The p factor of psychopathology and personality in middle childhood: Genetic and gestational risk factors – Corrigendum
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The original publication of the article includes an error in Figure 1. In the published figure, non-significant correlations between the included psychopathology and personality traits are crossed out. However, all correlations are statistically significant at p < 0.001. The misrepresentation is due to a coding error, in which the correlation matrix was used to calculate p-values instead of the data frame. The error only affected the p values and not the correlation coefficients and was isolated to this particular descriptive analysis only. The main analyses and conclusions are completely unaffected. The corrected Figure 1 is shown below.

![Figure 1. Correlations between included variables.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291723000077)  
Note: All correlations were statistically significant at p < 0.001.
The finding of non-statistically significant correlations was however commented on shortly with regard to the anxiety trait under the limitations section. The original sentence was:

“The anxiety measure had a low Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value (0.48), was not significantly correlated with any of the other included traits and had a lower association with $p$ than expected.”

This sentence should be replaced with:

“The anxiety measure had a low Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value (0.48) and had a lower association with $p$ than expected.”

The authors would like to thank Professor Emeritus Jean-Pierre Rolland at the University Paris Nanterre for asking the question that led us to discover the coding error.