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#### Abstract

Let $S$ be a regular semigroup and $D(S)$ its associated category as defined in Loganathan (1981). We introduce in this paper the notion of an extension of a $D(S)$-module $A$ by $S$ and show that the set $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ of equivalence classes of extensions of $A$ by $S$ forms an abelian group under a Baer sum. We also study the functorial properties of $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$.


1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): $\mathbf{2 0}$ M 50.

## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a regular semigroup and $D(S)$ its associated category (see Section 2 for the definition of $D(S)$ ). By a $D(S)$-module we mean a functor $A: D(S) \rightarrow A b$ from $D(S)$ to the category of abelian groups and by a $D(S)$-homomorphism a natural transformation between such functors.

Let $\pi: T \rightarrow S$ be an idempotent-separating homomorphism from a regular semigroup $T$ onto $S$. If the kernel of $\pi$ is abelian then the kernel can be viewed as a $D(S)$-module Ker $\pi: D(S) \rightarrow A b$. This suggests the following definition: An extension of a $D(S)$-module $A$ by $S$ is a triple ( $T, \pi, i$ ) consisting of a regular semigroup $T$, an idempotent-separating surjective homomorphism of regular semigroups $\pi: T \rightarrow S$ with abelian kernel and an isomorphism $i: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi$ of $D(S)$-modules. Let $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of extensions of $A$ by $S$. We define an addition in $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ and show that it makes $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ an abelian group. We also study the functorial properties of $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$.

[^0]The cohomological interpretation of $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ will be given in another paper. Here we content ourselves with the following remarks. (For details see Loganathan (1981).) When $G$ is a group the category $D(G)$ reduces to the group $G$ itself and in this case our results are well known and classical. When $S$ is an inverse semigroup then the concept of the $D(S)$-module is equivalent to that of the $S$-module as defined by Lausch (1975). Consequently, in the case of semi-lattices of groups, our results turn out to be equivalent to those obtained by Sribala (1977). For regular semigroups the category $D(S)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathscr{D}(S)$ introduced by Leech (1975). In particular, the type of extensions considered in this paper is a special case of the $\mathfrak{K}$-coextensions studied by Leech.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $S$ be a regular semigroup and $E(S)$ its set of idempotents. For $x \in S$, we denote by

$$
V(x)=\left\{x^{\prime} \in S \mid x x^{\prime} x=x \text { and } x^{\prime} x x^{\prime}=x^{\prime}\right\}
$$

the set of inverses of $x$ in $S$. If $x^{\prime} \in V(x)$ then $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ will be called a regular pair in $S$. For $e, f \in E(S)$, we denote by

$$
S(e, f)=\{h \in E(S) \mid h e=h=f h \text { and } e h f=e f\}
$$

the sandwich set of $e$ and $f$ (Nambooripad (1979)).
If $\rho$ is a congruence on $S$ then the kernel of $\rho$ is the set of $\rho$-classes which contain idempotents of $S$. By the kernel of a homomorphism we mean the kernel of its associated congruence. If $\rho$ is idempotent-separating then, since $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}$, for each $e \in E(S)$ the $\rho$-class $N_{e}$ containing the idempotent $e$ is a subgroup of $S$. The kernel of an idempotent-separating congruence $\rho$ is called abelian if the groups $N_{e}$ are abelian.

A set $N=\left\{N_{e} \mid e \in E(S)\right\}$, of subgroups of $S$, is called a group kernel normal system if the following hold
(i) $e \in N_{e}$ for each $e \in E(S)$;
(ii) $a f=f a$ for each $a \in N_{e}$ and $f \leqslant e, f \in E(S)$;
(iii) $x^{\prime} N_{e} x \subseteq N_{x^{\prime} x}$ for each regular pair ( $x, x^{\prime}$ ) of $S$ with $x x^{\prime} \leqslant e$.

Let $N=\left\{N_{e} \mid e \in E(S)\right\}$ be a group kernel normal system of $S$. We denote by $\rho_{N}$ the relation on $S$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid \text { for some } x^{\prime} \in V(x) \text { and } y^{\prime} \in V(y)\right. \\
& \left.\qquad x x^{\prime}=y y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} x=y^{\prime} y \text { and } y^{\prime} x \in N_{x^{\prime} x}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lallement (1967), Theorem 3.11, $\rho_{N}$ is an idempotent-separating congruence on $S$ with $N$ as its kernel.

Next we recall the definition of the category $D(S)$ introduced in Loganathan (1981).

Let $S$ be a regular semigroup. Let $C(S)$ denote the category whose objects are the idempotents of $S$, where a morphism from the idempotent $e$ to the idempotent $f$ is a triple $\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ a regular pair in $S$ satisfying $x x^{\prime} \leqslant e$, $x^{\prime} x=f$. Composition is defined by $\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime} x, y, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(e, x y, y^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right)$. Composition is clearly associative and $(e, e, e)$ is the identity morphism at the object $e$.

On $C(S)$ define a relation $\rho$ as follows. If $\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(e, y, y^{\prime}\right): e \rightarrow f$ are morphisms from $e$ to $f$ then

$$
\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(e, y, y^{\prime}\right) \text { if and only if } x=y \text { or } x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}
$$

The relation $\rho$ is clearly reflexive and symmetric and compatible with composition. Hence $\rho^{\prime}$, the transitive closure of $\rho$, is a congruence on $C(S)$. We write $D(S)$ for the quotient category $C(S) / \rho^{t}$. If $\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphism of $C(S)$, we shall write $\left[e, x, x^{\prime}\right]$ for the image of $\left(e, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in $D(S)$. Let $\theta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of regular semigroups. Then the maps $e \mapsto e \theta,\left[e, x, x^{\prime}\right] \mapsto$ $\left[e \theta, x \theta, x^{\prime} \theta\right]$, define a functor $D(\theta): D\left(S^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow D(S)$.

Definition 2.1. A map $x \mapsto x^{*}: S \rightarrow S$ is called an inverse map if (i) $x^{*} \in$ $V(x)$ for each $x \in S$; (ii) $x^{*} \in H_{e}$ if $x \in H_{e}$. In particular, if $e \in E(S)$ then $e^{*}=e$.

Let $S$ be a regular semigroup with an inverse map $x \mapsto x^{*}$. For $x, y \in S$, we denote by $K_{x y}$ the morphism

$$
\left[y^{*} y, y^{*} y(x y)^{*} x y,(x y)^{*} x y\right]: y^{*} y \rightarrow(x y)^{*} x y
$$

and by $J_{x y}$ the morphism

$$
\left[x^{*} x, x^{*} x y,(x y)^{*} x h\right]: x^{*} x \rightarrow(x y)^{*} x y
$$

where $h$ is any element of $S\left(x^{*} x, y y^{*}\right)$. Clearly $J_{x_{y} y}$ does not depend on the choice of $h$ and hence it is well-defined.

Lemma 2.2. For $x, y, z \in S$, we have
(i) $J_{x, y} J_{x y, z}=J_{x y z}$;
(ii) $K_{y, z} K_{x y z}=K_{x y, z}$;
(iii) $K_{x, y} J_{x y, z}=J_{y, z} K_{x, y z}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are easy to verify and (iii) also follows by observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{x, y} J_{x y, z} & =\left[y^{*} y, y^{*} y(x y)^{*} x y z,(x y z)^{*} x y h\right] \\
& =\left[y^{*} y, y^{*} y z(x y z)^{*} x y z,(x y z)^{*} x y h\right] \\
& =\left[y^{*} y, y^{*} y z(x y z)^{*} x y z,(x y z)^{*} x y k\right] \\
& =J_{y, z} K_{x, y z},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h, k$ are any elements of $S\left((x y)^{*} x y, z z^{*}\right)$ and $S\left(y^{*} y, z z^{*}\right)$ respectively.

## 3. The group $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$

Let $T, S$ be regular semigroups and let $\pi: T \rightarrow S$ be an idempotent-separating homomorphism from $T$ onto $S$. For $e \in E(S)$, we denote by (Ker $\pi)_{e}$ the group $\{t \in T \mid t \pi=e\}$. For each morphism [ $\left.h, t, t^{\prime}\right]: h \mapsto k$ of $D(T)$ we define

$$
K\left(\left[h, t, t^{\prime}\right]\right):(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{h \pi} \rightarrow(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{k \pi}
$$

by $a K\left(\left[h, t, t^{\prime}\right]\right)=t^{\prime} a t, a \in(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{h \pi}$. It is easy to see that $K\left(\left[h, t, t^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is welldefined and that it is a homomorphism of groups. Therefore the maps

$$
h \mapsto(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{h \pi}, \quad\left[h, t, t^{\prime}\right] \mapsto K\left(\left[h, t, t^{\prime}\right]\right)
$$

define a functor $K$ from $D(T)$ to the category of groups.
Suppose now that the kernel of $\pi$ is abelian, that is, the groups (Ker $\pi)_{e}$, $e \in E(S)$, are abelian. Then $K: D(T) \rightarrow A b$ induces a $D(S)$-module Ker $\pi$ : $D(S) \rightarrow A b$ such that the diagram

is commutative. By assigning to each regular pair $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in $S$ a regular pair $\left(\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{1},\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{2}\right)$ in $T$ such that $\left(\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{1} \pi,\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{2} \pi\right)=\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, we see that Ker $\pi$ can be described, more explicitly, as the $D(S)$-module which associates to each object $e$ of $D(S)$ the group (Ker $\pi)_{e}$ and to each morphism $\left[e, x, x^{\prime}\right]: e \rightarrow f$ the homomorphism Ker $\pi\left(\left[e, x, x^{\prime}\right]\right):(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{e} \rightarrow(\text { Ker } \pi)_{f}$, given by

$$
\text { (a) Ker } \pi\left(\left[e, x, x^{\prime}\right]\right)=\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{2} a\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) j_{1}, \quad a \in(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{e}
$$

Motivated by the above observation we introduce the following

Definition 3.1. Let $S$ be a regular semigroup and $A$ a $D(S)$-module. An extension of $A$ by $S$ is a triple $E=(T, \pi, i)$ consisting of a regular semigroup $T$, an idempotent-separating surjective homomorphism $\pi: T \rightarrow S$ such that the kernel of $\pi$ is abelian, and an isomorphism of $D(S)$-modules $i: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi$.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
T^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} & S^{\prime} \\
\downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \theta \\
T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S
\end{array}
$$

of homomorphisms of regular semigroups such that $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ are idempotentseparating surjective homomorphisms with abelian kernel. Let $D(\theta)(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)$ be the composite

$$
D\left(S^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{D(\theta)} D(S) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ker} \pi} A b .
$$

Then $\mu$ induces a homomorphism $\phi_{\mu}: \operatorname{Ker} \pi^{\prime} \rightarrow D(\theta)(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)$ of $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$-modules such that

$$
\left.\phi_{\mu}\right|_{\left(\operatorname{Ker} \pi^{\prime}\right)_{e}}=\left.\mu\right|_{\left(\operatorname{Ker} \pi^{\prime}\right)_{e}}:\left(\operatorname{Ker} \pi^{\prime}\right)_{e} \rightarrow D(\theta)(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{e}=(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)_{e \theta} .
$$

Let $E^{\prime}=\left(T^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ be an extension of $A^{\prime}$ by $S^{\prime}$ and $E=(T, \pi, i)$ an extension of $A$ by $S$. A morphism $\Gamma: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ of extensions is a triple $\Gamma=(\phi, \mu, \theta)$ consisting of homomorphisms $\mu: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T, \theta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ of regular semigroups and a homomorphism $\phi: A^{\prime} \rightarrow D(\theta) A$ of $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$-modules, such that: $\mu \pi=\pi^{\prime} \theta$ and $\phi D(\theta) i=i^{\prime} \phi_{\mu}$, where

$$
D(\theta) i: D(\theta) A \rightarrow D(\theta)(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)
$$

is the homomorphism of $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$-modules induced by $i: A \rightarrow$ Ker $\pi$. We say two extensions $E^{\prime}=\left(T^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right), E=(T, \pi, i)$ of $A$ by $S$ are equivalent if there exists a homomorphism (necessarily an isomorphism) $\mu: \quad T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ such that $\left(\mathrm{Id}_{A}, \mu, \mathrm{Id}_{S}\right): E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of extensions. We call $\mu$ an equivalence of extensions. We denote by $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ the equivalence classes of extensions of $A$ by $S$ and by $[E]$ the equivalence class containing the extension $E=(T, \pi, i)$.

Definition 3.2. Let $S$ be a regular semigroup and $A$ a $D(S)$-module. The semi-direct product of $S$ and $A$ with respect to an inverse map $x \mapsto x^{*}: S \rightarrow S$ is the regular semigroup

$$
S \times A=\left\{(x, a) \mid x \in S, a \in A_{x^{*} x}\right\}
$$

with the multiplication given by

$$
(x, a)(y, b)=\left(x y, a A\left(J_{x, y}\right)+b A\left(K_{x y}\right)\right)
$$

Associativity of the multiplication follows from Lemma 2.2. The set $E(S \times A)$ of idempotents of $S \times A$ is $\left\{\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \in S \times A \mid e \in E(S)\right\}$ and if $(x, a) \in S \times A$ then

$$
V\left((x, a)=\left\{\left(y,(-a) A\left(\left[x^{*} x, x^{*} x y, y^{*} y x\right]\right)\right) \in S \times A \mid y \in V(x)\right\}\right.
$$

Suppose now that $S \times A$ is the semi-direct product of $S$ and $A$ with respect to an inverse map $x \mapsto x^{*}$. Then the projection $\pi_{0}: S \times A \rightarrow S$, defined by $(x, a) \pi_{0}$ $=x$, and the isomorphism $i_{0}: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{0}$, given by $(a) i_{0}=(e, a), a \in A_{e}$, $e \in E(S)=\mathrm{Ob}(D(S))$, of $D(S)$-modules define an extension, denoted $E_{0}=(S$ $\times A, \pi_{0}, i_{0}$, of $A$ by $S$. Also, there is a homomorphism $\nu_{0}: S \rightarrow S \times A$, given by $(x) \nu_{0}=\left(x, 0_{x^{*} x}\right)$, which satisfies $\nu_{0} \pi_{0}=\mathrm{Id}_{S}$.

We call an extension $E=(T, \pi, i)$ of $A$ by $S$ split if there exists a homomorphism $\nu: S \rightarrow T$ such that $\nu \pi=\mathrm{Id}_{S}$; the homomorphism $\nu$ is then called a splitting. For example $E_{0}$ is a split extension with a splitting $\nu_{0}$.

If $E=(T, \pi, i)$ is a split extension of $A$ by $S$ with a splitting $\nu$ then the map $\mu$ : $S \times A \rightarrow T$, given by $(x, a) \mu=(x \nu)(a i)$, is a homomorphism of regular semigroups and it is an equivalence of extensions. Conversely, if an extension $E$ is equivalent to $E_{0}$ then, obviously, it is a split extension. In other words, an extension $E$ of $A$ by $S$ is split if and only if it is equivalent to $E_{0}$. In particular, the equivalence class determined by $E_{0}$ does not depend on the particular choice of the inverse map $x \mapsto x^{*}$ used to define the multiplication in $S \times A$.

Let $E_{r}=\left(T_{r}, \pi_{r}, i_{r}\right), r=1,2$, be extensions of $A$ by $S$. Consider the regular subsemigroup

$$
T=\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in T_{1} \times T_{2} \mid t_{1} \pi_{1}=t_{2} \pi_{2}\right\}
$$

of $T_{1} \times T_{2}$. Since $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are idempotent-separating homomorphisms, the set $N=\left\{N_{e} \mid e \in E(S)\right\}$, where $N_{e}=\left\{\left((a) i_{1},(-a) i_{2}\right) \mid a \in A_{e}\right\}$, is a group kernel normal system of $T$. Write $T_{1}+T_{2}=T / \rho_{N}$ and denote the element of $T_{1}+T_{2}$ containing $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ by $\overline{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}$. It is easy to see that the map $\pi: T_{1}+T_{2} \rightarrow S$, given by $\overline{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} \pi=t_{1} \pi_{1}\left(=t_{2} \pi_{2}\right)$, is an idempotent-separating homomorphism from $T_{1}+T_{2}$ onto $S$. Also, it is easy to see that the map $i: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi$, defined by $(a) i=\overline{\left((a) i_{1},(0) i_{2}\right)}, a \in A_{e}, e \in E(S)$, is an isomorphism of $D(S)$-modules. Thus we obtain an extension, denoted $E_{1}+E_{2}=\left(T_{1}+T_{2}, \pi, i\right)$, of $A$ by $S$. We call $E_{1}+E_{2}$ the Baer sum of the extensions $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

Theorem 3.3. $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ is an abelian group under the operation $\left[E_{1}\right]+\left[E_{2}\right]=$ $\left[E_{1}+E_{2}\right]$. The zero element in the abelian group $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ is the equivalence class [ $E_{0}$ ] determined by the split extensions.

Proof. Commutativity of + . Let $E_{r}=\left(T_{r}, \pi_{r}, i_{r}\right), r=1,2$, be extensions of $A$ by $S$. Then the map $\mu: T_{1}+T_{2} \rightarrow T_{2}+T_{1}$, given by $\overline{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} \mu=\overline{\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right)}$, is easily seen to be an equivalence of extensions so that $\left[E_{1}\right]+\left[E_{2}\right]=\left[E_{1}+E_{2}\right]=\left[E_{2}\right]$ $+\left[E_{1}\right]$.

Associativity of + . Let $E_{r}=\left(T_{r}, \pi_{r}, i_{r}\right), r=1,2,3$, be extensions of $A$ by $S$. We have to show that $\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)+E_{3}$ is equivalent to $E_{1}+\left(E_{2}+E_{3}\right)$. This
follows by noting that the map $\mu:\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)+T_{3} \rightarrow T_{1}+\left(T_{2}+T_{3}\right)$, defined by

$$
\overline{\left(\overline{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}, t_{3}\right)} \mu=\overline{\left(t_{1}, \overline{\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)}\right)},
$$

is an equivalence of extensions.
Identity for + . Let $E=(T, \pi, i)$ be an extension of $A$ by $S$. Then the map $\mu$ : $T \rightarrow T+(S \times A)$, given by $t \mu=\overline{\left(t,\left(t \pi, 0_{(t \pi)}{ }_{t \pi}\right)\right)}$, is an equivalence of extensions between $E$ and $E+E_{0}$. Hence $[E]+\left[E_{0}\right]=\left[E+E_{0}\right]=[E]=\left[E_{0}\right]+$ [ $E$ ].

Inverse (relative to + ). Let $E=(T, \pi, i$ ) be an extension of $A$ by $S$. Denote by $-E=(T, \pi,-i)$ the extension obtained from $E$ by defining $a(-i)=(-a) i$, $a \in A$. We claim that $[-E]$ is the inverse of $[E]$. It clearly suffices to show that the extension $E+(-E)$ is split. Choose a map $j: S \rightarrow T$ such that $j \pi=\mathrm{Id}_{s}$. Define $\nu: S \rightarrow T+T$ by $(x) \nu=\overline{(x j, x j})$. It follows from the definition of $E+(-E)$ that the map $\nu$ is a homomorphism. Obviously $\nu \pi=\mathrm{Id}_{s}$. Hence $E+(-E)$ is a split extension. This completes the proof of the theorem

Next we will study the functorial properties of $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $E=(T, \pi, i)$ be an extension of $A$ by $S$ and let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of $D(S)$-modules. Then there is an extension $\phi E=\left(U, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ of $B$ by $S$ and a homomorphism $\mu: T \rightarrow U$ such that $\left(\phi, \mu, \mathrm{Id}_{S}\right): E \rightarrow \phi E$ is a morphism of extensions. The pair $(\phi E, \mu)$ is unique upto an equivalence.

Proof. Let $T \times B^{\prime}$ be the semi-direct product of $T$ and $B^{\prime}$ with respect to an inverse map $t \mapsto t^{*}: T \rightarrow T$, where $B^{\prime}$ denote the composite $D(T) \xrightarrow{D(\pi)} D(S) \xrightarrow{B} A b$. For $\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \in E\left(T \times B^{\prime}\right)$, let

$$
N_{\left(e, 0_{e}\right)}=\left\{((a) i,(-a) \phi) \in T \times B^{\prime} \mid a \in A_{e \pi}\right\}
$$

In view of the bijection $\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \leftrightarrow e$ between $E\left(T \times B^{\prime}\right)$ and $E(T)$, we denote the group $N_{\left(e, 0_{e}\right.}$ simply by $N_{e}$. We shall prove that $N=\left\{N_{e} \mid e \in E(T)\right\}$ is a group kernel normal system of $T \times B^{\prime}$.
(i) Clearly $\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \in N_{e}$, for each idempotent $\left(e, 0_{e}\right)$ of $T \times B^{\prime}$.
(ii) Suppose that $((a) i,(-a) \phi) \in N_{e}$ and let $\left(f, 0_{f}\right) \leqslant\left(e, 0_{e}\right)$. Then $f<e$ in $T$ so that $(a i) f=f(a i)$ and, by Definition $2.1(i i),((a i) f)^{*}=((-a) i) f$. It follows that

$$
((a) i,(-a) \phi)\left(f, 0_{f}\right)=\left(f, 0_{f}\right)((a) i,(-a) \phi) .
$$

(iii) Suppose that $((a) i,(-a) \phi) \in N_{e}$ and let

$$
\left((t, b),\left(u,(-b) B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*} t u, u^{*} u t\right]\right)\right)\right.
$$

be a regular pair in $T \times B^{\prime}$ such that

$$
(t, b)\left(u,(-b) B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*} t u, u^{*} u t\right]\right)\right)=\left(t u, 0_{t u}\right) \leqslant\left(e, 0_{e}\right) .
$$

Since $(u(a) i)^{*} u(a) i £ u^{*} u £ t u, t u \in S\left((u(a) i)^{*} u(a) i, t t^{*}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u,(-b) B^{\prime}( \right. & {\left.\left.\left[t^{*} t, t^{*} t u, u^{*} u t\right]\right)\right)((a) i,(-a) \phi)(t, b) } \\
= & \left(u(a i) t,(-b) B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*}(a i) t, u(u(a i))^{*} u t\right]\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(-a) \phi B^{\prime}([e, t, u])+b B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*} t, u t\right]\right)\right) \\
= & \left(u(a i) t,(-a) \phi B^{\prime}([e, t, u])\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*}(a i) t, u(u(a i))^{*} u t\right]\right)=B^{\prime}\left(\left[t^{*} t, t^{*} t, u t\right]\right)
$$

Now, by putting $a^{\prime}=a A([e \pi, t \pi, u \pi])$, we see that

$$
\left(u(a i) t,(-a) \phi B^{\prime}([e, t, u])\right)=\left(\left(a^{\prime}\right) i,\left(-a^{\prime}\right) \phi\right) \in N_{u t} .
$$

Hence $N=\left\{N_{e} \mid e \in E(T)\right\}$ is a group kernel normal system of $T \times B^{\prime}$.
Write $U=T \times B^{\prime} / \rho_{N}$. The composite $T \times B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}} T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ induces a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{\prime}: U \rightarrow S$, which is idempotent-separating. If we define $i^{\prime}$ : $B \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi^{\prime}$ by $(b) i^{\prime}=(e, b) \rho_{N}, b \in B_{e}^{\prime}$, then, clearly, $i^{\prime}$ is a monomorphism. It is also an epimorphism. For, if $\left((t, b) \rho_{N}\right) \pi^{\prime}=\left(\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \rho_{N}\right) \pi^{\prime}$, for some $\left(e, 0_{e}\right) \rho_{N} \in$ $E(U)$, then $t \pi=e \pi$ so that we can find an element $a \in A_{e \pi}$ such that $(a) i=t$. Hence $(t, b) \rho_{N}=(e,(a) \phi-b) \rho_{N}=((a) \phi-b) i^{\prime}$. Therefore $i^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of $D(S)$-modules. Consequently, $\left(U, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ is an extension of $B$ by $S$ which we denote by $\phi E$. Finally, we define $\mu: T \rightarrow U$ by $(t) \mu=\left(t, 0_{i^{*} t}\right) \rho_{N}$. It is easily seen that $\left(\phi, \mu, \mathrm{Id}_{s}\right): E \rightarrow \phi E$ is a morphism of extensions.

Suppose that $\left(\left(V, \pi^{\prime \prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right), \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is any other such pair. Then the map $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ : $T \times B^{\prime} \rightarrow V$, defined by $(t, a) \mu^{\prime \prime}=(t) \mu^{\prime}(a) i^{\prime \prime}$, is easily seen to be a homomorphism of regular semigroups. If $((a) i,(-a) \phi) \in N_{e}$ then $((a) i,(-a) \phi) \mu^{\prime \prime}=$ $(a i) \mu^{\prime}((-a) \phi) i^{\prime \prime}=(a \phi) i^{\prime \prime}((-a) \phi) i^{\prime \prime}=0$. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\mu}: U \rightarrow V$. Clearly $\bar{\mu}$ is an equivalence of extensions and satisfies the equation $\mu \bar{\mu}=\mu^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Proposition 3.5. Let $E=(T, \pi, i)$ be an extension of $A$ by $S$ and let $\theta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of regular semigroups. Let $D(\theta) A$ denote the composite $D\left(S^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{D(\theta)} D(S) \xrightarrow{A} A b$. Then there is an extension $E \theta=\left(T^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ of $D(\theta) A$ by $S^{\prime}$ and a homomorphism $\mu: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ of regular semigroups such that $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{D(\theta) A}, \mu, \theta\right)$ : $E \theta \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of extensions. The pair $(E \theta, \mu)$ is unique up to an equivalence.

Proof. Let $T^{\prime}=\left\{(x, t) \in S^{\prime} \times T \mid x \theta=t \pi\right\}$ be the regular subsemigroup of $S^{\prime} \times T$. The projection $\pi^{\prime}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, defined by $(x, t) \pi^{\prime}=x$, and the homomorphism $i^{\prime}: D(\theta) A \rightarrow$ Ker $\pi^{\prime}$ of $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$-modules, given by $(a) i^{\prime}=(e,(a) i), e \in$ $E\left(S^{\prime}\right), a \in(D(\theta) A)_{e}=A_{e \theta}$, define an extension $E \theta=\left(T^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$. If we define $\mu$ : $T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ by $(x, t) \mu=t,(x, t) \in T^{\prime}$, then, clearly $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{D(\theta) A}, \mu, \theta\right): E \theta \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of extensions.

Suppose that $\left(E^{\prime}=\left(T^{\prime \prime}, \pi^{\prime \prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right), \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is any other such pair. Then the homomorphism $\mu^{\prime}: T^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow T^{\prime}$, given by $(t) \mu^{\prime}=\left(t \pi^{\prime \prime}, t \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$, is an equivalence of extensions and satisfies the equation $\mu^{\prime} \mu=\mu^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $(E \theta, \mu)$ is unique up to an equivalence.

The next result is immediate from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5.

Proposition 3.6. Let $\theta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of regular semigroups and let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of $D(S)$-modules. If $E=(T, \pi, i)$ is an extension of $A$ by $S$ then the extension $(D(\theta) \phi)(E \theta)$ is equivalent to the extension $(\phi E) \theta$. Here $D(\theta) \phi$ denote the homomorphism $D(\theta) A \rightarrow D(\theta) B$ of $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$-modules.

We shall write $\mathcal{C}$ for the following category: an object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $(S, A)$ with $S$ a regular semigroup and $A$ a $D(S)$-module; a morphism $(\theta, \phi):(S, A) \rightarrow$ $(T, B)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow T$ of regular semigroups and a homomorphism $\phi: D(\theta) B \rightarrow A$ of $D(S)$-modules.

Theorem 3.7. The mapping which associates to each object $(S, A)$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the abelian group $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ and, to each morphism $(\theta, \phi):(S, A) \rightarrow(T, B)$, the homomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}(\theta, \phi): \operatorname{Ext}(T, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ given by the composite $\operatorname{Ext}(T, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(S, D(\theta) B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$ is a contravariant functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of abelian groups.

Proof. If $\theta: S \rightarrow T$ is a homomorphism of regular semigroups and $B$ is a $D(T)$-module then, by Proposition 3.5, the map $[E] \mapsto[E \theta]: \operatorname{Ext}(T, B) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}(S, D(\theta) B)$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups. Similarly, if $S$ is a regular semigroup, then by Proposition 3.4, $\operatorname{Ext}(S,-)$ is a functor from the category of $D(S)$-modules to the category of abelian groups. In particular, if $\phi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a homomorphism of $D(S)$-modules then $[E] \mapsto[\phi E]: \operatorname{Ext}(S, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(S, A^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups. Therefore if $(\theta, \phi):(S, A) \rightarrow(T, B)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ then $\operatorname{Ext}(\theta, \phi)$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups from $\operatorname{Ext}(T, B)$ to $\operatorname{Ext}(S, A)$. It remains to show that $\operatorname{Ext}(-,-)$ is contravariant. Let
$(\theta, \phi):(S, A) \rightarrow(T, B)$ and $(\mu, \psi):(T, B) \rightarrow(U, C)$ be morphisms of C. Let $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}(U, C)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
([E]) \operatorname{Ext}(\mu, \psi) \operatorname{Ext}(\theta, \phi) & =[\phi\{(\psi(E \mu)) \theta\}] \\
& =[\phi\{(D(\theta) \psi)((E \mu) \theta)\}], \quad \text { by Proposition } 3.6 \\
& =([E]) \operatorname{Ext}(\theta \mu,(D(\theta) \psi) \phi) \\
& =([E]) \operatorname{Ext}((\theta, \phi)(\mu, \psi))
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Ext}(\mu, \psi) \operatorname{Ext}(\theta, \phi)=\operatorname{Ext}((\theta, \phi)(\mu, \psi))$. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}(-,-)$ is a contravariant functor.
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