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Introduction: Opium and heroin body packing is a social and health problem in Mashhad since the city is in the vicinity of the Afghanistan border.

Objective: To study health aspects of the body packers admitted in Imam Reza Toxicology Ward between 2001 and 2004 and to compare abdominal X-ray (AXR) and computed tomography (CT) scan for the diagnosis of the patients.

Methods: All body packers referred to the Toxicology Ward of Imam Reza Hospital in Mashhad, Iran between 2001 and 2003 were studied. They were hospitalized and underwent close observation for any sign of intoxication. An AXR and a urine morphine test were performed for all patients. An abdominal CT scan also was carried out for 41 of these patients. Naloxone and supportive care were applied to those with clinical manifestations of intoxication. AXR and CT scan results were classified into three groups (highly suggestive, suggestive, and false positive) and compared, using the chi-square test.

Results: A total of 56 body packers (54 males and two females) aged 32.1 ±11.3 years were studied. A mean of 44.4 ±35.1 opium and 52.0 ±20.0 heroin packets weighing 8–15 grams were retrieved from 46 and 10 patients, respectively. Most of the patients (43%) were uneducated, while 32%, 23%, and 2% of them had primary, secondary, and university education, respectively. The majority of the patients (71%) were married, but only 25% of them were employed. The highest frequency of admissions was in university education, respectively. The majority of these patients. Naloxone and supportive care were applied to those with clinical manifestations of intoxication. AXR and CT scan results were classified into three groups (highly suggestive, suggestive, and false positive) and compared, using the chi-square test.
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Introduction: Hypnosis can provide an interesting support to analgesia and relaxation of patient in a general emergency service. Indications for and feasibility of using hypnosis in such circumstances are reported.

Methods: Two indications for using hypnosis were selected. First, it can provide help to manage analgesia. Hypnosis generally can be practiced with children before realization of other techniques (IV line, local or regional anesthesia). Children are more relaxed after hypnosis and therefore respond better to medical examinations and techniques. This indication also is useful for anxious adults as a secondary technique to complement analgesia. A second indication of using hypnosis is relaxation for patients who need non-invasive, respiratory techniques. These patients generally have problems breathing into such apparatuses and an easy technique, like hypnosis, which doesn’t modify respiratory rates, helps patients accept non-invasive, respiratory techniques and avoid intubation.

Conclusion: The use of hypnosis in an emergency service can help patients accept some techniques used in emergency situations and also can allow patients to be more relaxed and have a better experience with analgesia, especially for children.
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Purpose: As a standard, factors that determine the need for damage control surgery (DCS) include the deadly triad of acidosis, hypothermia, and coagulopathy. However confirmation of the coagulopathy requires time and it might not be possible to utilize the triad in the emergency room (ER) setting. Therefore, the simple and practical criteria for the DCS (hypotension, hypothermia, and acidosis) in victims of severe abdominal trauma were evaluated.

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Methods</th>
<th>Abdominal X-ray</th>
<th>Abdominal CT Scan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Suggestive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestive</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1—Comparison of abdominal X-ray and CT scan results in diagnosis of body packing (CT = computed tomography)
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