# Industrial and Organizational Psychology Perspectives on Science and Practice

## Including focal articles with commentaries:

Most Frequently Cited Sources, Articles, and Authors in Industrial-Organizational Psychology Textbooks: Implications for the Science– Practice Divide, Scholarly Impact, and the Future of the Field Herman Aguinis, Ravi S. Ramani, P. Knight Campbell, Paloma Bernal-Turnes, Josiah M. Drewry, and Brett T. Edgerton

A Call for Conceptual Models of Technology in I-O Psychology: An Example From Technology-Based Talent Assessment Neil Morelli, Denise Potosky, Winfred Arthur, Jr., and Nancy Tippins

### And from the Practice Forum:

The Development, Validation, and Practical Application of an Employee Agility and Resilience Measure to Facilitate Organizational Change Thomas J. Braun, Bryan C. Hayes, Rachel L. Frautschy DeMuth, and Olya A. Taran





https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2017.81 Published online by Cambridge University Press

# Industrial and Organizational Psychology Perspectives on Science and Practice

The novel format of the journal focuses on an interactive exchanges on topics of importance to science and practice in the field of industrial and organizational psychology. The journal takes a focal article-peer commentary format.

A focal article is a position paper on an important issue for the field (or potentially a pair of papers taking opposite sides in a debate). Such a focal article might summarize evidence on an issue and take a position as to implications for science, practice, or public policy. The paper might focus on a basic science issue, an applied science issue, a practice issue, or a public policy issue; many would be a blend. The focal article is then followed by a series of peer commentaries. These could challenge or critique the original article, expand on issues not addressed in the focal article, or draw out implications not developed in the focal article. The goal is to include commentaries from various perspectives, including science, practice, and international perspectives.

#### **EDITOR:**

John C. Scott, APTMetrics, Inc.

#### PRACTICE FORUM SECTION EDITOR:

Mark Poteet, Organizational Research & Solutions, Inc.

#### **PUBLICATIONS MANAGER:**

Jenny Baker, Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD:**

Neil R. Anderson, Brunel University Winfred Arthur, Texas A & M University Tayla N. Bauer, Portland State University Michael J. Burke, Tulane University Stuart C. Carr, Massey University Allan H. Church, PepsiCo, Inc. José M. Cortina, George Mason University Satoris S. Culbertson, Kansas State University Fritz Drasgow, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Mark Griffin, University of Western Australia Theodore Hayes, US Office of Personnel Management Beryl L. Hesketh, University of Western Sydney George P. Hollenbeck, Hollenbeck Associates Leaetta M. Hough, Dunnette Group, Ltd. Jeff W. Johnson, Personnel Decisions Research Institute Tracy Kantrowitz, SHL Jerard F. Kehoe, Selection and Assessment Counselling Richard J. Klimoski, George Mason University Allen I. Kraut, Baruch College, CUNY Hennie J. Kriek, Top Talent Solutions and University of South Africa

Morgan W. McCall, University of Southern California Jeffrey J. McHenry, Rainier Leadership Solutions S. Morton McPhail, Retired Consultant, USA Kevin Murphy, Lamorinda Consulting LLC and Colorado State University Kenneth Pearlman, Creative Personnel Management Consulting Hannah R. Rothstein, Baruch College, CUNY Ann Marie Ryan, Michigan State University Lise M. Saari, New York University Eduardo Salas, University of Central Florida John C. Scott, APTMetrics, Inc. Robert F. Silzer, HR Assessment & Development and Baruch, Graduate Center, CUNY Stephen D. Steinhaus, HRAlignment William J. Strickland, Human Resources Research Organization Nancy T. Tippins, CEB Aharon Tziner, Netanya Academic College Sara P. Weiner, Glint Alan Witt, University of Houston

#### A journal of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

SIOP is the premier membership organization for those practicing and teaching I-O psychology. While an independent organization with its own governance, SIOP is also a division within the American Psychological Association and an organizational affiliate of the Association for Psychological Science.

SIOP membership includes online access to all issues of the journal as well as an optional print copy. Individuals can become members at http://www.siop.org/Dues/payment.aspx. Membership rates are \$100 for professional members (Fellows, Members, Associates, International Affiliates) and \$50 for Student Affiliates & Retired statuses.

© Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

# Industrial and Organizational Psychology

**Perspectives on Science and Practice** 

Volume 10, Issue 4, December 2017

# **CONTENTS**

#### INTRODUCTION

From the Editor Јонл С. Scott	503
Focal Article	
Most Frequently Cited Sources, Articles, and Authors in Industrial-Organizational Psychology Textbooks: Implications for the Science–Practice Divide, Scholarly Impact, and the Future of the Field Herman Aguinis, Ravi S. Ramani, P. Knight Campbell, Paloma Bernal-Turnes, Josiah M. Drewry, and Brett T. Edgerton	507
Commentaries	
The Practice and Science Connection: Let's Not Obsess Over Minding the Gap Bruce J. Avolio	558
Traditional Science–Practice Research in I-O: Are We Missing the Trees for the Forest?	
foiest: James N. Kurtessis, Shonna D. Waters, Alexander Alonso, Joseph A. Jones, and Scott H. Oppler	570
Voice of a "Seasoned" OB Professor Fred Luthans	577
"This Is Our House!" Why Are I-O Psychologists Losing at the Gender Disparity Game?	
Ann Hergatt Huffman, Satoris S. Howes, and Kristine J. Olson	584
The Eternal Criterion Problem in the Context of Impact Edward L. Levine	588
I-O Psychology and Human Resource Development: Yes, and Jon M. Werner	594
Not Another "Researcher-Centric" Index: A Cautionary Note Zhenyu Yuan and Kenneth G. Brown	598
The Wicked Problem of Scholarly Impact Tara Behrend and Richard Landers	602

The Authors Speak: Six I-O Psychology Textbook Authors Discuss How They Decide What to Cite Michael G. Aamodt, Jeffrey M. Conte, Satoris S. Howes, Paul E. Levy,	
Ronald E. Riggio, and Paul E. Spector	606
Citation Counts and More Citation Counts: Useful? Interesting? or Counterproductive? Јонм Р. Самрвеll	610
Where Has All the Psychology Gone? (Twenty Years Later) Michael J. Zickar and Scott Highhouse	616
A Review of the Field or an Articulation of Identity Concerns? Interrogating the Unconscious Biases That Permeate I-O Scholarship GERARD P. HODGKINSON AND S. ALEXANDER HASLAM	621
From Analysis to Evaluation: Brand Management and the Future of I-O Psychology KEVIN P. NOLAN	626
Focal Article	
A Call for Conceptual Models of Technology in I-O Psychology: An Example From Technology-Based Talent Assessment NEIL MORELLI, DENISE POTOSKY, WINFRED ARTHUR, JR., AND NANCY TIPPINS	634
Commentaries	
Technology Is More Than Just Error Matthew J. Grawitch, Steven L. Winton, Srikanth P. Mudigonda, and John P. Buerck	654
I-O Psychology and Technology: Why Reinvent the Wheel? Matt C. Howard, Steven D. Travers, Chad J. Marshall, and Joshua E. Cogswell	659
When Are Models of Technology in Psychology Most Useful? RICHARD N. LANDERS AND TARA S. BEHREND	668
Beyond Empirical Equivalence Carter Gibson, Daly Vaughn, and Mike Hudy	676
Integrating Technology Into Models of Response Behavior Dev K. Dalal and Jason G. Randall	680
The Big, Gig Picture: We Can't Assume the Same Constructs Matter ALICE M. BRAWLEY	687
The Need for Conceptual Models of Technology in Training and Development: How Immersive Does Training Need to Be? Cody B. Cox, Andrew House, Alex Lopez, and Gregory J. Pool	696
Practice Forum	
Introduction Mark L. Poteet	702
The Development, Validation, and Practical Application of an Employee Agility and Resilience Measure to Facilitate Organizational Change	
Thomas J. Braun, Bryan C. Hayes, Rachel L. Frautschy DeMuth, and Olya A. Taran	703