TANGENT LOCI AND CERTAIN LINEAR SECTIONS OF ADJOINT VARIETIES

HAJIME KAJI AND OSAMI YASUKURA

Abstract. An adjoint variety $X(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to a complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is by definition a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ obtained as the projectivization of the (unique) non-zero, minimal nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} . We first describe the tangent loci of $X(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples. Secondly for a graded decomposition of contact type $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{-2 \leq i \leq 2} \mathfrak{g}_i$, we show that the intersection of $X(\mathfrak{g})$ and the linear subspace $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ in $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ coincides with the cubic Veronese variety associated to \mathfrak{g} .

Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study tangent loci and certain linear sections of adjoint varieties.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra, G the inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , λ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} with respect to some Cartan subalgebra and to some basis of the roots, and $X_{\pm\lambda}$ the root vectors such that $(X_{\lambda}, H, X_{-\lambda})$ forms an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple for some $H \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the adjoint orbit $G \cdot X_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, which is the (unique) non-zero, minimal nilpotent orbit. We call its projectivization $\pi(G \cdot X_{\lambda}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{*}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint variety associated to \mathfrak{g} , and set

$$X(\mathfrak{g}) := \pi(G \cdot X_{\lambda}),$$

where $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ is the canonical projection with $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}) := (\mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$ (see, for example, [KOY]).

For a smooth projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, the tangent locus Θ_z with respect to a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is defined by

$$\Theta_z := \{ x \in X \mid T_x X \ni z \},\,$$

where T_xX denotes the embedded tangent space to X at x, that is, the unique linear subspace L of \mathbb{P}^N such that the (abstract) tangent spaces

Received March 25, 1999.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14M17, 17B70; Secondary 17B20, 14N05.

to X and to L at x coincide in that of \mathbb{P}^N as vector subspaces (see, for example, [FR]).

The first result here describes tangent loci of adjoint varieties as follows:

THEOREM A. For $x, y \in X(\mathfrak{g})$ in general position, we have

$$\Theta_{[x,y]} = \{x,y\},\,$$

where we set $[x, y] := \pi([\pi^{-1}x, \pi^{-1}y])$.

Let Sec $X(\mathfrak{g})$ be the secant variety of $X(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g})$, that is, the closure of the union of all projective lines which contain two or more points of $X(\mathfrak{g})$. According to [KOY, Proposition 5.3], the adjoint orbit $G \cdot \pi H$ is dense in Sec $X(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore from Theorem A it turns out that for $z \in \text{Sec } X(\mathfrak{g})$ in general position, Θ_z consists of exactly two points and if $\Theta_z = \{x, y\}$, then there exists an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (X, K, Y) such that $\pi X = x$, $\pi Y = y$ and $\pi K = z$. Note that Sec $X(\mathfrak{g})$ coincides with the tangential variety, that is, the union of all embedded tangent spaces of $X(\mathfrak{g})$ (see [KOY, §5]).

Next, we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_i := \{ Y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\text{ad } H)Y = iY \},$$

 $M := \{ Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid Y \neq 0, (\text{ad } Y)^2 \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = 0 \}.$

We obtain a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ of $\mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g})$. The second result is

THEOREM B. We have

$$X(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \pi M.$$

The projective varieties $\pi M \subseteq \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ appeared above are known as the cubic Veronese varieties, while M are known as Freudenthal's varieties of planes (see, for example, [F], [M]).

§1. Preliminaries

LEMMA 1. (cf. [KOY, §3]) We have

$$G \cdot X_{\lambda} = \{ Y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid Y \neq 0, \, (\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot Y \}.$$

Proof. For the inclusion \subseteq , it suffices to show that $(\operatorname{ad} X_{\lambda})^2 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot X_{\lambda}$, and this is clear since X_{λ} is a highest root vector.

For the converse, let $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero element such that $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot Y$. Since Y is nilpotent with $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^3 = 0$, according to a theorem of Jacobson-Morozov (see, for example, [CM, §3.3]), there exist $K, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that (Y, K, Z) forms an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple with semi-simple element K. Set $\mathfrak{g}'_i := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\operatorname{ad} K)X = iX\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}'_i$, and $\mathfrak{g}'_i = 0$ if |i| > 2 (see, for example, [CM, §§3.4–3.5]). Moreover, it follows from $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot Y$ that

$$\mathfrak{g}_2' = \mathbb{C} \cdot Y$$
.

Indeed, we have $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 \circ (\operatorname{ad} Z)^2|_{\mathfrak{g}_2'} = 4\operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_2'}$, whose image is contained in $\mathbb{C} \cdot Y$. This implies that Y is a highest root vector with respect to some Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}' containing K and to the lexicographic order on the roots defined by a basis of \mathfrak{h}' of the form, $H_1 := K, H_2, \ldots, H_l$ with $\operatorname{rk} \mathfrak{g} = l$. Thus, we have $Y \in G \cdot X_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 2. We have

$$G \cdot X_{\lambda} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq M$$
.

Proof. If $Y \in G \cdot X_{\lambda} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 X_{-\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} \cdot Y \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \{0\}.$$

Therefore $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 X_{-\lambda} = 0$, that is, $Y \in M$.

Following [A1], [A2], we introduce a skew-symmetric form

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

and a symmetric bi-linear product

$$\times : \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

which are respectively defined by

$$2\langle P, Q \rangle X_{\lambda} := [P, Q],$$

$$-2P \times Q := [P[Q, X_{-\lambda}]] + [Q[P, X_{-\lambda}]],$$

for $P, Q, R \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Note that using this notation we have

$$M = \{ P \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid P \neq 0, \, P \times P = 0 \}.$$

PROPOSITION 1. (a) For $P, Q \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, we have

$$P \times Q = 0, P \in M \Longrightarrow \langle P, Q \rangle = 0.$$

(b) For $P \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, set $Z^{\#} := [P, Z] \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then we have

$$P \in M \Longrightarrow P \times Z^{\#} = 0,$$

hence $\langle P, Z^{\#} \rangle = 0$.

Proof. (a) Since $P \in M$, using the Jacobi identity we have

$$\begin{split} [P[[P, X_{-\lambda}]Q]] &= -[Q[P[P, X_{-\lambda}]]] - [[P, X_{-\lambda}][Q, P]] \\ &= -[Q, 0] + 2\langle P, Q\rangle[[P, X_{-\lambda}]X_{\lambda}] \\ &= 2\langle P, Q\rangle P. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} [P[[P, X_{-\lambda}]Q]] &= -[P[[Q, P]X_{-\lambda}]] - [P[[X_{-\lambda}, Q]P]] \\ &= -2\langle Q, P\rangle[P, H] - [P, (-2P \times Q - [Q[P, X_{-\lambda}]])] \\ &= -2\langle P, Q\rangle P + 2[P, P \times Q] + [P[Q[P, X_{-\lambda}]]], \end{split}$$

so that $[P[[P, X_{-\lambda}]Q]] = -\langle P, Q \rangle P$ since $P \times Q = 0$. Therefore it follows $3\langle P, Q \rangle P = 0$, hence $\langle P, Q \rangle = 0$ whether P = 0 or not.

(b) Using the Jacobi identity and the assumption $P \in M$, since $[Z, X_{-\lambda}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} [P[Z^\#, X_{-\lambda}]] &= [P[[P, Z] X_{-\lambda}]] \\ &= -[P[[Z, X_{-\lambda}] P]] - [P[[X_{-\lambda}, P] Z]] \\ &= -[P[[X_{-\lambda}, P] Z]], \\ [Z^\#[P, X_{-\lambda}]] &= [[P, Z], [P, X_{-\lambda}]] \\ &= -[[Z[P, X_{-\lambda}]] P] - [[[P, X_{-\lambda}] P] Z] \\ &= -[[Z[P, X_{-\lambda}]] P]. \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain $P \times Z^{\#} = -\frac{1}{2} \{ [P[Z^{\#}, X_{-\lambda}]] + [Z^{\#}[P, X_{-\lambda}]] \} = 0.$

Next we consider a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 as follows:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0 := \{ Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \mid (\operatorname{ad} Z)\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = 0 \}.$$

Lemma 3. $[\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{g}_0] \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_0$.

Proof. Since $[\mathfrak{g}_0, H] = 0$, we have $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] = [\mathfrak{D}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot H, \mathfrak{D}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot H] = [\mathfrak{D}_0, \mathfrak{D}_0] \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_0$.

Proposition 2. (a) $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_0$.

(b) For $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $P \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, we have

$$[Y, P] = -Y^{+} \times P - \langle Y^{+}, P \rangle H,$$

where we set $Y^+ := [X_{\lambda}, Y]$.

Proof. (a) It follows from the Jacobi identity that for $P_1, P_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ we have

$$\begin{split} [[P_i[P_j, X_{-\lambda}]]X_{\lambda}] &= -[[[P_j, X_{-\lambda}]X_{\lambda}]P_i] - [[X_{\lambda}, P_i], [P_j, X_{-\lambda}]] \\ &= -[P_j, P_i] - [0, [P_j, X_{-\lambda}]] \\ &= [P_i, P_j], \end{split}$$

where $[X_{\lambda}, P_i] \in \mathfrak{g}_3 = 0$. Therefore we have

$$-2[P_1\times P_2,X_{\lambda}]=[([P_1[P_2,X_{\lambda}]]+[P_2[P_1,X_{\lambda}]]),X_{\lambda}]=[P_1,P_2]+[P_2,P_1]=0,$$

so that $P_1 \times P_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_0$.

(b) Dividing into two, applying the Jacobi identity to the latter term below, we have

$$\begin{split} [Y,P] &= [[X_{-\lambda},Y^+]P] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[[X_{-\lambda},Y^+]P] + \frac{1}{2}[[X_{-\lambda},Y^+]P] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[[X_{-\lambda},Y^+]P] + \frac{1}{2} \left(- [[Y^+,P]X_{-\lambda}] - [[P,X_{-\lambda}]Y^+] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left([[X_{-\lambda},Y^+]P] + [[X_{-\lambda},P]Y^+] \right) - \langle Y^+,P \rangle [X_{\lambda},X_{-\lambda}] \\ &= -Y^+ \times P - \langle Y^+,P \rangle H. \end{split}$$

§2. Tangent loci

Proof of Theorem A. We first show that

$$\Theta_{\pi H} = \{\pi X_{\lambda}, \pi X_{-\lambda}\}.$$

Since $T_{\pi P}X(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{P}_*([\mathfrak{g}, P])$ for $P \in G \cdot X_\lambda$ (see [KOY, Lemma 2.1]), in terms of Lie algebra, this is equivalent to showing that

$$\{P \in G \cdot X_{\lambda} \mid [\mathfrak{g}, P] \ni H\} = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \cdot X_{\lambda} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^{\times} \cdot X_{-\lambda}.$$

Since the inclusion \supseteq is trivial, it suffices to show that for $g \in G$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$H = [Y, gX_{\lambda}] \Longrightarrow gX_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \cup \mathfrak{g}_{-2}.$$

Here we have

$$gX_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{g}_i$$

for some i with $-2 \le i \le 2$: Indeed, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$[H, gX_{\lambda}] = [[Y, gX_{\lambda}]gX_{\lambda}] = (\operatorname{ad} gX_{\lambda})^{2}Y \in \mathbb{C} \cdot gX_{\lambda},$$

so that gX_{λ} is an eigenvector of ad H.

If we write $Y = \sum_{j=-2}^{2} Y_j$ with $Y_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j$, then we have

$$H = [Y, gX_{\lambda}] = \sum_{j=-2}^{2} [Y_j, gX_{\lambda}].$$

Since $H \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $[Y_j, gX_{\lambda}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$, by taking the component of degree 0 we obtain

$$H = [Y_{-i}, gX_{\lambda}].$$

Thus taking $Y := Y_{-i}$, we may assume $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-i}$.

Now we first claim that $i \neq 0$. Suppose i = 0: it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$H = [Y, gX_{\lambda}] \in [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{o}},$$

that is, $H \in \mathfrak{D}_0$. This contradicts to $[H, X_{\lambda}] = 2X_{\lambda} \neq 0$. Thus we have $i \neq 0$.

Next we claim that $i \neq \pm 1$. Suppose i = 1: we have $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $gX_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$, and it follows from Proposition 2 (b) that

$$H = [Y, gX_{\lambda}] = -Y^{+} \times gX_{\lambda} - \langle Y^{+}, gX_{\lambda} \rangle H.$$

Taking account of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{D}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot H$ and Proposition 2 (a), comparing both sides above, we obtain two equalities,

$$Y^+ \times gX_{\lambda} = 0$$
 and $\langle Y^+, gX_{\lambda} \rangle = -1$.

Now it follows from Lemma 2 that $gX_{\lambda} \in M$. Therefore, by Proposition 1 (a) we obtain from the former equality that $\langle Y^+, gX_{\lambda} \rangle = 0$. But this contradicts to the latter equality. Thus, $i \neq 1$. Similarly we obtain $i \neq -1$.

Therefore i = 2 or i = -2, and this completes the proof of our claim.

Now the statement for general case follows from the claim above. Indeed, there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$([x,y],x,y) = g \cdot (h,x_+,x_-),$$

since the orbit $G \cdot (x_+, x_-)$ is dense in $X(\mathfrak{g}) \times X(\mathfrak{g})$, where we set $h := \pi H$ and $x_{\pm} := \pi X_{\pm \lambda}$. The density is checked by counting the dimension of the orbit $G \cdot (x_+, x_-)$. Indeed, in terms of the stabilizers $C_G(x_{\pm})$ of x_{\pm} , respectively, the stabilizer of (x_+, x_-) is given by $C_G(x_+) \cap C_G(x_-)$, whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g}_0 since the Lie algebras of $C_G(x_{\pm})$ are respectively equal to $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2}$. Therefore,

$$\dim G \cdot (x_+, x_-) = \dim \bigoplus_{i \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i = 2 \dim X(\mathfrak{g}).$$

§3. Cubic veronese varieties

Proof of Theorem B. The claim obviously follows from

$$G \cdot X_{\lambda} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = M$$
,

and we here show the inclusion \supseteq : the converse is just Lemma 2. By virtue of Lemma 1, it suffices to show that if $Y \in M$, then

$$(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 Z \in \mathbb{C} \cdot Y$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ with $-2 \leq i \leq 2$.

In case of i = -2, this is obvious from the definition of M. If i > 0, then the claim follows since $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{i+2} = 0$ with i + 2 > 2.

In case of i = 0, set $Z^{\#} := [Y, Z]$. According to Proposition 1 (b), we have $\langle Y, Z^{\#} \rangle = 0$, that is, $[Y, Z^{\#}] = 0$ and the claim follows.

In case of i = -1, set $Z^+ := [X_\lambda, Z]$. We have $(\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 Z = 4\langle Y, Z^+ \rangle Y$. Indeed, applying the Jacobi identity twice, we have

$$\begin{split} (\operatorname{ad} Y)^2 Z &= [Y[Y[X_{-\lambda}, Z^+]]] \\ &= -[Y[X_{-\lambda}[Z^+, Y]]] - [Y[Z^+[Y, X_{-\lambda}]]] \\ &= -2\langle Z^+, Y \rangle [Y[X_{-\lambda}, X_{\lambda}]] \\ &\quad + \big\{ [Z^+[[Y, X_{-\lambda}]Y]] + [[Y, X_{-\lambda}], [Y, Z^+]] \big\} \\ &= -2\langle Z^+, Y \rangle [Y, -H] + [Z^+, 0] + 2\langle Y, Z^+ \rangle [[Y, X_{-\lambda}]X_{\lambda}] \\ &= 2\langle Y, Z^+ \rangle Y + 0 + 2\langle Y, Z^+ \rangle Y \\ &= 4\langle Y, Z^+ \rangle Y. \end{split}$$

We finally give a few examples where, using Theorem B, one can easily as well as geometrically determine cubic Veronese varieties.

П

EXAMPLE 1. The cubic Veronese variety $\pi M \subseteq \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is $\mathbb{P}^{l-2} \sqcup \mathbb{P}^{l-2}$, a disjoint union of two linear subspaces in $\mathbb{P}^{2l-3} \simeq \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_l . Indeed, in this case, $X(\mathfrak{g})$ is realized as the projectivization of the set of traceless matrices $[z_{ij}]_{0 \leq i,j \leq l}$ with rank 1 (see, for example [FH, p. 389]). On the other hand, taking $H := \operatorname{diag}(1,0,\ldots,0,-1)$, we have that \mathfrak{g}_1 is the subspace given by $z_{00} = z_{0l} = z_{ll} = 0$ and $z_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j with i > 0 and j < l. Therefore the intersection $X(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is the (disjoint) union of linear subspaces defined by $z_{00} = z_{0l} = z_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j with i > 0 and by $z_{0l} = z_{ll} = z_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j with j < l.

EXAMPLE 2. The cubic Veronese variety $\pi M \subseteq \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is *empty* if \mathfrak{g} is of type C_l . Indeed, in this case, $X(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^{2l-1} of degree 2 (see, for example [KOY, §5]), then a simple calculation shows that

$$X(\mathfrak{g}) \cap T_{\pi X_{\lambda}} X(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\pi X_{\lambda}\}.$$

On the other hand, for any adjoint variety $X(\mathfrak{g})$ we have

$$T_{\pi X_{\lambda}}X(\mathfrak{g}) \supseteq \mathbb{P}_{*}(\mathfrak{g}_{1}) \not\ni \pi X_{\lambda}.$$

Therefore the intersection $X(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathbb{P}_*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is empty.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her invaluable advice: In particular, the formulation of Lemma 1 is due to the referee. This work was done mainly from April 1997 through March 1998, and in that period the first author was partly supported by TOKUTEI-KADAI #97A–331, Waseda University. The results here were announced in a symposium "Lie Groups and Geometry" (organized by S. Kaneyuki, December 3–5, 1997 at Yamaguchi University) and in a symposium "School on Commutative Algebra and Projective Varieties" (organized by C. Miyazaki and T. Fujisawa, March 11–13, 1998 at Hotel Mielparque Nagano).

References

- [A1] H. Asano, On triple systems (in Japanese), Yokohama City Univ. Ronso, Ser. Natural Sci., 27 (1975), 7–31.
- [A2] H. Asano, Symplectic triple systems and simple Lie algebras (in Japanese), RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto Univ., 308 (1977), 41–54.
- [CM] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.
- [F] H. Freudenthal, Lie groups in the foundations of geometry, Advances in Math., 1 (1964), 145–190.
- [FR] T. Fujita and J. Roberts, Varieties with small secant varieties: The extremal case, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 953–976.
- [FH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation Theory: A First Course, GTM 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [H1] J. E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, GTM
 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [H2] J. E. Humphreys, Linear Algebraic Groups, GTM 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [KOY] H. Kaji, M. Ohno and O. Yasukura, Adjoint varieties and their secant varieties, Indag. Math., 10 (1999), 45–57.
- [M] S. Mukai, Projective geometry of homogeneous spaces (in Japanese), Proc. Symp. Algebraic Geometry, "Projective Varieties/Projective Geometry of Algebraic Varieties", Waseda University, Japan (1994), pp. 1–52.

Hajime Kaji

Department of Mathematical Sciences
School of Science and Engineering
Waseda University
Tokyo, 169-8555
Japan
kaji@mse.waseda.ac.jp

Osami Yasukura

Department of Mathematics

Fukui University

Fukui 910-8507

Japan

yasukura@edu00.f-edu.fukui-u.ac.jp