 2 \cdot 3 \triangleleft 2 \cdot 5 \triangleleft 2 \cdot 7 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 2^{2} \cdot 3 \triangleleft 2^{2} \cdot 5 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 2^{2} \triangleleft 1 .
$$

Let $f$ be a continuous map from the real line to itself. Iff has a periodic point of period $n$ and if $m$ satisfies $n \triangleleft m$ then $f$ also has a periodic point of period $m$.

Elegant proofs of this theorem using Markov graphs have been given in [3] and [4]. If the order in which points are permuted by a function is known then Markov graphs can give more information about the existence of other periodic points. For example, suppose $f$ is a continuous map from the real line to itself such that there exist real numbers $x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}<x_{4}$ and $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{\theta(i)}$, where $\theta$ is the permutation (1234). Šarkovskiĭ's theorem shows the existence of periodic points of periods one and two; but by looking at the Markov graph it is seen that $f$ has periodic points of all periods. Further analysis of the graph shows that there exists points $y_{1}<y_{2}<y_{3}$ such that $f\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{\eta(i)}$, where $\eta=(123)$. This conclusion can also be drawn from the fact that there is no division for ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ), see [7].

The important elements in the above example are the permutations. Continuous maps from the real line to itself induce a partial ordering on the set of permutations.
In this paper, the structure of simple permutations which have order a power of two is studied, where simple permutations denote permutations corresponding to the simple orbits of Block (see [1], [2], [4], [5]).

In the first section the basic concepts and notation is introduced. The second section shows that the partial ordering restricted to the above permutations gives rise to a tree. It shows what a permutation's immediate successors and predecessors are.

In the third section the number of critical points associated to a permutation is studied. This is of interest because there have been many papers considering
unimodal maps (see for example [2], [6]) and because of theorem 1.5. It is shown that in the unimodal case there are only two simple permutations with order $2^{n}$ for each $n$; one corresponding to a map with a maximum and the other to a map with a minimum.

## 1. Basics

Throughout this paper ( $S_{n},{ }^{\circ}$ ) will denote the group of permutations on $n$ objects. All functions will be assumed to be continuous maps from the real line to itself.
Definition 1.1. Given a function, $f$, its set of permutations denoted Perm ( $f$ ) is defined by the following. A permutation, $\theta$, belongs to Perm $(f)$ if there exist real $x_{1}<x_{2}<$ $\cdots<x_{n}$ such that $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{\theta(i)}$.
Definition 1.2. Let $\theta$ and $\eta$ be permutations. Say $\theta$ dominates $\eta$, denoted by $\theta \triangleleft \eta$, if $\{f \mid \theta \in \operatorname{Perm}(f)\}$ is contained in $\{f \mid \eta \in \operatorname{Perm}(f)\}$.

Definition 1.3. Suppose that $\theta$ belongs to Perm $(f)$ and that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ represent the reals such that $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{\theta(i)}$. Then a directed graph can be associated to $\theta$ and $f$ in the following way. The graph has $n-1$ vertices $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n-1}$, and an arrow is drawn from $J_{k}$ to $J_{l}$ if and only if $f\left(\left[x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right]\right) \supseteq\left[x_{l}, x_{l+1}\right]$. This graph will be called the Markov graph associated to $f$ and $\theta$.

For basic facts about Markov graphs see [8], [4] (or [3], where they are called $A$-graphs).

Definition 1.4. The set which contains permutations consisting of exactly one cycle of order $n$ will be denoted $C_{n}$.
Definition 1.5. Given a permutation $\theta$ belonging to $S_{n}$ the primitive function, $\bar{f}$, associated to $\theta$ is defined by the following:
(1) $\bar{f}(k)=\theta(k)$;
(2) $\bar{f}(t k+(1-t)(k+1))=t \theta(k)+(1-t) \theta(k+1)$;
(3) $\bar{f}(x)=\theta(1)$ if $x<1$;
(4) $\bar{f}(x)=\theta(n) \quad$ if $x>n$;
where $k=1, \ldots, n$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.
Definition 1.6. The Markov graph associated to $\theta$ and its primitive function will be called the Markov graph of $\theta$.

The following lemma follows from the definition of primitive function.
Lemma 1.7. Let $\theta$ belong to $C_{n}$ and let $\bar{f}$ be its primitive function. If $\eta$ belongs to $C_{m} \cap \operatorname{Perm}(\bar{f})$ and if $\eta \neq \theta$ then the Markov graph of $\theta$ has a non-repetitive loop of length $m$ corresponding to $\eta$.

If $\theta$ belongs to Perm $(f)$ then the Markov graph associated to $\theta$ and $f$ contains, in a natural way, the Markov graph of $\theta$, (see [4]). Thus an easy consequence of the above lemma is the following.

Lemma 1.8. Let $\theta$ belong to $C_{n}$ and $\eta$ to $C_{m}$ and $\theta \neq \eta$. Then $\theta$ dominates $\eta$ if and only if the Markov graph of $\eta$ has a non-repetitive loop of length $m$ corresponding to $\eta$.

The following is an extension of Šarkovskiî's theorem.
Šarkovskil̆'s Extended Theorem. If $\theta$ belongs to $C_{n}$ then for any integer $m$, satisfying $m \triangleright n$ there exists an $\eta \in C_{m}$ such that $\eta \triangleright \theta$.
Proof. From $\theta$ construct its primitive function $\bar{f}$. Since $\bar{f}$ has a periodic point of period $n$, Šarkovskiî's theorem shows that $\bar{f}$ has a periodic point of period $m$, and so there exists an $\eta$ belonging to Perm $(\bar{f}) \cap C_{m}$. Lemma 1.7 shows that the Markov graph of $\theta$ has a loop corresponding to $\eta$ and Lemma 1.8 completes the proof.

Block has strengthened Šarkovskiǐ's theorem by considering simple orbits, see [1], [2]. Ho has also studied simple orbits see [4] and [5].
Definition 1.9. Let $m$ and $n$ be positive integers. Let $S$ denote the set $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq x \leq$ $m n\}$. Then there is a natural way of partitioning $S$ into subsets each of size $n$ by choosing the first $n$ elements, then the second $n$ elements and so on. Define

$$
P(m n, m, k):=\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid(k-1) n<x \leq k n\},
$$

where $k$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq m$.
Definition 1.10. A permutation belonging to $C_{2 k-1}$ is simple if when expressed in cycle notation it is equal to either

$$
[k(k-1)(k+1)(k-2)(k+2) \cdots(k-j)(k+j) \cdots 1(2 k-1)]
$$

or

$$
[k(k+1)(k-1)(k+2) \cdots(k+j)(k-j) \cdots(2 k-1) 1] .
$$

Definition 1.11. An element $\theta$ of $C_{2} n$ is simple if for every $k$ satisfying $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ it satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) $\left.\theta^{2^{k}}\left[P\left(2^{n}, 2^{k}, j\right)\right]=P\left(2^{n}, 2^{k}, j\right)\right)$;
(ii) $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{2^{k}}\left[P\left(2^{n}, 2^{k+1}, j\right)\right]$ has empty intersection with $P\left(2^{n}, 2^{k+1}, j\right)$.

Definition 1.12. An element $\theta$ of $C_{r 2^{m}}$ is simple if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\theta\left[P\left(r 2^{m}, 2^{m}, j\right)\right]=P\left(r 2^{m}, 2^{m}, \sigma(j)\right)$, where $\sigma$ is a simple element of $C_{2^{r}}$;
(ii) $\theta^{2^{m}}$ restricted to $P\left(r 2^{n}, 2^{m}, j\right)$ is simple for every $j$.

Definition 1.13. The set of simple elements of $C_{k}$ will be denoted $\operatorname{Sim}(k)$.
Example 1.14. Let

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
5 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
7 & 8 & 10 & 6 & 9 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 4
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then it is easily checked that $\alpha$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}(6)$ and $\beta$ to $\operatorname{Sim}(10)$.
Block and Hart [2] have shown that if a function has a periodic point of period $n$ then it has a simple periodic point of period $n$. By an argument analogous to the proof of Šarkovskiř's Extended Theorem the following can be proved.

Block and Harts Extended Theorem. If $\theta$ belongs to $C_{n}$ then for any integer $m$ satisfying $m \triangleright n$ or $m=n$ there exists $\eta$ an element of $\operatorname{Sim}(m)$ such that $\eta \triangleright \theta$.

Definition 1.15. Let $\theta$ belong to $C_{n}$. Say $\theta$ has a relative maximum at $k$ if both $\theta(k-1)$ and $\theta(k+1)$ are defined and $\theta(k-1)<\theta(k)$ and $\theta(k+1)<\theta(k)$.

Similarly, $\theta$ has a relative minimum at $k$ if both $\theta(k-1)$ and $\theta(k+1)$ are defined and both $\theta(k+1)$ and $\theta(k-1)$ are greater than $\theta(k)$.
Definition 1.16. The number of relative maxima of a permutation $\theta$ or a function $f$ will be denoted $\gamma_{\max }(\theta), \gamma_{\text {max }}(f)$ respectively. The number of relative minima of a permutation $\theta$, or a function $f$, will be denoted $\gamma_{\text {min }}(\theta), \gamma_{\text {min }}(f)$ respectively. Let $\gamma(\theta)=\gamma_{\text {max }}(\theta)+\gamma_{\text {min }}(\theta)$.

The following lemma follows easily from the definitions
Lemma 1.17. Let $f$ be a function and $\theta$ an element of $\operatorname{Perm}(f)$. Then
(i) $\gamma_{\max }(f) \geq \gamma_{\text {max }}(\theta)$;
(ii) $\gamma_{\text {min }}(f) \geq \gamma_{\text {min }}(\theta)$;
(iii) $\gamma(f) \geq \gamma(\theta)$.

Lemma 1.18. If $\theta$ is a permutation there exists a function $f$ such that $\gamma(f)=\gamma(\theta)$.
Proof. Clearly the primitive function of $\theta$ is such a map.
The following lemma follows trivially from the above lemmas and definitions.
Lemma 1.19. If $\theta$ and $\eta$ are two permutations with $\theta \triangleleft \eta$ then
(i) $\gamma_{\max }(\theta) \geq \gamma_{\text {max }}(\eta)$;
(ii) $\gamma_{\text {min }}(\theta) \geq \gamma_{\text {min }}(\eta)$;
(iii) $\gamma(\theta) \geq \gamma(\eta)$.

Remark. It is easily checked that if $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}(2 k+1)$ then $\gamma(\theta)=1$. This observation combined with Block and Hart's theorem shows the following.
Theorem 1.20. If $\theta$ belongs to $C_{2 k+1}$ then for any $m$ with $m \triangleright(2 k+1)$ there exists $\eta$ an element of $\operatorname{Sim}(m)$ such that
(i) $\eta \triangleright \theta$; and
(ii) $\gamma(\eta)=1$.

Remarks. Notice that $\gamma(\alpha)=4$ and $\gamma(\beta)=6$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are as in example 1.14.
Clearly if $\theta$ belongs to $C_{k}$ then $\gamma(\theta) \leq k-2$, because 1 and $k$ cannot be critical points. The simple permutation $\alpha$ is an example where $\gamma(\alpha)$ equals 6-2. However, it will be shown in $\S 3$ that if $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$, for $n \geq 2$, then $\gamma(\theta) \leq 2^{n}-3$.

It is interesting to note that both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are maximal, in the sense that no simple permutation dominates $\alpha$ other than itself and no simple permutation dominates $\beta$ other than itself. Thus if the intersection of Perm $(f)$ and $C_{10}$ contains only $\beta$ the function $f$ has periodic points only for periods $m$ where $m>10$.

## 2. Partial ordering

In this section it is shown that the partial ordering restricted to $\bigcup_{n} \operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ gives rise to a tree. Theorem 2.10 shows what are the immediate predecessors and successors of a given permutation.

The following lemma was proved by C. Ho in [4].

Lemma 2.1. There exist $2^{2^{n-(n+1)}}$ simple permutations of period $2^{n}$.
Lemma 2.2. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$, then for any integer $m, \theta^{2^{m}+1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$. Proof. This follows directly from definition 1.11.
Definition 2.3. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then $\theta^{*}$, an element of $S_{2^{n+1}}$, is defined by

$$
\theta^{*}(2 k)=2 \theta(k), \quad \theta^{*}(2 k-1)=2 \theta(k)-1 .
$$

Remarks. The permutation $\theta^{*}$ consists of two $2^{n}$-cycles. It is clear that $\theta^{*}$ dominates $\theta$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\rho_{s}$ denote the transposition

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 s-1 & 2 s \\
2 s & 2 s-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.5. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then

$$
\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \circ \rho_{i_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{2 m-1}}
$$

belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ for any positive integers $m, i_{j}$ where $1 \leq i_{j} \leq 2^{n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2 m-1$.
Proof. Let $\eta$ denote $\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \circ \rho_{i_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{2 m-1}}$. First, it will be shown that $\eta$ belongs to $C_{2^{n+1}}$. Since $\theta$ belongs to $C_{2^{n}}$ the set $\eta^{k}(\{1,2\})$ has empty intersections with $\{1,2\}$ for $1 \leq k<2^{n}$ and $\eta^{2^{n}}(\{1,2\})=\{1,2\}$. So, either $\eta^{2^{n}}(1)=1$ or $\eta^{2^{n+1}}(1)=1$. Now $\left.\eta^{2^{n}}\right|_{\{1,2\}}=\left.\rho_{1}^{2 m-1}\right|_{\{1,2\}}$, thus $\eta^{2^{n}}(1)=2$ and consequently $\eta$ belongs to $C_{2^{n+1}}$. Next it will be shown that $\eta$ satisfies the conditions given in definition 1.11. Since $\theta$ is simple it follows from the construction of $\eta$ that for every $k$ satisfying $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,
(i) $\eta^{2^{k}}\left[P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{k}, j\right)\right]=P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{k}, j\right)$;
(ii) $\left.\eta^{2^{k}} P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{k+1}, j\right)\right]$ has empty intersection with $P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{k+1}, j\right)$.

Putting $k=n-1$ in both of the above conditions shows that

$$
\eta^{2^{n}}\left[P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{n}, j\right)\right]=P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{n}, j\right),
$$

and because $\eta$ belongs to $C_{2^{n+1}}$ it is clear that $\eta^{2^{n}}\left[P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{n+1}, j\right)\right]$ has empty intersection with $P\left(2^{n+1}, 2^{n+1}, j\right)$.
Lemma 2.6. If $\eta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ then there exists $\theta$ belonging to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and transpositions $\rho_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$ such that $\eta=\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$.
Proof. First notice that if $\theta_{1}^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}=\theta_{2}^{*} \circ \rho_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{j_{2_{m-1}}}$ then $\theta_{1}^{*}=\theta_{2}^{*}$, and if the strings of transpositions contain no repetitions $\left\{\rho_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}\right\}=\left\{\rho_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \rho_{j_{2 m-1}}\right\}$.

Lemma 2.1 shows that there are $2^{2^{n-(n+1)}}$ elements in $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$. The number of ways of choosing an odd length string of transpositions is $2^{2^{n-1}}$, if all the transpositions in the string are distinct. Thus there are $\left(2^{2^{n}-(n+1)}\right)\left(2^{2^{n-1}}\right)$ ways of choosing $\eta$, by lemma 2.5 each of the choices corresponds to an element of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ and lemma 2.1 completes the proof.
Definition 2.7. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ define $\theta_{*}$ an element of $S_{2^{n-1}}$ by

$$
\theta_{*}(k)=\operatorname{Int}\left[\frac{1}{2} \theta(2 k)\right]
$$

where Int [ ] means round up to the nearest integer.
Remark. It is clear that if $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then $\left(\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \cdots \cdots \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}\right)_{*}=\theta$, and so the following is obtained trivially.

Lemma 2.8. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then
(i) $\theta_{*} \in \operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n-1}\right)$;
(ii) $\boldsymbol{\theta} \triangleleft \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{*}}$.

Lemma 2.9. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and $\theta$ dominates both $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, where $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n-1}\right)$, then $\eta_{1}=\eta_{2}$.
Proof. Consider the Markov graph associated to $\theta$. It has $2^{n}-1$ vertices. It will be shown that there exists only one loop of length $2^{n-1}$.

In the graph there exists at least one loop of $2^{n-k}$ vertices corresponding to a periodic point of period $2^{n-k}$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. These loops must be distinct or else $\eta$ would dominate an infinite number of permutations. However, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{n-k}=$ $2^{n}-1$ and so there exists exactly one loop of length $2^{n-k}$ for each $k$.

The following theorem has now been proved.
Theorem 2.10. Suppose $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$.
(i) If $\eta \triangleleft \theta$ and $\eta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}+1\right)$ then there exist transpositions $\rho_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$ such that $\eta=\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$.
(ii) If $\phi \triangleright \theta$ and $\phi$ belongs to $C_{2^{n-1}}$ then $\phi=\theta_{*}$.

## 3. Critical points

In this section the following theorem will be proved.
Theorem 3. For $n \geq 2$ the following hold.
(1) If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and $m$ is an integer satisfying $\gamma(\theta) \leq m \leq$ $2^{n+1}-2-\gamma(\theta)$, then there exists $\eta$ belonging to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ such that $\eta$ dominates $\theta$ and $\gamma(\eta)=m$.
(2) If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then $\theta^{2^{n-1}+1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\gamma(\theta)+\gamma\left(\theta^{2^{n-1}+1}\right)=2^{n}-2 .
$$

(3) There are exactly two elements of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ that have only one critical point.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\theta$ belong to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$.
(i) If $k$ is a critical point of $\theta_{*}$ then one of $2 k$ or $2 k-1$ is a critical point of $\theta$, but not both.
(ii) If $k$ is not a critical point of $\theta_{*}$, where $1<k<2^{n}$, then either
(a) both $2 k$ and $2 k-1$ are critical points of $\theta$; or
(b) neither $2 k$ nor $2 k-1$ are critical points of $\theta$.

Proof. The case when $\theta_{*}$ has a maximum at $k$ will be proved, the other cases can be proved similarly.

If $\theta_{*}(k)>\theta_{*}(k-1)$ and $\theta_{*}(k)>\theta_{*}(k+1)$ then $\theta(2 k-1)>\theta(2 k-2)$ and $\theta(2 k)>$ $\theta(2 k+1)$. If $\theta(2 k-1)>\theta(2 k)$ then $\theta$ has a maximum at $2 k-1$ and $2 k$ is not a critical point. Similarly, if $\theta(2 k-1)<\theta(2 k)$ then $\theta$ has a maximum at $2 k$ and $2 k-1$ is not a critical point.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right), n \geq 2$. Let $m$ be an integer satisfying $\gamma(\theta) \leq m \leq 2^{n+1}-2-\gamma(\theta)$. Then there exists $\eta$ belonging to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ such that $\eta \triangleleft \theta$ and $\gamma(\eta)=m$.

Proof. Denote the set of integers where $\theta$ has critical points by $C$. Denote the set of non-critical integers by $R$, i.e. $R=\left\{1,2,3, \ldots, 2^{n}\right\} \backslash C$. Let $\eta=$ $\theta^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \circ \rho_{i_{2}} \circ \cdots{ }^{\circ} \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$. If $c$ belongs to $C$ then $\eta$ has exactly one critical point in $\{2 c, 2 c-1\}$. If $r$, where $1<r<2^{n}$, belongs to $R$ then one of $\eta$ or $\eta^{\circ} \rho_{r} \circ \rho_{c}$ has exactly two critical points in $\{2 r, 2 r-1\}$ and the other permutation has none. Notice that both $\eta$ and $\eta \circ \rho_{r} \circ \rho_{c}$ belong to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$.

If $s$ is either 1 or $2^{n}$, then one of $\eta, \eta \circ \rho_{s}{ }^{\circ} \rho_{c}$ has exactly one critical point in $\{2 s, 2 s-1\}$ and the other permutation has none. Again, both $\eta$ and $\eta \circ \rho_{s}{ }^{\circ} \rho_{c}$ belong to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$.

Thus it can be seen that given any subset $S$ of $R$ it is possible to construct an element $\eta_{s}$ of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n+1}\right)$ such that the following hold:
(i) $\eta_{s}$ has two critical points in $\{2 k, 2 k-1\}$ if $k$ belongs to $S$ and $1<k<2^{n}$;
(ii) $\eta_{s}$ has one critical point in $\{2 k, 2 k-1\}$ if $k$ belongs to $S$ and $k$ is either 1 or $2^{n}$;
(iii) $\eta_{s}$ has 1 critical point in $\{2 k, 2 k-1\}$ if $k$ belongs to $C$;
(iv) $\eta_{s}$ has no other critical points.

In general a subset $S$ does not define a unique element.
Let $\varnothing$ denote the empty set then $\eta_{\varnothing}$ has $\gamma(\theta)$ critical points. Choosing $S=R$ gives an element $\eta_{R}$ that has $2^{n+1}-2-\gamma(\theta)$ critical points. Given $m$ satisfying $\gamma(\theta) \leq m \leq 2^{n+1}-2-\gamma(\theta)$ it is clear that there exists an element $\eta_{s}$ with $\gamma\left(\eta_{s}\right)=m$ for some $S$ contained in $R$

An immediate corollary is the following.
Lemma 3.3. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then $\gamma\left(\theta_{*}\right) \leq \gamma(\theta) \leq 2^{n}-2-\gamma\left(\theta_{*}\right)$.
Lemma 3.4. If $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ then $\theta^{2^{n-1}+1}$ is simple and

$$
\gamma(\theta)+\gamma\left(\theta^{2^{n-1}+1}\right)=2^{n}-2 .
$$

Proof. The proof follows from lemma 2.2 and the proof of lemma 3.2 after noting the following fact. If $\theta=\phi^{*} \circ \rho_{i_{1}} \cdots \cdots \rho_{i_{2 k-1}}$ then

$$
\theta^{2^{n-1}+1}=\phi^{*} \circ \rho_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{j_{2 m-1}},
$$

where the two sets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots i_{2 k-1}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{2 m-1}\right\}$ have empty intersection and their union is $\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq n \leq 2^{n}\right\}$.
Lemma 3.5. There exist only two elements of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ that have only one critical point, for $n \geq 2$
Proof. This will be proved by induction.
When $n=2$ there are only two elements of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{2}\right)$; these are

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
3 & 4 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

both of which only have one critical point.
Suppose $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{r}\right)$ and $\gamma(\theta)=1$. Then there exists a unique permutation $\eta$ belonging to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{r+1}\right)$ with $\gamma(\eta)=1$ such that $\eta \triangleleft \theta$. This is the unique permutation defined by taking $S$ to be the empty set, where $S$ is defined in the proof of lemma 3.2. It is unique because $C$ contains a single element.

Remark. Of these two permuations that have only one critical point one has a relative maximum and the other has a relative minimum.

Corollary 3.6. There exist exactly two elements of $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right), n \geq 2$ that have $2^{n}-3$ critical points.
Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.
Remark. It is interesting to note that if $\theta$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sim}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and $\gamma(\theta)=2^{n}-3$ then $\gamma\left(\theta_{*}\right)=1$.
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