EDITORIAL

Dementia, depression and the CT scan

Hounsfield’s Nobel prize for the invention of computed tomography (CT) was well-deserved recognition of a major advance in medical technology, an innovation which produced immediate rewards in the diagnosis and management of space-occupying lesions (SOL), and justified high capital costs (Jacobsen et al. 1976; Thomson, 1977). However, for psychiatry, which is more concerned with trophic changes than SOL, the benefits of CT have not been fully defined. In dementia syndromes, for example, CT constitutes a marked improvement on pneumoencephalography (PEG) because of a greater and more easily obtained yield of information, but the clinical value of demonstrating cerebral atrophy in demented patients has not been fully established.

What information did PEG yield? The answer is not straightforward mainly because of methodological deficiencies, some of which, such as the lack of normative data, were due to ethical constraints. Thus, Burhenne & Davies (1963) admitted that the ‘normal’ appearance on PEG is necessarily what the experienced radiologist considers not to be abnormal. Information from PEG was further limited by the risk of exacerbating dementia by the procedure itself. Nevertheless, it was agreed that clinically demented patients showed significantly more radiologically atrophy than the non-demented (Chodoff et al. 1948; Wagner, 1951; Trolle & Fog, 1951; Haug, 1962). In a follow-up study from a neurological hospital, Gosling (1955) showed that dementia was unlikely to be confirmed in the absence of radiological atrophy on clinical diagnosis. A consensus was also reached for the relative importance of ventricular enlargement over cortical (surface) atrophy (Chodoff et al. 1948; Wagner, 1951; Nielsen et al. 1966a, b), an observation confirmed by Mann (1973) in a follow-up study. In general, these findings were not invalidated by evidence for age-related ventricular enlargement (Burhenne & Davies, 1963; Booker et al. 1969). An association between PEG atrophy and cognitive impairment was also demonstrated (McFie, 1960; Kiev et al. 1962; Nielsen et al. 1966a, b), but common psychological characteristics associated with cerebral atrophy could not be identified (Mathews & Booker, 1972).

Prima facie direct visualization of the brain must be superior to merely outlining it with air, an opinion confirmed by the evidence. However, Jacoby et al. (1980) criticized the failure to realize the opportunity afforded by CT to obtain true normative data, a criticism based on the extensive use of patients found not to be abnormal rather than of healthy volunteers (Huckman et al. 1975; Gyldensted & Kosteljanetz, 1976; Synek et al. 1976; Haug, 1977). More recently, data from non-patient controls have been published (Ron et al. 1979a; Jacoby et al. 1980). The clinical introduction of CT (Ambrose, 1973) was followed hastily by enthusiastic statements about its value in the diagnosis of dementia (Huckman et al. 1975; Fox et al. 1975; Menzer et al. 1975). Some of these authors have now confessed to unwarranted optimism (Fox et al. 1979). As with PEG, groups of demented patients exhibited significantly more atrophy than controls, but dementia occurred without atrophy and atrophy without dementia. In one study 16 % of controls were found on blind rating to have enlarged ventricles, whereas 25 % of demented had normal ventricles (Jacoby & Levy, 1980a). Overlap between the groups was confirmed by mean ventricle:skull ratio (dements 20·6 ± 5·0 S.D. cf. controls 14·2 ± 3·9 S.D.), although the difference between these means was highly significant (P < 0·001). Discriminant function analysis yielded an optimum prediction table in which 17 % of cases were incorrectly assigned to their diagnostic group.

Although SOL tend to present to the neurologist, their exclusion in psychiatric patients is important as unforeseen tumours in psychiatric populations are well known (Waggoner & Bagchi, 1954; Kraft et al. 1965). Jacoby & Levy (1980a) found a corpus callosum glioma and a subdural haema-
tomato, both clinically unsuspected, in 2 out of 40 scans of demented patients. In the same group, who were diagnosed before CT as senile (Alzheimer-type) dementia and carefully selected for absence of cerebrovascular disease, scans showed a significant excess of probable cerebral infarcts (one was confirmed at autopsy) compared with controls similarly selected to exclude cerebrovascular disease (10/40 cf. 1/50, $P < 0.01$). This finding not only underlined the clinical difficulty in differentiating Alzheimer-type from multi-infarct dementia (Radue et al. 1978) but also confirmed their frequent concurrence, which was demonstrated by the Newcastle neuropathological studies (Roth, 1971).

Attempts to show a reciprocal relationship between intellect and atrophy have met with only limited success. Roberts & Caird (1976) found a high negative correlation between maximum ventricular area and performance on a simple test of cognitive function ($r = -0.49, P < 0.01$), but this correlation was effectively between atrophy and diagnosis since subjects ranged from nearly normal to severely demented. A correlation of the same order between ventricular area and a similar test of cognitive function ($r = -0.51, P < 0.002$) was found by Jacoby & Levy (1980a) in an amalgamated group of healthy controls, depressed patients and demented. Within the group of demented alone, there were only weakly significant relationships or non-significant trends between psychological impairment and measures of ventricular enlargement, but not of cortical atrophy. Earnest et al. (1979) found significant correlations between poor test performance and atrophy (ventricular and cortical), but the association was weak, independent of age. Gado & Hughes (1978) considered that atrophy was associated more with age than intellectual impairment; however, on the contrary, Jacoby & Levy (1980a) found that demented patients under 80 had significantly larger ventricles than those of 80 years and over, which lead them to ask if early onset dementia might be a more malignant condition. A follow-up study to death of this sample is in progress and should help to clarify the issue. It is already clear, however, that subjects with marked parietal atrophy on CT have a significantly shorter life expectancy, thus supporting earlier clinical and neuropathological findings (McDonald, 1969; Constantinides, 1978). Age-related atrophy has been severely reported in normal subjects, but with wide variations of degree from striking (Barron et al. 1976) through moderate (Haug, 1977; Gyldensted, 1977) to non-significant trends (Gonzalez et al. 1978; Jacoby et al. 1980).

It is now clear that a diagnosis of primary neuronal dementia cannot be made on the basis of PEG or CT scan alone. This is especially true of PEG which may show spurious ‘atrophy’ due to relative dehydration, high oxygenation (by anaesthetist) and consequent lowering of arterial $pCO_2$. Two follow-up studies of patients originally diagnosed as presenile dementia underlined these clinical problems by demonstrating a high mis-diagnosis rate. Nott & Fleminger (1975) found that 5 out of 15 patients in whom the diagnosis was not sustained over time had shown moderate cerebral atrophy on PEG at index admission, while Ron et al. (1979b) found that 8 out of 16 in the equivalent non-demented group had shown radiological atrophy. The misdiagnosed groups in both studies had exhibited considerable past and/or present affective symptoms at index admission. As far as CT and affective disorder is concerned, elderly depressed patients did not differ from controls on scans appearances alone (Jacoby & Levy, 1980b). However, the inadvisability of assuming that such patients are suitable as controls (vide supra) was shown both by comparison of true controls with affectives on a simple test of cognitive function, affectives performing significantly worse, and by comparison within the depressed sample. From the latter a subgroup was identified with enlarged ventricles who were older, whose depression was more ‘endogenous’ on the Newcastle Scale (Carney et al. 1965) and showed less anxiety on the Geriatric Mental State Schedule (Copeland et al. 1976; Gurland et al. 1976). Unpublished follow-up data indicate that this subgroup had a significantly higher mortality over two years from CT scans than depressives with normal ventricles (5 out of 9 cf. 4 out of 31, $P < 0.03$), cause of death not being directly related to ventricular enlargement (Jacoby & Levy, in preparation). Kay (1959, 1962) found that old age depression predicted a higher mortality than expected, and preliminary follow-up data on depressives and controls from the CT scan study (Jacoby et al. 1980) suggest that Kay’s findings will be supported. With regard to affective disorder in the elderly, therefore, CT may be a useful prognostic indicator.

Until recently, CT has ploughed a furrow similar to that formerly dug by PEG, albeit wider and deeper, but future developments must involve a greater realization of CT’s computerized potential.
Since the output of the scanner is not a photographic plate but a matrix of numbers arranged according to anatomy, general volume and local radiodensity can be computed. Programs to determine ventricular volume already exist (Walser & Ackerman, 1977; Brassow & Baumann, 1978; Pentlow et al. 1978; Penn et al. 1978) and, with improvements, could be incorporated into the scanner’s own software. Partial volume artefact (Jacoby et al. 1980) and distortions at high/low density interfaces, for example bone/CSF, have prevented measurement of brain volume itself, but such obstacles can be overcome. An approach qualitatively different from the assessment of cerebral atrophy is the measurement of radiodensity using an interactive method (Naeser et al. 1980). This gives an index of brain density and probably of tissue composition. If suitable areas of the brain can be reliably and consistently delineated, such radiodensity estimations could prove to be more useful in the clinical evaluation of dementia than computation of total brain or CSF volumes. These techniques are complicated and remain at present within the ambit of research, but if they prove to be of real clinical value there is no cogent reason why they cannot be transposed to the clinical domain.
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