J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 43 (1987), 366-374

# A CHARACTERIZATION AND A VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY FOR THE MULTIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

#### WOLFGANG STADJE

(Received 2 February 1985; revised 22 May 1986)

Communicated by T. C. Brown

#### Abstract

Various generalizations of the Maxwell characterization of the multivariate standard normal distribution are derived. For example the following is proved: If for a k-dimensional random vector X there exists an  $n \in \{1, ..., k - 1\}$  such that for each n-dimensional linear subspace  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  the projections of X on H and  $H^{\perp}$  are independent, X is normal. If X has a rotationally symmetric density and its projection on some H has a density of the same functional form, X is normal. Finally we give a variational inequality for the multivariate normal distribution which resembles the isoperimetric inequality for the surface measure on the sphere.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 62 H 05.

## I. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the consideration of some "geometric" properties of the k-dimensional normal distribution  $N_k(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$ , where  $k \ge 2$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\sigma^2 \ge 0$  and  $I_k$  is the  $(k \times k)$ -unit matrix. The starting point is the well known Maxwell characterisation stating that  $N_k(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$  is the only rotationally symmetric probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  for which the coordinates are independent random variables ([6], or [4], pages 160–161; for a related result on random matrices see [7]). Rotational symmetry of a distribution P on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is equivalent to the property that the characteristic function (c.f.)  $\phi$  (or the density f, if it exists) depends only on the euclidean length  $|\cdot|$  of its argument. Theorem 1 says that if  $|\cdot|$  is replaced by

<sup>© 1987</sup> Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/87 \$A2.00 + 0.00

other functions, the classical independence condition characterizes other distributions, for example the Cauchy or the double exponential distribution.

In Theorem 3 the Maxwell characterization is refined in another way. Let X be a k-dimensional random vector,  $k \ge 2$ . If there is some  $n \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$  such that for any n-dimensional linear subspace H of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and its orthogonal complement  $H^{\perp}$  the projected random vectors  $p_H(X)$  and  $p_{H^{\perp}}(X)$  are independent, then  $X \sim N_k(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and some  $\sigma^2 \ge 0$ . It is not assumed that X is rotationally symmetric.

Furthermore Section II contains the following result. Let  $1 \le n < k$ . If X has a density  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , and for some *n*-dimensional subspace H of  $\mathbb{R}^k$   $p_H(X)$  has a density  $f_H$  of the same functional form as that of X, that is,

$$f_H(x) = C_H \tilde{f}(|x|), \qquad x \in H,$$

 $(C_H \text{ some constant})$ , then X is normal (Theorem 2). All three results generalize the Maxwell characterization in different directions. While Theorem 1 integrates the classical statement into a whole class of characterizations, in the other two theorems the restriction to one-dimensional projections is dropped. In Section III we exhibit an interesting relation between the standard normal distribution  $N := N_k(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$  and the geometry of euclidean space indicating that N plays a similar role for  $\mathbb{R}^k$  as the surface measure for the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$ . The result is expressed by a "variational inequality" resembling the isoperimetric property of  $S^{k-1}$ . This property can be expressed in the following way: Among all compact subsets K of  $S^{k-1}$  for which the normalized surface measure  $\mu_k(K)$  is equal to some fixed  $c \in [0, 1]$  the geodesic balls have minimal Minkowski surface. Here the Minkowski surface O(A) of a Borel measurable set  $A \subset S^{k-1}$  is defined by

(1) 
$$O(A) := \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \left( \mu_k \left( U_{k,\delta}^{\Delta}(A) - \mu_k(A) \right) \right) / \delta,$$

where  $U_{k,\delta}^{\Delta}(A)$  denotes the closed geodesic  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of A with respect to  $S^{k-1}$ . The isoperimetric property is a corollary of the following fundamental relation: If H is a geodesic ball and K is compact, then for all  $\delta > 0$ 

(2) 
$$\mu_{k}(H) \leq \mu_{k}(K) \Rightarrow \mu_{k}(U_{k,\delta}^{\Delta}(H)) \leq \mu_{k}(U_{k,\delta}^{\Delta}(K)).$$

The first proof of (2) was given in [8], it has then been simplified in [1]. A very short and elegant more recent proof can be found in [2].

The implication (2) will be seen to hold for N in an analogous form. If  $\mu_k$  is replaced by N, the geodesic neighbourhoods by euclidean ones and H now denotes a half space in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then (2) remains valid. It is not known (to the author), whether N is the only probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with this "isoperimetric" property. This would yield a new type of characterization of N quite different from the classical ones. The result is derived by projection techniques similar to those applied in Section II.

# II. A characterization of $N(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$

Let  $k \ge 2$ . Our first theorem generalizes the following result: If  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_k)'$  has a characteristic function of the form F(|x|) and independent components, then  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$ . The role of  $|\cdot|$  will be played by an arbitrary function of the type  $h(|x_1|) + \cdots + h(|x_k|)$ , where  $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is continuous, strictly increasing and h(0) = 0.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $h: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be continuous and bijective and let the k-dimensional random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_k)'$  have a density (or characteristic function) f of the form

(3)  $f(x) = F(h(|x_1|) + \cdots + h(|x_k|)), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)' \in \mathbb{R}^k,$ 

for some measurable function  $F: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  are independent, if and only if

(4) 
$$f(x) = \beta \exp\left\{-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{k} h(|x_i|)\right\}$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$  be the densities (resp. characteristic functions) of  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  and  $\tilde{f} := F \circ h$ . The independence of  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  is equivalent to

(5) 
$$f_1(x_1)\cdots f_k(x_k) = \tilde{f}\left(h^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h(|x_i|)\right)\right)$$

If  $f_i(0) = 0$  for some *i*, (5) and the conditions on *h* imply  $f \equiv 0$  and thus  $f \equiv 0$  which is impossible. So we obtain by taking  $x_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$  in (5)

(6) 
$$f_i(x_i) = c_i \tilde{f}(|x_i|), \quad i = 1, ..., k,$$

for some constants  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$ . Inserting (6) into (5) yields

(7) 
$$\tilde{f}(|x_1|)\cdots\tilde{f}(|x_k|)=C\tilde{f}\left(h^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h(|x_i|)\right)\right).$$

If we take  $u_i = h(|x_i|)$ , we arrive at

(8)  $F(u_1)\cdots F(u_k)=CF(u_1+\cdots+u_k), \quad u_1,\ldots,u_k\in [0,\infty).$ 

The only measurable solution of (8) is given by  $F(u) = \beta e^{-\alpha u}$ , where  $\beta = C^{1/(k-1)}$ and  $\alpha$  is a complex constant. If f is a characteristic function, it follows from f(0) = 1 that  $\beta = 1$ . Since f(x) = f(-x), f is real-valued, and from  $|f| \le 1$  we can conclude that  $\alpha \ge 0$ . If f is a density,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$  follows from  $f \ge 0$  and  $\int f dx = 1$ .

EXAMPLES. (i) Let  $h(t) := |t|^p$  for some p > 0. Then  $f(x) = \beta \exp\{-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^p\}$ . The normal case comes out for p = 2.

(ii) Let  $h(t) := \log(1 + |t|^p)$ , p > 0. Then we get  $f(x) = \beta [\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + |x_i|^p)]^{-\alpha}$ . The Cauchy distribution belongs to this class. We now prove two theorems on  $N_k(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$  which generalize the Maxwell characterization in different ways. The following notation is used: For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda^n$ is the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure,  $\mathfrak{B}^n$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $p_H$ denotes the projection on the linear subspace H of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $H^{\perp}$  the orthogonal complement of H in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . For a probability measure P on  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathfrak{B}^k)P_H$  is the projection  $Pp_H^{-1}$  of P.

THEOREM 2. Let P be a k-dimensional rotationally symmetric distribution with density  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(|x|)$ . If a projection  $P_H$  of P on some lower-dimensional linear subspace H has a density  $f_H$  of the same functional form as P, that is,  $f_H(x) = C\tilde{f}(|x|)$  for some normalizing constant C, then P is normal.

This result is somewhat surprising, if one notes that for *all* rotationally symmetric P the characteristic function  $\phi_H$  of  $P_H$  is of the same functional form as that of P ( $\phi_H(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(|\lambda|)$ , where  $\zeta \to \tilde{\phi}(|\zeta|)$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , is the characteristic function of P).

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** We can assume that P has a continuous density f, since otherwise we can consider the convolution  $P * N_k(0, I_k)$  and then use the proof below to show that  $P * N_k(0, I_k) = N_k(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$  for some  $\sigma^2 \ge 0$  which implies that  $\sigma^2 \ge 1$  and  $P = N_k(0, (\sigma^2 - 1)I_k)$ . Thus let f and, consequently, also  $\tilde{f}$  be continuous. Let  $H_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k | x_j = 0, j > n\}$ .  $p_{H_n}(X)$  has the continuous density  $f_{H_n}$  given by

(8) 
$$f_{H_n}(x) = \frac{2\pi^{(k-n)/2}}{\Gamma((k-n)/2)} \int_{|x|}^{\infty} c(c^2 - |x|^2)^{(k-n-2)/2} \tilde{f}(c) dc$$

(see the remark following this proof). There are continuous functions  $\tilde{f}_{H_n}$ ,  $\tilde{f}_{H_n^{\perp}}$ :  $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  such that  $f_{H_n}(x) = \tilde{f}_{H_n}(|x|)$ ,  $f_{H_n^{\perp}}(x) = \tilde{f}_{H_n^{\perp}}(|x|)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Now for t > 0 we have  $\tilde{f}(t) = \tilde{f}_{H_n}(0)\tilde{f}_{H_n^{\perp}}(t)$ . Further, if we set  $m := \tilde{f}_{H_n}(0)$ , we obtain

(9) 
$$\tilde{f}(t) = m\tilde{f}_{H_n^{\perp}}(t) = m\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{f}(\sqrt{t^2 + |x|^2})\lambda^n(dx)$$
$$= m^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{f}_{H_n^{\perp}}(\sqrt{t^2 + |x|^2})\lambda^n(dx)$$
$$= m^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{f}(\sqrt{t^2 + |x|^2})\lambda^n(dx^{(1)})\lambda^n(dx^{(2)})$$
$$= m^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \tilde{f}(\sqrt{t^2 + |x|^2})\lambda^{2n}(dx)$$
$$= \cdots = m^j \int_{\mathbf{R}^{/n}} \tilde{f}(\sqrt{t^2 + |x|^2})\lambda^{jn}(dx)$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $Q_j$  be the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{(j+1)n}$  with density  $y \to C_j \tilde{f}(|y|), y \in \mathbb{R}^{(j+1)n}$ , where  $C_j$  is some normalizing constant. By (9), P can be expressed as a projection of  $Q_j$ . Since this property of P holds for arbitrarily large j, it follows from Theorem 2 of [3], p. 394, that P is a variance mixture of the normal distributions  $N_k(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$ . Hence f can be written as

(10) 
$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty (2\pi)^{-k/2} \sigma^{-k} e^{-|x|^2/2\sigma^2} \alpha(d\sigma), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^k,$$

for some probability measure  $\alpha$  on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Inserting (10) into the first line of (9) one obtains

(11) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-k/2} \sigma^{-k} e^{-|x|^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} \alpha(d\sigma)$$
$$= m \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} (2\pi)^{-k/2} \sigma^{-k} e^{-(|x|^{2}+|y|^{2})/2\sigma^{2}} \lambda^{n}(dy) \alpha(d\sigma)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} m (2\pi)^{(n-k)/2} \sigma^{n-k} e^{-|x|^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} \alpha(d\sigma).$$

Next we have to note that the mapping  $f \to \alpha$  is one-to-one: If one defines the probability measure  $\overline{\alpha}(0, t] := \alpha(0, \sqrt{t}]$ , the Laplace transform of  $\overline{\alpha}$  coincides with the characteristic function  $\phi$  of f, since

(12) 
$$\phi(u) = \int_0^\infty e^{-|u|^2 \sigma^2/2} \alpha(d\sigma) = \int_0^\infty e^{-|u|^2 \sigma/2} \overline{\alpha}(d\sigma)$$

But  $\alpha$  is determined by  $\overline{\alpha}$ , and  $\overline{\alpha}$  by its Laplace transform which can be computed from f.

Hence the measures  $\alpha(d\sigma)$  and  $m(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^n\alpha(d\sigma)$  are equal which is possible only if  $\alpha$  is the point mass in  $(2\pi)^{-1/2}m^{-1/n}$ . By (10) it is now seen that

(13) 
$$f(x) = m^{k/n} e^{-|x|^2 m^{2/n} \pi}$$

The proof is now complete.

**REMARK.** Equation (8) follows easily from the results of Eaton [3]. He proved that if X is rotationally symmetric, then X/|X| and |X| are independent, and  $p_{H_{c}}(X/|X|)$  has the density

(14) 
$$g_{k,n}(u) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\pi^{n/2}\Gamma((k-n)/2)} \left(1 - |u|^2\right)^{(k-n-2)/2} \mathbb{1}_{(-1,1)}(|u|)$$

for  $u \in H_n$ . Hence,

(15) 
$$P\left(p_{H_n}(X) \leq t\right) = \int P\left(p_{H_n}(X) \leq t \mid |X| = c\right) P\left(|X| \in dc\right)$$
$$= \int P\left(p_{H_n}(X/|X|) \leq \frac{t}{c}\right) \nu(dc),$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\nu(a, b] := P\{x | |x| \in (a, b]\}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Inserting (15) into (14) shows that  $p_{H_a}(X)$  has the density

(16) 
$$f_{H_n}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma((k-n)/2)} \int_{|x|}^{\infty} c^{-n} \left(1 - \frac{|x|^2}{c^2}\right)^{(k-n-2)/2} \nu(dc).$$

(8) now follows, because  $\nu$  has the density

(17) 
$$(2\pi^{k/2}/\Gamma(k/2))c^{k-1}\tilde{f}(c)1_{(0,\infty)}(c), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Formula (15) incidentally shows that  $f_{H_n}$  is non-increasing, if  $k - n \ge 2$ , as has been shown in [3], Proposition 1. However this is not true for k - n = 1 (a case not excluded in [3]), as can be seen by the example of the uniform distribution on  $S^1$ . Then

(18) 
$$f_{H_1}(u) = 1/\pi (1-u^2)^{1/2}, \quad -1 < u < 1.$$

The final theorem of this section shows that a "Maxwell characterization" of  $N_k(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$  is possible without the assumption of rotational symmetry.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a k-dimensional random vector for which there exists a  $n \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$  such that  $p_H(X)$  and  $p_{H^{\perp}}(X)$  are independent for each n-dimensional linear subspace H of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $X \sim N_k(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\sigma^2 \ge 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let P be the distribution of X. We have to show that P is rotationally symmetric, for then Theorem 2 can be applied.

If the result holds for all symmetric probability measures Q (satisfying Q(B) = Q(-B) for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}^k$ ), it is true in general. For if we define  $\overline{P}(B) := P(-B)$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{B}^k$ , the convolution  $\tilde{P} = P * \overline{P}$  of P and  $\overline{P}$  clearly fulfils the assumptions of the theorem and is symmetric so that we have  $\tilde{P} = N_k(0, \sigma^2 I_k)$  for some  $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$ . Then the multivariate version of Cramèr's theorem ([9], page 46) implies that  $P = N_k(\mu, \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 I_k)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Hence we can assume that P is symmetric. We show that in this case P is even rotationally symmetric. It suffices to prove that, if  $\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  satisfy  $|\lambda| = |\tilde{\lambda}|$ , then  $\phi(\lambda) = \phi(\tilde{\lambda})$ , where  $\phi$  is the characteristic function of P. We can choose an orthonormal basis  $e^1, \ldots, e^k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, \ldots, 0)'$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (-\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, \ldots, 0)'$  are the coordinate representations of  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  with respect to this basis. Let H be the span of  $e^2, \ldots, e^{n+1}$ . Then clearly  $p_H(\lambda) = (0, \lambda_2, 0, \ldots, 0)' = p_H(\tilde{\lambda})$  and  $p_{H^{\perp}}(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, 0, \ldots, 0)' = -p_{H^{\perp}}(\tilde{\lambda})$ . Now it follows from the assumptions that

(19) 
$$\phi(\lambda) = \phi(p_H(\lambda))\phi(p_{H^{\perp}}(\lambda)) = \phi(p_H(\tilde{\lambda}))\phi(-p_{H^{\perp}}(\tilde{\lambda}))$$
$$= \phi(p_H(\tilde{\lambda}))\phi(p_{H^{\perp}}(\tilde{\lambda})) = \phi(\tilde{\lambda}).$$

# III. A variational inequality for N

Our final aim is to prove the inequality for N announced in the introduction: Among all Borel sets B of given N-measure the half spaces minimize the N-measure of their euclidean  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This result indicates a similarity between the relation of  $S^{k-1}$  to its surface measure and that of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  to the standard normal distribution.

Let  $\mu_k$  be the normalized surface area of  $S^{k-1}$ . In the following  $\mu_k$  is considered as a measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathfrak{B}^k)$  concentrated on  $S^{k-1}$  by setting  $\mu_k(B) := \mu_k(B \cap S^{k-1})$ . We first note that the conclusion (2) in Section I remains valid if K is replaced by an arbitrary  $B \in \mathfrak{B}^k$ , because for all  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ 

(20) 
$$\mu_{k}\left(U_{k,\epsilon'}^{\Delta}(H)\right) \leq \mu_{k}\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k} | \exists x \in \operatorname{cl}(B) : |x - y| < \epsilon\right\}$$
$$= \mu_{k}\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k} | \exists x \in B : |x - y| < \epsilon\right\}$$
$$\leq \mu_{k}\left\{U_{k,\epsilon}^{\Delta}(B \cap S^{k-1})\right\}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon' \uparrow \varepsilon$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}^k$  and  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k | x'x^0 \leq a\}, a \in \mathbb{R}, x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Then we have

(21) 
$$N(H) \leq N(B) \Rightarrow N(H^{\epsilon}) \leq N(B^{\epsilon})$$
 for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**PROOF.** By  $p_{nk}$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$  we denote the projection  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)' \to (x_1, \ldots, x_k)'$ , n > k. Let  $\mu_{n,c}$  be the uniform distribution on  $cS^{k-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid |x| = c\}$  (also considered as a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ). By (18) we immediately obtain

(22) 
$$\mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} p_{nk}^{-1} \to N$$
 in total variation, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

because the corresponding sequence of densities converges pointwise to the density of N. Without loss of generality we assume  $x^0 = (1, 0, ..., 0)'$  and consequently  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k | x_1 \leq a\}$ . Let  $\Delta_{n,c}$  be the geodesic metric on  $cS^{n-1}$  and  $U^{\Delta}_{n,c,\epsilon}(B)$  be the closed  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood with respect to  $\Delta_{n,c}$ . Then it is seen that

(23) 
$$U^{\Delta}_{n,\sqrt{n},\epsilon}\left(\sqrt{n}\,S^{n-1}\cap p_{nk}^{-1}(H)\right) = p_{nk}^{-1}\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{k}\,|\,x_{1}\leqslant a+\epsilon_{n}\right\}\right)\cap\sqrt{n}\,S^{n-1},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  satisfy the equation

(24) 
$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{n} \left( \arccos\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \arccos\left(\frac{a+\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right).$$

The Taylor expansion of arccos yields

$$\varepsilon = (1 - \xi_n^2)^{-1/2} \varepsilon_n$$
 for some  $\xi_n \in [a/\sqrt{n}, (a + \varepsilon_n)/\sqrt{n}].$ 

From this it is seen that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon$ . By (22) for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$(25) \quad \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( U_{n,\sqrt{n},\varepsilon}^{\Delta} \left( \sqrt{n} S^{n-1} \cap p_{nk}^{-1}(H) \right) \right) \\ = \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( p_{nk}^{-1} \left\{ \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \mid x_{1} \leq a + \varepsilon_{n} \right\} \right) \right) \\ \in \left[ N \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \mid x_{1} \leq a + \varepsilon - \delta \right\}, N \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \mid x_{1} \leq a + \varepsilon + \delta \right\} \right].$$

From (25) it follows that

(26) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( U^{\Delta}_{n,\sqrt{n},\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{n} \, S^{n-1} \cap p_{nk}^{-1}(H) \right) \right) = N(H^{\varepsilon})$$

Further from  $|x - y| \leq \Delta_{n,\sqrt{n}}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \sqrt{n} S^{n-1}$  we can conclude that

(27) 
$$U^{\Delta}_{n,\sqrt{n},\epsilon}\left(p^{-1}_{nk}(B)\right) \subset p^{-1}_{nk}(B^{\epsilon}) \cap \sqrt{n} S^{n-1}.$$

Set  $H_b := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k | x_1 \leq b\}$ . Under the supposition of (21) (22) shows that for each b < a there is a  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

(28) 
$$\mu_{n,\sqrt{n}}\left(p_{nk}^{-1}(H_b)\right) \leq \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}}\left(p_{nk}^{-1}(B)\right) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1.$$

The isoperimetric inequality (2), when applied to  $\sqrt{n} S^{n-1}$ , yields for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \ge n_1$ 

(29) 
$$\mu_{n,\sqrt{n}}\left(U_{n,\sqrt{n},\varepsilon}^{\Delta}\left(p_{nk}^{-1}(H_{b})\cap\sqrt{n}\,S^{n-1}\right)\right) \leq \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}}\left(U_{n,\sqrt{n},\varepsilon}^{\Delta}\left(p_{nk}^{-1}(B)\cap\sqrt{n}\,S^{n-1}\right)\right).$$

Further we have (again by (22))

(30) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_{n,\sqrt{n}}\left(p_{nk}^{-1}(B^{\epsilon})\right)=N(B^{\epsilon}).$$

Finally combining (26) (applied to  $H_b$ ), (24), (27) and (30) we obtain

(31) 
$$N(H_b^{\epsilon}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( U_{n,\sqrt{n},\epsilon}^{\Delta} \left( p_{nk}^{-1}(H_b) \cap \sqrt{n} S^{n-1} \right) \right)$$
$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( U_{n,\sqrt{n},\epsilon}^{\Delta} \left( p_{nk}^{-1}(B) \cap \sqrt{n} S^{n-1} \right) \right)$$
$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n,\sqrt{n}} \left( p_{nk}^{-1}(B^{\epsilon}) \right) = N(B^{\epsilon}).$$

 $b \uparrow a$  yields the conclusion of (21).

Whether N is the only distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  which satisfies (21) is an open question.

## References

- A. Dinghas, 'Einfacher Beweis der isoperimetrischen Eigenschaft der Kugel in Riemannschen Räumen konstanter Krümmung', Math. Nachr. 2 (1949), 148–162.
- [2] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Milman, 'The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies', Acta Math. 139 (1977), 53-94.
- [3] M. L. Eaton, 'On the projections of isotropic distributions', Ann. Statist. 9 (1981), 391-400.
- [4] M. Fisz, Probability theory and mathematical statistics, (Wiley, New York-London, 1963).
- [5] J. Lord, 'The use of Hankel transforms in statistics I', Biometrika 41 (1954), 44-55.
- [6] A. M. Mathai and G. Pederzoli, *Characterizations of the normal probability law*, (Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi-Bangalore-Bombay, 1977).
- [7] W. H. Olson and V. R. Rao Uppuluri, 'Characterization of the distribution of a random matrix by rotational invariance', Sankhyā A 32 (1970), 325–328.
- [8] E. Schmidt, 'Die Brunn-Minkowski-Ungleichung', Math. Nachr. 1 (1948), 81-157.
- [9] M. S. Srivastava and C. G. Khatri, An introduction to multivariate statistics, (North-Holland, New York-Oxford, 1979).

Fachbereich Mathematik Universität Osnabrück Albrechtstrasse 28 West Germany