## UNIVALENT $\alpha$-SPIRAL FUNGTIONS

RICHARD J. LIBERA

1. Introduction. Suppose $f$ is regular in the open unit disk $\Delta,|z|<1$, and has a simple zero at the origin and no other zeros. Špaček (15) essentially showed that $f$ is univalent in $\Delta$ if and only if

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}{f\left(r e^{i t}\right)} e^{i t} d t \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } r, t_{1}, \text { and } t_{2}
$$

such that $0<r<1$ and $0<t_{2}-t_{1} \leqslant 2 \pi$. Consequently, if there exists a complex number $\zeta$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\xi\left\{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right\}\right]>0, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}{f\left(r e^{i t}\right)} e^{i t} d t=\frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}{f\left(r e^{i t}\right)} e^{i t} d t \neq 0
$$

therefore $f$ is univalent in $\Delta$. Functions satisfying Špaček's condition (1.1) have been called "spiral-like" (8) and have, in recent years, been the source of useful and important counter-examples in geometric function theory (2, 5).

Classes of spiral-like functions are defined and their mapping properties are described below; the " $\beta$-spiral" radius is introduced and calculated for some families of univalent functions. Sharp coefficient bounds are established.
2. Preliminary remarks. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the family of all functions $f$ which are regular and univalent in $\Delta$ and are normalized by the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(0)=1 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. $f$ is regular in $\Delta$ and satisfies (2.1); $f$ is an $\alpha$-spiral function, $f \in \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha}$, if and only if there is a real number $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i \alpha}\left\{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right\}\right]>0, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Špaček's results, described above, show that $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha} \subset \subseteq$. It is clear that $-\pi / 2 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \pi / 2$ and that $|\alpha|=\pi / 2$ only for the identity function $f(z)=z$; hence we exclude that case from further consideration.

[^0]A geometric interpretation of (2.2) may be given as follows: Let $f$ satisfy (2.2) on the circle $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0<r<1, \theta$ real, and let $C(r)$ be the image of this circle under $f$. Denote by $T(r, \theta)$ the tangent vector to $C(r)$ at the point $f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ with direction determined by that of increasing $\theta$. Then, with proper choice of arguments, (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\arg \left[i e^{i \alpha}\left\{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) / f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}\right]<\pi \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since

$$
\arg \left\{i r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}=\arg \{T(r, \theta)\}
$$

(9, p. 223), we may rewrite (2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\arg \{T(r, \theta)\}-\left[\arg \left\{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}-\alpha\right]<\pi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the vector $T(r, \theta)$ is directed into the open half-plane whose boundary has the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)+t \exp i\left[\arg \left\{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}-\alpha\right], \quad t \text { real. } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This half-plane does not contain the origin in its interior when $\alpha>0$, but does contain the origin when $\alpha<0$. It is not difficult to show that the line given by (2.5) is parallel to the line tangent to the spiral $\rho=k e^{\phi \cot (-\alpha)}$ at the point $(\rho, \phi)$. (The spiral and the point are given in polar coordinates; the direction of the radius vector is identified with the direction $\arg \left\{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$.) S. Ozaki (10) has discussed some of these ideas for the case when $f$ is multivalent.

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ represent the class of normalized holomorphic functions of positive real part, i.e., $P \in \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $P$ is holomorphic in $\Delta, \operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\}>0$ for $z$ in $\Delta$ and $P(0)=1$.

If $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{\alpha}$, the introduction of appropriate normalizing factors enables us to write

$$
\sec \alpha\left[e^{i \alpha}\left\{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right\}-i \sin \alpha\right]_{z=0}=1
$$

This leads to useful representation formulas for members of $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha}$ in terms of functions in $\mathfrak{P}$. $f$ is an $\alpha$-spiral function if and only if there exists a function $P$ in $\mathfrak{P}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{\cos \alpha \cdot P(z)+i \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha+i \sin \alpha}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z \exp \left\{\cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{P(t)-1}{t} d t\right\}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many geometric and analytic properties of $\alpha$-spiral functions can be obtained from (2.6), (2.7), and known properties of the class $\mathfrak{B}$ which has been studied extensively (12). These results can be sharpened considerably by a suitable refinement of $\mathfrak{F}$ into subclasses.

Definition 2. $P$ is a function with positive real part of order $\rho, P \in \mathfrak{ß}_{\rho}$, $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$ if and only if $P \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\} \geqslant \rho$ for $z$ in $\Delta$.

Evidently, $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}$ whenever $\rho \leqslant \sigma$; therefore $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{0}=\mathfrak{P}$ for all $\rho$. Furthermore, $Q$ is in $\mathfrak{P}_{\rho}$ if $Q(z)=(1-\rho) P(z)+\rho$ for some $P$ in $\mathfrak{P}$, and conversely. A particularly distinguished (6,12) member of $\mathfrak{P}$ is the function $P_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(z)=(1+z) /(1-z), \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\rho}(z)=(1-\rho) P_{0}(z)+\rho=\{1+(1-2 \rho) z\} /(1-z) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3. $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{\alpha, \rho}$, or $f$ is an $\alpha$-spiral function of order $\rho$, if and only if $f$ is defined by (2.7) with $P \in \mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$.

For $\rho=0$, we obtain the sets of functions of Definition 1 and we write $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha, 0}=\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha}$. On the other hand when $\alpha=0$ we get the functions which are starlike of order $\rho$ with respect to the origin ( $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4}$ ) and which are frequently denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{\rho}^{*}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{S}_{p}{ }^{*}=\mathfrak{T}_{0, p}$. The class of all starlike functions is usually symbolized by $\mathfrak{S}^{*}$; in keeping with this we let $\mathfrak{I}$ represent all $\alpha$-spiral functions, that is, $\mathfrak{I}=\bigcup_{\alpha, \rho} \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha, \rho}, 0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1,-\frac{1}{2} \pi \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi$.

The concepts "radius of convexity" and "radius of starlikeness" for classes of univalent functions are useful and have attracted investigators ( $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 3}$ ). More recently Krzyź (4) obtained the radius of the largest disk centred at the origin whose map by every member of $\subseteq$ is close-to-convex (3). A similar question can be posed for the notion given in Definition 1.

Definition 4. If $f$ is in $\mathfrak{S}$ and $-\pi / 2<\beta<\pi / 2$, then the $\beta$-spiral radius of $f$ is

$$
\beta \text {-s.r. }\{f\}=\sup \left[r: \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \beta} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad|z|<r\right] .
$$

Definition 5. If $\mathfrak{A} \subset \subseteq$ and $-\pi / 2<\beta<\pi / 2$, then the $\beta$-spiral radius of $\mathfrak{H}$ is

$$
\beta \text {-s.r. } \mathfrak{H}=\inf _{f \in \mathscr{R}}[\beta \text {-s.r. }\{f\}] .
$$

The geometric interpretation of the $\beta$-spiral radius is clear from the preceding discussion. It is evident that $\alpha$-s.r. $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha}=1$ and that the 0 - s.r. $\mathfrak{A t}$ is the radius of starlikeness of $\mathfrak{A}$. By using the principle of subordination ( 6 , 9, 11) we shall find $\beta$-s.r. $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha, \rho}$ for arbitrary $\alpha, \beta$, and $\rho,-\pi / 2<\alpha, \beta<\pi / 2$, and $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$.

## 3. Coefficient bounds.

Theorem 1. If $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{\alpha, \rho}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+k\right|}{k+1}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and these bounds are sharp for all admissible $\alpha$ and $\rho$ and for each $n$.
Proof. If $\omega$ is a function holomorphic in $\Delta$, satisfying the Schwarz lemma (9), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\{1+(1-2 \rho) \omega(z)\} /\{1-\omega(z)\}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\rho}$, and conversely (9). Consequently, the representation given in (2.6) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha \cdot \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-i \tan \alpha=\frac{1+(1-2 \rho) \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left\{\sum _ { k = 1 } ^ { \infty } \left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1-2 \rho\right.\right. & \left.-i \tan \alpha)] a_{k} z^{k}\right\} \omega(z)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right] a_{k} z^{k}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\sum _ { k = 1 } ^ { n - 1 } \left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1\right.\right. & \left.-2 \rho-i \tan \alpha)] a_{k} z^{k}\right\} \omega(z)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right] a_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k},
\end{align*}
$$

with the last sum convergent in $\Delta$ and $n=2,3, \ldots$.
Let $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0<r<1,0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1-2 \rho-i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1-2 \rho-i \tan \alpha)\right] a_{k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1-2 \rho-i \tan \alpha)\right] a_{k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2}\left|\omega\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left[k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right] a_{k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_{k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \geqslant \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2} \cdot\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \\
& \geqslant \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ and rewriting the preceding inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\{\left|k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha+(1-2 \rho-i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2}-\mid k e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha\right.  \tag{3.8}\\
&\left.\quad-\left.(1+i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2}\right\}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \geqslant\left|n e^{i \alpha} \sec \alpha-(1+i \tan \alpha)\right|^{2} \cdot\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

some simplification reduces this to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1-\rho) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(k-\rho)\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \geqslant(n-1)^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha \cdot\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=2$, (3.9) reads $4(1-\rho)^{2} \geqslant \sec ^{2} \alpha \cdot\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}$
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant 2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.2). (3.2) is established for larger $n$ from (3.9) by an induction argument.

Fix $n, n \geqslant 3$, and suppose that (3.2) holds for $k=2,3, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{4(1-\rho) \cos ^{2} \alpha}{(n-1)^{2}}\{ & (1-\rho)  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}(k-\rho) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We must show that the square of the right side of (3.2) is equal to the right side of (3.11); that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|}{j+1}\right\}^{2}= \frac{4(1-\rho) \cos ^{2} \alpha}{(m-1)^{2}}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad \times\left\{(1-\rho)+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}(k-\rho)\left[\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|}{j+1}\right]^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m=3,4, \ldots$. A brief calculation verifies (3.12) for $m=3$ and proves (3.2) for $n=3$. Assume that (3.12) is valid for all $m, 3<m \leqslant n-1$; then (3.9) and (3.11) give

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} & \leqslant \frac{4(1-\rho) \cos ^{2} \alpha}{(n-1)^{2}}\{(1-\rho)
\end{array}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-2}(k-\rho) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.n-1-\rho) \prod_{j=0}^{n-3} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\} \\
\\
+ \\
=\prod_{j=0}^{n-3} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \cdot\left\{\frac{(n-2)^{2}+4(n-1-\rho)(1-\rho) \cos ^{2} \alpha}{(n-1)^{2}}\right\} \\
= \\
\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\left|2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+j\right|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This concludes the proof of (3.2).

To show the estimate is sharp we use (2.9) together with (2.7) and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\alpha, \rho}(z) & =\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}}}  \tag{3.13}\\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\left[2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot e^{-i \alpha}+k\right]}{k+1} z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

By choosing $\rho=0$ in Theorem 1, we get the following result, which was proved recently by the late J. Zamorski (16).

Corollary 1. If $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{\alpha}$ and has the representation (3.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\left|2 e^{-i \alpha} \cos \alpha+j\right|}{j+1}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\alpha=0$, we obtain a theorem of Robertson (11) which was also obtained recently by Schild (14).

Corollary 2. If $f$ is starlike of order $\rho$ and has (3.1) as its Maclaurin series, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n}(k-2 \rho)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The technique of using (3.3) and the integration in (3.7) is due to Clunie (1). The same method applied to (3.3) yields coefficient estimates for functions in $\mathfrak{P}_{\rho}$.

Theorem 2. If

$$
P(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k} \in \mathfrak{P}_{\rho}
$$

then

$$
\left|p_{k}\right| \leqslant 2(1-\rho), \quad k=1,2, \ldots ;
$$

$P_{\rho}$, (2.9), renders these bounds sharp.
For $\rho=0$ we obtain the classical theorem of Carathéodory (12), and a proof of it by Clunie's method is given elsewhere by the author (6, Lemma 3.2). It is easily adapted to give a proof of Theorem 2.

It is interesting to note that the method of equating coefficients in (2.6) and using Theorem 2 , as can be done for $\mathfrak{S}^{*}$, (9), or for $\mathbb{S}_{p}^{*}$ (11), does not yield sharp estimates for the functions of Theorem 1 or Corollary 1.
4. The $\beta$-spiral radius. If $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{\alpha, \rho}$, then by making use of Definitions 3 and 5 and (2.6) we see that the $\beta$-spiral radius of $f$ is the largest number $r$ such that for some $P$ in $\mathfrak{P}_{\rho}$ and $|z|<r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}[\cos \alpha \cdot P(z)+i \sin \alpha]\right\} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known and indeed follows from (2.8) and (3.3) that every member of $\mathfrak{ß}$ is subordinate to $P_{0}(9)$; therefore every function in $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$ is subordinate to $P_{\rho}$. Consequently, if (4.1) holds for $P_{\rho}$, then it holds, a fortiori, for all $P$ in $\mathfrak{P}_{\rho}$.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
B(z) & =e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}\left[\cos \alpha \cdot P_{\rho}(z)+i \sin \alpha\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
& =e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}\left\{\cos \alpha \cdot\left[\frac{1+(1-2 \rho) z}{1-z}\right]+i \sin \alpha\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

$B(z)$ maps the disk $|z| \leqslant r$ onto a disk whose centre is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}\left\{\cos \alpha \cdot\left[\frac{1+(1-2 \rho) \mathrm{r}^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right]+\mathrm{i} \sin \alpha\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and whose radius is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i(\beta-\alpha)} \cos \alpha \cdot \frac{2(1-\rho) \mathrm{r}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Re}\{B(z)\} \geqslant 0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}\left[\cos \alpha \cdot \frac{1+(1-2 \rho) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}+\mathrm{i} \sin \alpha\right]\right\} \geqslant \cos \alpha \cdot \frac{2(1-\rho) r}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \beta \cdot\left(1-r^{2}\right)+2(1-\rho) r^{2} \cos (\beta-\alpha) \cdot \cos \alpha \geqslant \cos \alpha \cdot 2(1-\rho) r \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results are summarized below:
Theorem 3. $\beta$-s.r. $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha, \rho}$ is the smallest positive root $r$ of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
{[2(1-\rho) \cos (\beta-\alpha)} & \cdot \cos \alpha-\cos \beta] r^{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
& -2(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cdot r+\cos \beta=0
\end{align*}
$$

$F_{\alpha, \rho}$, defined in (3.13), shows this result is sharp.
By fixing the parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\rho$ in the theorem we obtain some interesting special cases.

Corollary 3. The radius of starlikeness of $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \text {-s.r. } \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha}=1 /(\cos \alpha+|\sin \alpha|) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last result was obtained recently by M. S. Robertson (13).
Corollary 4. $\beta$-s.r. $\mathfrak{S}_{\rho}^{*}$ is the smallest positive root $r$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \beta \cdot(1-2 \rho) r^{2}-2(1-\rho) r+\cos \beta=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$, (4.11) is linear; since $\Omega$, the class of convex functions in $\mathfrak{S}$, is contained in $\varsigma_{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{*}$, (7), we may state the following interesting conclusion.

Corollary 5. $\beta$-s.r. $\Omega=\cos \beta$.
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