# FAMILIES OF GENERALIZED WEIGHING MATRICES 

GERALD BERMAN

Generalized weighing ( $G W$ ) matrices are orthogonal matrices whose nonzero entries are roots of unity. Several families are constructed with the aid of finite geometries which include as special cases interesting examples of conference matrices and weighing matrices. The concept of negacyclic matrices is generalized to $\omega$-circulant matrices where $\omega \neq 1$ is a $d$-th root of unity and it is shown that the rows and columns of a family of $G W$ matrices constructed from $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ can be permuted so that the resulting matrices are $\omega$-circulant. It is also shown that these matrices correspond to a family of relative difference sets. A second family of $G W$ matrices is constructed from the projective geometry $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ which are $\omega$-circulant but which do not correspond to difference sets. The method gives a simple construction for a $\mu$-fold spread of $(t-2)$ spaces of $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$. Finally another family of $G W$ matrices is constructed from $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ in a different way. It is conjectured that these are not equivalent to $\omega$-circulant matrices.

1. Introduction. A generalized weighing $(G W)$ matrix $W(d, k, m)$ is a square $m \times m$ matrix all of whose non-zero entries are $d$-th roots of unity such that $A A^{*}=k I$ where $A^{*}=\left(\overline{a_{i j}{ }^{\prime}}\right)$ is the conjugate transpose of $A$ and $I=I_{n}$. It follows that $\sqrt{k A}$ is a unitary matrix so that $A^{*} A=k I$ and every row and column of $A$ has exactly $k$ nonzero entries.

Weighing matrices, the special case $W(2, k, m)$, have been studied extensively. The name comes from their application in accuracy of measurements by Yates [17]. They have recently been studied in connection with combinatorial designs by Mullin [9], Mullin and Stanton [10], and Berman $[\mathbf{2 ; 3}]$. An application ot coding theory has been considered by Pless [12]. Related negacyclic codes were first studied by Berlekamp [1]. Hadamard matrices are the special cases $W(2, k, k)$ in which there are no zero entries. Generalized Hadamard matrices $W(d, k, k)$ were considered by Butson $[\mathbf{4} ; \mathbf{5}]$. These matrices have also been studied in connection with combinatorial designs by Shrikhande [15] and in connection with codes by Delsarte and Goethals [6]. The special case $W(2, m-1, m)$ are $C$-matrices or conference matrices which were studied by Goethals and Seidel [8]. Negacyclic $C$-matrices were considered by Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel [7].

Many of the properties of weighing matrices and generalized Hadamard matrices also hold for $G W$ matrices. Equivalent matrices are obtained by permutations of rows and columns. If a row or column of $W(d, k, m)$ is multi-
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plied by a $d$-th root of unity the resulting matrix is an equivalent $W(d, k, m)$. This implies that a normalized form can be defined in which the first entry of each row and column is 1 and if the first 1 in the $j$-th column (row) occurs in position $\lambda_{j}$, then $\lambda_{j} \leqq \lambda_{k}$ for $j \leqq k$.

New $G M$ matrices can be constructed from known matrices just as in the case of Hadamard matrices or weighing matrices. For example, if a row or column of $W(d, k, m)$ is multiplied by an $e$-th root of unity the result is a $W(L C M(d, e), k, m)$. New $G W$ matrices can also be obtained in terms of the Kronecker product: if $A_{1}$ is a $W\left(d_{1}, k_{1}, m_{1}\right)$ and $A_{2}$ is a $W\left(d_{2}, k_{2}, m_{2}\right)$ then $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ is a $W\left(L C M\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right), k_{1} k_{2}, m_{1} m_{2}\right)$. Such constructions may be used to obtain new $G M$ matrices from those constructed in this paper.

In Section 2 collineations of order $r$ in $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ are used to construct $G W$ matrices $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n},\left(p^{t n}-1\right) / r\right)$ for every divisor of $r$, where $r$ is any factor of $p^{n}-1$. If $p$ is odd, and $d=t=2, r=p^{n}-1$, the corresponding $G W$ matrix $W\left(2, p^{n}, p^{n}+1\right)$ is a conference matrix. The weighing matrices constructed in [2] are also a special case.

In Section $3 \omega$-circulant matrices are defined which generalize negacyclic matrices. It is shown that the rows and columns of the matrices constructed in Section 2 can be permuted into this form. The ideas are illustrated by constructing a number of $\omega$-circulant matrices associated with $E G(2,11)$ including a $W(2,11,12)$. It is shown in Section 4 that this family of $G W$ matrices is in 1-1 correspondence with a family of cyclic relative difference sets which parameters $\left(q, s, p^{(t-1) n}, p^{(t-2) n} / r\right)$ where $q=\left(p^{t n}-1\right) /\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ and $r s=p^{n}-1$ analogous to those constructed by Butson [5] for generalized Hadamard matrices.

In Section 5 it is shown that a similar construction can be carried out in $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ to obtain another family of $G W$ matrices $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}, q /\left(p^{n}+1\right)\right)$ where $t$ is odd and $d \mid p^{n}+1$, which are also $\omega$-circulant but are not associated with relative difference sets as in the case of the family of Section 3. Instead it is shown that these matrices correspond to $\mu$-fold spreads of $(t-2)$-spaces of $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$. As an example the $\omega$-circulant matrix $W(3,15,21)$ associated with $P G(5,2)$ is constructed. This leads to the determination of a 5 -fold spread of the 3 -spaces of $P G(5,2)$ in a different way than that given in Rao [13].

In Section 6 any subgroup of the additive group of $G F\left(p^{n}\right)$ is used to construct a $G W$ matrix $W\left(p, p^{(t-1) n}, p^{t n-\alpha}\right)$ for any $\alpha, 1 \leqq \alpha \leqq n$. The construction is a generalization of the method used by Vanstone and Mullin [16] to construct weighing matrices in the special case $p=2$. It is conjectured that the rows and columns of these matrices cannot be permuted so that the resulting matrix is $\omega$-circulant.
2. Affine $\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{W}$ matrices. The $p^{t r}$ points of the Euclidean geometry $E=$ $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ can be represented by the $t$-tuples or column vectors

$$
x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)^{T}, \quad x_{i} \in F, i=1,2, \ldots, t
$$

where $F=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$. The hyperplanes of $E$ are the sets of points which satisfy linear equations and coefficients in $F$. Let $P^{\prime}$ denote the set of $p^{t n}-1$ points not including the origin $0=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ and let $H^{\prime}$ denote the set of $p^{t n}-1$ hyperplanes which do not include 0 . Every hyperplane $u \in H^{\prime}$ satisfies a linear equation which can be expressed in the form $u_{1} x_{1}+u_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+u_{t} x_{t}$ $=1$ with not all $u_{i}$ zero, so that the elements of $H^{\prime}$ can be represented by the set of $t$-tuples or row vectors

$$
u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t}\right), \quad u_{i} \in F, i=1,2, \ldots, t
$$

with $u \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)$. Using matrix notation the equation satisfied by $u \in H^{\prime}$ can be written as
(2.1) $u x=1, \quad x \in P^{\prime}, \quad u \in H^{\prime}$,
and we say that the point $x$ is on the hyperplane $u$ or $u$ contains the point $x$ and write $x \in u$ if the pair $x, u$ satisfies (2.1). It follows that a point $x \in P^{\prime}$ is on $p^{(t-1) n}$ hyperplanes of $H^{\prime}$ and a hyperplane $u \in H^{\prime}$ contains $p^{(t-1) n}$ points of $P^{\prime}$.

A collineation $\phi$ is a transformation of $E$ which preserves collinearity. The order of $\phi$ is the smallest integer $r$ such that $\phi^{r}$ is the identity transformation. Let $\phi_{\lambda}$ denote the mapping defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda} x=\lambda x=\left(\lambda x_{1}, \lambda x_{2}, \ldots, \lambda x_{t}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a nonezro element of $F$.
Lemma 2.1. The mapping $\phi_{\lambda}, \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0$ is a collineation of $E$ which maps the hyperplane $u$ onto the hyperplane $\lambda^{-1} u$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda} u=\lambda^{-1} u=\left(\lambda^{-1} u_{1}, \lambda^{-1} u_{2}, \ldots, \lambda^{-1} u_{t}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda \neq 1$ there are no fixed points in $P^{\prime}$ or fixed hyperplanes in $H^{\prime}$ under the mapping $\phi_{\lambda}$. The order $r_{\lambda}$ of $\phi_{\lambda}$ is a factor of $p^{n}-1$, and if $r \mid p^{n}-1$ there is a $\lambda$ such that $r_{\lambda}=r$.

The points $x, y, z$ of $P^{\prime}$ are collinear if there exists elements $a, b, c \in F$ not all zero such that $a x+b y+c z=0, a+b+c=0$. It follows that

$$
a\left(\phi_{\lambda} x\right)+b\left(\phi_{\lambda} y\right)+c\left(\phi_{\lambda} z\right)=\lambda(a x+b y+c z)=0
$$

so that $\phi_{\lambda}$ is a collineation. For every $x \in u,\left(\lambda^{-1} x\right)(\lambda u)=1$ so that $\lambda^{-1} u$ contains $\phi_{\lambda} x$, that is $\phi_{\lambda} u=\lambda^{-1} u$. The mapping $\phi_{\lambda}$ has no fixed points or hyperplanes since very point $x \in P^{\prime}$ and every $u \in H^{\prime}$ has at least one nonzero component implying that $\phi_{\lambda} x \neq x, \phi_{\lambda} u \neq u$ provided $\lambda \neq 1$. Finally $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{k} x=\lambda^{k} x$ so that the order of $\phi_{\lambda}$ is the same as the multiplicative order of $\lambda$ in $F$, which divides $p^{n}-1$. Further if $r \mid p^{n}-1$ there are elements of $F$ of order $r$. For these elements $r_{\lambda}=r$.

Let $\phi_{\lambda}$ have order $r_{\lambda}$ and set

$$
[x]=\left\{\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{k} x, k=0,1, \ldots, r_{\lambda}-1\right\}, \quad x \in P^{\prime}
$$

It is immediate that $y \in[x]$ if and only if $x \in[y]$ so that we can choose elements $x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{m} \in P^{\prime}, r_{\lambda} m=p^{m}-1$ such that $\left[x^{1}\right] \cup\left[x^{2}\right] \cup \ldots \cup\left[x^{m}\right]$ is a partition of $P^{\prime}$. Similarly if we set

$$
[u]=\left\{\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{k} u, k=0,1, \ldots, r_{\lambda}-1\right\}, \quad u \in H^{\prime}
$$

there exist elements $u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}$ such that $\left[u^{1}\right] \cup\left[u^{2}\right] \cup \ldots \cup\left[u^{m}\right]$ is a partition of $H^{\prime}$. Since the hyperplanes $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{k} u^{i}$ are parallel the point $x^{j}$ lies on at most one of them. If $x^{j}$ is a point of $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{l} u^{i}$, i.e., $\left(\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{l} u^{i}\right) x^{j}=1$, then $\left(\lambda^{-k}\left(\phi_{\lambda}^{l} u^{i}\right)\right)$ $\left(\lambda^{k} x^{j}\right)=1$ so that $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{k} x^{j}$ is a point of $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{l+k} u^{i}, k=0,1, \ldots, r_{\lambda}-1$. If points of $\left[x^{j}\right]$ are on hyperplanes of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ we shall write $\left[x^{j}\right] \in\left[u^{i}\right]$ for convenience. It follows from the above remarks that if $\left[x^{j}\right] \in\left(u^{i}\right]$ there exists a unique integer $h=\nu\left(u^{i}, x^{j}\right)$ say, such that $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{h} x^{j}$ is a point of $u^{i}$; otherwise $x^{j}$ is not a point of any of the hyperplanes of $\left[u^{i}\right]$.

Let $d>1$ denote any divisor of $r_{\lambda}$ and let $\omega \neq 1$ be a $d$-th root of unity. Let $A\left(\phi_{\lambda}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{m}, u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}, \omega\right)$ denote the $m \times m$ matrix $\left(a_{\imath j}\right)$ defined by

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}\omega^{\nu\left(u^{i}, x^{j}\right)} & \text { if }\left[x^{i}\right] \in\left[u^{j}\right]  \tag{2.4}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, m$ where $r_{\lambda} m=p^{t n}-1$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi_{\lambda}$ denote a collineation of order $r_{\lambda}$ of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ as defined by 2.2 (and (2.3)). Let $d \mid r_{\lambda}$ and let $\omega \neq 1$ be a $d$-th root of unity. Then the matrix $A=A\left(\phi_{\lambda}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{m}, u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}\right)$ is a $G W$ matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}\right.$, $\left.\left(p^{t n}-1\right) / r_{\lambda}\right)$.

From the construction $A$ is an $m \times m$ matrix, $r_{\lambda} m=p^{t n}-1$, whose nonzero elements are $d$-th roots of unity. Since there are $p^{(t-1) n}$ points on every hyperplane and every set $\left[x^{j}\right]$ can contain at most one of these points, every row of $A$ contains exactly $p^{(t-1) n}$ nonzero entries.

It remains to be shown that $A$ is orthogonal, i.e., that the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sum_{j} a_{i j} \bar{a}_{k j} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals 0 for $i \neq k$. If $u^{i}, u^{k}$ are parallel, then none of the hyperplanes of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ have points in common with hyperplanes of $\left[u^{k}\right]$ so that $Q=0$. If $u^{i}, u^{k}$ intersect there are nonzero terms in the sum $Q$ corresponding to every point $x^{j}$ which is common to a hyperplane of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ and a hyperplane of $\left[u^{k}\right]$. From the previous discussion the hyperplane $u^{i}$ intersects each of the hyperplanes $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{h} u^{k}, h=0,1, \ldots, r_{\lambda}-1$ in $p^{(t-1) n}$ points so that the sum (2.5) contains $r_{\lambda} p^{(t-2) n}$ nonzero terms. For any point $\phi_{\lambda} x^{j}$ on $u^{i}$ and $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{h} u^{j}$ we have

$$
u^{i}\left(\phi_{\lambda}^{l} x^{j}\right)=1, \quad\left(\phi_{\lambda}^{h} u^{k}\right)\left(\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{l} x^{j}\right)=u^{k}\left(\phi_{\lambda}^{l-h} x^{j}\right)=1
$$

so that $\nu\left(u^{i}, x^{j}\right)=l, \nu\left(u^{k}, x^{j}\right)=l-h$ and by (2.4) $a_{i j}=\omega^{l}, a_{k j}=\omega^{l-h}$, $a_{i j} \bar{a}_{k j}=\omega^{h}$. This means that for every $h=0,1, \ldots, r_{\lambda}-1$ there are $p^{(t-2) n}$
terms of $Q$ which have value $\omega^{h}$ and we have

$$
Q=p^{(t-2) n}\left(1+\omega+\omega^{2}+\ldots+\omega^{\tau_{\lambda}-1}\right)=0
$$

since $\omega$ is a $d$-th root of unity and $d \mid r_{\lambda}$.
Corollary 2.3. Let $p, t, r, d$ denote any positive integers such that $p$ is prime, $d \mid r$ and $r \mid p^{n}-1$. Then there exists a GW matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n},\left(p^{t n}-1\right) / r\right)$.

By Lemma 2.1 there exists a $\lambda$ such that the collineation $\phi_{\lambda}$ is of order $r$ for any $r$ which is a factor of $p^{n}-1$. The required matrix is given by Theorem 2.2.
3. $\omega$-circulant matrices. Let $\omega \neq 1$ denote a $d$-th root of unity. A $G W$ matrix $A$ is $\omega$-circulant if the $i$-th row of $A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(a_{n-i+2}, \omega a_{n-i+3}, \ldots, \omega a_{n}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-i+1}\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is the first row of $A$. In the special case $d=2, A$ is a negacyclic matrix as defined in [7]. In this section it will be shown that the rows and columns of any $G W$ matrix $W(d, k, m)$ constructed in Section 2 can be permuted so that the resulting matrix is an $\omega$-circulant matrix.

Let $K$ denote $G F\left(p^{t n}\right)$ considered as an extension field of $F=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$ and let $x$ denote a primitive element of $K$. The points of $P^{\prime}$ (the points of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ $\neq 0)$ can be represented by the powers $x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{v \prime}=1, v^{\prime}=p^{t n}-1$. It is easy to verify that the mapping $\chi^{\prime}$ defined by $\chi^{\prime} x^{j}=x^{j+1}$ is a collineation. Rao [13] showed that $\chi^{\prime}$ is also transitive on the hyperplanes of $H^{\prime}$ (the hyperplanes of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ which do not contain 0$)$.

Let $q=\left(p^{t n}-1\right) /\left(p^{n}-1\right)$; then the nonzero elements of $F$ have the unique representation $x^{j q}, j=0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-2$. Let $r$ be a factor of $p^{n}-1, r s=$ $p^{n}-1$; then $x^{s q} \in F$ and the mapping $\psi_{r}=\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{s q}$ is a collineation of order $r$ and is a mapping $\phi_{\lambda}$ of Section 2 with $\lambda=x^{s q}$. Set $m=s q$ and let $x^{j}=\chi^{j} x$, $j=1,2, \ldots, m$. If $u$ is any hyperplane of $H^{\prime}$ set $u^{i}=\chi^{i} u=\left\{x^{j+i}, x^{j} \in u\right\}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. (Note that the superscript in $x^{j}$ is also a power of $x$ in this case).

Theorem 3.1. Let $r \mid p^{n}-1, r s=p^{n}-1, m=s q$, and let $\psi_{r}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{m}$, $u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}$ be defined as above. Let $d \mid r, \omega \neq 1$ be a d-th root of unity, and let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)=\left(\mathrm{A} \psi_{s}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{m}, u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}\right)$ as defined in Section 2. Then $B$ is a $\omega$-circulant $G W$ matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}, m\right)$.

Let $\psi_{r}{ }^{h} x^{j}$ be a point of $u^{i}$ so that $\nu\left(u^{i}, x^{j}\right)=h$ and $b_{i j}=\omega^{h}$. Then $\chi^{\prime} \psi_{r}{ }^{k} x^{j}$ is a point of $\chi^{\prime} u^{i}$. If $j<m, \chi^{\prime} \psi_{r}{ }^{h} x^{j}=\psi_{r}{ }^{h} \chi^{\prime} x^{j}=\psi_{r}{ }^{h} x^{j+1}$ so that $b_{i+1, j+1}=\omega^{h}$. If $j=m$ then $\psi_{r}{ }^{h} x^{j+1}=\psi_{s}{ }^{h+1} x$ is in $u^{i+1}$ and $b_{i+1,1}=\omega^{h+1}$. This implies 3.1 so that $B$ is $\omega$-circulant.

Several corollaries are immediate.
Corollary 3.2. If $p, t, r$ and $d$ are positive integers such that $p$ is prime, $d \mid r$ and $r \mid p^{n}-1$ there exists an $\omega$-circulant matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n},\left(p^{t n}-1\right) / r\right)$.

Corollary 3.3. If $p$ is an odd prime there exists a negacyclic matrix $W\left(2, p^{(t-1) n} .\left(p^{t n}-1\right) / r\right)$ for every even factor $r$ of $p^{n}-1$.

Corollary 3.4. There exists an $\omega$-circulant conference matrix $W\left(d, p^{n}, p^{n}+1\right)$ for every divisor $d$ of $p^{n}-1$.

The first corollary is a restatement of the theorem. The second corollary is obtained by taking $d=2$ where $r=2 r^{\prime}$ and the third corollary by taking $r=p^{n}-1$. If $p$ is odd the matrix in Corollary 3.4 becomes a negacyclic conference matrix if we take $d=2$ (which is a divisor of $p^{n}-1$ ).

The above ideas are illustrated in the following example. Consider $E G(2,11)$. The polynomial $x^{2}=10 x+4$ is a primitive polynomial so that the 120 points $\neq 0$ can be represented by the powers $x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{120}=1$. Using the tables given in Rao [13] it is easy to verify that the points in the hyperplane $u=$ $(0,1) \in H^{\prime}$ are given by
(3.2) $u=\{1,6,22,62,68,69,71,88,99,103,113\}$
recording only the powers of $x$. Since the divisors $\neq 1$ of $p^{n}-1=10$ are $10,5,2$ the collineations which determine $G W$ matrices are $\psi_{10}=\chi^{\prime 12}, \psi_{5}=$ $\chi^{\prime 24}$ and $\psi_{2}=\chi^{\prime 60}$.

The collineation $\psi_{10}$ determines three generalized conference matrices. If we write the points of $u$ in terms of $\psi_{10}$ we have

$$
u=\left\{1, \psi_{10}{ }^{5} 2, \psi_{10}{ }^{8} 3, \psi_{10}{ }^{6} 4, \psi_{10}{ }^{9} 5,6, \psi_{10}{ }^{8} 7, \psi_{10}{ }^{5} 8, \psi_{10}{ }^{5} 9, \psi_{10} 10, \psi_{10}{ }^{5} 11\right\}
$$

and if we let $\omega_{10} \neq 1$ be a 10 -th root of unity the first row of the corresponding $\omega_{10}$-circulant generalized conference matrix $W(10,11,12)$ is

$$
0,1, \omega_{10^{5}}, \omega_{10^{8}}, \omega_{10}{ }^{6}, \omega_{10^{9}}, 1, \omega_{10}{ }^{8}, \omega_{10^{5}}{ }^{5}, \omega_{10^{5}}, \omega_{10}, \omega_{10^{5}} .
$$

If $\omega_{5} \neq 1$ is a 5 -th root of unity, the first row of the $\omega_{5}$-circulant generalized conference matrix $W(5,11,12)$ is

$$
0,1,1, \omega_{5}^{3}, \omega_{5}, \omega_{5}^{4}, 1, \omega_{5}^{3}, 1,1, \omega_{5}, 1
$$

and if we take $\omega=-1$ we obtain the conference matrix with first row

$$
0,1,-1,1,1,-1,1,1,-1,-1,-1,-1 .
$$

In terms of the collineation $\psi_{5}$ (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$
u=\left\{1, \psi_{5}{ }^{4} 3,6, \psi_{5}{ }^{4} 7, \psi_{5}{ }^{2} 14, \psi_{5}{ }^{3} 16, \psi_{5}{ }^{4} 17, \psi_{5}{ }^{2} 20, \psi_{5}{ }^{2} 21,22, \psi_{5}{ }^{2} 23\right\} .
$$

Let $\omega_{5} \neq 1$ be a 5 -th root of unity as before. Then the first row of a second $\omega_{5}$-circulant matrix, this time a $W(5,11,24)$, has first row

$$
0,1,0, \omega_{5}{ }^{4}, 0,0,1, \omega_{5}{ }^{4}, 0,0,0,0,0,0, \omega_{5}{ }^{2}, 0, \omega_{5}{ }^{3}, \omega_{5}{ }^{4}, 0,0, \omega_{5}{ }^{2}, \omega_{5}{ }^{2}, 1, \omega_{5}{ }^{2} .
$$

Similarly, using $\psi_{2}$, (3.1) becomes

$$
u=\left\{1, \psi_{2} 2,6, \psi_{2} 9, \psi_{2} 11,22, \psi_{2} 28, \psi_{2} 29, \psi_{2} 39, \psi_{2} 43, \psi_{2} 53\right\}
$$

which leads to a weighing matrix $W(2,12,60)$.
4. Cyclic relative difference sets. A cyclic relative difference (CRD) set $R(q, \nu, k, \lambda)$ is a subset of $k$ integers $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right\}$ modulo $q \nu$ such that $d_{i}-d_{j} \neq 0 \bmod q$ for any $d_{i}, d_{j}, i \neq j$, and for every $d \neq 0 \bmod q$ there are exactly $\lambda$ pairs $d_{i}, d_{j}$ for which $d_{i}-d_{j}=d \bmod q \nu$. A $C R D$ set is a special case of a difference set of a group $G$ of order $q \nu$ relative to a normal subgroup $H$ of order $\nu$ in which $G$ is cyclic. If $\nu=1, R$ is an ordinary cyclic difference set with parameters $q, k, \lambda$. The parameters of $D$ satisfying the identity $k(k-1)$. $=\lambda(q-1 \nu$.

The following lemma is required.
Lemma 4.1. Let $r$ be a factor of $\nu, r s=\nu$ and let $d_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the integer $d_{i}$ reduced modulo $q s, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ where $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right\}$ is an $R(q, \nu, k, \lambda)$. Then $D^{\prime}=\left\{d_{1}{ }^{\prime}, d_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{k}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ is an $R(q, s, k, \lambda r)$.

Each of the integers $d+h q s, h=0,1, \ldots, r-1$ occurs $\lambda$ times among the differences $d_{i}-d_{j}$. Each of these integers equals $d$ modulo $q s$, so that $d$ occurs $r \lambda$ times among the integers $d_{i}{ }^{\prime}-d_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ taken modulo $q s$.

A $C R D$ set can be associated with every $\omega$-circulant matrix constructed in Section 3.

Theorem 4.2. Let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be the $\omega$-circulant matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}\right.$, sq), where $d \mid r r s=p^{n}-1, q r s=p^{t n}-1$, constructed in Section 3, and let

$$
D_{i}=\left\{j \mid b_{i j} \neq 0\right\} \bmod q s, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, q s
$$

Then $D_{i}$ is a CRD set $R\left(q, s, p^{(t-1) n}, p^{(t-2) n} r\right) i=1,2, \ldots, q s$.
Consider the set of integers

$$
S_{h}=\left\{j \mid x^{j} \in u^{h}\right\} \quad \bmod q\left(p^{n}-1\right) .
$$

We shall show that this set is a $C R D$ set $R\left(q, p^{n}-1, p^{(t-1) n}, p^{(t-2) n}\right)$. First note that $S_{i}$ contains $p^{(-1) n}$ integers so that $k=p^{(t-1) n}$. Suppose $i-j=d$ and $x^{i}, x^{j} \in u^{h}$. Then $\chi^{\prime d} x^{j}=x^{i}$ so that $x^{i} \in \chi^{\prime d} u^{h}=u^{h+d}$. It follows that $d_{i}-d_{j}$ has a solution in $S_{h}$ whenever $x^{i}$ is on the hyperplanes $u^{h}, u^{h+d}$. Since these hyperplanes are not parallel they have $p^{(t-2) n}$ points in common and $\lambda=$ $p^{(t-2) n}$. No difference can be a multiple of $q$. For if $i-j=k q$ the points $x^{i}=$ $x^{j+k q}=\alpha x^{j}$ and $x^{j}$ are in $u^{h}$, where $\alpha \in F$. It follows that $u^{i}$ contains the origin and so could not be in $H^{\prime}$, a contradiction. The hyperplanes $u^{h}$ and $u^{h+l q}$ are parallel for every $l$.

Now consider $D_{i}$. If $r=1$, i.e., if $s=p^{n}-1$ the above remarks show that the theorem holds. If $r \neq 1$, then $D_{\imath}$ can be obtained from $S_{i}$ by reducing the integers of $S_{i}$ modulo $q s$. To see this note that the $i$ th row of $B$ corresponds to the set [ $u^{i}$ ]. If $b_{i j}=\omega^{h}$ then $u^{i}$ contains the point $x^{j+n s q}$. That is, if $x^{l}$ is a point of $u^{i}, l=j+h s q$ so that $l \in S_{i}, b_{i j} \neq 0$ and $j \in D_{i}$. The result now follows from Lemma 4.1 since $j \equiv l \bmod s q$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $p, t$, $n$ be positive integers such that $p$ is prime and $t>1$. Then there exists an ordinary cyclic difference set with parameters $\left(\left(p^{t n}-1\right)\right.$ / $\left(p^{n}-1\right), p^{(t-1) n}, p^{(t-2) n}\left(p^{n}-1\right)$.

This follows from the theorem by taking $r=p^{n}-1, s=1$.
5. Projective $\omega$-circulant matrices. In this section a cyclic collineation in $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ is used to construct $\omega$-circulant matrices which do not correspond to relative difference sets as in the case of affine $\omega$-circulant matrices of Section 3 .

The set of $q_{t}$ points of $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$, where $q_{j}=\left(p^{(j+1) n}-1\right) /\left(p^{n}-1\right)$, can be represented by the powers $x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{q t}=1$ of a primitive element of $K=G F\left(p^{(t+1) n}\right)$. The points of a $\sigma$-flat are the points linearly dependent on $\sigma+1$ linearly independent elements with respect to the subfield $F=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$, $\sigma=0,1, \ldots, t-1$. A point is a 0 -flat and a hyperplane is a $(t-1)$-flat. A collineation of $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ is a transformation of the set of points $P$ which maps $\sigma$-spaces on $\sigma$-spaces for all $\sigma=1,2, \ldots, t-1$. Singer [14] showed that the mapping $\chi$ defined by $\chi x^{j}=x^{j+1}$ is a collineation which is transitive on the set $H$ of hyperplanes as well as transitive on the set $P$, i.e., if $u$ is any hyperplane, the hyperplanes $\chi^{i} u, i=1,2, \ldots, q_{t}$ are distinct and thus represent all elements of $H$.
$A \mu$-fold spread $\Sigma$ of $\sigma$-spaces is a collection of $\sigma$-spaces such that each point of $P$ occurs in exactly $\mu \sigma$-spaces of $\Sigma$. Rao [13] proved that if $t+1$ and $\sigma+1$ have $\rho+1$ as a common factor, then a $\mu$-fold spread of $\sigma$-flats in $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ exists, where $\mu=q_{\sigma} / q_{\rho}$. It is also shown that the $\sigma$-flats of $\Sigma$ have period $\nu=q_{t} / q_{\rho}$, (under the collineation $\chi$ ) and if $S$ is a $\sigma$-space of $\Sigma$, then all the $\sigma$-spaces of $\Sigma$ can be represented in the form $S, \chi S, \ldots, \chi^{\nu-1} S$.

Lemma 5.1. If $t$ is odd, every $(t-1)$ space of $P G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ contains a $(t-2)$ space which is invariant under the collineation $\chi^{\nu}$, where $\nu=q_{t} / q_{1}$.

Since $t$ is odd $\sigma=t-2$ is odd so that $t+1$ and $\sigma+1$ have a factor $\rho+1$ where $\rho=1$. It follows from Rao's theorem that there is a $\mu$-fold spread $\Sigma$ of $(t-2)$-spaces of period $\nu=q_{t} / q_{1}$ where $\mu=q_{t-2} / q_{1}$. Let $S$ be a member of $\Sigma$. Suppose it lies in the hyperplane $u$; then the hyperplane $u^{i}=\chi^{i} u$ contains the $\sigma$-spaces $S_{i}=\chi^{i} S \in \Sigma$. It follows that every hyperplane contains a member of $\Sigma$. Further $\chi^{v} S_{i}=\chi^{\nu}\left(\chi^{i} S\right)=\chi^{i}\left(\chi^{\nu} S\right)=\chi^{i} S=S_{i}$ since $\nu$ is the period of $S$, showing that $S_{i} \in u^{i}$ is invariant under $\chi^{\nu}$.

Let $t$ be odd and $r$ a factor of $q_{1}=p^{n}+1$, say $q_{1}=r s$. Then $q_{t}=q_{1} \nu=$ $r(s \nu)$. Let $\theta_{r}=\chi^{s \nu}$ so that $\theta_{r}$ is a collineation of period $r$ which leaves the ( $t-2$ )-spaces of $\Sigma$ fixed. Let

$$
\left[x^{j}\right]=\left\{x^{j}, \theta_{r} x^{j}, \theta_{r}^{2} x^{j}, \ldots\right\} \quad j=0,1, \ldots, q_{t}-1 .
$$

The set $\left[x^{j}\right]$ contains $r$ distinct elements for every $j$ and the sets $[x],\left[x^{2}\right], \ldots$, $\left[x^{s \nu}\right]$ are disjoint and determine a partition of $P$. Similarly for every $u \in H$ set

$$
[u]=\left\{u, \theta_{r} u, \theta_{r}{ }^{2} u, \ldots\right\} .
$$

The set $[u]$ contains $r$ elements and if we set $u^{i}=\chi^{i} u, i=1,2, \ldots, s \nu$, then the sets $\left[u^{1}\right],\left[u^{2}\right], \ldots,\left[u^{s \nu}\right]$ are disjoint and determine a partition of $H$.

These sets have properties similar to the corresponding sets defined in Section 2. If $x^{j}$ is a point of $\theta_{r}{ }^{h} u^{i}$ then $\theta_{r}{ }^{k} x^{j}$ is a point of $\theta_{r}{ }^{k+h} u^{i}$. However the hyperplanes of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ are not parallel. Instead they have the property that if $x^{j}$ is on more than one hyperplane of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ it is on every hyperplane. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 since every pair of hyperplanes of $\left[u^{i}\right]$ intersect in a unique $(t-2)$-space which must be the $(t-2)$-space of $\Sigma$ invariant under $\chi^{\nu}$.

We now define a matrix $C\left(\theta_{r}, \omega\right)=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ as follows. Let $d>1$ be a factor of $r$ and $\omega \neq 1$ a $d$-th root of unity. Set

$$
c_{i j}= \begin{cases}\omega^{h} & \text { if } \theta_{T}{ }^{h} x^{j} \in T_{i}  \tag{5.1}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $T_{i}$ is the set of points of $u^{i}$ not in $S_{i}$, the invariant $(t-2)$-space of $\Sigma$.
TheOrem 5.2. Let $r>1$ be a factor of $q_{1}=p^{n}+1, q_{1}=r s$ say, and let $d \neq 1$ be a factor of $r$ and $\omega$ a d-th root of unity. Let $C=C\left(\theta_{r}, \omega\right)$ denote a matrix constructed as above. Then $C$ is an $\omega$-circulant matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}, q_{t} / r\right)$.

The number of points of $T_{i}$ is $q_{t-1}-q_{t-2}=p^{(t-1) n}$ so that the number of nonzero elements in each row is $p^{(t-1) n}$. Also $m=s \nu=s q_{t} / q_{1}=q_{t} / r$. To show that $C$ is orthogonal, consider rows $i$ and $k$ and let $Q=\Sigma_{j} c_{i j} \overline{c_{k j}}$ analogous to (2.5). If $\left[u^{i}\right],\left[u^{k}\right]$ intersect in a $(t-2)$-space of $\Sigma$ then every term of $Q$ is zero. Otherwise a term will be equal to $\omega^{h}$ only if $c_{i j}=\omega^{l+h}, c_{k j}=\omega^{l}$ for some $l$. This implies that the hyperplanes $\theta_{r}{ }^{h} u^{i}$ and $u^{k}$ contain a common point $\theta_{r}{ }^{l} x^{j}$ (for some $j$ ) which is not a point of $S_{i}$ or $S_{k}$ (the subspaces of $\Sigma$ ). The hyperplanes $\theta_{T}{ }^{h} u_{i}$ and $u^{j}$ contain $q_{t-2}$ common points (i.e., a ( $t-2$ )-space). The spaces $S_{i}, S_{k}$ are $(t-2)$-spaces which each intersect this common space in $(t-3)$-spaces having a $(t-4)$-space in common and hence determine $2 q_{t-3}-q_{t-4}$ distinct points which correspond to zero terms of $Q$. Thus the number of common points of $\theta_{r}{ }^{h} u^{i}$ and $u^{j}$ which correspond to terms $\omega^{h}$ in $Q$ is $q_{t-2}-2 q_{t-3}+q_{t-4}$. Since this is true for each $h=0,1, \ldots, r-1$, the sum $Q$ is equal to

$$
\left(q_{t-2}-2 q_{t-3}+q_{t-4}\right)\left(1+\omega+\ldots+\omega^{\tau-1}\right)
$$

This is zero since $\omega \neq 1$ is a $d$-th root of unity and $d \mid r$.
Corollary 5.3. If $p, t, n, d$ and $r$ are positive integers greater than one such that $p$ is prime, $d|r, r| p^{n}+1$, then there exists an $\omega$-circulant matrix $W\left(d, p^{(t-1) n}\right.$, $\left.\left(p^{(t+1) n}-1\right) / r\left(p^{n}-1\right)\right)$.

Corollary 5.4. There exists an $\omega$-circulant generalized conference matrix $W\left(d, p^{2 n}, p^{2 n}+1\right)$ for every divisor $d$ of $p^{n}+1$.

Corollary 5.3 is a restatement of the theorem and Corollary 5.4 is the special
case $t=3, r=p^{n}+1$. If $p$ is odd, the special case $d=2$ is a negacyclic conference matrix.

To illustrate the above ideas consider $P G(3,3)$. Using the primitive polynomial $x^{4}=2 x^{3}+1$ and the tables in Rao [13] we find that the hyperplane $u=(0,0,0,1)$ contains the points
(5.2) $u=\{1,2,3,9,17,19,24,26,29,30,35,38,39\}$
recording only powers of $x$. In this case $p^{n}+1=4$. Taking $r=2, \theta_{2}=\chi^{20}$, $u$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left\{1,2,3, \theta_{2} 4, \theta_{2} 6,\left(9, \theta_{2} 9\right), \theta_{2} 10, \theta_{2} 15,17, \theta_{2} 18,\left(19, \theta_{2} 19\right)\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce the negacyclic matrix $W(2,19,20)$ whose first row is given by

$$
0,1,1,1,-1,0,-1,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,-1,0,1,-1,0 .
$$

Taking $r=4 \theta_{4}=\chi^{10}$, (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left\{\theta_{4}{ }^{3} 0,1,2,3, \theta_{4}{ }^{2} 4, \theta_{4}{ }^{3} 5, \theta_{4}{ }^{2} 6, \theta_{4} 7, \theta_{4}{ }^{3} 8,\left(9, \theta_{4} 9, \theta_{4}{ }^{2} 9, \theta_{4}{ }^{3} 9\right)\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the $\omega_{4}$-circulant generalized conference matrix $W(4,9,10)$ with first row

$$
\omega_{4}{ }^{3}, 1,1,1, \omega_{4}{ }^{2}, \omega_{4}{ }^{3}, \omega_{4}{ }^{2}, \omega_{4}, \omega_{4}{ }^{3}, 0
$$

or if we prefer

$$
0, \omega_{4}{ }^{3}, 1,1,1, \omega_{4}{ }^{2}, \omega_{4}{ }^{3}, \omega_{4}{ }^{2}, \omega_{4}, \omega_{4}{ }^{3}
$$

where $\omega_{4} \neq 1$ is a 4 -th root of unity. Taking $d=2$ we have as a special case the negacyclic matrix with first row

$$
0,-1,1,1,1,1,-1,1,-1,-1 .
$$

In this caes $\Sigma$ is a spread of lines with $\mu=1 \nu=10$. The invariant line $l$ in $u$ given by (5.2) is immediate from (5.4) (or 5.3 ), $l=\{9,19,29,39\}=$ $\left\{9, \theta_{4} 9, \theta_{4}{ }^{2} 9, \theta_{4}{ }^{3} 9\right\}$ and $\Sigma$ is the set of lines $l_{j}=\chi^{j} l, j=0,1, \ldots, 9$.
This method provides a simple alternative method for obtaining $\Sigma$ in case $\sigma=t-2$. To illustrate the case $\mu \neq 1$ we find the 5 fold spread of 3 -spaces of $P G(5,2)$.

Again using the tables in Rao and using the hyperplane $u=(1,0,1,0,0,0)$ we find the points of $u$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u=\{0,1,2,4,9,10,12,14,15,16,19,20,21,22,24,25,26,27,28  \tag{5.5}\\
35,37,39,42,43,46,50,53,55,56,58,59\}
\end{array}
$$

where this time the integers are taken modulo 63 and $r=3, \theta_{3}=\chi^{21}, \mu=5$, $\nu=21$. In term of $\theta_{3}$, (5.5) has the representation
(5.6) $u=\left\{\left(0, \theta_{3} 0, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 0\right),\left(1, \theta_{3}{ }^{1}, \theta_{3}{ }^{2}, 1\right), 2, \theta_{3} 3,\left(4, \theta_{3} 4, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 4\right), \theta_{3} 5, \theta_{3} 6, \theta_{3} 7, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 8\right.$, $\left.9,10, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 11,12, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 13,\left(14, \theta_{3} 14, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 14\right), 15,\left(16, \theta_{3} 16, \theta_{3}{ }^{2} 16\right), 17, \theta_{3} 18,19,20\right\}$

The 3 -spaces of $\Sigma$ are immediate. If we set $M=\{0,1,4,14,16\}, \Pi=M \cup$ $\theta_{3} M \cup \theta_{3}{ }^{2} M$, the 3 -spaces of $\Sigma$ are given by $\Pi_{i}=\chi^{j} \Pi, j=0,1, \ldots, 20$.

The representation (5.6) also provides us with the first row of the corresponding $\omega_{3}$-circulant matrix $W(3,16,21)$, namely

$$
0,0,1, \omega, 0, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1, \omega^{2}, 1, \omega^{2}, 0,1,0,1, \omega, 1,1 .
$$

6. Non-circulant affine $\boldsymbol{G W}$ matrices. A collineation in $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ was used in Section 2 to construct a family of $G W$ matrices which were shown to be $\omega$-circulant in Section 3. In this section a different method is used to construct another family of $G W$ matrices related to $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$.

Let the $p^{t n}$ points of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ be represented as pairs $(x, y)$ where $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right)^{T}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-1}, y \in F=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$. Let the pairs $(u, v)$, $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t-1}\right), u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t-1}, v \in F$ represent the hyperplanes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=u x+v \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the point $z=(x, y)$ is on the hyperplane $w=(u, v)$ if (6.1) is satisfied. Let $G$ denote any subgroup of order $p^{\alpha}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq n)$ of the additive group $F^{+}$ of $F$. If $z$ is in the hyperplane $w$ then $z+g=(x, y+g)$ is on the hyperplane $w+g=(u, v+g)$ for all $g \in G$. Further the hyperplanes $w+g$ are parallel for all $g \in G$.

Let $P^{\prime \prime}$ denote the set of points $z$ of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ the set of hyperplanes $w$ satisfying (6.1). Let

$$
[z]=\{z+g, g \in G\}, \quad[w]=\{w+g, g \in G\} .
$$

This mapping $z \rightarrow[z]$ clearly determines a partition of $P^{\prime \prime}$ and the mapping $w \rightarrow[w]$ a partition of $H^{\prime \prime}$. Let $z^{1}, z^{2}, \ldots, z^{\beta}, \beta=p^{t n-\alpha}$ denote any set of points such that the sets $\left[z^{1}\right],\left[z^{2}\right], \ldots,\left[z^{\beta}\right]$ are disjoint and determine a partition of $P^{\prime \prime}$ and similarly let $w^{1}, w^{2}, \ldots, w^{\beta}$ denote hyperplanes such that $\left[w^{1}\right],\left[w^{2}\right]$, ..., $\left[w^{\beta}\right]$ is a partition of $H^{\prime \prime}$.

If a point $z$ is on a hyperplane $w+g$ then $z+g^{\prime}$ is on the hyperplane $w+g+g^{\prime}$. Since the hyperplanes $w+g, w+g+g^{\prime}$ are parallel the point $z+g^{\prime}$ cannot lie on the hyperplane $w$. Thus only one point of $[z]$ can be on any hyperplane of $[w]$, and if $z$ lies on a hyperplane of $[u]$ as also does $z+g$ for every $g \in G$.

The elements of $G$ can be represented as $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{\alpha}\right)$ where $g_{j}$, $j=1,2, \ldots, \alpha$ are residue classes of integers modulo $p$. Let $\gamma(g)=g_{1}+g_{2}+$ $\ldots+g_{\alpha} \bmod p$ and let $\omega \neq 1$ denote a $p$-th root of unity. Let $D(G)$ denote the $\beta \times \beta$ matrix $\left(d_{i j}\right)$ defined by

$$
d_{i j}= \begin{cases}\omega^{\alpha(g)} & \text { if } z^{j} \in w^{i}+g  \tag{6.2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, \beta$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $G$ denote a subgroup of $F^{+}$or order $p^{\alpha}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq n)$ and let $D(G)$ be a $\beta \times \beta$ matrix constructed as above. Then $D(G)$ is a $G W$ matrix $W\left(p, p^{(t-1) n}, \beta\right)$.

From the above remarks the number of nonzero entries in any row equals the number $p^{(t-1) n}$ of points on a hyperplane of $E G\left(t, p^{n}\right)$. To prove $D(G)$ is orthogonal consider the $i$-th and $k$-th rows and the sum $Q=\cup_{j} d_{i j} \overline{d_{k j}}$ where $d_{i j}, d_{k j}$ are defined by (6.2). Each term is 0 or $\omega^{h}$ for $0 \leqq h \leqq p-1$. Suppose $g \in G$ and $\gamma(g)=h$. If $w^{i}+g$ and $w^{k}$ intersect in a point $z^{j}+g^{\prime}$ with $\gamma\left(g^{\prime}\right)=$ $h^{\prime}$, then $z^{j} \in w^{i}+g-g^{\prime}, z^{j} \in w^{k}-g, \gamma\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)=h-h^{\prime}$ and $\gamma\left(-g^{\prime}\right)=-h^{\prime}$ so that $d_{i j}=\omega^{h-h^{\prime}}, d_{k j}=\omega^{-h^{\prime}}, d_{i j} \overline{d_{k j}}=\omega^{h}$. Since the hyperplanes $w^{i}+g, w^{k}$ intersect in $p^{(t-2) n}$ points there are $p^{(t-1) n}$ terms of $Q$ equal to $\omega^{h}$ corresponding to $g$. But there are $p^{n-1}$ elements of $g \in G$ such that $\gamma(g)=h$ so that there are $p^{n-1} p^{(t-2) n}=p^{(t-1) n-1}$ terms of $Q$ equal to $\omega^{h}$. Since this number is the same for all $h=0,1, \ldots, p-1$,

$$
Q=p^{(t-1) n-1}\left(1+\omega+\ldots+\omega^{h-1}\right)=0
$$

Corollary 6.2. If $p, t, n$ and $\alpha$ are positive integers such that $p$ is prime $t>1$ and $1 \leqq \alpha \leqq n$, then there exists a $G W$ matrix $W\left(p, p^{(t-1) n}, p^{t n-\alpha}\right)$.

Notice that if $G_{\alpha}$ denotes a subgroup of order $p^{\alpha}$ then there is a nest of subgroups

$$
G_{1} \subset G_{2} \subset \ldots \subset G_{n}=F^{+}
$$

It follows that the corresponding matrices $D\left(G_{1}\right), D\left(G_{2}\right), \ldots, D\left(G_{n}\right)$ can be constructed sequentially. Each element of $D\left(G_{j}\right)$ corresponds in an abvious way to a $p \times p$ submatrix of $D\left(G_{j-1}\right), j=2, \ldots, n$.

It is conjectured that the $G W$ matrices $D(G)$ constructed in this way are not equivalent to $\omega$-circulant matrices.
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