Conclusion: Stories from multiple perspectives provide valuable information for quality improvement initiatives. Yet, in order to implement Connecting Conversations successfully organizational support is indispensable

Interpreting and evaluating open norms of person-centred care in daily regulatory practice of the Dutch nursing home care setting

Authors: M Kalisvaart¹, L Oldenhof¹, R Bal¹, AM Pot, PhD^{1,2}

Objective: The emphasis on person-centred nursing home care poses a key challenge for inspectors who regulate quality of care, because of its situated characteristics (i.e., for each client different and changing over time). This makes it difficult to assess with predetermined norms in contrast to for example requirements of medication safety. In this paper, we therefore empirically investigate how inspectors operationalize and evaluate open norms of person-centred care in the Dutch healthcare setting.

Methods: Qualitative methods were used to study the work of inspectors who assess the quality of nursing home care within the Dutch Health and Youth Care Inspectorate. The first author7it observed the inspection process of nursing home care organizations (preparation, inspection visit, consults between inspectors and team meetings) and conducted semi-structured interviews with the observed inspectors and managers of the assessed organizations. Furthermore, different versions of the quality report were analyzed.

Results: Easy made operationalizations of person-centred care (e.g., choice for meal) received more attention than other, less easily made, operationalizations of person-centered care (e.g., group dynamics). The following three exclusion mechanisms show why certain aspects of person-centred care got less attention than others: 1) not being able to triangulate information 2) doubting the trustworthiness of a person 3) not being able to deviate from the structure of the inspection program. Furthermore, there are two exclusion mechanisms that show how the assessment of person-centred care is ignored or overruled by other values in the assessment framework: 1) downplaying person-centredness by mitigating circumstances and, 2) prioritization of safety risks over risks of lacking person-centredness.

Conclusion: In evaluating person-centred nursing home care using open norms, certain mechanisms are in place that exclude the assessment of quality of (certain aspects of) person-centered care. To overcome these mechanisms, a different, more reflexive approach for regulation might be needed to encourage stakeholders to engage in self-observation and self-criticism. Reflexive regulation using narrative methods can be especially helpful with complex issues, which are associated with uncertainty about standards and where different perspectives play a role. In further participative action research, we will experiment with and study the use of reflexive regulation using narrative methods in long-term care.

S20: Adapting and implementing WHO iSupport among dementia caregivers worldwide: users' perspectives and future development (Session II)

The WHO Global Action Plan against Dementia calls for "at least 75% of member states providing carer support and training by 2025". In response to the global target, WHO has developed iSupport aiming to provide support for caregivers of people living with dementia. The generic WHO iSupport has been translated and adapted in 39 countries and 37 languages so far. The adapted versions of WHO iSupport are now being implemented worldwide, usually as an online program for caregivers. The feasibility, accessibility, effectiveness and sustainability of the iSupport program in different cultural context is now being explored extensively. This symposium aims to share the up-to-date research findings and lessons learned on the adaptation and implementation process and users' perspectives from diverse cultural background. It will include seven presentation and be divided into sessions: 3 presentations on Session I and 4 presentations on Session II.

¹ Erasmus School of Health Policy and Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

² Optentia, North-West University, Vanderbijlpark, South Africa