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During the past decades there has been considerable interest in the use of dietary fibre in both animal and human nutrition. In human subjects dietary fibre has been studied intensively for possible effects on body-weight management and health. In animal nutrition the interest in dietary fibre has increased because it can be used as a cheap source of energy and because of its potential to improve animal welfare and reduce abnormal (mainly stereotypic) behaviour. Animal welfare is impaired if the diet does not provide sufficient satiety, combined with an environment that does not meet specific behavioural requirements related to natural feeding habits (e.g. rooting in pigs). A considerable proportion of the behavioural effects of dietary fibre are thought to be related to reduced feeding motivation. It has been hypothesized that: (1) bulky fibres increase satiety and thereby decrease physical activity and stereotypic behaviours immediately following a meal in pigs; (2) fermentable fibres prolong postprandial satiety and thereby reduce physical activity and appetitive behaviours for many hours after a meal. The validity of these hypotheses is examined by considering published data. In sows dietary fibres (irrespective of source) reduce stereotypic self-directed behaviours and substrate-directed behaviours, and to a lesser extent overall physical activity, indicating enhanced satiety shortly after a meal. Furthermore, fermentable dietary fibre reduces substrate-directed behaviour in sows and physical activity in sows and growing pigs for many hours after a meal. Evidence of long-term effects of poorly-fermentable fibre sources is inconclusive. The findings suggest that highly-fermentable dietary fibres have a higher potential to prolong postprandial satiety.

Non-starch polysaccharides: Fermentation: Fibre bulkiness: Physical activity

The use of dietary fibre has gained much interest during the past decades, in both animal and human nutrition. In human subjects dietary fibres have been studied intensively for their possible effects on health (e.g. constipation, diabetes mellitus, CVD) and body-weight management. Initially, the interest of animal nutritionists in dietary fibre sources was related to their availability as cheap byproducts from food production (e.g. wheat straw, oat hulls (OTH), soyabean hulls, sugarbeet pulp (SBP)). In past decades animal researchers have become increasingly aware that in addition to providing nutrients to animals dietary ingredients can have broader effects, such as stimulating gut health and improving well-being in general. Dietary fibre has been observed to reduce behavioural problems that are, at least in part, related to insufficient satiety in restrictedly-fed animals (e.g. breeding sows(1) and broiler breeders(2)). Apart from stimulating nutritional satiety, dietary fibre may also satisfy specific behavioural needs related to natural feeding habits such as rooting and chewing in pigs(1), food pecking in chickens(3) and rumination in calves(4). Since the early 1990s the interest in using dietary fibre in diets of restrictedly-fed breeding sows has increased, particularly as several studies have reported positive effects of dietary fibre on behaviour and welfare. Satiety per se was not always measured systematically in these studies, and data on voluntary feed intake of fibre sources are often lacking. The studies that have been performed in sows might, however, provide valuable insight into the effects of
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specific characteristics of different fibre sources on behaviour that might reflect satiety. Thus, the aim of the present paper is to review effects of dietary fibre on behaviour and satiety in pigs. First, relationships between behaviour and satiety will be described. Then, hypotheses will be formulated about the relationships between specific characteristics of dietary fibre sources and behaviours that might reflect satiety. Finally, the validity of these hypotheses will be examined using available literature sources.

Relationships between behaviour and satiety

Although domesticated pigs are genetically altered as a result of intensive breeding programmes, their behavioural repertoire still largely resembles that of their wild ancestors and relatives(5). Under (semi-)natural conditions both wild and domestic pigs are non-specialist omnivores that can exploit an enormous range of food sources(6) and forage for patchily-distributed food(7). Typically, bouts of rooting and nosing at the ground are separated by locomotion to different foraging areas. As appetitive and consummatory phases may thus be interspersed over long periods, appetitive behaviour is probably stimulated by the ingestion of food(8,9) until satiety signals from the body provide a negative feedback on feed intake(10). Under semi-natural conditions pigs spend most of the day engaged in foraging-related behaviours(5). In intensive husbandry, however, pigs are mostly kept in barren environments in which the opportunities for expressing these evolutionary established foraging behaviours are limited. Moreover, in non-lactating breeding sows feed allowance is limited in order to prevent excessive fatness and reduced reproductive performance. Although the animals are provided with sufficient nutrients for maintenance and reproduction, traditional diets are consumed within minutes (e.g. 11-4 min, single meal per d(11); 7-6 min, two meals per d(12)) and the feeding motivation of the sows remains high(13). In combination with the barren stimulus-poor environment this factor may lead to the channelling of natural foraging behaviours into a few simple behavioural elements, like bar-biting and sham chewing(14). When these abnormal behaviours are shown repetitively, unvarying and apparently without function, they are called stereotypies(15). Stereotypies are associated with the secretion of endorphins, which play a role in adaptation to stress(16).

Behaviour reflecting immediate and prolonged postprandial satiety

Stereotypies (also often referred to as self-directed behaviour or non-feeding oral activities) are displayed in close relationship with a meal, and particularly shortly after feeding(17). As both feeding levels and fibrous diets have been shown to effectively reduce these behaviours after a meal (for example, see Terlouw et al.(18), Brouns et al.(11), Bergeron et al.(12), Ramonet et al.(19)) post-meal stereotypies may reflect (lack of sufficient) satiety. When rooting material is present on the floor, which partly allows the expression of natural foraging behaviour, substrate-directed rooting and nosing may also be used as immediate postprandial indicators of satiety. Several studies report increased rooting when access to feed is restricted in pigs(19–21). As manipulation of pen components seems to replace manipulation of rooting material in its absence(22,23), this behaviour may also reflect components of natural appetitive behaviour and hence be used as an indicator of immediate postprandial satiety. This behaviour may also be performed in a stereotypic manner (e.g. bar-biting).

Apart from stereotypic behaviour and rooting or manipulative behaviour, physical activity may also reflect satiety. It has been shown that when feed is restricted there is an increase in activity(10), which corresponds with the observation that locomotion is an integral part of appetitive foraging strategies in pigs(5). Theoretically, in contrast with stereotypies, which appear to be mainly elicited by a meal(17), physical activity and rooting or manipulative behaviour may be shown not only just after a meal, but also several hours later, anticipating the next meal. Thus, these behaviours may also reflect prolonged satiety.

Voluntary feed intake, and particularly time between subsequent feeding bouts, may also be a potential indicator of prolonged satiety. Data on voluntary feed intake patterns over the 24 h cycle, however, are often lacking in studies with dietary fibre. Thus, in the present paper, the focus will be on behaviours that potentially reflect satiety.

Potential influences of dietary fibre on satiety

Although extensively studied, there is still no consensus about the definition of dietary fibre. The physiological definition, ‘the dietary components resistant to degradation by mammalian enzymes’, was most commonly used in the past. Nowadays, the chemical definition ‘the sum of NSP and lignin’ is increasingly used. It has been suggested that non-digestible oligosaccharides and resistant starch (RS) may be added(24); however, lignin was not mentioned. Fig. 1 shows the different components of dietary fibre and a subdivision of NSP with reference to the Van Soest analysis(25). General aspects of dietary fibres will be briefly described as a basis for the evaluation of their potential effects on behaviour and satiety. A detailed description of their characteristics is available in a review(26).

Plant fibres are generally components with relatively low energetic value, especially for omnivorous and carnivorous animals. The bulkiness properties of dietary fibre may, however, reduce feeding motivation by increasing mastication time and by stimulating mechanoreceptors in the gastrointestinal tract (sensory-specific satiety(27,28)). This process could particularly stimulate satiation during a meal, promoting meal termination and thereby limiting meal size(29). Fibre sources can be bulky as a result of their coarse structure (low bulk density, e.g. wheat-straw) or a high water-holding capacity (e.g. SBP). Soluble dietary fibres generally increase the viscosity of the lumen contents and therefore reduce gastric emptying rate and delay absorption of nutrients in the small intestine.

Dietary fibres can be partly fermented by intestinal microbiota, predominantly in the large intestine. Generally, soluble fibres are more completely and rapidly fermented.
than insoluble fibres. The resulting fermentation end products (SCFA, such as lactate, butyrate, propionate and acetate) can be used as energy sources. In growing pigs SCFA can contribute £30% of the daily maintenance requirements for energy(30,31). As SCFA (mainly acetate) become available in the distal part of the gut at times when glucose absorption is decreasing(30,32,33), they may enhance satiety several hours after a meal. In sows an interprandial increase in physical activity has been observed, starting 5–6 h after a meal at a time that coincides with a drop in blood glucose levels(34). In sows fed a high-fibre (SBP) diet this increase is lower and also interprandial blood glucose levels are more stable. Table 1 shows the relative estimated fermentability, water-holding capacity and bulk density of several fibre sources that are cited throughout the present paper.

**Hypotheses**

It is hypothesized that (1) bulky fibres (including fibres with a high water-holding capacity), irrespective of their fermentability, reduce mainly stereotypies, but also physical activity and substrate-directed rooting and manipulative behaviours in the first hours after a meal in pigs, indicating enhanced immediate postprandial satiety; (2) highly-fermentable fibres, irrespective of their bulkiness properties, reduce physical activity and substrate-directed behaviours for many hours after a meal, indicating a prolonged postprandial satiety. As stereotypies appear to be more closely related to the immediate postprandial period, prolonged effects on these behaviours are not expected.

**Effects of dietary fibre in pigs**

Reported effects of dietary fibres on immediate postprandial behaviour (i.e. within 3 h after a meal) and prolonged postprandial behaviour (i.e. >4 h after a meal) are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The studies are shown approximately in order of (in vitro) fermentability of the fibre sources used(35) and their percentage inclusion. The choice of behavioural categories is based on a study of the behavioural repertoire of group-housed pregnant sows that were assumed to be satiated (approximating to ad libitum intake of a high-fibre diet) in comparison with sows that were assumed not to be satiated (restrictedly fed a low-fibre diet)(36). Self-directed behaviour includes stereotypies such as sham-chewing, tongue-playing and teeth-grinding, and substrate-directed behaviour includes behaviours that are directed at substrates such as the floor, the wall, bars and bedding material, when present (e.g. rooting, licking and biting) and are sometimes also performed in a stereotypic manner (e.g. chain-biting or bar-biting). The category general oral behaviour has been added because in some studies self-directed and substrate-directed behaviour have been measured as one category.

**Immediate postprandial effects**

In all studies self-directed behaviour, substrate-directed behaviour, general oral behaviour and physical activity
Table 2. Review of immediate postprandial effects of dietary fibre on behaviour in sows, measured directly after meals (i.e. during 0.75–3 h after a meal) in different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibre source</th>
<th>Inclusion (% w/w)</th>
<th>Meal*</th>
<th>Self-directed behaviour</th>
<th>Substrate-directed behaviour</th>
<th>General oral behaviour</th>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>40 (near-AL)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Zonderland et al.(^{(36)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Brouns et al.(^{(11)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Low values</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Inactive, asleep</td>
<td>Whittaker et al.(^{(123)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Low values</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Inactive, asleep</td>
<td>Whittaker et al.(^{(145)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓ Gifts only</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Resting, gifts only</td>
<td>Danielsen &amp; Vestergaard(^{(41)}) §</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH, WB and GM</td>
<td>20, 15 and 15 respectively</td>
<td></td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Resting, gifts only</td>
<td>de Leeuw et al.(^{(34)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Posture change</td>
<td>de Leeuw et al.(^{(37)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK, CP, SH and SBP</td>
<td>25, 17, 16 and 15 respectively</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Inactive</td>
<td>Ramonet et al.(^{(18)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Including feeding</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Standing; lying</td>
<td>Van der Peet-Schwering et al.(^{(58)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Less effective</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Standing; lying</td>
<td>Braund et al.(^{(40)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP and SH</td>
<td>38 and 6 respectively</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Parity 1 only</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Standing; lying</td>
<td>Ramonet et al.(^{(43)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low values</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Standing; lying</td>
<td>Bergeron et al.(^{(122)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP and SH</td>
<td>26 and 13 respectively</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Chain or object</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Lying</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(39)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Chain or object</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Lying, → standing</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(44)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1 or 2†</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Chain or object</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Inactive, lying</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(39)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>53 (LE and BE)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Chain</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Lying</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(44)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓ Chain†</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Lying</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(42)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low values</td>
<td>↓ Chain; parity 2 only</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Posture change</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(42)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low values</td>
<td>↓ Chain; parity 2 only</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→ Posture change</td>
<td>Robert et al.(^{(42)})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP, sugar beet pulp; OTH, oat hulls; WB, wheat bran; GM, green grass meal; PK, palm kernel expeller; CP, citrus pulp; SH, soyabean hulls; near-AL, sows were allowed to feed ad libitum during a 30 min period; LE, low energy; BE, same diet as LE, but balanced for energy by adding fat; ↓, decreased and not affected by dietary treatment respectively.

*No. of meals per d.
†Feeding frequency was part of a 2 × 2 factorial design.
‡Tendency.
§Pre- and post-feeding behaviour were pooled.
Table 3. Review of prolonged postprandial effects of dietary fibre on behaviour in sows, measured during a preprandial (PP) or interprandial (IP; i.e. >4 h after a meal and not directly preceding a meal) period or over 12–24 h in different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibre source</th>
<th>Inclusion (%)</th>
<th>Meal*</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Self-directed behaviour</th>
<th>Substrate-directed behaviour</th>
<th>General oral behaviour</th>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>40 (near-AL)</td>
<td>2 PP2</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Straw, other§</td>
<td>Zonderland et al(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 IP</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Inactive, asleep</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whittaker et al(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 IP</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inactive, asleep</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whittaker et al(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2 IP</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Posture change</td>
<td></td>
<td>de Leeuw et al(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK, CP, SH and SBP</td>
<td>25, 17, 16 and 15 respectively</td>
<td>1 IP</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td></td>
<td>de Leeuw et al(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBPS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 24</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing; lying</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Rijken et al(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1 PP, 24</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing, 24 h only</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Ramonet et al(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing, 24 h only</td>
<td>←</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1 IP</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing, lying</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Braund et al(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP and SH</td>
<td>26 and 13 respectively</td>
<td>1 PP, 24</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1 h PP, 3–4 h IP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Ramonet et al(43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1 24</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standing, not days 60 and 90</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>McGlone &amp; Fullwood(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1 or 2†</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Standing, standing</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Holt et al(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2 12‡, 24</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Lying, standing; 12 h only</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Bergeron et al(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lying, standing; 12 h only</td>
<td>←</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1 or 2†</td>
<td>PP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Virtual meal, inactive, not lying</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Robert et al(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>53 (LE and BE)</td>
<td>2 PP1</td>
<td>↓ Chain, BE only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lying</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>Robert et al(44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Posture change: 1st parity ↓, 2nd parity ↑; 24 h ←</td>
<td>←</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Posture change: 1st parity ←, 2nd parity ↑; 24 h ←</td>
<td>←</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP, sugar beet pulp; SBPS, sugar beet-pulp silage; OTH, oat hulls; WB, wheat bran; PK, palm-kernel expeller; CP, citrus pulp; SH, soyabean hulls; near-AL, sows were allowed to feed ad libitum during a 30 min period; LE, low energy; BE, same diet as LE, but balanced for energy by adding fat; PP1, before the first of two meals; PP2, before the second of two meals; ↑, ↓, increased, decreased and not affected by dietary treatment respectively.

*No. of meals per d.
†Feeding frequency was part of a 2 × 2 factorial design.
‡Time period when lights were on.
§Tendency.
directly after feeding are either reduced or not influenced by the inclusion of dietary fibre in the diet of sows (Table 2). The effects are not dependent on the fermentability of the fibre source, as both poorly-fermentable (e.g. OTH) and highly-fermentable (e.g. SBP) fibres reduce abnormal behaviours and physical activity. As no fibre sources with a low bulkiness (e.g. RS) were included in the studies reported, no firm conclusions of the effect of bulkiness can be drawn.

Self-directed behaviour immediately after a meal is reduced by SBP(11,36) and a mixture of fermentable fibre sources(37,38), but not by OTH(12) (Table 2). Self-directed behaviour was not measured in studies with wheat bran (WB). General oral behaviour (including self- and substrate-directed stereotypies), however, is reduced by both OTH(12,39) and WB(18). WB is not as effective as SBP in reducing oral stereotypies(18); however, this finding is only reported in an observation period that includes feeding, and when SBP is compared with WB and the control diet there is a higher feeding time, leaving less time for non-feeding activities.

All fibre sources tested reduce substrate-directed behaviour after feeding. Increasing levels of a mixture of fibre sources does not influence substrate-directed behaviour, but does linearly reduce total oral behaviour after a meal(37) (data not shown in Table 2). This finding is in line with the hypothesis that postprandial oral behaviour may be a good indicator of the satiating effects of dietary fibres. In contrast, immediately-postprandial satiety is not always clearly reflected in general physical activity. For instance, in some studies SBP(11,36,40,41), a mixture of fibre sources(38) and OTH(12,42) reduce physical activity, whereas in other studies they do not(23,34,37,43-45). WB does not affect immediately-postprandial physical activity, but it was only tested in two studies, in one of which OTH was not found to be effective(44).

With the exception of two studies(11,18), feeding time was excluded or corrected for when measuring oral behaviour directly after a meal. For physical activity it is not always clear whether authors made this correction. As dietary fibres prolong eating time(11,12,18,23,39,41,43,44,46) and sows feed in standing posture, postprandial physical activity in sows fed fibrous diets could be overestimated when feeding time is not taken into account (for example, see Ramonet et al.(43) and Robert et al.(44)).

In growing pigs studies on behavioural effects of dietary fibres are lacking. Several studies, however, have measured the effects of dietary fibre on energy expenditure associated with physical activity. During the first hours after meal time activity-related heat production (AHP) is reduced in group-housed restrictedly-fed growing pigs, which has been observed for SBP-silage(47) (Fig. 2) and RS(48,49) (Fig. 3). Immediately-postprandial effects of RS cannot be attributed to its bulkiness (including water-holding capacity), which is low (Table 1) and does not differ from the bulkiness of the digestible starch used in the control diets, but are probably caused by other characteristics of RS. Unfortunately, effects of RS on behaviour have not been measured in sows.

**Prolonged postprandial effects**

Fermentable fibre sources clearly reduce physical activity for several hours after feeding, indicating prolonged postprandial satiety. The effects of poorly-fermentable sources such as OTH and WB on physical activity are less conclusive (Table 3). Self-directed behaviour was measured for a longer period post-feeding only in studies in which fermentable fibre sources were fed, and the levels shown are very low. In general, substrate-directed behaviour and total oral behaviour are reduced by both highly- and poorly-fermentable fibre sources.

As was expected, self-directed stereotypic behaviour is a poor indicator of prolonged postprandial satiety. Except for the immediate postprandial period (until 3 h after feeding), the levels of this behaviour are generally very low. SBP, however, is able to reduce self-directed behaviour before a meal to even lower levels, but only in one study in which sows that received the SBP-rich diet were allowed to eat large amounts(30). General oral behaviour before a meal and over the 24 h cycle (including both...
self- and substrate-directed behaviours) is reduced by a mixture of fermentable fibre sources\(^{(37,43)}\) and by OTH\(^{(12)}\).

In contrast to the hypothesis, poorly-fermentable fibres from OTH and WB also reduce substrate-directed behaviour in the longer term\(^{(42,44)}\); it should be noted that only chain manipulation was observed and measurements took place mainly just before feeding time in these studies. It is possible that manipulation of the chain, which was located near the trough, may have had compensatory behaviour, anticipating the lack of satisfaction of chewing needs associated with the upcoming fibre-poor meal.

Physical activity is clearly reduced by fermentable fibre sources\(^{(18,34,36,37,40,43,45,50,51)}\); the only exception is a study in which time spent lying over the 24 h cycle is increased by soyabean hulls (see Holt et al.\(^{(46)}\)). Physical activity over the 24 h cycle is reduced by the poorly-fermentable WB as effectively as SBP in one study\(^{(18)}\). However, WB has no effect on physical activity\(^{(42,44)}\), with an even increase in the frequency of posture changes before the second meal during the second pregnancy\(^{(53)}\). In three studies physical activity is reduced by OTH, although only during the 12 h light period in one study\(^{(12)}\) and not over 24 h in the other two studies\(^{(39,42)}\). During the second pregnancy frequency of posture changes is affected by OTH, while standing is increased\(^{(42)}\) (not shown in Table 3). In another study physical activity is not affected by OTH\(^{(44)}\). Thus, results of the poorly-fermentable OTH and WB on physical activity over the 24 h cycle are not conclusive. Highly-fermentable fibres, therefore, have a higher potential to prolong postprandial satiety. This conclusion is supported by a study in which the daily voluntary intake of several high-fibre diets was measured, which shows that the ingestion of diets with SBP (40–65 %, w/w) is much lower (2.3–5.0 kg/d) than that of diets with OTH (37%; 7.7 kg/d) or WB (67%; 7.1 kg/d)\(^{(52)}\). The SBP-rich diets thereby reduce voluntary feed intake, with digestible energy intake approximating to levels that are acceptable for optimal reproduction. A study of the effect of a diet containing resistant starch, therefore, appears to be useful for measuring foraging behaviour in pigs fed the control diet. However, the addition of milled wheat straw to the diet, in order to increase its bulkiness, does not significantly reduce AHP over the 24 h cycle\(^{(50)}\). In sows on a high-fibre diet (SBP and soyabean hulls) AHP is reduced between meals, but not when expressed as a 24 h average, probably because of increased eating time of the high-fibre diet\(^{(55)}\). SBP does not have a clear effect on AHP over the 24 h cycle, but a comparison of the two lowest fibre levels with the two highest fibre levels (contrast analysis), shows a decrease in AHP in the pigs on the highest-fibre diets\(^{(51)}\).

### Conclusion

As was hypothesized, stereotypies and substrate-directed behaviours are reduced by dietary fibre immediately following a meal, irrespective of its source, indicating enhanced immediately-postprandial satiety. These oral behaviours, therefore, appear to be useful for measuring immediately-postprandial effects of dietary fibre on satiety and feeding motivation. General physical activity after feeding appears to be a less-reliable indicator of immediately-postprandial satiety. The effects of dietary fibre on oral behaviours after feeding are most probably associated with bulkiness properties. The effects of resistant starch, low in bulk but highly fermentable, on postprandial behaviour and satiety in pigs remain to be studied.

Highly-fermentable dietary fibres clearly reduce physical activity for many hours after a meal, whereas the effects of poorly-fermentable fibre sources are less conclusive. This finding suggests that highly-fermentable fibres prolong satiety and reduce feeding motivation for many hours after being an outlet for the increased motivation to display foraging behaviour in pigs fed the control diet. However, the addition of milled wheat straw to the diet, in order to increase its bulkiness, does not significantly reduce AHP over the 24 h cycle\(^{(50)}\). In sows on a high-fibre diet (SBP and soyabean hulls) AHP is reduced between meals, but not when expressed as a 24 h average, probably because of increased eating time of the high-fibre diet\(^{(55)}\). SBP does not have a clear effect on AHP over the 24 h cycle, but a comparison of the two lowest fibre levels with the two highest fibre levels (contrast analysis), shows a decrease in AHP in the pigs on the highest-fibre diets\(^{(51)}\).
Dietary fibre and behaviour in pigs

Dietary fibre and feeding motivation. In the context of satiety and feeding motivation, prolonged effects on satiety are expected. Physical activity does not have prolonged effects on satiety, but stereotypic activity does. Thus, when searching for diets that optimally stimulate long-term satiety in sows, it is important to take these characteristics into account.
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