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SUMMARY

Strains of Escherichia coli which contain the UV sensitivity gene exrA, repress UV induction of λ prophage in a novel manner. While exrA+ strains can be induced by UV irradiation and express this induction in a 2 h period, exrA mutants delay the expression of induction for almost 4 h, and the induction maximum is approximately 10% of the wild-type value. The kinetics of the delayed induction and superinfection experiments indicate that the lifting of immunity and induction of λ prophage occur simultaneously.

exrA mutants of Escherichia coli are sensitive to both ultraviolet (UV) and x-irradiation (Rösch et al. 1966; Mattern, Zwenk & Rösch, 1966). In this paper we are concerned with the malB linked exr gene referred to as exrA (Donch & Greenberg, 1968). It was reported (Donch, Greenberg & Green, 1970) that exrA mutants of Escherichia coli repressed ultraviolet (UV) induction of λ prophage. In this respect exrA resembles recA (Brooks & Clark, 1967). exrA and recA resemble each other further in that both suppress UV induced filamentation in lon strains (Donch, Green & Greenberg, 1968; Green, Greenberg & Donch, 1969) and both suppress UV induction of mutations (Witkin, 1967; Witkin, 1969). We now report on further investigations on the repression of UV induction of λ by exrA.

It was possible that λ was not inducible by UV in exrA strains because of the presence of excessive amounts of λ repressor. However, λvir (obtained from D. Kaiser) plated with equal efficiency on exrA (λ) and exrA+ (λ) strains. This would not be expected, if an elevated immunity system were present (Matsubara & Kaiser, 1968).

The possibility of a sterically altered repressor, which would produce a difference in the kinetics of induction of an exrA (λ) and exrA+ (λ), was considered. This was tested by using the temperature sensitive λ mutant, λhc 1857 (Sussman & Jacob, 1962), which was found to be heat inducible but not UV inducible in an exrA strain (Donch & Greenberg, 1968). No difference was observed between the kinetics of heat induction of an exrA (λhc 1857) and exrA+ (λhc 1857). If the exrA gene produced an alteration in the repressor protein, one would expect this to be reflected in altered kinetics of heat induction.

The conclusions from the foregoing experiments were that repressor was present in normal amounts and was apparently structurally unaltered. It had been noted earlier (Brooks & Clark, 1967; Donch et al. 1970) that while both recA and exrA repressed λ induction, exrA repression was incomplete, since the spontaneous induction of an exr (λ) strain was 10% of the wild-type value as compared to < 10−2% observed with recA (λ) mutants. It was suspected that the repression of UV induction in exrA (λ) strains involved an abnormality in the kinetics of induction. Unusual kinetics of induction has been reported by Iwo (1968) for Bl (80).
In earlier experiments (Donch et al. 1970) streptomycin was applied in an overlay to kill the bacteria 2 h after exposure to UV (70 ergs/mm²). In experiments reported here streptomycin was added at intervals of 1 h up to 4 h after UV irradiation. During this time any induction, which might occur late because of an unusual host property, should be expressed. Fig. 1 shows the results of such an experiment. It can be seen that under these conditions evidence of induction occurred only after 2.5 h and reached a maximum of approximately 10% in 4 h. Also shown in wild-type exrA+ (λ) in which induction occurred in 30 min after UV and approached 100% by 2 h.

Fig. 1. Effect of time on the expression of induction of λ prophage following UV irradiation of exrA (λ) and exrA+ (λ) strains

The results of these experiments indicated that in an exrA mutant the lifting of immunity requires a considerably longer period of time as compared to the time required for an exrA+ strain. In order to test this hypothesis an exrA (λ) mutant was superinfected with λkc 1857 at 37 °C then irradiated with UV (70 ergs/mm²) and incubated at 42 °C. The second soft agar layer containing streptomycin was added at 1 h intervals up to 5 h. Under these conditions mottled plaques were observed at a frequency of approximately 6%, due to the lysing of induced exrA (λ) which produce wilt type (turbid plaques) and superinfecting phages, λkc 1857 (clear plaques). No mottled plaques were observed earlier than 3 h. This implies that immunity to superinfection was lifted simultaneously with the occurrence of induction of prophage.

It appears that lifting of immunity (inactivation of repressor) following UV irradiation requires an extended period of time in an exrA strain as compared to the wild-type strain exrA+ (λ). This delay is not a consequence merely of UV sensitivity, since uvr strains show no such repression of prophage induction (Donch et al. 1970). Delayed induction is peculiar to exrA mutants, and, therefore, may be related to a deficiency in post-replicative repair. recA (λ) strains, also defective in post-replicative repair (Howard-Flanders, Rupp, Wilkins & Cole, 1968), are not inducible by UV even after long delays.
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(Brooks & Clark, 1967). It is possible that recA+ and exrA+ are both involved at different points in a common repair system, since both are needed for complete expression of UV induced mutations and prophage induction.

exrA represses only UV induction of prophage. Recent experiments in this laboratory have shown that exrA (λ) are induced as efficiently as exrA+ (λ) in the presence of nalidixic acid, N-methyl-N′-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine and mitomycin C.
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