as Juno will precisely find in the war's delaying function (morae) the only way in which she can at least postpone (morari) the eventual accomplishment of a destiny she does not like. ${ }^{8}$

Intertextuality as well as the very peculiar literary self-positioning of Ovid's Heroides may thus help detect a further and, as I believe, much more compelling argument for the old, and good, conjecture $d i$ at Her. 7.21.

## NEW ACROSTICS IN OVID?*


#### Abstract

This article highlights two possible unnoticed acrostics in Ovid's Metamorphoses concerning the predictions of Calchas and Helenus.
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At the beginning of Book 12 of the Metamorphoses, Ovid makes the transition from 'mythical' events to the so-called 'historical' narration, ${ }^{1}$ the Trojan War and Aesacus' funeral. Calchas, the skilled augur, pronounces his famous omen at this event after contemplating the scene of a serpent devouring eight fledgling birds along with their mother. The text runs as follows (Met. 12.18-23):
at ueri prouidus augur
Thestorides 'uincemus', ait, 'gaudete, Pelasgi!
Troia cadet, sed erit nostri mora longa laboris', atque nouem uolucres in belli digerit annos; ille, ut erat uirides amplexus in arbore ramos, fit lapis et seruat serpentis imagine saxum.

[^0]Immediately he prophesies from the nine birds nine years of war. These verses introduce for the first time in the Metamorphoses the history of Troy and the near future of Rome as its successor.

The aim of this note is to discuss whether a key is hidden in such an important structural point, thus drawing our attention to this highly significant moment for both Troy and Rome, with Ovid's use of an as yet undetected acrostic (Met. 12.20-3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Troia cadet, sed erit nostri mora longa laboris', } \\
& \text { atque nouem uolucres in belli digerit annos; } \\
& \text { ille, ut erat uirides amplexus in arbore ramos, } \\
& \text { fit lapis et seruat serpentis imagine saxum. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is reasonable to conjecture that Ovid is playing here with both the fall of Troy and the future appearance of Rome: with the initial Troia cadet being balanced by the reverse acrostic fiat Troia. At 12.23 the verse also opens with fit, producing something like an inversion of the $\Gamma$-acrostic in Aratus (Phaen. 783-7), ${ }^{2}$ including a polyptoton within the acrostic. ${ }^{3}$ First, the attention of the careful reader should be drawn to the existence of fiat formed backwards in the margin in the acrostic. Moreover, this would not be the first instance of an acrostic in reverse. In fact, recent scholarship has endeavoured to report new discoveries of this kind of linguistic device. ${ }^{4}$ Robinson, particularly, tries to explain how acrostics work, and how they could be understood: 'It can be helpful to think about acrostics in the same way as we think about allusion and intertextuality. ${ }^{5}$ This would not be the first instance of an acrostic in reverse either; the authorial signature MA-VE-PV, representing the name of Publius Vergilius Maro in reverse in Verg. G. $1.429-33$, is a good example. And as Danielewicz suggests, ${ }^{6}$ we can also find another reverse acrostic in Verg. G. 1.439-43-namely, scies:
signa dabit; solem certissima signa sequentur, et quae mane refert et quae surgentibus astris.
ille ubi nascentem maculis uariauerit ortum conditus in nubem medioque refugerit orbe, suspecti tibi sint imbres: namque urget ab alto.

2

See J. Kwapisz, 'The technê of Aratus' leptê acrostich', Enthymema 23 (2019), 374-89.
${ }^{3}$ See also another comparable polyptoton within an acrostic in Manilius 1.705-10. Cf. P. Bielsa i Mialet, 'Manili: un nou acròstic', Faventia 22 (2000), 135-9.
${ }^{4}$ See C. Luz, Technopaignia. Formspiele in der griechischen Dichtung (Leiden and Boston, 2010), 1-77. For recent bibliography on acrostics in Latin literature, see J.T. Katz, 'The Muse at play: an introduction', in J. Kwapisz, D. Petrain and M. Szymański (edd.), The Muse at Play. Riddles and Wordplay in Greek and Latin Poetry (Berlin, 2013), 1-30; R.M. Colborn, Manilius on the Nature of the Universe (Diss., Oxford University, 2015), 113-19 and J.T. Katz, 'Another Virgilian signature in the Georgics?', in P. Mitsis and I. Ziogas (edd.), Wordplay and Powerplay in Latin Poetry (Berlin, 2016), 69-85. See also M. Robinson, 'Looking edgeways. Pursuing acrostics in Ovid and Virgil', $C Q$ 69 (2019a), 290-308 and M. Robinson, 'Arms and a mouse: approaching acrostics in Ovid and Vergil', MD 82 (2019b), 23-73. Finally, see K. Mitchell, 'Acrostics and telestichs in Augustan poetry: Ovid's edgy and subversive sideswipes', CCJ 66 (2020), 165-81, at 171-80.
${ }^{5}$ Robinson (n. 4 [2019a]), 290.
${ }^{6}$ J. Danielewicz, 'Vergil's certissima signa reinterpreted: the Aratean lepte-acrostic in Georgics 1', Eos 100 (2013), 287-95.

But an acrostic could work here not only as an allusion to an implicit idea or text. I think we can go further. Any educated person in Rome knew, at the very least from Virgil's Aeneid, that the foundation of Rome originated in the diaspora following the fall of Troy, the arrival of Aeneas in Italy, and the founding initially of Alba Longa and subsequently of Rome itself. In this way, the idea provided in the acrostic can be reinforced by the fact that the words mora and longa appear linked together (Met. 12.20):

## Troia cadet, sed erit nostri mora longa laboris

longa could evoke Alba Longa (Ov. Fast. 2.499; Verg. Aen. 6.766), and mora is one of the usual anagrams of Roma. The function of mora, and its relationship with Rome, can be perceived in some passages of the Aeneid, for example 1.414, 1.670-1, 4.347, 4.566-70, 12.11 (nulla mora in Turno). ${ }^{7}$ Even the word ramos could be seen as another anagram of Roma in the plural, using the idea of Rome as a second Troy: altera Troiae | Pergama (Verg. Aen. 3.86-7).

This important structural moment is balanced by another prophecy in Ov. Met. 15.439-49. Helenus, the twin brother of Cassandra, predicts to Aeneas his own destiny and, at the same time, the future of Rome, beginning with Aeneas himself:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 'nate dea, si nota satis praesagia nostrae } & \\
\text { mentis habes, non tota cadet te sospite Troia: } & 440  \tag{440}\\
\text { flamma tibi ferrumque dabunt iter; ibis et una } & \\
\text { Pergama rapta feres, donec Troiaeque tibique } & \\
\text { externum patrio contingat amicius aruum. } & \\
\text { Vrbem etiam cerno Phrygios debere nepotes, } & \\
\text { quanta nec est nec erit nec uisa prioribus annis. } & 445 \\
\text { hanc aliii proceres per saecula longa potentem, } & \\
\text { sed dominam rerum de sanguine natus Iuli } & \\
\text { efficiet; quo cum tellus erit usa, fruentur, } & \\
\text { aetheriae sedes, caelumque erit exitus illi.? } &
\end{array}
$$

Contrary to the first prediction of Calchas, Troy will not fall entirely while Aeneas lives. The one born to the bloodline of Julius is Augustus, as is well known; but more surprising is the plausible reverse acrostic in Met. 15.440-1, flamen; this may be addressed both to Aeneas and to Augustus, as religious reformer, suggesting the development of the imperial cult, or the flamen of the deified Julius Caesar. At this point the ground we tread upon seems unsure, from the moment that the emperor's old enemy, Mark Antony, was the first flamen of Julius Caesar. The victory of Octavian over Mark Antony established a new step forward in Roman history: Troy, a new Troy, that is, Rome, and a new Rome under Augustus as ruler of a new world. Finally, we can also compare now mora longa in Met. 12.20 with saecula longa in Met. 15.446, and the reverse acrostic fiat in Met. 12.20-3 with efficiet in Met. 15.448.

[^1]Furthermore, with the anagrams in mora (amor, Roma) in his so-called 'little Aeneid' (Met. 13.623-14.582), was Ovid intending to make his readers also think about Maro, that is, Publius Vergilius Maro?

I believe that these instances of formal, inlaid complexity are real and significant, and observing them enhances our understanding of the text.
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## A NEW ACROSTIC AND TELESTIC AT LAVS PISONIS 227-30?*


#### Abstract

This article proposes a new acrostic (SAPI) and telestic (SOIS) at Laus Pisonis 227-30. Their position opposite one another is an indication that they are to be read as a single sentence and an admonition to both dedicatee and reader that poet and patron need each other to gain eternal fame. The telestic allows us to reconstruct the poet's usus scribendi of the reflexive possessive pronoun suus.
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Recently, Leventhal discovered an intricate mesostic (MESA) and telestic (MORA) at Laus Pisonis 200-3 (hereafter $L P$ ), showing that this once maligned poem is fully conversant with Hellenistic poetics and Roman visual culture. ${ }^{1}$ To this I add an acrostic (SAPI) and a—somewhat more controversial—telestic (SOIS) at LP 227-30:2
iuuat, optime, tecum
degere cumque tuis uirtutibus omne per aeuum carminibus certare meis: sublimior ibo, si famae mihi pandis iter, si detrahis umbram. abdita quid prodest generosi uena metalli, 225 si cultore caret? quid inerti condita portu, Si ductoris eget, ratis efficit, omnia quamuiS Armamenta gerat teretique fluentia malO Possit et excusso demittere uela rudentI? Ipse per Ausonias Aeneia carmina genteS qui sonat, ingenti qui nomine pulsat Olympum Maeoniumque senem Romano prouocat ore, forsitan illius nemoris latuisset in umbra, quod canit, et sterili tantum cantasset auena ignotus populis, si Maecenate careret.235

[^2][^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{8}$ On Juno's delaying role in the Aeneid, see P. Hardie, 'Augustan poets and the mutability of Rome', in A. Powell (ed.), Roman Poetry and Propaganda in the Age of Augustus (London, 1992), 59-82, at 70. On delay (mora) as 'an important generator of the epic plot', cf. P. Hardie, 'Closure in Latin epic', in D.H. Roberts, F.M. Dunn and D. Fowler (edd.), Classical Closure: Reading the End in Greek and Latin Literature (Princeton, 1997), 139-62, at 145-6.
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    ${ }^{1}$ For the 'historical' section of the Metamorphoses, see G. Luck, 'Myth and history in Ovid', in M.C. Álvarez Morán and R.Má. Iglesias Montiel, Y el Mito se hizo Poesía (Madrid, 2012), 113-26.

[^1]:    ${ }^{7}$ For the relationship between Roma, mora and amor in the Aeneid, see J. Reed, 'Mora in the Aeneid', in P. Mitsis and I. Ziogas (edd.), Wordplay and Powerplay in Latin Poetry (Berlin, 2016), 88-105. For Roma/amor in Ovid, see M. Hanses, 'Love's letters: an amor-Roma telestich at Ovid, Ars Amatoria 3.507-10', in P. Mitsis and I. Ziogas (edd.), Wordplay and Powerplay in Latin Poetry (Berlin, 2016), 199-211. The relationship between Roma and mora in Ovid is yet to be acknowledged, but see Ov. Ars am. 1.55 tot tibi tamque dabit formosas Roma puellas and 3.73-4 mora semper amantes $\mid$ incitat.

[^2]:    * I thank $C Q$ 's reader for their constructive comments.
    ${ }^{1}$ M. Leventhal, 'Politics and play in the Laus Pisonis', CQ 71 (2021), 741-58.
    ${ }^{2}$ Text from A. Seel (ed.), Laus Pisonis: Text, Übersetzung, Kommentar (Erlangen, 1969) rather than from S. Di Brazzano (ed.), Laus Pisonis: introduzione, edizione critica, traduzione e commento (Pisa, 2004), who is less inclined to follow the paradosis: cf. below. Translations are mine.
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