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## 1. Introduction

Let $Q\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be an indefinite quadratic form in $n$-variables with real coefficients, determinant $D \neq 0$ and signature $(r, s), r+s=n$. Then it is known (e.g. see Blaney [2]) that there exist constants $\Gamma_{r, s}$ depending only on $r$ and $s$ such for any real numbers $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ we can find integers $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<Q\left(x_{1}+c_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}+c_{n}\right) \leqq\left(\Gamma_{r, s}|D|\right)^{1 / n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma_{r, s}$ denote the best possible constant for which (1.1) is valid. Davenport and Heilbronn [5] proved that $\Gamma_{1,1}=4$. E. S. Barnes [1] has proved that $\Gamma_{2,1}=4$. In a paper accepted for publication [6] I have proved that $\Gamma_{1,2}=8$. The object of this paper is to prove that $\Gamma_{3,1}=\frac{16}{3}$. In the next paper we shall show that $\Gamma_{2,2}=\mathbf{1 6}$.

More precisely we prove:
Theorem. Let $Q(x, y, z, t)$ be an indefinite quaternary quadratic form of the type $(3,1)$ and determinant $D<0$. Then given any real numbers $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}$ we can find integers $x, y, z, t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<Q\left(x+x_{0}, y+y_{0}, z+z_{0}, t+t_{0}\right) \leqq\left(\frac{16}{3}|D|\right)^{1} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is necessary if and only if either

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(x, y, z, t) \sim \rho Q_{1}=\rho\left(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}+z t\right) ; \quad \text { or }  \tag{1.3}\\
& Q(x, y, z, t) \sim \rho Q_{2}=\rho\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2}\right) ; \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho>0$. For $Q_{1}$ equality occurs if and only if $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0,0,0)$ $(\bmod 1)$ and for $Q_{2}$ it and only if $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$.

I am very grateful to my adviser Prof. R. P. Bambah for his inspiring guidance and valuable help in writing of this paper.
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## 2. Some Lemmas

In the course of the proof we shall use the following Lemmas:
Lemma 1. Let $Q(x, y, z, t)$ be an indefinite quaternary quadratic form of the type $(3,1)$ and determinant $D$. Then there exist integers $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}$ such that

$$
0<Q\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right) \leqq\left(\frac{16}{3}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

Equality occurs if and only if $Q \sim \rho Q_{1} ; \rho>0$.
This is Theorem 2 of Oppenheim [8].
Lemma 2. Let $\varphi(y, z, t)$ be an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant $D<0$. Then there exist integers $u, v, w$ such that

$$
0<\varphi(u, v, w) \leqq\left(\frac{9}{4}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$

except when

$$
\varphi(y, z, t) \sim \rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right), \rho>0
$$

This is a theorem due to Oppenheim [7].
Lemma 3. Let $\varphi(y, z, t)$ be an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant $D<0$. Then given any real numbers $y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}$ we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
|\varphi(y, z, t)| \leqq\left(\frac{27}{100}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} .
$$

This is a theorem due to Davenport [4].
Lemma 4. Let $\varphi(y, z, t)$ be an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant $D>0$. Then given any real numbers $y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}$ we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
0<\varphi(y, z, t) \leqq(8|D|)^{\frac{1}{3}} .
$$

This is a result due to author [6] accepted for publication.
Lemma 5. Let $\chi(z, t)$ be an indefinite binary quadratic form with discriminant $\Delta^{2}>0$ and let $\lambda>0$ be a real number. Then for any real numbers $z_{0}, t_{0}$ we can find $(z, t) \equiv\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ satisfying

$$
-\frac{\Delta}{4 \lambda} \leqq \chi(z, t)<\frac{\lambda \Delta}{4} .
$$

Equality is needed if and only if $\lambda^{2}=(m+2) / m ; m=1,2, \cdots$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(z, t) \sim c \chi_{m}(z, t)=c\left(z^{2}-m(m+2) t^{2}\right), c>0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\chi_{m}(z, t)$ equality occurs if and only if $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(m / 2, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$.
This is Theorem 1 of Blaney [3].

Lemma 6. Let $\alpha, \beta, d$ be real numbers with $d \geqq 1$; then for any real number $x_{0}$ there exists $x \equiv x_{0}$ (mod 1) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<(x+\alpha)^{2}-\beta^{2} \leqq d \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}<\left(\frac{[d]}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d$ is not an integer, (2.2) is true with strict inequality. If $d$ is an integer a sufficient condition for (2.2) to be true with strict inequality is that

$$
\beta^{2}<\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. If $\beta^{2}<(d-1)^{2} / 4$, choose $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with

$$
|\beta|<x+\alpha \leqq|\beta|+1
$$

so that

$$
0<(x+\alpha)^{2}-\beta^{2} \leqq 2|\beta|+1<d
$$

If $d$ is an integer and $\beta^{2}=(d-1)^{2} / 4$, then we proceed as above and get the result perhaps with equality.

Now suppose

$$
\beta^{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\geqq\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2} \text { if } d \text { is not an integer } \\
>\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2} \text { if } d \text { is an integer; }
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that in either case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}>\left(\frac{[d]-1}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ to satisfy

$$
\frac{[d]}{2} \leqq|x+\alpha| \leqq \frac{[d]+1}{2}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\left(\frac{[d]}{2}\right)^{2}-\beta^{2} \leqq(x+\alpha)^{2}-\beta^{2} & <\left(\frac{[d]+1}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{[d]-1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =[d] \leqq d
\end{aligned}
$$

from (2.3) and (2.4).
This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=\inf Q(x, y, z, t) \\
& x, y, z, t \text { integers }  \tag{3.1}\\
& Q(x, y, z, t)>0
\end{align*}
$$

3.1. CASE $m=0$

Lemma 7. If $m=0$, then the result is true.
Proof. Since $m=0$; given $\varepsilon_{0}\left(0<\varepsilon_{0}<1\right)$ we can find integers $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}$ such that

$$
0<Q\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0},\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=1
$$

By replacing $Q$ by an equivalent form we can suppose $Q(1,0,0,0)=\varepsilon$. Then $Q$ can be written as

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=\varepsilon(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+\varphi(y, z, t)
$$

where $\varphi(y, z, t)$ is an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant $D / \varepsilon<0$. By Lemma 4 , we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
0<\beta^{2}=-\varphi(y, z, t) \leqq\left(\frac{8|D|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$

Let $\alpha=h y+g z+u t$ and choose $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with

$$
\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{ } \varepsilon}<x+\alpha \leqq \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{ } \varepsilon}+1
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=\varepsilon(x+\alpha)^{2}-\beta^{2} & \leqq \varepsilon+2 \beta \sqrt{ } \varepsilon \\
& \leqq \varepsilon+2\left(\frac{8|D|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{z}{3}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\varepsilon+A|D|^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& <\varepsilon_{0}+A|D|^{\frac{1}{z}} \varepsilon_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is an absolute constant. Since $\varepsilon_{0}$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, the right hand side of (3.2) can be made as small as we please and the lemma follows.

### 3.2. Proof continued

We can now suppose $m>0$.
Then given $0<\varepsilon_{0}<\frac{1}{16}$, we can find integers $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}$ to satisfy

$$
Q\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\frac{m}{1-\varepsilon}
$$

where $0 \leqq \varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. By Lemma 1 we can further suppose that

$$
Q\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\frac{m}{1-\varepsilon} \leqq\left(\frac{16}{3}|D|\right) .
$$

Since $0 \leqq \varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}<\frac{1}{16}$, by definition of $m$ we must have $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=1$. By applying a suitable transformation to $Q$ we can suppose that $Q(1,0,0,0)=m / l-\varepsilon . Q(x, y, z, t)$ can then be written as

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=\frac{m}{1-\varepsilon}\left\{(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+\varphi(y, z, t)\right\}
$$

where $\varphi(y, z, t)$ is an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant

$$
\frac{D}{\left(\frac{m}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{4}} \leqq-\frac{3}{16}
$$

with equality if and only if $\varepsilon=0, Q \sim m Q_{1}$, by Lemma 1 . Also by definition of $m$ we have for any integers $x, y, z, t$ either $Q(x, y, z, t) \leqq 0$ or $Q(x, y, z, t) \geqq m$. Because of homogeneity it suffices to prove:

Theorem A. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, y, z, t)=(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+\varphi(y, z, t) ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(y, z, t)$ is an indefinite ternary quadratic form with determinant

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \leqq-\frac{3}{16}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D=-\frac{3}{16}$ if and only if $Q \sim Q_{1}$. Suppose that for integer $x, y, z, t$ we have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, y, z, t) \leqq 0 \text { or } Q(x, y, z, t) \geqq 1-\varepsilon \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqq \varepsilon \leqq \frac{1}{16}$ is sufficiently small. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(\frac{16}{3}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that from (3.4) we have $d \geqq 1$ with $d=1$ if and only if $Q \sim Q_{1}$. Then given any real numbers $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}$ we can find $(x, y, z, t) \equiv\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ (mod 1) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<Q(x, y, z, t) \leqq d \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds in (3.7) if and only if either $Q \sim Q_{1}$ or $Q_{2}$.

### 3.3. Proof of theorem A

Lemma 8. If $Q(x, y, z, t)$ is given as in Theorem $A$, then for integers $y, z, t$ we have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y, z, t)=0 \text { or } \varphi(y, z, t) \leqq-\frac{1}{4} \text { or } \varphi(y, z, t) \geqq \frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $0<\varphi(y, z, t)<\frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon$, we get a contradiction to (3.5) by choosing integer $x$ with $|x+h y+g z+u t| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$. If $-\frac{1}{4}<\varphi(y, z, t)<-\varepsilon$, we again get a contradiction by choosing $x$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leqq|x+h y+g z+u t| \leqq 1$. If $-\varepsilon \leqq \varphi(y, z, t)<0$, then for a suitable integer $n$ we have $-\frac{1}{4}<Q(n y, n z, n t)$ $<-\varepsilon$, which is not possible. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 9. If $d=1$, so that $Q=Q_{1}=x^{2}+x y+y^{2}+z t$, (3.7) is true with strict inequality unless $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0,0,0)(\bmod 1)$.

Proof. If $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \neq(0,0)(\bmod 1)$; without loss of generality we can suppose that $z_{0} \neq 0(\bmod 1)$. Choose $z \equiv z_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $0<|z| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$. Choose $(x, y) \equiv\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ arbitrarily so that $Q$ is of the form

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=A+z t
$$

Now choose $t \equiv t_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $0<A+z t \leqq z \leqq \frac{1}{2}<1=d$. If $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0)(\bmod 1) ;$ take $z=t=0$. Choose $y \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $|y| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$. If $y_{0} \neq 0(\bmod 1)$, choose $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $|x+y / 2| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=\left(x+\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4} y^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{16}<1=d
$$

If $y=0$, so that $y_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 1)$ and $Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2}$. Choose $x \equiv x_{0}$ $(\bmod 1)$ with $0<x \leqq 1$; so that

$$
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2} \leqq 1=d
$$

Equality can occur only if $x_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 1)$ i.e. only if $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0,0,0)$ $(\bmod 1)$. Clearly equality is needed for $Q_{1}$ when $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0,0,0)$ $(\bmod 1)$.

This proves the lemma.
We can now suppose $d>1$.
Lemma 10. Let $\nu_{1}>0, v_{2}>0$ be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu_{1}=d-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \nu_{2}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2} & \text { if } d \text { is an integer } \\
\left(\frac{[d]}{2}\right)^{2} & \text { if } d \text { is not an integer. }\end{cases} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nu_{2} \leqq \phi(y, z, t)<\nu_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $x_{0}$ there exists $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<Q(x, y, z, t) \leqq d \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further strict inequality in (3.11) implies strict inequality in (3.12).
Proof. If $0<\varphi(y, z, t)<v_{1}$, choose $x \equiv x_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with

$$
|x+h y+g z+u t| \leqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

so that

$$
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+\varphi(y, z, t)<\frac{1}{4}+v_{1}=d .
$$

If $-\nu_{2} \leqq \varphi(y, z, t) \leqq 0$, then the result follows from Lemma 6 with

$$
\alpha=h y+g z+u t, \beta^{2}=-\varphi(y, z, t) .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 11. If $d>12$, then (3.7) is true with strict inequality.
Proof. By Lemma 4, we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ satisfying

$$
-\left(\frac{3}{2} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=-(8|D|)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leqq \varphi(y, z, t)<0 .
$$

Therefore (3.11) is satisfied if we have

$$
\left(\frac{3}{2} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}<\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}
$$

or

$$
f(d)=\frac{(d-1)^{6}}{d^{4}}>96
$$

Since $f(d)$ is an increasing function of $d$ for $d>1$ and $f(13)>96$, the result follows from Lemma 10 . Let now $12<d<13$, so that $[d]=12$, $d$ not an integer. In this case (3.11) holds if we have

$$
\left(\frac{3}{2} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}<36 .
$$

Since $\left(\frac{3}{2} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leqq\left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot 13^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}<35 \cdot 1<36$, for $d<13$, the result again follows from Lemma 10.

Lemma 12. If $4<d \leqq 12$, then $(3 \cdot 7)$ is true with strict inequality.
Proof. By Lemma 3, we can find $(y, z, t) \equiv\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
|\varphi(y, z, t)| \leqq\left(\frac{27}{100}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=\left(\frac{81}{1600} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} .
$$

Hence the result will follow from Lemma 10 if we

$$
\left(\frac{81}{1600} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\min \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{81}{1600} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}<\nu_{1}=d-\frac{1}{4}, \quad \text { if } \\
& f(d)=\frac{(4 d-1)^{3}}{d^{4}}>\frac{81}{25}
\end{aligned}
$$

$f(d)$ is a decreasing function of $d$ for $d>1$ so that $f(d) \geqq f(12)>\frac{81}{25}$ for $d \leqq 12$. Also

$$
\left(\frac{81}{1600} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\mathcal{v}_{2}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2} & \text { if } 5 \leqq d \leqq 12 \\ 4 & \text { if } 4<d<5\end{cases}
$$

is easily seen to be true and the assertion of the lemma follows.
Lemma 13. If $\varphi(y, z, t) \sim \rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right), \rho>0,1<d \leqq 4$, then again (3.7) is true with strict inequality.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose that

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+\rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right),
$$

with $|h| \leqq \frac{1}{2},|g| \leqq \frac{1}{2},|u| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$.
We first assert that $h=g=u=\mathbf{0}$.
If $g \neq 0$, then

$$
0<Q(0,0,1,0)=g^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{4}
$$

contrary to (3.5). Similarly $u=0$. If $h \neq 0$, then

$$
0<Q(0,1,1,-1)=h^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{4}
$$

again contrary to (3.5). Thus

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2}+\rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right)
$$

If $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \neq(0,0)(\bmod 1)$; without loss of generality suppose that $z_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 1)$. Choose $z \equiv z_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $0<|z| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$. Choose any $(x, y) \equiv\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ and then take $t \equiv t_{0}(\bmod 1)$ to satisfy

$$
0<x^{2}+\rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right) \leqq \rho|z| \leqq \frac{\rho}{2}=\left(\frac{3}{32} d^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<d ; \text { since } d \leqq 4 .
$$

Let now $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv(0,0)(\bmod 1)$. We now distinguish between the following two subcases:
(i) $y_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 1)$
(ii) $y_{0} \neq 0(\bmod 1)$.

Subcase (i): In this case take $y=1, z=1, t=-1$. Choose $x \equiv x_{0}$ $(\bmod 1)$ with $0<x \leqq 1$, so that

$$
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2} \leqq 1<d .
$$

Subcase (ii): In this case take $z=t=0$. Choose $(x, y) \equiv\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ with $|x| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, 0<|y| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$
0<Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2}+\rho y^{2} \leqq \frac{1+\rho}{4}<d
$$

if $\rho<4 d-1$; or

$$
f(d)=\frac{d^{4}}{(4 d-1)^{3}}<\frac{4}{3} .
$$

Since $f(d)$ is an increasing function of $d$ for $d>1$ and $f(4)=\frac{256}{15^{3}}<\frac{4}{3}$, the desired result follows.

### 3.4. Proof of theorem A continued

From now on we can suppose that

$$
1<d \leqq 4 ; \varphi(y, z, t) \nsim \rho\left(y^{2}+z t\right), \rho>0
$$

By Lemma 2 we can find integers $y_{2}, z_{2}, t_{2}$ such that

$$
0<\varphi\left(y_{2}, z_{2}, t_{2}\right)=a \leqq\left(\frac{9}{4}|D|\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{3}{4} d^{t} ; \quad\left(y_{2}, z_{2}, t_{2}\right)=1
$$

Also by (3.8) we have $a \geqq \frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon$. By a suitable unimodular transformation we can suppose that $\varphi(1,0,0)=a$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y, z, t)=a\left\{(y+f z+v t)^{2}+\psi(z, t)\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon \leqq a \leqq \frac{3}{4} d^{\frac{4}{3}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\psi(z, t)$ is an indefinite binary quadratic form with discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}=\frac{4|D|}{a^{3}} \geqq \frac{16}{9} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=\frac{d-\frac{1}{4}}{a}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \lambda=\frac{4 k-1}{\Delta} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (3.16) we have

$$
k \geqq \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{d-\frac{1}{4}}{d^{4}}=\frac{4 d-1}{3 d^{\frac{4}{3}}} \geqq \frac{4 \cdot 4-1}{3 \cdot 4^{\frac{4}{8}}}=\frac{5}{4^{\frac{4}{8}}}>\frac{1}{4}
$$

for $1<d \leqq 4$, so that $\lambda>0$. By Lemma 5 we can find $(z, t) \equiv\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$ such that

$$
-\frac{\Delta}{4 \lambda} \leqq \psi(z, t)<\frac{\lambda \Delta}{4}=k-\frac{1}{4}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a^{2}(4 d-1-a)} \leqq \psi(z, t)<k-\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
Q(x, y, z, t)=(x+h y+g z+u t)^{2}+a\left\{(y+f z+v t)^{2}+\psi(z, t)\right\}
$$

where $\psi(z, t)$ satisfies (3.18).
Lemma 14. If $k-1 \leqq \psi(z, t)<k-\frac{1}{4}$, then (3.7) is true with strict inequality.

Proof. Choose $y \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $|y+f z+v t| \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(k-1) \leqq \varphi(y, z, t)= & a\left\{(y+f z+v t)^{2}+\psi(z, y)\right\}<a\left(\frac{1}{4}+k-\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& -\left(a-d+\frac{1}{4}\right) \leqq \varphi(y, z, t)<d-\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3.11) is satisfied if we have

$$
a-d+\frac{1}{4}<\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
4 a<d^{2}+2 d
$$

This is satisfied if

$$
4 a \leqq 3 d^{\frac{4}{3}}<d(d+2)
$$

or

$$
f(d)=\frac{(d+2)^{3}}{d}>27
$$

$f(d)$ is an increasing function of $d$ for $d>1$. Thus for $d>1, f(d)>$ $f(1)=27$, (3.11) holds and the result follows from Lemma 10.

From now we can suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-k<\beta=-\psi(z, t) \leqq \frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a^{2}(4 d-1-a)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 15. If $2<d \leqq 4$, then (3.7) is true with strict inequality.
Proof. Choose $y \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 1)$ to satisfy

$$
\sqrt{\beta+k}-1 \leqq y+f z+v t<\sqrt{\beta+k}
$$

so that

$$
-\left(2 a \sqrt{\beta+k}+\frac{1}{4}-a-d\right) \leqq \varphi(y, z, t)<a k=d-\frac{1}{4}
$$

The result will follow from Lemma 10 if we have

$$
2 a \sqrt{\beta+k}+\frac{1}{4}-a-d< \begin{cases}\frac{9}{4} & \text { if } 3<d \leqq 4  \tag{3.20}\\ 1 & \text { if } 2<d \leqq 3\end{cases}
$$

We take the two cases separately.

Subcase (i) $\mathbf{3}<d \leqq 4$.
In this case by using (3.19), (3.20) will be satisfied if

$$
4 a^{2} \cdot \frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a^{2}(4 d-1-a)}+a(4 d-1)<(a+d+2)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a, d) & =4 a\{a-3(d-1)\}^{2}+3 d^{4}-4(4 d-1)(d+2)^{2}<0  \tag{3.22}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} & =12(a-3 d+3)(a-d+1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a \leqq \frac{3}{4} d^{4}<3(d-1)$; for $3 \leqq d \leqq 4$, maximum of $f(a, d)$ in the proper range occurs at $a=d-1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, d) \leqq f(d-1, d) & =16(d-1)^{3}+3 d^{4}-4(4 d-1)(d+2)^{2} \\
& =3 d^{2}\left(d^{2}-36\right) \\
& <0(\text { since } d \leqq 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3.22) is true and the result follows in this case.
Subcase (ii) $2<d \leqq 3$.
In this case (3.21) is satisfied if we have

$$
4 a^{2}(\beta+k)<\left(d+a+\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2}
$$

This will be so if

$$
4 a^{2} \cdot \frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a^{2}(4 d-1-a)}+a(4 d-1)<\frac{(4 d+4 a+3)^{2}}{16}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a, d) & =16 a\left(a-\frac{12 d-7}{4}\right)^{2}+12 d^{4}-(4 d-1)(4 d+3)^{2}<0  \tag{3.23}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} & =48\left(a-\frac{12 d-7}{4}\right)\left(a-\frac{12 d-7}{12}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a \leqq \frac{3}{4} d^{\frac{6}{3}}<(12 d-7 / 4)$ for $2<d \leqq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max f(a, d) & =f\left(\frac{12 d-7}{12}, d\right) \\
\frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon \leqq a \leqq \frac{3}{4} d^{4} & =12 d^{4}-192 d^{2}+\frac{160}{3} d-\frac{100}{27} \\
& =12 d^{2}\left(d^{2}-9\right)+\frac{80}{3} d(2-d)-\frac{172}{3} d^{2}-\frac{100}{27} \\
& <0 \text { for } 2<d \leqq 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.23) is satisfied and the result follows.
Lemma 16. If $1<d \leqq 2$, then again (3.7) is true.
Proof. If $\beta+k>\frac{9}{4}$, choose $y \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with

$$
1 \leqq|y+f z+v t| \leqq \frac{3}{2}
$$

so that

$$
-a(\beta-1) \leqq \varphi(y, z, t) \leqq a\left(\frac{9}{4}-\beta\right)<a k=d-\frac{1}{4} .
$$

The result will follow from Lemma 10 with strict inequality if we have

$$
a(\beta-1)<\frac{1}{4} .
$$

This will be so if

$$
\frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a(4 d-1-a)}<a+\frac{1}{4}
$$

i.e.

$$
f(a, d)=4\left(4 a^{2}+a\right)(4 d-1-a)-3 d^{4}>0
$$

For

$$
\frac{3}{4}-\varepsilon \leqq a \leqq \frac{3}{4} d^{4}<2 ; \text { for } 1<d \leqq 2,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, d) & \geqq 4\left(\frac{9}{4}+\frac{3}{4}\right)(4 d-3)-3 d^{4}+0(\varepsilon) \\
& =3\left(16 d-12-d^{4}\right)+0(\varepsilon) \\
& >0 \text { for } 1<d \leqq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

as is easily verified by the rule of signs.
Let now $1<\beta+k \leqq \frac{9}{4}$, choose $y \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 1)$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leqq|y+f z+v t| \leqq 1$, so that

$$
-a\left(\beta-\frac{1}{4}\right) \leqq \varphi(y, z, t) \leqq a(1-\beta)<a k=d-\frac{1}{4} .
$$

Thus $\varphi(y, z, t)$ satisfies (3.11) if we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \beta \leqq \frac{a+1}{4} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now distinguish the following two subcases:
(i) $a<d / 2$.
(ii) $a \geqq d / 2$.

Subcase (i) If $a<d / 2$, then since $\beta+k \leqq \frac{9}{4}$, we have

$$
\alpha \beta \leqq a\left(\frac{9}{4}-k\right)=\frac{9 a}{4}-d+\frac{1}{4}<\frac{a}{4}+\frac{1}{4}
$$

and the result follows.
Subcase (ii) If $a \geqq d / 2$, then $a \beta \leqq(a+1) / 4$ is satisfied if we have

$$
a \beta \leqq \frac{3 d^{4}}{16 a(4 d-1-a)} \leqq \frac{a+1}{4},
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a, d) & =4\left(a^{2}+a\right)(4 d-1-a)-3 d^{4} \geqq 0  \tag{3.25}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} & =4\left\{-3 a^{2}+4 a(2 d-1)+4 d-1\right\} \\
& =12\left(a+\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}-a\right), \alpha_{1}>0 \\
\alpha_{2} & =\frac{4 d-2+\left\{(4 d-2)^{2}+3(4 d-1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{3} \\
& >\frac{3}{4} d \frac{4}{3} \geqq a \quad \text { if } 1<d \leqq 2
\end{align*}
$$

so that $\partial f / \partial a>0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a, d) & \geqq f\left(\frac{d}{2}, d\right)=\frac{1}{2} d(2-d)\left(6 d^{2}+5 d-2\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } 1<d \leqq 2
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (3.25) is satisfied and the result follows from Lemma 10. This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 4. Case of equality

Lemma 17. Equality is needed in (3.7) if and only if $\left(Q(x, y, z, t) \sim Q_{2}\right.$ and $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$.

Proof. Equality can occur only if we have equality in (3.25). This from (3.26) will be so only if

$$
d=2, \quad a=\frac{d}{2}=1
$$

From (3.6), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we have

$$
|D|=3, \quad k=\frac{7}{4}, \quad \Delta^{2}=12, \quad \lambda^{2}=3 .
$$

Also we must have equality in Lemma 5. Since $\lambda^{2}=3=(1+2) / 1$ ( $m=1$ ), we must have

$$
\psi(z, t) \sim c \psi_{1}=c\left(z^{2}-3 t^{2}\right), \quad c>0
$$

and $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$.
Since $\Delta^{2}=12$, we get $c=1$. Thus we can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y, z, t)=(y+f z+v t)^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a suitable unimodular transformation we can suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \quad|g| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \quad|u| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \quad|f| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \quad|v| \leqq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again for equality to occur, the inequalities

$$
-\frac{1}{4}<F(y, z, t)=\left(y+f z+v t+\frac{f}{2}+\frac{v}{2}+y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}<\frac{7}{4}
$$

should have no solution in integers $y, z, t$.

$$
-\frac{1}{4}<F(y, 1,0)=\left(y+\frac{3 f}{2}+\frac{v}{2}+y_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2}<\frac{7}{4}
$$

is solvable for integer $y$ unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 f}{2}+\frac{v}{2}+y_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly by considering $F(y, 1,-1)$ and $F(y, 0,0)$ we see that if equality is to occur we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 f}{2}-\frac{v}{2}+y_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f}{2}+\frac{v}{2}+y_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.2) we get

$$
f=v=0, \quad y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\varphi(y, z, t)=y^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2}
$$

and $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$.
Again if equality is to occur, the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<G(x, y, z, t)= & \left(x+h y+g z+u t+\frac{h}{2}+\frac{g}{2}+\frac{u}{2}+x_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(y+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}<2
\end{aligned}
$$

should have no solution in integers $x, y, z, t$.

$$
0<G(x, 0,0,0)=\left(x+\frac{h}{2}+\frac{g}{2}+\frac{u}{2}+x_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}<2
$$

is solvable for integer $x$ unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{2}+\frac{g}{2}+\frac{u}{2}+x_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly by considering $G(x,-1,0,0), G(x, 0,-1,0)$ and $G(x, 0,0,-1)$ we see that if equality is to occur we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\frac{h}{2}+\frac{g}{2}+\frac{u}{2}+x_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \frac{h}{2}-\frac{g}{2}+\frac{u}{2}+x_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) \\
& \frac{h}{2}+\frac{g}{2}-\frac{u}{2}+x_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1)
\end{align*}
$$

From the last four congruences and (4.2) we get

$$
h=g=u=0, x_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1) .
$$

Thus equality can occur only if $Q(x, y, z, t)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2}=Q_{2}$ and $\left.\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},\right) \bmod 1\right)$. We next show that equality is needed for $Q_{2}$ when $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1)$. For this it suffices to show that for integers $x, y, z, t$ we have either

$$
\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \leqq 0 \text { or } \geqq 2 .
$$

It is enough to prove that $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2} \leqq 0$ or $\geqq 8$ for odd integers $x, y, z, t$. This is clear since $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-3 t^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ for odd $x, y, z, t$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Theorem A follows from Lemmas 8 to 17, and the theorem is proved.
I am thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions which helped to remove some of the obscurities in the paper.
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