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1. Introduction. Let $A$ be a separable $C^{*}$-algebra. Two representations $\pi$ and $\pi_{1}$ of $A$ on the Hilbert spaces $H$ and $H_{1}$, respectively are said to be quasi-equivalent (denoted by $\pi \sim \pi_{1}$ ) if projections of $H \oplus H_{1}$ on the invariant subspaces $H$ and $H_{1}$ of $\left(\pi \oplus \pi_{1}\right)(A)$ have the same central support in the commutant $\left(\pi \oplus \pi_{1}\right)(A)^{\prime}$ of $\left(\pi \oplus \pi_{1}\right)(A)$, or equivalently, if there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $\pi(A)^{\prime \prime}$ onto $\pi_{1}(A)^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\phi(\pi(x))=\pi_{1}(x)$ for all $x \in A(\mathrm{cf} .[\mathbf{5}, \S 5])$. A representation $\pi$ of $A$ is said to be a factor representation if the center of $\pi(A)^{\prime \prime}$ consists of scalar multiples of the identity. The relation $\sim$ partitions the set of factor representations of $A$ into quasi-equivalence classes. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the set of all quasi-equivalence classes of factor representations of $A$. Let $[\pi]$ denote the quasi-equivalence class containing the representation $\pi$.

Let $H$ be any Hilbert space and let $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$ be the space of all representations of $A$ on $H$ with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., $\pi_{n} \rightarrow \pi$ if and only if $\pi_{n}(x) \zeta \rightarrow \pi(x) \zeta$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in A, \zeta \in H$. For each $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$, let $H(\pi)$ denote the essential subspace of $\pi$, i.e. the orthogonal complement of the subspace $\{\zeta \in H \mid \pi(x) \zeta=0$ for all $x \in A\}$. The subspace $H(\pi)$ is invariant under $\pi(A)$. For every $\pi$ let $\pi^{\prime}$ denote the restriction of $\pi$ to $H(\pi)$. Let $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ be the set of all non-zero representations $\pi$ of $A$ on $H$ such that the restriction $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\pi$ to $H(\pi)$ is a factor representation on $H(\pi)$ and, for each $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$, let

$$
\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)=\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}(A, H) \mid \operatorname{dim} H(\pi)=n\} .
$$

Let $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ and $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$ have the topology induced by $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$.
From now on let $H$ be a fixed separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let $\left\{H_{n} \mid n=1,2, \ldots, \infty\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of subspaces of $H$ with $\operatorname{dim} H_{n}=n$ and $H_{\infty}=H$. Let $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ be the space of all nonzero factor representations of $A$ on $H_{n}$. The family $M$ of all subsets $X$ of $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ such that each set $\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \mid[\pi] \in X\right\}$ is a Borel set in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ is a $\sigma$-ring and $M$ is called the Mackey Borel structure of $\tilde{A}$ (cf. $[5, \S 7]$ ).

A state $f$ of $A$ is said to be a factor state of $A$ if the canonical representation $\pi_{f}$ induced by $f$ is a factor representation (cf. [5, § 2]). Let $F(A)$ be the space of all factor states of $A$ with the relativized $w^{*}$-topology. We say the factor states $f$ and $g$ are quasi-equivalent (denoted by $f \sim g$ ) if $\pi_{f} \sim \pi_{g}$.

Now let $\sim$ be the map of $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ (respectively $\left.\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right), F(A)\right)$ into

[^0]A given by $\sim(\pi)=\left[\pi^{\prime}\right]$ (respectively $\sim(\pi)=[\pi], \sim(f)=\left[\pi_{f}\right]$ ). In this note we show that $\sim$ is surjective and the Mackey Borel structure of $\tilde{A}$ is the quotient Borel structure, i.e., $X$ is a Mackey Borel set in $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ if and only if $\sim^{-1}(X)$ is Borel.
2. Borel structures. For every $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$, let $J_{n}$ be the space of all partial isometries of $H$ with domain support $H_{n}$ taken with the *-strong topology and let $U_{n}$ be the subgroup of $J_{n}$ of all partial isometries of $J_{n}$ whose range support is also $H_{n}$. Then the pair $\left(U_{n}, J_{n}\right)$ can be made into a transformation group by defining the action of $U_{n}$ on $J_{n}$ as $(u, j) \rightarrow j u^{*}$. If both $J_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ are polonais spaces (i.e. they are separable and metrizable by a complete metric) and if the function ( $u, j) \rightarrow j u^{*}$ is continuous $\left(U_{n}, J_{n}\right)$ is said to be a polonais transformation group. A subset $T_{n}$ of $J_{n}$ is said to be a transversal of $J_{n} / U_{n}$ if $T_{n}$ intersects each equivalence class $\left\{j U_{n} \mid j \in J_{n}\right\}$ in precisely one point. (cf. [6]). The first lemma applies to L. T. Gardner's analysis [8, Lemma 1] of polonais transformation groups to a wider class of objects needed in studying quasi-equivalence relations.

Lemma 1. For every $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$, the pair $\left(U_{n}, J_{n}\right)$ is a polonais transformation group and $J_{n} / U_{n}$ has a Borel transversal $T_{n}$.

Proof. Since the proof for $n=\infty$ is found in [8, Lemma 1], we assume that $n$ is finite. Let $\left\{\zeta_{i}\right\},\left\{\zeta_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{\zeta_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be sequences of unit vectors that are dense in the sets $\left\{\zeta \in H_{n} \mid\|\zeta\|=1\right\},\left\{\zeta \in H \ominus H_{n} \mid\|\zeta\|=1\right\}$ and $\{\zeta \in H \mid\|\zeta\|=1\}$ respectively. Let

$$
S_{i k}=\left\{x \in L_{1}(H)\left|\operatorname{lub}_{j}\right|\left(x \zeta_{i}, \zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid>1-k^{-1}\right\}
$$

for all $i, k=1,2, \ldots$, and let

$$
S_{i j k}=\left\{x \in L_{1}(H)| |\left(x \zeta_{i}{ }^{\prime}, \zeta_{j}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid<k^{-1}\right\}
$$

for all $i, j, k=1,2, \ldots$ The sets $S_{i k}, S_{i k}{ }^{*}, S_{i j k}, S_{i j k}{ }^{*}$ are open subsets of $L_{1}(H)$ with the ${ }^{*}$-strong topology. Thus the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n} & =\left(\cap S_{i k}\right) \cap\left(\cap S_{i j k}\right) \\
U_{n} & =J_{n} \cap J_{n}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

are $G_{\delta}$ sets in $L_{1}(H)$. Because $L_{1}(H)$ is a polonais space in the *-strong topology, the spaces $J_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ are polonais in the ${ }^{*}$-strong topology.

The remainder of the proof showing that $\left(U_{n}, J_{n}\right)$ is a transformation group and that $J_{n} / U_{n}$ has a Borel transversal can be proved in the same way as Lemma 1 in [8].

Now let $\theta_{n}$ be the map of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times J_{n}$ into $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$ given by $\theta_{n}(\pi, j)=j \pi j^{*}$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. For every $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$, the subset $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$ and the restriction $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\theta_{n}$ to $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$ is a Borel
isomorphism of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$ onto $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$ such that $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\pi, j)^{\prime} \sim \pi$ for all $(\pi, j) \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$.

Proof. The map $\theta_{n}$ is continuous since $J_{n}$ is taken with its *-strong topology. We show that $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is a one-one function. Let $\pi, \pi_{1}$ be in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ and $j, j_{1}$ be in $T_{n}$. If $j \pi j^{*}=j_{1} \pi_{1} j_{1}{ }^{*}$, then the ranges of $j$ and $j_{1}$ coincide. This follows from the fact that both $\left\{\pi(x) \zeta \mid x \in A, \zeta \in H_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi_{1}(x) \zeta \mid x \in A, \zeta \in H_{n}\right\}$ are dense in $H_{n}$; otherwise, the projection corresponding to the closure would be a nontrivial projection in the center of the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(A)$ or $\pi_{1}(A)$. This proves that $j^{*} j_{1}=u \in U_{n}$ and thus that $j=j_{1}$ and $\pi=\pi_{1}$. Hence the map $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is one-one. $\operatorname{Because} \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$ is a Borel subset of $\mathrm{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times J_{n}$ and in particular is a standard Borel space (i.e. is isomorphic to a Borel subspace of a polonais space) $[\mathbf{5}, 7.1 .2,3.7 .1$, Lemma $\mathbf{1 ; 1}$, p. 5] and because $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$ is a standard Borel space [5, 3.7.1] and in particular is a countably generated Borel space, the image of $\mathrm{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$ under $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$ [1, Proposition 2.5]. Now it is clear that $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\pi, j)^{\prime} \sim \pi$ and that $\operatorname{dim} H\left(\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\pi, j)\right)=n$. Therefore, the image of $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$. Thus, it remains to be shown that $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ maps onto $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$. If $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$, there is a $j \in J_{n}$ and a $\pi_{1} \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ with $j \pi_{1} j^{*}=\pi$. But $j$ may be written as $j=j_{1} u$ for $j_{1} \in T_{n}$ and $u$ in $U_{n}$. Because the restriction $\pi_{2}$ of $u \pi_{1} u^{*}$ to its invariant subspace $H_{n}$ is a nonzero factor representation, we see that $\pi$ is in the image of $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ by expressing $\pi$ as $\pi=\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}, j_{1}\right)$. Hence the image of $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is precisely $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$.

Corollary 3. The set $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Rep}(A, H)$.
Proof. The set $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ may be written as $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)=\cup \operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$.
We now give the first characterization of the Mackey Borel structure for A.
Theorem 4. The map $\sim: \pi \rightarrow[\pi \mid H(\pi)]=\left[\pi^{\prime}\right]$ of $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective and the quotient Borel structure of $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H) / \sim=\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Mackey Borel structure.

Proof. If $\pi$ is a nonzero factor representation of $A$ and if $\zeta$ is a nonzero vector in $H(\pi)$, then $\pi$ is quasi-equivalent to the representation $\pi$ restricted to the invariant subspace $K=\operatorname{clos}\{\pi(x) \zeta \mid x \in A\}$ (cf. [5,5.3.5]). Since $K$ is separable the representation $\pi$ is quasi-equivalent to a representation $\pi_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\pi_{1} \mid H\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ with $\pi_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ obtained by identifying $K$ with a subspace of $H$. Thus the map $\pi \rightarrow\left[\pi^{\prime}\right]$ of $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective.

For every $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$, let $\eta_{n}$ be the natural injection of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$. It is clear that the map $\eta_{n}$ is continuous and that $\pi \sim \pi_{1}$ for $\pi, \pi_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ if and only if $\eta_{n}(\pi)^{\prime} \sim \eta_{n}\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$.

Now let $X$ be a subset of $\tilde{A}$ and let $X^{\prime}=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}(A, H) \mid\left[\pi^{\prime}\right] \in X\right\}$. We notice that $\eta_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \mid[\pi] \in X\right\}$. On the one hand, assuming that $X^{\prime}$ is a Borel set in $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$, we show that $X$ is a Mackey Borel set. In fact, the set $\eta_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a Borel set in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ due to the continuity of
$\eta_{n}$. Hence, by the definition of the Mackey Borel structure, the set $X$ is a Mackey Borel set. On the other hand, assuming that $X$ is a Mackey Borel set, we show that $X^{\prime}$ is a Borel set in $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$. Because $\eta_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$, we have that $\eta_{n}^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \times T_{n}$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times$ $T_{n}$. This means that the set

$$
X_{n}=\theta_{n}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{n}^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \times T_{n}\right)
$$

is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}(A, H)$ and hence a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ (Proposition 2). We show $X^{\prime}$ is a Borel set in $\operatorname{Fac}(A, H)$ by showing that $X^{\prime}=\cup X_{n}$. If $\pi \in X_{n}$, then $\pi^{\prime}$ is quasi-equivalent to a representation $\pi_{1}$ in $\eta_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. We see that $\pi^{\prime} \sim \pi_{1} \sim \eta_{n}\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and consequently that $\pi \in X^{\prime}$ since $\eta_{n}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \in X^{\prime}$. Hence we get that $\cup X_{n} \subset X^{\prime}$. Conversely, if $\pi \in X^{\prime}$ and if $\operatorname{dim} H(\pi)=n$, there is a $\left(\pi_{1}, j\right) \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \times T_{n}$ such that $\theta_{n}\left(\pi_{1}, j\right)=\pi$ (Proposition 2). Since $\pi_{1} \sim \pi^{\prime}$, we get that $\left[\pi_{1}\right] \in X$ and consequently that $\pi_{1} \in \eta_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $\pi \in X_{n}$. This proves that $X^{\prime} \subset \cup X_{n}$. Therefore, we obtain that $X^{\prime}=\bigcup X_{n}$.

Before giving the next characterization of the Mackey Borel structure we need another lemma.

Lemma 5. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$, there is a continuous map $\phi=\phi_{n}$ of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ such that $\pi \sim \phi(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $K$ be the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of $H_{n}$. For each $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$, let $\pi_{0}$ be the representation in $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, K_{\infty}\right)$ given by the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of $\pi$ (cf. [5,5.3.1 (v)]). Let $u$ be an isometric isomorphism of the separable infinite dimensional space $K$ on to the separable infinite dimensional space $H$. Then the map $\phi(\pi)=u \pi_{0} u^{-1}$ maps $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$. Since $\pi_{0} \sim \pi$ [5, 5.3.5], we get that $\phi(\pi) \sim \pi$. Now all that remains is the demonstration that $\phi$ is continuous. Let $\pi_{i} \rightarrow \pi$ in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ and let $\zeta, \xi \in H$. There are sequences $\left\{\zeta_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{\xi_{m}\right\}$ in $H_{n}$ such that $\sum\left\|\zeta_{m}\right\|^{2}<+\infty$ and $\sum\left\|\xi_{m}\right\|^{2}<+\infty$ so that $u^{-1} \zeta=$ $\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \in K$ and $u^{-1} \xi=\left(\xi_{m}\right) \in K$. Now given $x \in A$ and $\epsilon>0$, there is a natural number $m_{0}$ and an index $i_{0}$ such that

$$
\sum\left\{\left\|\zeta_{m}\right\|^{2} \mid m \geqq m_{0}\right\}<\epsilon / 2, \quad \sum\left\{\left\|\xi_{m}\right\|^{2} \mid m \geqq m_{0}\right\}<\epsilon / 2,
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(\pi_{i}(x) \zeta_{m}, \xi_{m}\right)-\left(\pi(x) \zeta_{m}, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \leqq \epsilon / m_{0}
$$

for $m \leqq m_{0}$ and $i \geqq i_{0}$. Thus we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(\phi(\pi)(x) \zeta, \xi)-(\phi(\pi)(x) \zeta, \xi)| \\
& \quad \leqq \sum\left|\left(\pi_{i}(x) \zeta_{m}, \xi_{m}\right)-\left(\pi(x) \zeta_{m}, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq \epsilon+\epsilon \| x| |
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $i \geqq i_{0}$. This means that $\phi$ is continuous.

We now show that it is not at all necessary to consider $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ for $n$ finite when working with the Mackey Borel structure.

Theorem 6. The map $\sim: \pi \rightarrow[\pi]$ of $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective and the quotient Borel structure of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) / \sim=\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Mackey Borel structure on Ã.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be a nonzero factor representation of $A$ on a separable Hilbert space $H(\pi)$. As in Lemma 5 , the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of $\pi$ gives a factor representation that is quasi-equivalent to $\pi$ and is unitarily equivalent to a factor representation in $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$. This proves that the map $\sim$ is surjective.

Now let $X$ be a subset of $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ and let $X^{\prime}=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \mid[\pi] \in X\right\}$. First let $X^{\prime}$ be a Borel set of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$. We show that $X \in M$ by showing that $X_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right) \mid[\pi] \in X\right\}$ is Borel in $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$. Because the map $\phi_{n}$ of $\operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ constructed in Lemma 5 is continuous, it is sufficient to show that $X_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\phi_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. However, this relation is clear since $\phi_{n}(\pi) \sim \pi$ for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{n}\left(A, H_{n}\right)$. Thus we get that $X \in M$. Conversely, let $X \in M$. By definition of the Mackey Borel structure, the set $X^{\prime}$ is a Borel subset of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$. This proves that the quotient Borel structure of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) / \sim=\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Mackey Borel structure.

The next theorem characterizes the Mackey Borel structure in terms of the factor states. We first clarify the relation between the factor states of a $C^{*}$ algebra $A$ without identity and the factor states of the $C^{*}$-algebra $A_{e}$ with identity adjointed (cf. [5, 1.3.7]).

Lemma 7. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra without identity. Let $f_{0}$ be the unique element of $F\left(A_{e}\right)$ that vanishes on $A$. Then the mape of $F(A)$ into $F\left(A_{e}\right)$ mapping each element into its unique extension to $A_{e}$ is a Borel isomorphism onto the Borel set $F\left(A_{e}\right)-\left\{f_{0}\right\}$ of $F\left(A_{e}\right)$.

Proof. Let $e(f)$ be defined on $A_{e}$ by setting $e(f)(1)=1$. Then $e$ is a oneone continuous open map of $F(A)$ into $F\left(A_{e}\right)$ whose range is $F\left(A_{e}\right)-\left\{f_{0}\right\}$ (cf. [9, §3]). Because $F\left(A_{e}\right)$ and consequently $F(A)$ are standard Borel spaces (cf. $[\mathbf{1 0}, 3.4 .5]$ ), the map $e$ is a Borel isomorphism of $F(A)$ onto the Borel set (actually the open set) $F\left(A_{e}\right)-\left\{f_{0}\right\}$ [1, Lemma 2.5].

Theorem 8. The map $\sim: f \rightarrow\left[\pi_{f}\right]$ of $F(A)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective and the quotient Borel structure of $F(A) / \sim=\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Mackey Borel structure.

Proof. First assume $A$ has an identity element. Let $\zeta$ be a unit vector in $H$ and let $\omega$ be the functional on $L(H)$ given by $\omega(x)=(x \zeta, \zeta)$. For $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$, the canonical representation induced by the state $\omega \circ \pi$ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\pi$ [3, Proposition 3] and thus is quasiequivalent to $\pi[5,5.3 .5]$. Thus the map $\psi$ of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ defined by $\psi(\pi)=$ $\omega \circ \pi$ maps $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ into $F(A)$. Now it is clear that $\psi$ is continuous. We
show that $\psi$ is surjective. If $f \in F(A)$, let $\pi$ be the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of $\pi_{f}$. The representation $\pi$ is a factor representation of $A$ on the separable infinite dimensional space $K$ equal to countably infinitely many copies of the representation space $H(f)$ of $f$. There is an isometric isomorphism $u$ of $K$ onto $H$ that takes ( $\zeta_{f}, 0,0, \ldots$ ) into $\zeta$. Here $\zeta_{f}$ is a cyclic vector for $H(f)$ under $\pi_{f}(A)$ such that $\left(\pi_{f}(x) \zeta_{f}, \zeta_{f}\right)=f(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This means that the image under $\psi$ of the factor representation $u \pi u^{-1}$ on $H$ is precisely $f$. Hence $\psi$ is surjective. In particular, the map $\sim$ of $F(A)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective since the map $\pi \rightarrow[\pi]$ of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ into $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is surjective (Theorem 6 ) and since $\pi \sim \pi_{\psi(\pi)}$ for every $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$.

Now we show that the quotient Borel structure of $F(A) / \sim=\tilde{A}$ is the Mackey Borel structure. Let $X$ be a subset of $\tilde{A}$ and let

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \mid[\pi] \in X\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad X^{\prime \prime}=\left\{f \in F(A) \mid\left[\pi_{f}\right] \in X\right\} .
$$

Since $\pi \sim \pi_{\psi(\pi)}$ for every $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$, we have that $\psi^{-1}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)=X^{\prime}$. Because $\psi$ is surjective, we also have that $\psi\left(X^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime \prime}$. If $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a Borel set in $F(A)$, the set $X^{\prime}$ is Borel in $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ because $\psi$ is continuous. From Theorem 6 we obtain that $X \in M$ and consequently the quotient Borel structure is contained in the Mackey Borel structure. Conversely, if $X \in M$, then $X^{\prime}$ and its complement $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)-X^{\prime}$ are Borel subsets of $\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$. Because $X^{\prime}$ is saturated (i.e., $\pi \sim \pi_{1} \in X^{\prime}$ for $\pi \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ implies $\pi \in X^{\prime}$ ), its complement is also saturated. Due to the continuity of $\psi$, the analytic sets $\psi\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\psi\left(\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)-X^{\prime}\right)$ form a partition of $F(A)$. This proves that $\psi\left(X^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime \prime}$ is a Borel set in $F(A)[\mathbf{2}, \S 6$, Theorem 2, Corollary]. Thus the Mackey Borel structure is contained in the quotient Borel structure. Therefore, the quotient and the Mackey Borel structures coincide in $F(A) / \sim$.

Now assume $A$ does not have an identity. Let $A_{e}$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ with identity adjoined. As before let $X$ be a subset of $\tilde{A}$ and let $X^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left\{f \in F(A) \mid\left[\pi_{f}\right] \in X\right\}$. The set $X$ is Mackey Borel if and only if $\left\{[\pi] \in \tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{e} \mid[\pi \mid A] \in X\right\}=Y$ is Mackey Borel in $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{e}$ since $A$ is a closed twosided ideal in $A_{e}$ [4, Proposition 2]. The set $Y$ is Mackey Borel if and only if

$$
Y^{\prime \prime}=\left\{f \in F\left(A_{e}\right) \mid\left[\pi_{f}\right] \in Y\right\}=\left\{f \in F\left(A_{e}\right) \mid\left[\pi_{f} \mid A\right] \in X\right\}
$$

is a Borel set in $F\left(A_{e}\right)$ due to the first part of this theorem. However, we have that $e\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)=Y^{\prime \prime}$. In fact, if $f \in X^{\prime \prime}$, then $\pi=\pi_{e(f)} \mid A \sim \pi_{f}$ since the canonical representation induced by $\omega_{5 f} \circ \pi=f$ is quasi-equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\pi$ [3, Proposition 3] and thus is equivalent to $\pi[5,5.3 .5]$. So $f \in X^{\prime \prime}$ implies $e(f) \in Y^{\prime \prime}$. Conversely, if $f \in Y^{\prime \prime}$, then $g=f \mid A \in F(A)$ and $\pi_{g} \sim \pi_{f} \mid A$ Thus $f \in Y^{\prime \prime}$ implies $f \mid A \in X^{\prime \prime}$ and $e(f \mid A)=f$; so $e\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)=Y^{\prime \prime}$. Now, by Lemma 7, we have that $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a Borel set in $F(A)$ if and only if $Y^{\prime \prime}$ is a Borel set in $F\left(A_{e}\right)$. Thus Theorem 8 for $C^{*}$-algebras without identity follows from the theorem for algebras with identity.

Corollary 9. The saturation of every point in $F(A)$ is a Borel set in $F(A)$.
Proof. Every point of $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is a Mackey Borel set (cf. [5, 7.2.4]).
Remark. In [9] we showed that the saturation of every open subset of $F(A)$ is open. This means that the hull-kernel Borel structure of $\tilde{A}$ is weaker than the Mackey Borel structure of $\tilde{A}$.
3. Application to central decomposition. Using the definition of the Mackey Borel structure given in Theorem 8, we can identify the central decomposition of states (cf. [ $\mathbf{1 0}, 3.5 \mathrm{ff}$.$] ) with the central decomposition of$ separable representations (cf. [5, 8.4]). Then, directly from the result of E. Effros [7] characterizing the measures that arise in the central decomposition of separable representations, we obtain a characterization of the measures that arise in the central decomposition of states. This gives an answer to a question raised by S. Sakai [10, p. 151].

In the sequel let $\tilde{A}$ have the Mackey Borel structure and let $F(A)$ have the Borel structure induced by the $w^{*}$-topology. The following result is patterned after [5, 7.3.2].

Proposition 10. If $X$ is a Borel set in $F(A)$ such that $X$ intersects each quasiequivalence class of $F(A)$ in at most one point, then the map $f \rightarrow\left[\pi_{f}\right]$ is a Borel isomorphism of $X$ onto a Borel subset of $\tilde{A}$.

Proof. Let $Y=\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \in F(A) \times F(A) \mid f_{1} \sim f_{2}\right\}$. The map $\psi \times \psi$ of $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \times \mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ onto $F(A) \times F(A)$ obtained from the map $\psi$ defined in Theorem 8 is continuous. Since $\psi\left(\pi_{1}\right) \sim \psi\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ if and only if $\pi_{1} \sim \pi_{2}$, the set $Y$ is the image under $\psi \times \psi$ of the set $Y^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \times\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \mid \pi_{1} \sim \pi_{2}\right\}$ and the complement of $Y$ is the image of the complement of $Y^{\prime}$. Because $Y^{\prime}$ is a Borel set of $\mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right) \times \mathrm{Fac}_{\infty}\left(A, H_{\infty}\right)$ [5, 7.3.2], the set $Y$ is a Borel set of $F(A) \times F(A)[\mathbf{2}, \S 6$, Theorem 2, Corollary]. Therefore, the set $(X \times F(A)) \cap Y$ is a Borel set in $F(A) \times F(A)$. The map that projects a pair of $F(A) \times F(A)$ onto the second coordinate is continuous and is one-one when confined to the set $(X \times F(A)) \cap Y$. This means that the image of $(X \times F(A)) \cap Y$ under the projection is a Borel subset of $F(A)$ [1, Proposition 2.5]. But this image is simply the saturation of $X$. Thus, the set $\left\{\left[\pi_{f}\right] \mid f \in X\right\}$ is a Mackey Borel set in $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$ (Theorem 8). If $X^{\prime}$ is any Borel subset of $X$, then the same proof shows that $\left\{\left[\pi_{f}\right] \mid f \in X^{\prime}\right\}$ is a Borel subset of $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$. Because $f \rightarrow\left[\pi_{f}\right]$ is a Borel map of $F(A)$, it is now clear that the map $f \rightarrow\left[\pi_{f}\right]$ is a Borel isomorphism of $X$ onto a Borel subset of $\bar{A}$.

The following theorem can be obtained almost directly from [7] by the use of Proposition 10. For this assume $A$ has an identity.

Theorem 11. A probability Radon measure $\mu$ on $F(A)$ arises from the central decomposition of a state of $A$ if and only if there is a Borel set $X$ in $F(A)$ with $\mu(X)=1$ such that the weakest Borel structure on $X$ induced by the family of
maps $f \rightarrow f(c)$, where c runs through the center of the sequential weak-operator closure of $A$ in its enveloping von Neumann algebra, coincides with the Borel structure on $X$ induced by $F(A)$. Here each $f$ in $F(A)$ is identified with its unique extension to a $\sigma$-weakly continuous functional on its enveloping von Neumann algebra.

Proof. If the two Borel structures on $X$ coincide, then $X$ intersects each quasi-equivalence class in at most one point because the family of maps fails to distinguish quasi-equivalent functionals. Then $X$ may be identified with a Borel set in $\tilde{A}$ (Proposition 10) and the result of Effros [7] gives the theorem.

The converse is to be found in the proof of $[\mathbf{1 0}, 3.5 .7]$.
Added April 9, 1974. If $A$ is a $G C R$ algebra, I have proved that $F(A)$ has a Borel transversal for the relation of quasi-equivalence.
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