

CLOSURE OPERATORS AND PROJECTIONS ON INVOLUTION POSETS

GOTTFRIED T. RÜTTIMANN

(Received 1 May 1972; revised 30 April 1973)

Communicated by P. D. Finch

1. Introduction

Investigations of closure operators on an involution poset T lead to a certain type of closure operators (so called c -closure operators) that are closely related to projections on T .

In terms of these operators we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an involution poset to be an orthomodular lattice. An involution poset is an orthomodular lattice if and only if it admits certain c -closure operators. In that case, if L is an orthomodular lattice, the set of c -closure operators, under the usual ordering of closure operators, is orderisomorphic to the set of projections of the Baer $*$ -semigroup $B(L)$ of hemimorphisms on L [4]. In this sense, but working on the "opposite end", this treatment enlarges that given in [3] where a similar necessary and sufficient condition is represented but for orthocomplemented posets and for mappings which in the case of an orthomodular lattice are exactly the closed projections of $B(L)$. C -closure operators appear as a natural generalization of symmetric closure operators [5].

2. C -closure operators

An *involution poset* T is a poset with largest element (1) and a mapping $e \in T \rightarrow e' \in T$ such that $e'' = e$ and $e \leq f \Rightarrow f' \leq e'$. For basic definitions see [1, 2].

A *projection* ϕ on an involution poset T is a mapping $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ with the following properties:

- i) $e \leq f \Rightarrow e\phi \leq f\phi$,
- ii) $(e\phi)\phi = e\phi$,
- iii) $(e\phi)'\phi \leq e'$ ($e, f \in T$).

Work supported by The Canada Council.

The set of projections on T , denoted by $P(T)$, is not empty since I defined by $eI := e$ is a projection.

LEMMA 1. *Let ϕ be a projection on T . Then $((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi = e\phi$ is valid for all $e \in T$.*

PROOF. Since $(e\phi)' \leq e'$ for all $e \in T$, it follows that $((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi \leq ((e\phi)')' = e\phi$. Clearly $e \leq ((e\phi)' \phi)'$. Using monotony, we get from the latter inequality $e\phi \leq ((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi$. Hence $e\phi = ((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi$.

REMARK 1. Let L be an orthomodular lattice. A projection $\phi \in P(L)$ is a join-homomorphism of L [2, Theorem 5.2, page 37]. On the other hand every join-homomorphism is monotone. From $(1\phi)' \phi \leq 1'$ we get $0\phi = 0$, where $0 := 1'$. Therefore $P(L)$ coincides with the set of projections introduced by Foulis [4], namely the set of idempotent, self-adjoint hemimorphisms on L .

One verifies that in an involution poset T a closure operator γ satisfies

$$((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma \leq e\gamma \quad (e \in T).$$

Those closure operators for which the equality

$$((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma = e\gamma \quad (e \in T)$$

is valid are of special interest. As we will see below they are closely related to projections and determining for the lattice and orthomodular structure of T . We call these operators *c-closure operators* and denote with $C(T)$ the set of all *c-closure operators* on an involution poset T . The mappings I and $eJ := 1$ are *c-closure operators*.

$C(T)$ is partially ordered by means of the ordering relation

$$\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 : \Leftrightarrow e\gamma_2 \leq e\gamma_1 \quad (e \in T).$$

I is the largest and J the smallest element of $C(T)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let T be an involution poset. If γ is a c-closure operator, then $((e\gamma)' \gamma)'$ is a projection on T . If ϕ is a projection, then $((e\phi)' \phi)'$ is a c-closure operator on T .*

The mapping $\gamma \in C(T) \rightarrow \phi \in P(T)$ where $e\phi := ((e\gamma)' \gamma)'$ is one-to-one and maps the set of c-closure operators onto the set of projections on T . $\phi \in P(T) \rightarrow \gamma \in C(T)$ where $e\gamma := ((e\phi)' \phi)'$ is the corresponding inverse mapping.

PROOF. Clearly the mapping $e \rightarrow ((e\gamma)' \gamma)'$ is monotone. Using properties of *c-closure operators*, we get

$$(((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma = ((e\gamma)' \gamma)',$$

which proves idempotence of the mapping. Furthermore

$$((((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma)' = (((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma)' = (e\gamma)' \leq e'.$$

Hence the mapping is a projection.

Let ϕ be a projection. By i) and iii) of the definition of a projection, one easily sees that the mapping $e\gamma := ((e\phi)' \phi)'$ is monotone and majorizes the argument. By Lemma 1 and the basic properties of projections we get

$$(e\gamma)\gamma = (((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi)' = ((e\phi)' \phi)' = e\gamma$$

and

$$((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma = ((((((e\phi)' \phi) \phi)' \phi) \phi)' \phi)' = (((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi)' \phi' = ((e\phi)' \phi)' = e\gamma.$$

Hence $\gamma \in C(T)$.

For all $\phi \in P(T)$, $\gamma \in C(T)$ and $e \in T$

$$((((e\gamma)' \gamma)'' \gamma)' \gamma)'' = ((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma = e\gamma$$

and

$$((((e\phi)' \phi)'' \phi)' \phi)'' = ((e\phi)' \phi)' \phi = e\phi$$

is valid. This proves the second part of the theorem.

REMARK 2. Because of the one-to-one correspondence between $P(T)$ and $C(T)$ the ordering in the set of c -closure operators induces an ordering in the set of projections as follows:

Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be two projections and γ_1, γ_2 the corresponding c -closure operators. The relation

$$\phi_1 \leq \phi_2 : \Leftrightarrow \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$$

is an ordering relation that makes $P(T)$ into a partially ordered set. The mapping $\gamma \rightarrow \phi$ where $e\phi := ((e\gamma)' \gamma)'$ can then be interpreted as an order-isomorphism between the posets $C(T)$ and $P(T)$.

The next two lemmata lead us to the main result of this paper.

LEMMA 3. Let T be an orthocomplemented poset and $\gamma \in C(T)$. Then

- i) $e\gamma \vee (e\gamma)' \gamma$ exists and is equal to 1,
- ii) $e\gamma \wedge (e\gamma)' \gamma$ exists and is equal to 0γ .

PROOF. i) Of course $e\gamma \leq 1$ and $(e\gamma)' \gamma \leq 1$. If there is an $f \in T$ such that $e\gamma \leq f$ and $(e\gamma)' \gamma \leq f$, then also $(e\gamma)' \leq f$ since $(e\gamma)' \leq (e\gamma)' \gamma$. But $e\gamma \vee (e\gamma)' = 1$, hence $1 \leq f$. This proves that $e\gamma \vee (e\gamma)' \gamma = 1$. ii) By monotony $0\gamma \leq e\gamma$ and $0\gamma \leq (e\gamma)' \gamma$. Let $f \in T$ be an element such that $f \leq e\gamma$ and $f \leq (e\gamma)' \gamma$. By monotony and idempotence of the closure operator we get

$$f\gamma \leq e\gamma \text{ and } f\gamma \leq (e\gamma)' \gamma \text{ or } (e\gamma)' \leq (f\gamma)'$$

and $((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \leq (f\gamma)'$. Again by monotony we have then $(e\gamma)' \gamma \leq (f\gamma)'\gamma$ and

$$e\gamma = ((e\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma \leq (f\gamma)'\gamma.$$

According to part i) of this proof, this implies that $(f\gamma)' \gamma = 1$ or $((f\gamma)' \gamma)' = 0$. Finally we get $f \leq f\gamma = ((f\gamma)' \gamma)' \gamma = 0\gamma$. Thus $e\gamma \wedge (e\gamma)' \gamma = 0\gamma$.

LEMMA 4. *Let T be an involution poset and γ a c -closure operator, then $(0\gamma)' \gamma = 1$.*

PROOF. By theorem 2 there is a projection ϕ such that $e\gamma = ((e\phi)' \phi)'$. Since $0\phi = 0$ and by lemma 1 we get

$$(0\gamma)' \gamma = (((0\phi)' \phi)'' \phi)' \phi)' = (((0\phi)' \phi)' \phi)' = (0\phi)' = 1.$$

THEOREM 5. *Let T be an involution poset. T is an orthomodular lattice if and only if every interval $[e, 1]$ ($e \in T$) is the range of a c -closure operator.*

PROOF. Assume that T is an orthomodular lattice. One verifies that for a given interval $[e, 1]$ the mapping $f \rightarrow e \vee f$ is a closure operator that maps T onto it. We show that this mapping has the characteristic property of c -closure operators.

Since $e \leq e \vee f$, there exists by orthomodularity of the lattice T an element $g \in T$ such that $e \vee g = e \vee f$ and $e \leq g'$. Now

$$e \vee (e \vee (e \vee f)')' = e \vee (e \vee (e \vee g)')' = e \vee (e' \wedge (e \vee g)) = e \vee (e' \wedge g) = e \vee g = e \vee f.$$

Conversely, we prove first that T must be a lattice. When $e, f \in T$, then there is a c -closure operator γ that maps T onto the interval $[f, 1]$. Clearly $e \leq e\gamma$ and $f = 0\gamma \leq e\gamma$. Let $g \in T$ be an element such that $e \leq g$ and $f \leq g$. Since γ maps T onto $[f, 1]$, it follows from the latter inequality that $g\gamma = g$. From $e \leq g$ we then get $e\gamma \leq g\gamma = g$. Thus $e \vee f$ exists in T and is equal to $e\gamma$.

Let $\gamma \in C(T)$ with $T\gamma = [e, 1]$. By lemma 4 we get $1 = (0\gamma)' \gamma = e' \gamma = e' \vee e$ for all $e \in T$. Therefore T is an orthocomplemented lattice.

Now we prove orthomodularity of the lattice T . Let $e \leq f$ and $y \in C(T)$ such that $T\gamma = [e, 1]$. We again have $e = 0\gamma$ and $f\gamma = f$. By Lemma 3 (ii) and the result above we get $e = 0\gamma = f\gamma \wedge (f\gamma)' \gamma = f \wedge f' \gamma = f \wedge (e \vee f')$.

REMARK 3. Let L be an orthomodular lattice. By Theorem 2 and Remark 1 the mappings $e \rightarrow e\phi := ((e\gamma)' \gamma)'$ ($y \in C(L)$) are the projections in the Baer *-semigroup of hemimorphisms on L . One can prove that

$$(e\phi_1)\phi_2 = e\phi_1 \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2 \in P(L); e \in L) \Leftrightarrow \phi_1 \leq \phi_2,$$

thus the usual ordering of projections coincides with that induced by the poset $C(L)$ (Remark 2). The closed projections, namely the Sasaki-projections, are given by $((e\gamma_f)' \gamma_f)'$ ($f \in L$) where $\gamma_f \in C(L)$ and $L\gamma_f = [f, 1]$.

Note that a mapping γ is a symmetric closure operator on $L[5]$ if and only if γ is a c -closure operator for which $0\gamma = 0$ is valid. Furthermore, the symmetric closure operators are the fixelements of the mappings exhibited in theorem 2.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, (Amer. Math. Soc., 3rd ed. (1967)).
- [2] T. S. Blyth and M. F. Janowitz, *Residuation Theory*, (Pergamon Press (1972)).
- [3] P. D. Finch, 'Sasaki-Projections on Orthocomplemented Posets', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 1 (1969), 319–324 .
- [4] D. J. Foulis, 'Baer *-semigroups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11 (1960), 648–654.
- [5] M. F. Janowitz, 'Residuated Closure Operators', *Portugal. Math.* 26 (1967), 221–252.

University of Calgary
Calgary
Alberta, Canada

University of Berne
Theoretical Physics
Berne, Switzerland