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#### Abstract

In this article we localize the zeros of some polynomials and the derivatives of some entire functions of finite genus. If we put $m=1$ in the condition of Theorem 1 we obtain the famous Obreshkoff Theorem which can be regarded as a 'complex version' of a well-known theorem due to Laguerre. The nonreat zeros of the derivative of the real entire function of Theorem 3 must belong to circles $V_{k}$ which are similar to the Jensen circles for polynomials.


1991 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): primary 30D20.

Defintion. By 'real entire function' will be meant an entire function whose Maclaurin-series expansion has only real coefficients.

Further all sequences $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ will satisfy $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{k}\right|=\infty$.
Definition. We will write ${ }_{n} P_{k}=n!/(n-k)!,\binom{n}{k}={ }_{n} P_{k} / k!$.
Theorem 1. Let the zeros $a_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, of the polynomial $p(z)$ satisfy $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1$. Then the zeros $z$ of the polynomial $q(z)=\gamma p(z)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} z^{k} p^{(k)}(z) /{ }_{n} P_{k}$ satisfy $|z| \leq \theta$, where $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq-m / 2,1 \leq m \leq n$, and $\theta=\left(2^{1 / m}-1\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $z$ be such that $q(z)=0$ and $p(z) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=\frac{q(z)}{p(z)}= & \gamma+\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{z}{z-a_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{z}{z-a_{n}}\right]+\cdots \\
& +\frac{m!}{{ }_{n} P_{m}}\left[\frac{z^{m}}{\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right)}+\cdots\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let us consider the last term $s_{m}$ in this sum. The estimates for the other terms are analogous. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\gamma+s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=0 \\
B & =\binom{n}{m} s_{m}=\frac{z^{m}}{\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right)}+\cdots+\frac{z^{m}}{\left(z-a_{n-m+1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{n}\right)} \\
a & =z^{m}-\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=\frac{z^{m}}{\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right)}=\frac{z^{m}}{\left(z^{m}-a\right)}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{z^{m}+a}{2\left(z^{m}-a\right)} \\
D=\operatorname{Re} \frac{z^{m}+a}{z^{m}-a}=\frac{\left|z^{m}\right|^{2}-|a|^{2}}{\left|z^{m}-a\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left(|z|^{m}-|a|\right)\left(|z|^{m}+|a|\right)}{\left|z^{m}-a\right|^{2}}, \\
|a| \leq\left|a_{1}+\cdots+a_{m}\right||z|^{m-1}+\cdots+\left|a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right| \leq m|z|^{m-1}+\binom{m}{2}|z|^{m-2}+\cdots+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|z|^{m}-|a| & \geq 2|z|^{m}-\left(|z|^{m}+m|z|^{m-1}+\binom{m}{2}|z|^{m-2}+\cdots+1\right) \\
& =2|z|^{m}-(|z|+1)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|z|>\theta=\left(2^{1 / m}-1\right)^{-1}$ we obtain $D>0$, that is $\operatorname{Re} s_{m}>1 / 2$. Finally if we note that $\theta_{m}=\left(2^{1 / m}-1\right)^{-1}$, then obviously $1=\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}<\cdots<\theta_{m}$ and therefore $\operatorname{Re} A=\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma+s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}\right)>0$ which proves the theorem.

REMARK. We can formulate Theorem 1 in the following form: Let the zeros $a_{k}, k=$ $1, \ldots, n$, of the polynomial $p(z)$ satisfy $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1$. Then the zeros $z$ of the polynomial $q(z)=\gamma p(z)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} z^{k} p^{(k)}(z)$ satisfy $|z| \leq \theta$, where $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq-1 / 2 \sum_{k=1 n}^{m} P_{k}$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, and $\theta=\left(2^{1 / m}-1\right)^{-1}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $f(z)=\exp \left(d+c z^{m}+b z^{m+1}+a z^{m+2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{m}\left(z / z_{k}\right)$ be a real entire function, where $m$ is a positive integer, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a \geq 0, b \leq 0, c \geq 0$, and the Weierstrass factors are

$$
E_{m}(\zeta)=(1-\zeta) \exp \left(\zeta+\zeta^{2} / 2+\cdots+\zeta^{m} / m\right)
$$

Let all zeros $z_{k}$ of $f(z)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Arg}\left(z_{k}\right) \in(-\pi /[2 m+2], \pi /[2 m+2])$. Then all zeros $z$ of $f^{\prime}(z)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $z$ be such that $f^{\prime}(z)=0$, and write $z=x+i y$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x<0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & (\log f(z))^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \\
= & m c z^{m-1}+(m+1) b z^{m}+(m+2) a z^{m+1} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{z-z_{k}}+\frac{1}{z_{k}}+\frac{z}{z_{k}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z_{k}}\left(\frac{z}{z_{k}}\right)^{m-1}\right] \\
= & \frac{m c z^{m} \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}+(m+1) b z^{m}+(m+2) a z^{m} z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(z / z_{k}\right)^{m}}{z-z_{k}} \\
= & z^{m}\left(\frac{m c \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}+(m+1) b+(m+2) a z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right) / z_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
B=\frac{m c \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}+(m+1) b+(m+2) a z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right) / z_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}} .
$$

If $f\left(z_{k}\right)=0$ then $f\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=0$, since $f(z)$ is real entire function. Let $z_{k}=x_{k}+i y_{k}$, $\bar{z}_{k}=x_{k}-i y_{k}$, where $x_{k}, y_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =2 B-\left[\frac{2 m c \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}+2(m+1) b+2(m+2) a z\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right) / z_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}}+\frac{\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right) / \bar{z}_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\bar{z}_{k}^{m}\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right)\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}+z_{k}^{m}\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right)\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}\right] / D_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{k}=\left|z_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}$.
Let $r=x-x_{k}, q=y-y_{k}, s=y+y_{k}$ and $z_{k}=p_{k}\left(\cos \varphi_{k}+i \sin \varphi_{k}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k}= & {\left[\bar{z}_{k}^{m}\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right)\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}+z_{k}^{m}\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right)\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}\right] / p_{k}^{m} } \\
= & {\left[\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-i \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right](r-i q)\left(r^{2}+q^{2}\right) } \\
& +\left[\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+i \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right](r-i s)\left(r^{2}+s^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \Delta_{k} & =\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-q \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]\left(r^{2}+s^{2}\right)+\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+s \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]\left(r^{2}+q^{2}\right) \\
& =r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(2 r^{2}+s^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(r^{2} s+q^{2} s-r^{2} q-s^{2} q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(r^{2}+y^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right)+2 y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(r^{2}-y^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right) \\
& =2\left(r^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right)\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]+2 y^{2}\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by hypothesis, $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m+2], \pi /[2 m+2])$, we have $\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)>0$, and if we assume that $\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right] \geq 0$, then $\left(x-x_{k}\right) \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+$ $y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) \leq 0$. Since $x<0, x_{k}=p_{k} \cos \varphi_{k}$ and $y_{k}=p_{k} \sin \varphi_{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{k} \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) \leq 0, \quad \text { or } \\
& \cos \varphi_{k} \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+\sin \varphi_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $\cos \left[(m-1) \varphi_{k}\right] \leq 0$, which is impossible since $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m+2], \pi /[2 m+$ 2]). Therefore, $\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]<0$. If we assume that $\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+\right.$ $\left.y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right] \geq 0$, by the same way we obtain $\cos \left[(m+1) \varphi_{k}\right] \leq 0$, which is impossible since $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m+2], \pi /[2 m+2])$. Therefore, $\left[r \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+y_{k} \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]<0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \Delta_{k}<0$, that is $\operatorname{Re} B<0$, because $a \geq 0, b \leq 0, c \geq 0$. But $A=z^{m} B=0$, that is $B=0$. The contradiction completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. Let $f(z)=\exp \left(d+c z^{m}+b z^{m+1}+a z^{m+2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{m}\left(z / z_{k}\right)$ be a real entire function, where $m$ is a positive integer and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq 0, c \geq 0$. Let all zeros $z_{k}$ of $f(z)$ satisfy $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m], \pi /[2 m])$, where $\varphi_{k}=\operatorname{Arg}\left(z_{k}\right)$. Let $V_{k}$ be the disk

$$
V_{k}=\left\{\left|z-\operatorname{Re} z_{k}\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Im} z_{k}\right| \frac{1+\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right|}{\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)}\right\}
$$

and let $M=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{k}$. Then if $f^{\prime}(z)$ has nonreal roots, they must belong to $M$.
PRoof. Let $z$ be such that $f^{\prime}(z)=0$ and $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, where $z=x+i y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y>0, z \neq z_{k}$ and $z \notin M$. Let $A=(\log f(z))^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$. As in Theorem 2 we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k}= & {\left[\bar{z}_{k}^{m}\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right)\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}+z_{k}^{m}\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right)\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}\right] / p_{k}^{m} } \\
= & {\left[\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-i \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right](r-i q)\left(r^{2}+s^{2}\right) } \\
& +\left[\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+i \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right](r-i s)\left(r^{2}+q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{Im} \Delta_{k} & =\left[q \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+r \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]\left(r^{2}+s^{2}\right)+\left[s \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)-r \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right]\left(r^{2}+q^{2}\right) \\
& =2 y\left(r^{2}+y^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right) \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)+4 y y_{k} r \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C_{k}=\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(r^{2}+y^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right)+2 \sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) y_{k} r$ and $R=\left|z-\operatorname{Re} z_{k}\right|$, so that $r^{2}+y^{2}=R^{2}$, and therefore $r=\varepsilon \sqrt{R^{2}-y^{2}}$, where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. We have

$$
C_{k} \geq \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(R^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right)-2\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) \| y_{k}\right| R
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) R^{2}-2\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right|\left|y_{k}\right| R-\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right) y_{k}^{2} \\
& =\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\left(R-R_{1}\right)\left(R-R_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R_{1}=\left|y_{k}\right| \frac{\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right|-1}{\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)}, \quad R_{2}=\left|y_{k}\right| \frac{\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right|+1}{\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)}
$$

Because $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m], \pi /[2 m])$, we have $\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)>0$. Then if $R>\left|\operatorname{Im} z_{k}\right|[1+$ $\left.\left|\sin \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)\right|\right] / \cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)$, we obtain $C_{k}>0$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} \Delta_{k}=-2 y C_{k}<0$, that is $\operatorname{Im} B<0$, because $a \leq 0, c \geq 0$, and from proof of Theorem 2 we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =2 B-\left[\frac{2 m c \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}+2(m+1) b+2(m+2) a z\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right) / z_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}}+\frac{\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right) / \bar{z}_{k}^{m}}{\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\bar{z}_{k}^{m}\left(\overline{z-z_{k}}\right)\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}+z_{k}^{m}\left(\bar{z}-z_{k}\right)\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}\right] / D_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{k} / D_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{k}=\left|z_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}\left|z-\bar{z}_{k}\right|^{2}\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{2}$.
But $A=z^{m} B=0$, that is $B=0$. This contradiction proves the theorem.
REMARK. Theorem 3 remains true if we change the condition $\varphi_{k} \in(-\pi /[2 m]$, $\pi /[2 m])$ to the condition $\cos \left(m \varphi_{k}\right)>0$.

COROLLARY 1. Let $f(z)=\exp \left(d+c z^{m}+b z^{m+1}+a z^{m+2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{m}\left(z / z_{k}\right)$ be a real entire function, where $m$ is a positive integer and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq 0, c \geq 0$ and all zeros $z_{k}$ of $f(z)$ are real and positive. Then all zeros of $f^{\prime}(z)$ are real.
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