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Periodic solutions of Mathieu's equation*

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\{a+16 q \cos 2 z\} y=0
$$

where $a$ is a suitable function of $q$ have recently been discussed in several papers in these Proceedings. An elegant method of determining these solutions, which are written

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
c e_{0}(z) & c e_{1}(z) \ldots & c e_{m}(z), \ldots \\
& s e_{1}(z) \ldots & s e_{m}(z), \ldots
\end{array}
$$

was given by Whittaker, $\dagger$ who obtained the integral equation

$$
f(z)=\lambda \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{\sqrt{ }(32 q) \cos z \cos \theta} f(\theta) d \theta
$$

which is satisfied by periodic solutions of Mathieu's equation.
In particular, he shewed that
$c e_{0}(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{2^{n+1} q^{n}}{(n!)^{2}}-\frac{2^{n+3} n(3 n+4) q^{n+2}}{(n+1!)^{2}}+\ldots\right\} \cos 2 n z=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n} A_{n}(q) \cos 2 n z$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\left\{-32 q^{2}+224 q^{4}-\ldots+16 q \cos 2 z\right\} y=0
$$

[^0]Subsequent terms in the expression for $A_{n}(q)$ are very complicated. For instance, I have calculated the coefficient of $q^{4}$ to be $2^{n+1} n\left\{189 n^{3}+982 n^{2}+1653 n+888\right\} \div(n+2!)^{2}$.

There is no very simple relation connecting coefficients, and consequently the only direct method of investigating the convergence of $A_{n}(q)$ is by constructing a "fonction majorante" and investigating its convergence. In this way I shall shew that the series for $A_{n}(q)$ certainly converges when $32|q|^{2}<1$, and that if this condition is satisfied, the series for $c e_{0}(z)$ converges for all values of $z$ (real or complex). The method does not seem to be applicable to the function of the general order $m$, as the coefficients in the corresponding series do not seem to alternate in sign according to any obvious law.

To determine the coefficients $A_{n}(q)$ we substitute

$$
1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n} A_{n}(q) \cos 2 n z
$$

in the equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\{a+16 q \cos 2 z\} y=0,
$$

re-write $2 \cos 2 z \cos 2 n z$ in the form $\cos (2 n-2) z+\cos (2 n+2) z$, and equate coefficients of the various cosines to zero; we thus get the set of relations*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+8 q^{2} A_{1}(q)=0 \\
&(4-a) A_{1}(q)=16+8 q_{2} A^{2}(q) \\
&\left(4 n^{2}-a\right) A_{n}(q)=8\left\{A_{n-1}(q)+A_{n+1}(q)\right\} \quad n>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we define $A_{0}(q)$ to be 2 , this system of equations may be written (or substituting for $a$ )

$$
\left\{n^{2}+2 q^{2} A_{1}(q)\right\} A_{n}(q)=2\left\{A_{n-1}(q)+q^{2} A_{n+1}(q)\right\} \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

Now assume

$$
A_{n}(q)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-)^{r} B_{n, r} q^{2 r} \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

where the numbers $B_{n, r}$ are functions of $n$ and $r$ only.

[^1]For the values given above for $B_{n, 0}, B_{n, 1}, B_{n, 2}$, it seems likely that $B_{n, r}$ is positive.*

Substituting in the recurrence formula for $A_{n}$ and picking ont the coefficient of $q^{2 r}$, we get
$\frac{1}{2} n^{2} B_{n, r}-B_{n-1, r}=\left\{B_{n, r-1} B_{1,0}+B_{n, r-\mu} B_{1,1}+. .+\beta_{n, n} B_{1, r-1}\right\}-B_{n+1, r-1}$
This holds when $r=0,1,2, \ldots, n=1,2, \ldots$, with the convention that the terms with negative suffices vanish, and that $B_{0,0}=2$, $B_{0,1}=B_{0,2}=\ldots=0$.

Writing $r=0, r=1$ in turn, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} n^{2} B_{n, 0}=B_{n-1,0} ; \frac{1}{2} n^{2} B_{n, 1}-B_{n-1,1}=B_{n, 3} B_{1, n}-B_{n+1, v} .
$$

From these we can re-establish in turn the known results

$$
b_{n, 0}=\frac{2^{n+1}}{(n!)^{2}}, \quad B_{n, 1}=\frac{2^{n+3} n(3 n+4)}{(n+1!)^{2}} .
$$

We see at once that, if $s=0$ or 1 , then

$$
0<B_{n+1,4}<2 B_{n, s} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

We proceed to prove this inequality for every $B_{n, r}$.
Suppose it true when $s=0,1, \ldots r-1$ for every $n$.
Then since $B_{n, r-1} B_{1,0}-B_{n+1, r-1}=4 B_{n, r-1}-B_{n+1, r-1}>0$, we have from (I.)

$$
\frac{1}{2} n^{2} B_{n, r}-B_{n-1, r}>\sum_{p=1}^{r-1} B_{n, r-p-1} B_{1, p}>0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

The first equation of this system is $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1^{2} B_{1, r}>0$.
Therefore $\quad B_{n, r}>0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
Also, writing
$(n-1!)^{2}\left\{B_{n, r-1} B_{1,0}+b_{n, r-2} B_{1,1}+\ldots+B_{n, 0} B_{1, r-1}\right\}=2^{n-1} U_{n, r-1}$
$(n-1!)^{2} B_{n+1, r-1}=2^{n-1} V_{n, r-1}$
we have $\quad \frac{(n!)^{2}}{2^{n}} B_{n, r}-\frac{(n-1!)^{2}}{2^{n-1}} B_{n-1, r}=U_{n, r-1}-V_{n, r-1}$,
and so, summing, $\quad B_{n, r}=\frac{2^{n}}{(n!)^{2}}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(U_{m, r-1}-V_{m, r-1}\right)\right\}$.

[^2]Consequently, since $U_{m, r-1}-V_{m, r-1}>0(m=1,2, \ldots n-1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{n, r}-B_{n-1, r} & =\frac{2^{n+1}}{(n!)^{2}}\left\{1-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right\} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(U_{m, r-1}-V_{m, r-1}\right)-\frac{2^{n+1}}{(n+1!)^{2}}\left(U_{n+1, r-1}-V_{n+1, r-1}\right), \\
& >\frac{2^{n+1}}{n!^{2}}\left\{1-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right\}\left\{U_{n, r-1}-V_{n, r-1}\right\}-\frac{2^{n+1}}{(n+1!)^{2}}\left(U_{n+1, r-1}-V_{n+1, r-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=1}^{r-1} B_{1, p}\left\{\frac{4(n+2)}{n(n+1)^{2}} B_{n, r-p-1}-\frac{2}{(n+1)^{3}} B_{n+1, r-p-1}\right\} \\
& +\frac{4(n+2)}{n(n+1)^{2}}\left\{4 B_{n, r-1}-B_{n+1, r-1}\right\}-\frac{2}{(n+1)^{2}}\left\{4 B_{n+1, r-1}-B_{n+2, r-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
4(n+2) B_{n, r-p-1}>2 B_{n+1, r-p-1}, B_{n+2, r-1}>0,
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 B_{n, r}-B_{n+1, r} & >\frac{4(n+2)}{n(n+1)^{2}}\left\{4 B_{n, r-1}-B_{n+1, r-1}\right\}-\frac{8}{(n+1)^{2}} B_{n+1, r-1} \\
& >B_{n+1, r-1}\left\{\frac{4(n+2) \cdot 9}{n(n+1)^{2}}-\frac{8}{(n+1)^{2}}\right\} . \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the induction holds from $r-1$ to $r$; and as $2 B_{n, r}>B_{x+1, r}$ (for every $n$ ), when $r=0$, it is always true.

We have therefore proved that $0<B_{n+1, r}<3 B_{n, r} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
Now choose numbers $C_{n, 4}$ such that

$$
B_{n, s} \leq C_{n, s} \quad(s=0,1, \ldots r-1)
$$

and define $C_{n, r}$ by the equation
$\frac{(n!)^{2}}{2^{n}} C_{m, r}=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(m-1!)^{2}}{2^{n-1}}\left\{C_{m, r-1} C_{1,0}+C_{m, r-2} C_{1,1}+\ldots+C_{m, 0} C_{l, r-1}\right\}$
Then since, from ( I .),

$$
\frac{(n!)^{2}}{2^{n}} B_{n, r}=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(m-1!)^{2}}{2^{m-1}}\left[B_{m, r-1} B_{1,0}+B_{m, r-2} B_{1,1}+\ldots+B_{m, 0} B_{1, r-1}-B_{m+1, r-1}\right],
$$

and since $B_{m+1, r-1}>0$, we have

$$
B_{n, r}<C_{n, r} .
$$

Therefore, by induction, if $B_{m, 0} \leq C_{n, 0} \quad$ (every $n$ ),
we have
$B_{n, r} \leq C_{n, r} \quad$ (every $n$ and $r$ ).

Now, if $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-)^{r} C_{n, r} q^{9 r}=D_{n}(q)$, the functions $D_{n}(q)$ are determined by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{1+2 q^{2} D_{1}(q)\right\} D_{1}(q)=4 \\
& \left\{n^{2}+\vartheta q^{2} D_{1}(q)\right\} D_{n}(q)=2 D_{n-1}(q) \quad(n>1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $D_{1}(q)=-\frac{1+\left(1+32 q^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4 q^{2}}$

$$
D_{n}(q)=\frac{2^{n+1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{n}\left\{m^{2}+2 q^{2} D_{1}(q)\right\}} .
$$

Therefore $D_{1}(q)$ can be expanded into an absolutely convergent series of powers of $q$ if $\left|32 q^{2}\right|<1$; and $\mathrm{D}_{n}(q)$ can be expanded in powers of $2 q^{2} D_{1}(q)$, and then rearranged in powers of $q$ if *

$$
2|q|^{2} \Delta_{1}(|q|)<1
$$

where $\Delta_{n}(|q|)$ is the same function of $|q|$ as $D_{n}$ is of $q$, but having the coefficients of all powers of $|q|$ positive; and so

$$
\Delta_{1}(|q|)=\frac{1-\left(1-32|q|^{2}\right)^{t}}{4|q|^{2}},
$$

which gives $2|q|^{2} \Delta_{1}(|q|)<1$ whenever $1-\left(1-32|q|^{2}\right)^{\ddagger}<2$ (which is the case when $32|q|^{2}<1$ ).

Hence, since the coefficients in $A_{n}(q)$ are numerically less than those in $D_{n}(q)$, and a fortiori less than those in $\Delta_{n}(|q|)$, where

$$
\Delta_{n}(|q|)=\frac{2^{n+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^{2}\left\{m^{2}-2|q|^{2} \Delta_{1}(|q|)\right\}}
$$

$A_{n}(q)$ can be expanded into an absolutely convergent series of powers of $q$ when $32|q|^{2}<1$, and obviously

$$
\left|A_{n}(q)\right|<\Delta_{n}(|q|) .
$$

[^3]Now $1+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n} \Delta_{n}|q|\left\{e^{\text {oniz }}+e^{- \text {-nniz }}\right\}$ converges absolutely for all values of $z$ since $q \Delta_{w+1}(q) e^{ \pm 2 i} \div \Delta_{n}(q)$ tends to zero as $n$ tends to $\infty$; and a fortiori $1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n} A_{n}(q) \cos 2 n z$ converges absolutely for all values of $z$.

The absolute convergence of the series for $c e_{0}(z)$ has thus been established when $32|q|^{2}<1$.

Also, since $1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n} \Delta_{n}(|q|) \cos 2 n z$ converges absolutely, the series for $c e_{0}(z)$ may be re-arranged in powers of $q$.


[^0]:    * Liouville's Journal, sér. 2, t. XIII., pp. 137-203.
    + Proceedings of the Mathematical Congress, 1912, vol. 1.

[^1]:    * The first of these does not seem to have been noticed previously ; it would not be obvious from Mathieu's method, and Whittaker's method does not introduce $a$ at all

[^2]:    * This is the reason for introducing the factor $(-)^{r}$.

[^3]:    *See Bromwich, Infinile Series, p. 67. It is obvious that $C_{n, 0}=B_{n, 0}$.

