

MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS BASED ON DIRICHLET CONVOLUTION

CHIKKANNA SELVARAJ AND SUGUNA SELVARAJ

ABSTRACT. This paper is a study of summability methods that are based on Dirichlet convolution. If $f(n)$ is a function on positive integers and x is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} (f * x)(k) = L$, then x is said to be A_f -summable to L . The necessary and sufficient condition for the matrix A_f to preserve bounded variation of sequences is established. Also, the matrix A_f is investigated as $\ell - \ell$ and $G - G$ mappings. The strength of the A_f -matrix is also discussed.

1. **Introduction.** If $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are real-valued functions defined on positive integers, then the Dirichlet convolution of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)g(d).$$

In 1960, Rubel [6] introduced the sequence to sequence summability method as follows:

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is A_μ -summable to L if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\mu * a)(k) = L,$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the *Möbius function*. It can be easily seen that this summability method is indeed a matrix transformation, by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\mu * a)(k) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) a_d \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^n \frac{1}{d} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{d}} \frac{\mu(q)}{q} a_d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lower triangular matrix A_μ is given by

$$A_\mu(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{\mu(q)}{q}, & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Rubel [6] proved that the matrix A_μ satisfies the Silverman-Toeplitz conditions and hence is regular. In 1964, Segal [7] introduced the generalization of the matrix A_μ and gave the characterization of classes of functions $f(n)$ satisfying the condition that the matrix A_f given by

$$A_f(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q}, & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors May 9, 1996.

AMS subject classification: 11A25, 40A05, 40C05, 40D05.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1997.

is regular. In this paper, we investigate the classes of functions $f(n)$ which satisfy the condition that the corresponding matrices A_f preserve bounded variation of sequences. Also, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the matrix A_f to be a $G - G$, $G - \ell$ and $\ell - \ell$ matrix. In Section 3 we establish two comparison theorems related to the summability method A_f . We have given below the basic notations and definitions used in this paper.

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \left\{ x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta x_k| < \infty \right\}. \\
 \ell &= \left\{ x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty \right\}. \\
 G &= \{ x : x_k = O(r^k) \text{ for some } r \in (0, 1) \} \\
 &\equiv \{ x : \limsup_k |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} < 1 \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.1. We call a matrix A an $X - Y$ matrix if Ax is in the set Y whenever x is in X .

DEFINITION 1.2. An $X - Y$ matrix A is said to be *sum-preserving* if for each $x \in X$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is of bounded variation if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta x_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k - x_{k+1}| < \infty.$$

Fricke and Fridy [2] introduced the set G as the set of sequences that are dominated by a convergent geometric sequence and gave characterizations of $G - \ell$ and $G - G$ matrices as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. *The matrix A is a $G - \ell$ matrix if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = M_k < \infty \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\limsup_k M_k^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *The matrix A is a $G - G$ matrix if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant M and an $r \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$|a_{nk}| \leq Mr^n(1 + \epsilon)^k \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } k.$$

THEOREM 1.3. *The $\ell - \ell$ or $G - \ell$ matrix A is sum-preserving if and only if for each k ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1.$$

2. A_f Transformations of various sets. In this section we consider the A_f -transformations of the sets B , ℓ and G .

In [5] Mears gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix $[a_{nk}]$ to preserve the bounded variation of sequences. It can be easily verified that a lower triangular matrix $[a_{nk}]$ maps the set B into B if and only if

$$\sup_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n-1,k}| < \infty.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *The matrix A_f preserves the bounded variation of the sequences if and only if $f \frac{f(n)}{n}$ is in ℓ .*

PROOF. Assuming that $\frac{f(n)}{n}$ is in ℓ , we want to show that

$$(1) \quad \sup_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n-1}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| < \infty.$$

For each k , let us evaluate the summation over n by considering the cases where (i) n is not divisible by k and (ii) n is divisible by k .

CASE (i). If n is not divisible by k , then $k \geq 2$. So, $n = kp + m$ where p is an integer ≥ 0 and $1 \leq m < k$. Therefore, $[\frac{n}{k}] = p$ and $[\frac{n-1}{k}] = [\frac{kp+m-1}{k}] = p$, since $m-1 < k$. Hence, for each such n ,

$$\sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq \frac{n-1}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} = 0.$$

Thus for each k in (1), the n 's not divisible by k contribute zeros to the summation over n .

CASE (ii). If n is divisible by k , then for $k = 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq n-1} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right|.$$

If n is divisible by k and $k \geq 2$, we have $n = kp$ for some positive integer p . Therefore, $[\frac{n}{k}] = p$ and $[\frac{n-1}{k}] = [p - \frac{1}{k}] = p - 1$. Hence, for each such n ,

$$\sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq \frac{n-1}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} = \frac{f(p)}{p}.$$

Thus for each k ,

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq \frac{n-1}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{n=kp \\ p=1,2,\dots}} \left| \frac{f(p)}{p} \right| = \frac{M}{k},$$

by the assumption. Hence A_f is a $B - B$ matrix.

Conversely, if the matrix A_f maps B into B , then the condition (1) is true. When $k = 1$ we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq n-1} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| \leq M.$$

Hence, the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 2.1. *If A_g is a $B-B$ matrix and $f(n) = O(g(n))$, then A_f is also a $B-B$ matrix.*

We give below some examples of arithmetic functions such that the associated matrices A_f preserve bounded variation of sequences.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $f(n) = O(g(n))$, where $g(n) = \frac{1}{n^m}$ for fixed $m > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{g(n)}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \text{where } s > 1$$

$$= \zeta(s)$$

where ζ is the *Riemann-Zeta function*.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $f(n) = O(g(n))$, where $g(n) = \frac{\mu(n)}{n^m}$ for fixed $m > 0$. Since $|\mu(n)| \leq 1$ for all n , we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{g(n)}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s).$$

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $f(n) = \frac{d(n)}{n^m}$ for fixed $m > 0$, where $d(n)$ is the *divisor function* given by $d(n) =$ number of positive divisors of n . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^s}, \quad \text{where } s > 1,$$

$$= \zeta^2(s)$$

by the formula in [1, p. 24].

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $f(n) = \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^m}$ for fixed $m > 0$, where $\Lambda(n)$ is *von Mangoldt function* given by

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ where } p \text{ is prime and } m > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by the formula in [1, p. 24], we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}, \quad \text{where } s > 1,$$

$$= -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}.$$

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let $f(n) = \frac{\psi(n)}{n^s}$ for fixed $s > 1$, where $\psi(n)$ is the *Chebyshev's function* given by $\psi(n) = \sum_{k \leq n} \Lambda(k)$. Since $\psi(n) = O(n)$ [1, p. 5], we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{M}{n^s} = M\zeta(s).$$

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $f(n) = \frac{\phi(n)}{n^m}$ for fixed $m > 0$, where $\phi(n)$ is the *Euler's function* given by $\phi(n) =$ number of $m \leq n$ such that $(m, n) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^s}, \quad \text{where } s > 1, \\ &= \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions on the arithmetic function $f(n)$ such that the associated matrix A_f maps G into G and ℓ into ℓ .

THEOREM 2.2. *The matrix A_f is a $G - G$ matrix if and only if $f(n) \in G$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $f(n)$ is in G . Then there exists an $M > 0$ and an $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|f(n)| \leq Mr^n, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_f(n, k)| &= \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{k} \sum_{q \leq n+1} r^q \\ &= \frac{Mr}{k} (1 - r)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $H > 0$ and $1 - r = s \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|A_f(n, k)| \leq Hs^n(1 + \epsilon)^k,$$

for all n and k . By Theorem 1.2, A_f is a $G - G$ matrix.

Conversely, if A_f is a $G - G$ matrix, then for all n and k , we have a $B > 0$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|A_f(n, k)| \leq Bs^n(1 + \epsilon)^k.$$

When $k = 1$, for all n ,

$$\left| \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| \leq Bs^n.$$

Therefore, for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(n)| &\leq \left| \frac{f(n)}{n} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} - \sum_{q \leq n-1} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| + \left| \sum_{q \leq n-1} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right| \\ &\leq Bs^n + Bs^{n-1} = Hs^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the theorem is true.

The next two examples demonstrate the following general relationship.

REMARK 2.1. If A_f is a $G - G$ matrix, then $A_f^{-1}[G]$ is larger than G .

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let $a_n = \frac{1}{n^s}$ for fixed $s > 0$. Then $a_n \notin G$, because

$$\limsup_n |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1.$$

If A_f is $G - G$ matrix, then by Theorem 2.2, $f(n) \in G$ which yields that

$$|f(n)| \leq Mr^n, \quad \text{for some } r \in (0, 1).$$

Therefore, for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_f a)_n| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{|f(q)|}{q} \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{q \leq n+1} Mr^q \\ &= H(1-r)^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \\ &< H\zeta(s+1)(1-r)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - r \in (0, 1)$, we have that $(A_f a)_n \in G$.

EXAMPLE 2.8. If we choose $a_n = \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$ for $s > 0$, then we get $\limsup_n |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$, because $|\mu(n)| = 1$ or 0 , for all n . So, $a_n \notin G$ and as in Example 2.7, we can show that $(A_f a)_n \in G$.

THEOREM 2.3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The matrix A_f is $\ell - \ell$;*
- (ii) *The matrix A_f is $G - \ell$;*
- (iii) *The first column of the matrix A_f is in ℓ .*

PROOF. It is obvious that (i) implies (ii), because $G \subset \ell$. To see that (ii) implies (iii), suppose that A_f is $G - \ell$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, k)| = M_k < \infty, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, 1)| = M_1 < \infty.$$

In order to prove the remaining implication, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *In the matrix A_f , all column sums are equal.*

PROOF. For any fixed k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_f(n, k) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left[\sum_{n < k} \left(\sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right) + \sum_{k \leq n < 2k} \left(\sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right) + \sum_{2k \leq n < 3k} \left(\sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \right) + \dots \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the above infinite sum is zero. In each of the remaining terms, if $pk \leq n < (p+1)k$, then $\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor = p$ and n takes exactly k different values. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_f(n, k) &= \frac{1}{k} \left[k \sum_{q \leq 1} \frac{f(q)}{q} + k \sum_{q \leq 2} \frac{f(q)}{q} + \dots \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q \leq n} \frac{f(q)}{q} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_f(n, 1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

Repeating the above proof for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, k)|$, we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *In the matrix A_f , absolute column sums are equal.*

Now, let us prove that (iii) implies (i) of Theorem 2.3. Consider

$$\sup_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, k)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, 1)| < \infty,$$

by (iii). Hence by the Knopp-Lorentz [4] Theorem, A_f is an $\ell - \ell$ matrix.

EXAMPLE 2.9. For fixed $s > 1$, let

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 - \zeta(s+1), & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{n^s}, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then in the corresponding matrix A_f ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_f(n, 1) &= \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{f(q)}{q} \\ &= -\zeta(s+1) + \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{q^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the known result that

$$\sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^s} = \frac{n^{1-s}}{1-s} + \zeta(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^s}\right),$$

we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, 1)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{sn^s} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{s+1}}\right) \right|,$$

which converges since $s > 1$. Thus, A_f is $\ell - \ell$ and $G - \ell$ matrix.

EXAMPLE 2.10. If $f(n)$ is given by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{n+1}{(1-n)^{2n+1}}, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

then the matrix A_f is $\ell - \ell$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{f(q)}{q} &= 1 - \sum_{q=2}^n \frac{(q+1)}{q(q-1)2^{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n2^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, 1)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n2^{n-1}},$$

which is convergent.

EXAMPLE 2.11. By defining $f(n)$ as

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{(n+1)-n^2}{(n+1)!}, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{f(q)}{q} &= \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{q=2}^n \frac{q^2 - q - 1}{(q+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{q=2}^n \frac{(q+1)(q-1) - q}{(q+1)!} \\ &= \frac{n}{(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_f(n, 1)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(n+1)!}.$$

This sum converges to 1, because the partial sum

$$S_N = 1 - \frac{1}{(N+1)!}$$

approaches 1 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the matrix A_f is $\ell - \ell$ and $G - l$. Also, A_f is sum-preserving over ℓ and G by Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.1.

3. **Additional theorems.** In this section, we attempt to assess the strength of A_f -matrix. When $f(n) = \mu(n)$, the matrix A_μ is regular [6]. We notice that A_μ is stronger than the identity matrix, because for the non-convergent sequence a_n when $a_n = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$, the n -th term of the transformed sequence is given by

$$(A_\mu a)_n = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \frac{\sigma(d)}{d}.$$

Since $\frac{\sigma(k)}{k} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} d = \sum_{d|k} \frac{d}{k} = \sum_{d|k} \frac{1}{\frac{k}{d}}$, by Möbius Inversion formula [1, p. 2] we get that

$$\frac{1}{k} = \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \frac{\sigma(d)}{d}.$$

Thus,

$$(A_\mu a)_n = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2},$$

which converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Segal [8] gave a necessary condition on the function $f(n)$ for the regularity of A_f . We note that if $f(n)$ is a non-negative arithmetic function such that $f(1) \neq 0$ and $f \in c_0$, then A_f is not regular.

Since $f \in c_0$, there exists an N such that $f(n) < \frac{1}{2}f(1)$ for all $n > N$. Hence, for a sequence $a_n \equiv 1$, we have that

$$(A_f a)_n = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} f\left(\frac{k}{d}\right).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(A_f a)_n$ approaches

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} f(d) &> \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p, \text{ prime}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} [f(1) + f(p)] \\ &= \sum_{p \leq N} \frac{1}{p} [f(1) + f(p)] + \sum_{p > N} \frac{1}{p} [f(1) + f(p)] \\ &> M + \sum_{p > N} \frac{1}{p} [f(1) - \frac{1}{2}f(1)] \\ &= M + \frac{f(1)}{2} \sum_{p > N} \frac{1}{p}, \end{aligned}$$

which diverges.

Next, we compare the A_f -matrix with the Divisor matrix [3] which is given by

$$D(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\sigma(n)}, & \text{if } k|n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma(n)$ = sum of divisors of n . Fridy [4] showed that D is a regular matrix and for any triangular matrix M , if M^{-1} exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(n, n) = 0$, then D does not include M .

THEOREM 3.1. *Divisor matrix D does not include A_f -matrix for any f with $f(1) \neq 0$.*

PROOF. A_f is a lower triangular matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} A_f(n, n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{q \leq 1} \frac{f(q)}{q} \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{n} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, A_f^{-1} exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_f(n, n) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.2. *If a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is Divisor summable to L such that $(Da)_n = L + o(1/n \log \log n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{a_n\}$ is A_f -summable to L for any regular A_f .*

PROOF. Since $(Da)_k = \sum_{m|k} \frac{m}{\sigma(k)} a_m$, we get that

$$(Da)_k \sigma(k) = \sum_{m|k} m a_m.$$

Möbius inversion formula yields that

$$k a_k = \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) (Da)_m \sigma(m).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_f a)_n &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} a_k = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{a_k}{k} B_k \quad (\text{say}) \\ &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) (Da)_m \sigma(m) B_k \\ &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{L}{k^2} \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \sigma(m) B_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) o\left(\frac{1}{m \log \log m}\right) \sigma(m) B_k, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \\ &= S_1 + S_2 \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(k) = \sum_{m|k} m$ implies that

$$k = \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \sigma(m),$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{L}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q} \\ &= (A_f L)_n, \end{aligned}$$

where L is a constant sequence. The regularity of A_f yields that $S_1 \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We know that $\sigma(n) = O(n \log \log n)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{m|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) o(1) B_k, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} O\left(\sum_{m|k} \left|\mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\right|\right) B_k, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} O\left(\sum_{m|k} 1\right) B_k \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} O(d(k)) B_k \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} O(k^{\frac{1}{2}}) B_k \quad [1, \text{p. 19}] \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{q \leq \frac{n}{k}} \frac{f(q)}{q}\right], \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= \sum_{k \leq n} u_k A_f(n, k),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{u_k\}$ is a sequence such that $|u_k| \leq M/k^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and hence $u_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the regularity of A_f yields that $S_2 = (A_f u)_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. K. Chandrasekharan, *Arithmetical functions*. Chapter I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
2. G. H. Fricke and J. A. Fridy, *Matrix summability of geometrically dominated series*. *Canad. J. Math.* (3) **39**(1987), 568–582.
3. J. A. Fridy, *Divisor summability methods*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2) **12**(1965), 235–243.
4. K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz, *Beiträge zur absoluten limitierung*. *Arch. Math.* **2**(1949), 10–16.
5. F. M. Mears, *Absolute regularity and the Nořlund mean*. *Ann. of Math.* (3) **38**(1937), 594–601.
6. L. A. Rubel, *An abelian theorem for number-theoretic sums*. *Acta Arith.* **6**(1960), 175–177; Correction, *Acta Arith.* **6**(1961), 523.
7. S. L. Segal, *Dirichlet convolutions and the Silverman-Toeplitz conditions*, *Acta Arith.* **X**(1964), 287–291.
8. ———, *A note on Dirichlet convolutions*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* (4) **9**(1966), 457–462.

Penn State University—Shenango Campus

147, Shenango Avenue

Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146

U.S.A.

e-mail: ulf@psuvm.psu.edu

e-mail: sxs32@psuvm.psu.edu