Food insecurity, defined as limited availability of nutritionally adequate and safe foods or an uncertain ability to acquire acceptable foods in socially acceptable ways\(^1\), affects 12% of US households\(^2\). Additionally, there are racial/ethnic disparities in food insecurity, with US Latinx households experiencing a higher prevalence of food insecurity (19%) than non-Latinx White households (10%)\(^2\). Unless new and efficient policies are adopted, food insecurity is likely going to be magnified over time due to continued demographic shifts whereby Latinx are expected to constitute one-third of the US population by 2065\(^3\).

Food insecurity has been consistently associated with nutrition-related health conditions (i.e. obesity, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes)\(^4-6\). Emotional eating (EE), which is a dysfunctional eating behaviour characterized by eating due to an inability to resist negative emotions\(^7\), also has been linked to nutrition-related chronic conditions (i.e. obesity, type 2 diabetes and hypertension)\(^8\). Few studies have explored mechanisms by which food insecurity may influence behavioural factors, such as EE, particularly among ethnic minority populations disproportionately affected by food insecurity.

Food insecurity is a stressful life experience and studies have documented positive associations between food insecurity and stress\(^9,10\). Further, there is evidence that eating behaviours such as EE are triggered during stressful circumstances. In fact, 40% of US adults report that they...
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change their eating behaviours during times of stress\(^{(11)}\). Several studies have shown a positive association between stress and EE\(^{(12-14)}\). However, only three studies have examined the relationship between food insecurity and EE\(^{(15-17)}\). These studies focused mainly on women and were not specific to Latinx populations. Because EE and food insecurity affect dietary intake\(^{(18)}\), and both are associated with nutrition-related chronic conditions\(^{(4, 6-8)}\), it is important to understand the relationship between food insecurity and EE, as well as to uncover potential mediators of this relationship (i.e. perceived stress). Such knowledge would help identify novel intervention targets to alleviate EE and decrease nutrition-related health disparities in Latinxs.

Thus, the objective of the present study was to examine the association between food insecurity and EE and evaluate the mediating role of stress in a sample of US Latinxs. We hypothesized that food insecurity would be positively associated with EE and that this relationship would be mediated, in part, by perceived stress.

Methods

Study design and participants

The current cross-sectional study used data from the Latino Health and Well-being Study\(^{(19)}\). Participants were recruited from the Greater Lawrence Family Health Center, a federally qualified community health center providing health-care services to 80–85% of the Latinx population in the city of Lawrence, MA, USA, between September 2011 and May 2013. The sample was stratified by age (21–34, 35–54, 55–85 years) and sex. To be eligible, individuals had to be of Latinx or Hispanic ethnicity, Spanish or English speaking, and between 21 and 85 years of age. Individuals with plans to move out of the area within the study period (12 months), experiencing greater food insecurity. As per guidelines, scores were categorized as food secure (score = 0 or 1; referent group) and food insecure (score ≥ 2\(^{(21)}\)). This scale has been shown to have good sensitivity and specificity relative to the eighteen-item US Department of Agriculture Household Food Security questionnaire and has been validated in Spanish\(^{(25)}\).

Perceived stress

Perceived stress was measured with the ten-item Perceived Stress Scale\(^{(24)}\). This scale has been widely used and shown to have good reliability, with Cronbach’s \(\alpha\) ranging between 0.7 and 0.9\(^{(24)}\). The original version of this scale, which consists of fourteen items, has also been validated in Spanish\(^{(25)}\). For the present study, we used the translated Spanish items of the original scale, and we cognitive tested the items with community staff to ensure clarity and language adequacy for our target population. The Perceived Stress Scale measures general stress perceptions without reference to the source and asks about uncontrollability and inability to cope. For example, using the previous month as the reference period, participants were asked: ‘How often have you been upset because of something that happened unexpectedly?’; ‘How often have you felt that
you were unable to control the important things in life?” and ‘How often have you felt nervous and stressed?’ Response options were: ‘never’, ‘almost never’, ‘sometimes’, ‘fairly often’ and ‘very often’. To calculate the score, responses to all items were summed. The final score ranged from 0 to 40, with higher scores suggesting greater perceived stress.

**Emotional eating**

EE was measured with the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ)-R18 V2(26). This scale was translated into Spanish by a professional translator and was pre-tested for fidelity and clarity using cognitive interviews with community staff from various Latino heritages representing the study target population. The EE subscale consists of six items that evaluate eating in response to negative emotions (e.g. anxious, wound up, depressed, lonely, sad and nervous). Response options for all items were: ‘definitely false’ (.= 1), ‘mostly false’ (.= 2), ‘mostly true’ (.= 3) and ‘definitely true’ (.= 4). To calculate the EE score, responses of all items were summed and divided by 6 to generate a mean score (ranging from 1 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater EE). We created three categories for the total EE score because the score was not normally distributed and no transformation improved the distribution (residuals from regression models with the transformed scores were still not normally distributed). Consistent with previous research(27), the following three categories were created: (i) No EE, (ii) Low EE and (iii) High EE. The No EE category was comprised of individuals with a score of 1, thus answering ‘mostly false’ to all items. The Low and High EE groups were created using a median split, with a median that exclude scores of 1.0 (median = 1.83). Thus, a score at or below the median was categorized as ‘Low EE’ and a score above as ‘High EE’. Overall, this scale has shown good reliability and factor structure(26) and its Cronbach’s 𝛼 coefficient is adequate in this sample of Latinx adults (0.86).

**Demographics and covariates**

Confounders were selected *a priori* because of their known association with food insecurity and dysfunctional eating behaviours and included: sex, age, education, marital status, household size and country of birth(2,28–31). Age was used as a continuous variable. Education was defined as the highest level of education attained and was categorized as ‘less than high school’, ‘high-school graduate’ and ‘some college/college graduate’. Marital status was a dichotomous variable categorized as ‘currently married or living with partner as married’ and ‘not married’ (i.e. single, separated, divorced and widowed). Household size was assessed for the total household, including children and other family members. Lastly, country of birth was self-reported by participants and was categorized as ‘born in Puerto Rico’, ‘born in the mainland USA’ (in any state of the USA) and ‘born in other country’ (outside the USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics include mean and standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables. EE and covariates were contrasted by food security status using the χ² test (for categorical variables) or the t test (for continuous variables). Due to violation of the proportional odds assumption, multinomial logistic regression models were performed to evaluate the association between food insecurity and EE, and the association between perceived stress and EE. We performed mediation analyses using the Baron and Kenny method(32), which consists of four steps. For the first step, we evaluated the association between food insecurity and EE using multinomial regression models (adjusting for covariates; path c). The second step examined the association between food insecurity and perceived stress using a linear regression model (because perceived stress was used as a continuous measure) adjusting for covariates (path a). For the third step, we evaluated the association between perceived stress and EE (taking food insecurity into account) using multinomial regression and adjusting for covariates (path b). In the fourth and final step, we examined the association between food insecurity and EE adjusting for perceived stress and all covariates using a multinomial regression model (path c’). The mediated effect (a × b) and the mediated proportion were calculated (a × b/c’)(33,34). A 95% CI was also calculated for the mediated proportion using the formula for a confidence interval around a proportion: $\hat{p} \pm 1.96\sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})/n}$, where $n$ is the sample size and $p$ is the calculated mediated proportion. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. The statistical software package Stata version 14 was used for all analyses.

**Results**

Sample characteristics are presented by food security status in Table 1. Overall, mean age was 47 years and about half of the sample were women. Approximately 75% self-identified as Dominicans and the majority were born outside the mainland USA. Mean household size was 3 and 43% were married or living with a partner. Over a third of the sample experienced food insecurity. A larger proportion of food-insecure individuals had a lower education level than food-secure individuals. In addition, a larger proportion of food-insecure individuals reported High EE than food-secure individuals.

Multivariable multinomial models adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, household size and country of birth showed that food insecurity was associated with almost twice the odds of High EE (Table 2). Food insecurity...
was also associated with higher perceived stress scores in a multivariable linear regression model adjusted for covariates (Table 2). In turn, perceived stress was associated with almost 10% higher odds of High EE but not Low EE (Table 2). When perceived stress was added in the main effects model, food insecurity was no longer associated with High EE (Table 2). Estimates from Table 2 were used to calculate the mediated proportion. Perceived stress explained 69.9 (95% CI 66.2, 73.6)% of the association between food insecurity and High EE.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine the association between food insecurity and EE and the mediating role of perceived stress in a sample of US Latinxs. Overall, our results show that food insecurity was associated with EE and that perceived stress mediated a large proportion of this association.

Only three previous studies have examined the association between food insecurity and EE. Our finding of food insecurity being associated with high levels of EE is in line with two of the previous studies conducted with samples of predominantly African-American women (15, 17); and found that food-insecure individuals had higher EE scores than food-secure individuals. The third study, conducted with a diverse sample of US men and women, failed to find an association between food insecurity and EE (16). This discrepancy may be due to differences in sample size and race/ethnicity of study participants as the third study was considerably smaller (n 118 (16), v. 202 and 632 in the previous two studies, respectively (15, 17)). Methodological differences could also account

| Table 1 Characteristics of the sample by food security status: Latinx individuals (n 580) aged 21–84 years from the Latino Health and Well-being Study, Lawrence, MA, USA, September 2011–May 2013 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Food insecure (n 200; 34.4%) | Food secure (n 380; 65.6%) |
| **Mean or n/N**                 | **sd or %**      | **Mean or n/N**  | **sd or %**      | **P value**     |
| Age (years)                     | 48.8 14.8        | 46.1 15.7        | 0.044           |
| Female                          | 110/200 55.0     | 183/380 48.3     | 0.117           |
| Latinx group*                   | 38/199 19.1      | 71/380 18.7      | 0.296           |
| Puerto Rican                    | 150/199 75.4     | 274/380 72.1     |                 |
| Dominican                       | 11/199 5.5       | 35/380 9.2       |                 |
| Country of birth                |                 |                 | 0.363           |
| Born in Puerto Rico             | 33/200 16.5      | 49/380 12.9      |                 |
| Born in the mainland USA        | 13/200 6.5       | 33/380 8.7       |                 |
| Born in other country           | 154/200 77.0     | 298/380 78.4     |                 |
| Education level                 |                 |                 | 0.007           |
| <High school                    | 121/200 60.5     | 178/380 46.8     |                 |
| High-school graduate            | 32/200 18.0      | 82/380 21.6      |                 |
| >High school                    | 47/200 23.5      | 120/380 31.6     |                 |
| Household size                  | 3.4 1.8          | 3.6 1.9          | 0.318           |
| Married/living with partner as married | 86/200 43.0  | 166/380 43.7  | 0.874           |
| EE                              |                 |                 | 0.003           |
| No EE                           | 61/200 30.5      | 160/380 42.1     |                 |
| Low EE                          | 54/200 27.0      | 109/380 28.7     |                 |
| High EE                         | 85/200 42.5      | 111/380 29.2     |                 |

EE, emotional eating.

Continuous variables (age, household size) are presented as mean and standard deviation; categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentage.

*Data missing for one participant.

| Table 2 Adjusted associations from mediation analysis for food insecurity, perceived stress and emotional eating (EE) among Latinx individuals (n 580) aged 21–84 years from the Latino Health and Well-being Study, Lawrence, MA, USA, September 2011–May 2013 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | **Modelled association** | **OR or β** | **95% CI** | **P value** |
|                                 | **Adjusted food insecurity–EE** | **Reference** | **Low EE** | **1.27 0.82, 1.99 0.286** |
|                                 | **High EE** | **1.96 1.28, 3.02 0.002** |
| Step 2                          | **Adjusted food insecurity–perceived stress** | **5.69 4.20, 7.19 <0.001** |
| Step 3                          | **Adjusted perceived stress–EE** | **Reference** | **Low EE** | **1.00 0.97, 1.02 0.822** |
|                                 | **High EE** | **1.09 1.06, 1.12 <0.001** |
| Step 4                          | **Adjusted food insecurity–EE adjusting for perceived stress** | **Reference** | **Low EE** | **1.29 0.81, 2.06 0.286** |
|                                 | **High EE** | **1.31 0.83, 2.07 0.251** |

OR are presented for all models except for food insecurity–perceived stress, for which the β coefficient is presented.

All models are adjusted for age, education, sex, household size, marital status and country of birth.
for the difference in findings. Specifically, the approach taken to categorize EE dichotomously may have hindered the ability to detect a difference.

The high prevalence of food insecurity in this sample reflects insufficient income and insufficient benefits among Latino residents in Lawrence. Compared with the overall national estimate\(^2\), the prevalence of food insecurity was approximately three times higher, which shows that food insecurity is a major public health issue in this population. The population in Lawrence, which is comprised largely of Latinos (74%)\(^3\), experiences socio-economic disadvantage compared with the rest of the State of Massachusetts: median household income is low and poverty is high\(^5\). Thus, all of these factors may increase the risk of food insecurity in the Lawrence population and highlight the need to address this public health issue in this vulnerable group.

Although the mechanisms by which food insecurity may be associated with EE are likely complex, our results suggest that perceived stress mediates a large proportion of this relationship. It is possible that food insecurity is associated with EE due to the emotional distress caused by lack of access to adequate and nutritious meals, or due to the correlation between food insecurity and numerous other stressors associated with low socio-economic status. Food insecurity has been linked to stress\(^9,10\), which is a known predictor of EE\(^27,36–38\). Thus, EE may serve as a coping mechanism to relieve the emotional distress caused by food insecurity and other stressors related to low socio-economic status.

The fact that food insecurity and EE have both been linked with nutrition-related health conditions such as obesity, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes, which are highly prevalent among US Latinx populations\(^4,5,39–42\), points to a need to ameliorate food insecurity in this population. Reducing and eliminating the problem of food insecurity is a national priority\(^43\). At the policy level, there is room for improvement of benefits and eligibility of such benefits, as well as a need for efforts to reduce the racial/ethnic wage gap. In addition, at the community level, improving access to healthy foods may decrease stress and EE by alleviating food insecurity overall. For example, community-level interventions should incorporate efforts to improve access to healthy foods such as through mobile markets (to decrease transportation barriers) and community gardens and chain supermarkets (to eliminate food deserts)\(^44,45\).

Lastly, at the individual level, there is a need for tailored interventions targeting stress and EE in food-insecure Latinxs. Although the aforementioned suggestions are sensible, they may not be sufficient to alleviate food insecurity and their efficiency has not been vigorously tested.

The study results should be considered with limitations and strengths in mind. One limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the study, thus causality cannot be determined. Another limitation of our study is the potential existence of selection bias in our cohort. Out of 2783 potentially eligible participants, only 602 were enrolled. Comparison between participants \(v\) non-participants on key demographic characteristics was not feasible as the study was not able to collect data on non-participants. In addition, the generalizability of our findings may be limited to Latinxs of Caribbean decent residing in the north-east of the USA. However, this is also a study strength as Caribbean Latinxs, the largest Latinx group in the north-east USA, experience disparities in both food insecurity\(^2\) and nutrition-related health conditions\(^46\) but have been under-represented in research. To our knowledge, the present study is the first of its kind to evaluate the relationship between food insecurity, perceived stress and EE in Latinxs.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study found that food insecurity was associated with high levels of EE and this association was largely mediated by perceived stress. Identifying modifiable factors associated with nutrition-related health conditions will help to design interventions to reduce health disparities in this vulnerable population. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine the association between food insecurity, perceived stress and EE, and to test interventions that improve access to healthy foods and include stress reduction strategies for food-insecure Latinxs.
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