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# Nearly sharp Lang-Weil bounds for a hypersurface 

Kaloyan Slavov©

Abstract. We improve to nearly optimal the known asymptotic and explicit bounds for the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points on a geometrically irreducible hypersurface over a (large) finite field. The proof involves a Bertini-type probabilistic combinatorial technique. Namely, we slice the given hypersurface with a random plane.

## 1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$, and let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Lang and Weil [4] have established the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-\# \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+O_{n, d}\left(q^{n-2}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implicit constant can depend only on $d$ and $n$ (but not on $q$ or $X$ ). We prove that, in fact, the implicit constant can be taken to be an absolute constantindependent of $n$ and $d$ altogether-in the regime of interest $q \gg_{d} 1$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-5 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& \left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+\left(1+\pi^{2} / 6\right) q^{n-2}+O_{d}\left(q^{n-5 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1.2 (Cone over a maximal curve) Let $\left(d, q_{0}\right)$ be such that there exists a (nonsingular) maximal curve $C=\{f=0\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ of degree $d$. Let $q$ be a power of $q_{0}$, and let $X=\{f=0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a projective cone over $C$. Then

$$
\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=q^{n-1} \pm(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+q^{n-2}+q^{n-3}+\cdots+1
$$

with $\pm$ depending on whether $q$ is an odd or an even power of $q_{0}$. Thus, the constant $1+\pi^{2} / 6$ in the upper bound exhibited in Theorem 1.1 cannot possibly be improved by more than $\pi^{2} / 6$, and the constant 0 in the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 cannot be improved by more than 1 .

[^0]In most of this article, we work in affine space. For a geometrically irreducible hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ of degree $d$, [4] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-q^{n-1}\right| \leq(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+C_{d} q^{n-2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{d}$ can depend only on $d$ and $n$. Our notation highlights the more important dependence of $C_{d}$ on $d$ and suppresses the dependence on $n$ (usually one thinks of $n$ as being fixed from the beginning).

The problem of giving explicit versions of (1.2) and of improving the dependence of $C_{d}$ on $d$ has a long history, which we now briefly summarize. See [2] for a more detailed account.

- Schmidt has shown that in the case of the lower bound, one can take $C_{d}=6 d^{2}$ for $q \ggg_{n, d} 1$ (see [5]) and in the case of the upper bound, one can take $C_{d}=4 d^{2} k^{2^{k}}$, where $k=\binom{d+1}{2}$ (see Theorem 4C on page 208 and Theorem 5A on page 210 in [6]).
- Ghorpade and Lachaud [3] use $\ell$-adic étale cohomology techniques to prove that one can take $C_{d}$ to be a polynomial in $d$ (of degree that depends on $n$ ) in the case of the upper bound as well. Explicitly, one can take $C_{d}=12(d+3)^{n+1}$ in (1.2).
- Cafure and Matera [2] prove that one can take $C_{d}=5 d^{13 / 3}$ in (1.2); moreover, if $q>15 d^{13 / 3}$, one can take $C_{d}=5 d^{2}+d+1$ (this is a polynomial whose degree does not grow with $n$ ).
- The author [7] has established the lower bound (for any $\varepsilon>0$ )

$$
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-(d+2+\varepsilon) q^{n-2}
$$

for $q \gg_{\varepsilon} 1$.

- The author's Theorem 8 in the preprint [8] implies that for every $\varepsilon>0$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$, we have

$$
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+\left((2+\varepsilon) d+1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) q^{n-2}
$$

as long as $q \gg_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}} 1$.

- When $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ (equivalently, $n=2$ ), Aubry and Perret have proved (apply Corollary 2.5 in [1] to the closure of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ ) that one can take $C_{d}=d-1$ in the case of the lower bound and $C_{d}=1$ in the case of the upper bound:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d+1 \leq\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.1 Upper bounds

The affine version of the asymptotic upper bound in Theorem 1.1 reads as follows.
Theorem 1.3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+\left(1+\pi^{2} / 6\right) q^{n-2}+O_{d}\left(q^{n-5 / 2}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implied constant depends only on $d$ and can be computed effectively.
We can give an explicit bound, as in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. Suppose that $q>15 d^{13 / 3}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+5 q^{n-2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.5 (Cylinder over a maximal curve) Let $d \geq 3$ be such that $d-1$ is a prime power. Let $q$ be an odd power of $(d-1)^{2}$. Consider the curve $C=\left\{y^{d-1}+y=x^{d}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$. It is known (see, for example, [9]) that $\# C\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}$. Then the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points on $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ is $q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}$. Thus, the constant 5 in (1.4) cannot possibly be improved by more than 5 .

Remark 1.6 While the cylinder $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ in Example 1.5 is nonsingular, its Zariski closure in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ has a large (in fact, $(n-3)$-dimensional) singular locus. In general, let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface such that $\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \geq q^{n-1}+$ $(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-2}\right)$ for large $q$. Theorem 6.1 in [3] implies that the Zariski closure of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ must have singular locus of dimension $n-3$ or $n-2$.

We exhibit a forbidden interval for $\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ that improves Theorem 4 in [7]. The statement below does not require $X$ to be geometrically irreducible.

Theorem 1.7 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a hypersurface of degree d. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2} q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-\left(d^{2}+d+1\right) q^{n-2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+12 q^{n-2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.8 Let us write $g(d)+\cdots$ for an effectively computable $g(d)+g_{1}(d)$, where $g_{1}(d)=o(g(d))$ for $d \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 1.7 has content when the right-hand side of (1.6) exceeds the right-hand side of (1.7), which takes place for $q>16 d^{4}+\cdots$. Thus, in the presence of Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.7 addresses the range $16 d^{4}+\cdots<$ $q<15 d^{13 / 3}$. Notice that in the Lang-Weil bound (1.2), the approximation term $q^{n-1}$ dominates the error precisely when $q>d^{4}+\cdots$. This is why it is reasonable to frame the entire discussion of the Lang-Weil bound in the range $q>d^{4}+\cdots$. For example, any lower Lang-Weil bound is trivial for $q$ below this threshold.

### 1.2 Lower bounds

The proof of Theorem 4 in [7] actually gives a lower bound which is tighter for $q \gg 1$ than the one stated in [7].

Theorem 1.9 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-d q^{n-2}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-5 / 2}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implied constant depends only on $d$ and can be computed explicitly.
We give a version with an explicit lower bound as well.

Theorem 1.10 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d. Suppose that $q>15 d^{13 / 3}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-(d+0.6) q^{n-2} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.11 As in Example 1.5, let $d \geq 3$ be such that $q_{0}:=d-1$ is a prime power. The curve $\left\{y^{d-1} z+y z^{d-1}=x^{d}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ intersects the line $x=0$ at $d$ distinct points defined over an extension $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$. Let $q$ be an even power of $q_{1}$. Then the affine curve $C:=\left\{y^{d-1} z+y z^{d-1}=1\right\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$ satisfies $\# C\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=$ $q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d+1$. Consequently, the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points on the hypersurface $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ is $q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-(d-1) q^{n-2}$. Therefore, the constant $d+0.6$ in (1.9) cannot possibly be improved by more than 1.6.

We can elaborate on (1.8) by pushing the implied constant further down.
Corollary 1.12 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| & \geq q^{n-1}-(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-3 / 2}-d q^{n-2}-2(d-1)(d-2) q^{n-5 / 2} \\
& -\left(2(d-1)^{2}(d-2)^{2}+d^{2} / 2+d+2+\pi^{2} / 6\right) q^{n-3}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-7 / 2}\right) . \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

A lower Lang-Weil bound can be useful in proving that a geometrically irreducible hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ has an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational point. It is known (see Theorem 5.4 in [2] and its proof) that if $q>1.5 d^{4}+\cdots$, then $X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \neq \varnothing$. Notice that the approximation term $q^{n-1}$ in (1.10) dominates the remaining explicit terms already for $q>d^{4}+\cdots$. Based on this heuristic, we state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.13 There exists an effectively computable function $g_{1}(d)=O\left(d^{7 / 2}\right)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ with the following property. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. Then $X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \neq \varnothing$ as long as $q>d^{4}+g_{1}(d)$.

Remark 1.14 In contrast to the upper bounds, all lower bounds in the affine cases above (including (1.3) and Example 1.11) contain a $d$ in the coefficient of $q^{n-2}$. This is an artifact of affine space; the discrepancy disappears in projective space (Theorem 1.1).

### 1.3 Outline

This paper builds upon the author's earlier work [7] and is inspired by Tao's discussion [10] of the Lang-Weil bound through random sampling and the idea of CafureMatera [2] to slice $X$ with planes (a plane is a two-dimensional affine linear subvariety of $\left.\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}\right)$. If $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ is any plane, then $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is either $q^{2}, 0$, or $\approx k q$, where $k$ is the number of geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible components of $X \cap H$. For $0 \leq k \leq d$, we exhibit a small interval $I_{k}=\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ containing $k q$ so that if we also define $I_{\infty}=\left\{q^{2}\right\}$, then each $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ belongs to $\cup I_{k}$.


The problem when it comes to the upper bound is that when $k$ is large, planes $H$ with $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in I_{k}$ contribute significantly toward the count $\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. However, it turns out that the number of such $H$ 's decreases quickly as $k$ grows.

## 2 A collection of small intervals

Lemma 2.1 [5, Lemma 5] Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$ be a curve of degree d. Let $k$ be the number of geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible components of $C$. Then

$$
\left|\# C\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-k q\right| \leq(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+d^{2}+d+1 .
$$

It will be crucial to give a refined upper bound when $k=1$.
Lemma 2.2 Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$ be a curve of degree d. Suppose that $C$ has exactly one geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible component. Then

$$
\left|C\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1
$$

Proof Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible components of $C$. Suppose that $C_{1}$ is geometrically irreducible, but $C_{i}$ is not for $i \geq 2$. Let $e=\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Note that $(d, e) \neq(2,1)$.

Using the Aubry-Perret bound (1.3) for $C_{1}$ and Lemma 2.3 in [2] for each $C_{i}$ with $i \geq 2$, we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| & \leq\left|C_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{s}\left|C_{i}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \\
& \leq q+(e-1)(e-2) \sqrt{q}+1+\sum_{i=2}^{s}\left(\operatorname{deg} C_{i}\right)^{2} / 4 \\
& \leq q+(e-1)(e-2) \sqrt{q}+1+(d-e)^{2} / 4 \\
& \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

to justify the last inequality in the chain, note that it is equivalent to

$$
(d-e)\left((d+e-3) \sqrt{q}-\frac{d-e}{4}\right) \geq 0
$$

and holds true because either $e=d$, or else $d-e>0$, and we can write

$$
(d+e-3) \sqrt{q}-\frac{d-e}{4} \geq(d+e-3) \sqrt{2}-\frac{d-e}{4} \geq \frac{(4 \sqrt{2}-1) d+(4 \sqrt{2}+1) e-12 \sqrt{2}}{4}>0
$$

(using that $e \geq 1$ and $d \geq 3$ on the last step).

$$
\text { Let } a_{0}=0, b_{0}=d^{2} / 4, a_{1}=q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d+1 \text {, and } b_{1}=q+(d-1)
$$ $(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1$. For $2 \leq k \leq d$, set $a_{k}=k q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d^{2}-d-1$ and

$b_{k}=k q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+d^{2}+d+1$. Finally, set $a_{\infty}=b_{\infty}=q^{2}$. Define $I_{k}:=$ $\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ for $k \in\{0, \ldots, d\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a hypersurface of degree d. Let $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ be a plane. Then $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in I_{k}$ for some $k \in\{0, \ldots, d\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

Proof If $X \cap H=\varnothing$, then $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=0 \in I_{0}$. If $H \subset X$, then $X \cap H=H$ and $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=q^{2} \in I_{\infty}$. Suppose that $X \cap H \neq \varnothing$ and $H \notin X$. Let $k$ be the number of geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible components of the degree $d$ plane curve $X \cap H \subset H \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$. Then $0 \leq k \leq d$. If $k=0$, the proof of Lemma 11 in [7] gives $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \leq d^{2} / 4$. If $k=1$, we use Lemma 2.2 and the lower bound from (1.3) applied to a geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-irreducible component (necessarily of degree $\leq d$ ) of $X$. For $2 \leq k \leq d$, use Lemma 2.1.

Alternatively, one could take $b_{d}=d q$ by the Schwartz-Zippel lemma.
When it comes to giving an upper bound for $\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$, it will be more convenient to work with $J_{1}:=I_{0} \cup I_{1}$ and $J_{i}:=I_{i}$ for $i \in\{2, \ldots, d\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

## 3 Probability estimates

We spell out in detail the proof of Theorem 1.3; the proofs of the remaining results will then require only slight modifications. The implied constant in each $O$-notation is allowed to depend only on $d$ (a priori, possibly also on $n$ ), but not on $q$ or $X$.

Proof of Theorem $1.3 \quad$ Set $N:=\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$. For a plane $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$ chosen uniformly at random, consider $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ as a random variable. Let $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ denote its mean and variance. Lemma 10 in [7] and (1.2) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{2} \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q+O(\sqrt{q}) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}=\mu \leq \sum_{k \in\{1, \ldots, d\} \cup\{\infty\}} \operatorname{Prob}\left(\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in J_{k}\right) b_{k} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \in\{1, \ldots, d\} \cup\{\infty\}$, denote

$$
p_{k}:=\operatorname{Prob}\left(\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in J_{k}\right) .
$$

We can assume that $q$ is large enough so that the intervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{d}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Let $k \in\{2, \ldots, d\}$. If $H$ is a plane such that $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in J_{k} \cup \cdots \cup J_{d}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-\mu\right| \geq a_{k}-\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq(k-1) q-O(\sqrt{q}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $t$ via $(k-1) q-O(\sqrt{q})=t \sigma$; then Chebyshev's inequality and the variance bound (3.1) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k}+\cdots+p_{d}=\operatorname{Prob}\left(\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in J_{k} \cup \cdots \cup J_{d}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{((k-1) q-O(\sqrt{q}))^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{q+O(\sqrt{q})}{((k-1) q-O(\sqrt{q}))^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(k-1)^{2} q}+O\left(q^{-3 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $H$ is a plane such that $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=q^{2}$, then

$$
\left|\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-\mu\right|=q^{2}-\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq q^{2}-O(q)
$$

Define $t$ via $q^{2}-O(q)=t \sigma$; then
$p_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(q^{2}-O(q)\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{q+O(\sqrt{q})}{\left(q^{2}-O(q)\right)^{2}}=q^{-3}+O\left(q^{-7 / 2}\right)$, and hence $p_{\infty} b_{\infty}=O\left(q^{-1}\right)$.
Note that $b_{k}-b_{k-1}=q+O(1)$ for $2 \leq k \leq d$. We now go back to (3.2) and apply the Abel summation formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}=\mu & \leq\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{d}\right) b_{1}+\left(p_{2}+\cdots+p_{d}\right)\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{d}\left(b_{d}-b_{d-1}\right)+p_{\infty} b_{\infty} \\
& \leq b_{1}+\frac{1}{1^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{(d-1)^{2}}+O\left(q^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1+\pi^{2} / 6+O\left(q^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply both sides by $q^{n-2}$ to arrive at (1.4).
Going through all the explicit inequalities with an $O$-term, one can compute explicitly a possible value of the constant implicit in (1.4). In fact, since the CafureMatera bound gives a choice of $C_{d}$ in the Lang-Weil bound that depends only on $d$ and not on $n$, a second look at all the inequalities written down in the proof above reveals that the implied constant in (1.4) can likewise be chosen not to depend on $n$.

For the rest of the paper, we follow the notation and proof of Theorem 1.3.
Proof of Theorem 1.9 Say that a plane $H$ is "bad" if \# $(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \in I_{0}$ and "good" otherwise. If $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{2}$ is a bad plane, then

$$
\left|\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-\mu\right| \geq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}}-\frac{d^{2}}{4} \geq q-O(\sqrt{q})
$$

By computations similar to the ones in the proof of Theorem 1.3, the probability that a plane is bad is at most $q^{-1}+O\left(q^{-3 / 2}\right)$. Every good plane contributes at least $a_{1}$ to
the mean. Therefore,

$$
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}=\mu \geq\left(1-q^{-1}-O\left(q^{-3 / 2}\right)\right)(q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d+1),
$$

giving (1.8).
Proof of Corollary 1.12 In fact, the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.9 give an algorithm that takes as input a half-integer $r \geq 0$ and constants ${ }^{1} C_{d}^{(j)}$ and $D_{d}^{(j)}$ for each half-integer $1 / 2 \leq j \leq r$ such that
$\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+\sum_{j=1 / 2}^{r} C_{d}^{(j)} q^{n-1-j}+O_{d}\left(q^{n-r-3 / 2}\right) \quad$ (summation over half-integers)
and
$\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-\sum_{j=1 / 2}^{r} D_{d}^{(j)} q^{n-1-j}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-r-3 / 2}\right) \quad$ (summation over half-integers), and returns as output four additional $C_{d}^{(r+1 / 2)}, C_{d}^{(r+1)}, D_{d}^{(r+1 / 2)}$, and $D_{d}^{(r+1)}$ such that $\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+\sum_{j=1 / 2}^{r+1} C_{d}^{(j)} q^{n-1-j}+O_{d}\left(q^{n-r-5 / 2}\right) \quad$ (summation over half-integers) and
$\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq q^{n-1}-\sum_{j=1 / 2}^{r+1} D_{d}^{(j)} q^{n-1-j}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-r-5 / 2}\right) \quad$ (summation over half-integers).
Initiating the algorithm with $r=0$ and the rather weak version

$$
q^{n-1}-O_{d}\left(q^{n-3 / 2}\right) \leq\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-1}+O_{d}\left(q^{n-3 / 2}\right)
$$

of (1.2), we obtained (1.4) and (1.8). In turn, taking the upper bound for $N$ from (1.4) and the lower bound for $N$ from (1.8) as input, we obtain (1.10).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We now slice with a random plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n}$. The mean $\mu$ of $\#(X \cap H)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is $N \rho_{1}$, where $N=\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ and $\rho_{1}=\left(q^{3}-1\right) /\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)$ is the probability that a plane passes through a given point. Let $\rho_{2}$ be the probability that a plane passes through two distinct given points. Explicitly (in terms of $q$-binomial coefficients), $\rho_{2}=\binom{n-1}{1}_{q} /\binom{n+1}{3}_{q}$. One verifies directly that $\rho_{2} \leq \rho_{1}^{2}$ and expresses $\sigma^{2}$ as in [10]:

$$
N^{2} \rho_{1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}=\mu^{2}+\sigma^{2}=\mu+N(N-1) \rho_{2} \leq \mu+N^{2} \rho_{2}
$$

to deduce $\sigma^{2} \leq \mu$.
We can still take $I_{0}=\left[0, d^{2} / 4\right]$. Use the projective version of (1.3) (Corollary 2.5 in [1]). Adapt $I_{1}$ with $a_{1}=q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1$. Use $I_{\infty}=\left\{q^{2}+q+1\right\}$. Up to a summand $d$ to account for points at infinity, the remaining $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ are unchanged.

[^1]Proceed as in the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.9. On the very last step in proving either bound, multiply by $1 / \rho_{1}$ rather than by $q^{n-2}$ and use that $1 / \rho_{1}=q^{n-2}+$ $O\left(q^{n-5}\right)$.

## 4 Explicit versions

Proof of Theorem 1.4 The statement clearly holds for $d=1$, so assume that $d \geq 2$. We will use the explicit Cafure-Matera bound for $N$. Replace the variance bound (3.1) by

$$
\sigma^{2} \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+5 d^{2}+d+1 \leq(8.44 / 7.44) q ;
$$

to verify the last inequality above, we argue as follows. For any $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$, the function $q \mapsto q /\left(c_{1} \sqrt{q}+c_{2}\right)$ is increasing. Therefore,

$$
\frac{q}{(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+5 d^{2}+d+1}>\frac{15 d^{13 / 3}}{(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{15} d^{13 / 6}+5 d^{2}+d+1}
$$

It remains to check that the function $g(d)$ on the right-hand side above satisfies $g(d)>7.44$ for any integer $d \geq 2$. On the one hand, $g$ grows like $d^{1 / 6}$, so one easily exhibits a $d_{0}$ such that $g(d)>7.44$ for $d>d_{0}$. Then a simple computer calculation checks that $g(d)>7.44$ for integers $d \in\left\{2, \ldots, d_{0}\right\}$ as well.

In the same way, one readily checks that the intervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{d}$ are pairwise disjoint.

For $k \in\{2, \ldots, d\}$, replace (3.3) by

$$
a_{k}-\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq(k-1) q-2(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-2\left(3 d^{2}+d+1\right) \geq(5.45 / 7.45)(k-1) q
$$

to check the last inequality, one has to consider only $k=2$ and to argue as above.
For $k \in\{2, \ldots, d\},(3.4)$ is now replaced by

$$
p_{k}+\cdots+p_{d} \leq \frac{(8.44 / 7.44) q}{((5.45 / 7.45)(k-1) q)^{2}}<\frac{2.12}{(k-1)^{2} q}
$$

To bound $p_{\infty} b_{\infty}$, note that $q>15 d^{13 / 3}>15 \times 2^{13 / 3}>302$, so

$$
p_{\infty} b_{\infty} \leq \frac{(8.44 / 7.44) q}{\left(q^{2}-(8.44 / 7.44) q\right)^{2}} q^{2}=\frac{8.44 \times 7.44 q}{(7.44 q-8.44)^{2}}<0.01 .
$$

Since $b_{k}-b_{k-1}=q$ for $3 \leq k \leq d$, but $b_{2}-b_{1}=q+d^{2}+d$, we have to estimate $\left(d^{2}+d\right) / q<\left(d^{2}+d\right) / 15 d^{13 / 3}<0.02$. The Abel summation argument now gives

$$
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1+2.12\left(\pi^{2} / 6+0.02\right)+0.01<q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+5
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7 Again, assume $d \geq 2$. We can assume that the right-hand side of (1.7) is less than the right-hand side of (1.6); i.e.,

$$
4(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+2\left(d^{2}+d+13\right)<q .
$$

This inequality implies in particular that the intervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{d}$ are pairwise disjoint. Note that it is equivalent to $q>r(d)^{2}$, where $r(d)$ is the positive root of the quadratic equation $x^{2}-4(d-1)(d-2) x-2\left(d^{2}+d+13\right)=0$.

Due to (1.6), now we can use the variance bound $\sigma^{2} \leq N / q^{n-2} \leq(3 / 2) q$. Furthermore, (1.6) gives

$$
a_{k}-\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}=k q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-\left(d^{2}+d+1\right)-\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq \frac{k-1}{2} q
$$

for $2 \leq k \leq d$. Therefore, $p_{k}+\cdots+p_{d}$ is now bounded by $6 /\left((k-1)^{2} q\right)$.
We bound $\left(d^{2}+d\right) / q$ by $\left(d^{2}+d\right) /(r(d))^{2}<0.16$ for $d \geq 2$. Finally, note that $q>r(2)^{2}=38$, so $q \geq 41$, and we can bound $p_{\infty} b_{\infty}$ by $6 q /(2 q-3)^{2}<0.04$. Therefore, $\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+1+6\left(\pi^{2} / 6+0.16\right)+0.04<q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+12$.

Proof of Theorem 1.10 As above, assume that $d \geq 2$. We bound the variance as

$$
\sigma^{2} \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q+(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}+5 d^{2}+d+1 \leq(8.44 / 7.44) q .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}-\frac{d^{2}}{4} \geq q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-21 d^{2} / 4-d-1 \geq(6.44 / 7.44) q .
$$

From here, we bound the probability that a plane is bad by $1.6 / q$. Thus,

$$
\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq\left(1-\frac{1.6}{q}\right)(q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-d+1) \geq q-(d-1)(d-2) \sqrt{q}-(d+0.6)
$$

## References

[1] Y. Aubry and M. Perret, A Weil theorem for singular curves. In: R. Pellikaan, M. Perret, and S. G. Vlădu (eds.), Arithmetic, geometry, and coding theory, Contemporary Mathematics, 1996, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, pp. 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110811056.1
[2] A. Cafure and G. Matera, Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field. Finite Fields Appl.. 12(2006), 155-185.
[3] S. Ghorpade and G. Lachaud, Étale cohomology, Lefschetz theorems and number of points of singular varieties over finite fields, Moscow Math J. 2(2002), no. 3, 589-631.
[4] S. Lang and A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields. Amer. J. Math. 76(1954), 819-827.
[5] W. Schmidt, A lower bound for the number of solutions of equations over finite fields. J. Number Theory 6(1974), no. 6, 448-480.
[6] W. Schmidt, Equations over finite fields: an elementary approach, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 536, Springer, New York, 1976.
[7] K. Slavov, An application of random plane slicing to counting $F_{q}$-points on hypersurfaces. Finite Fields Appl. 48(2017), 60-68.
[8] K. Slavov, An application of random plane slicing to counting $F_{q}$-points on hypersurfaces. Preprint, 2021. arXiv:1703.05062v3
[9] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic function fields and codes, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 254, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009.
[10] T. Tao, The Lang-Weil bound, 2012. Available at https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2012/08/31/the-lang-weil-bound/.
Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, Zürich 8092, Switzerland
e-mail: kaloyan.slavov@math.ethz.ch


[^0]:    Received by the editors May 13, 2022; revised October 4, 2022; accepted October 4, 2022.
    Published online on Cambridge Core October 18, 2022.
    This research was supported by the NCCR SwissMAP of the SNSF.
    AMS subject classification: 14G15, 11T06, 14G05, 05 B 25.
    Keywords: Lang-Weil bound, hypersurface, Bertini's theorem, random sampling.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We refer to $C_{d}^{(j)}$ and $D_{d}^{(j)}$ interchangeably as constants or as functions of $d$ depending on the context.

