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The nature of dysthymia

Sir: While reconceptualisation of dysthymia as a 'chronic' and 'subaffective' form of major depressive disorder for which pharmacotherapy is the mainstay of treatment (BJP, February 1995, 166, 174–183) may promote the treatment of a subgroup of subjects, this proposition should be tempered as follows.

Dysthymia has been termed 'a new plastic box for some rather old wine' (Goldberg & Bridges, 1990). It represents a highly heterogeneous group of conditions that are frequently associated with intractable interpersonal difficulties and social misfortunes – hence its considerably higher prevalence rates in poorer people. When the term is used outside of the more affluent West, it has been criticised for medicalising social problems related to severe economic, political and health constraints which create endemic feelings of hopelessness and helplessness. In these contexts, despair is a response to real conditions of chronic deprivation and persistent losses, while negative cognition is an accurate mapping of one's place in an oppressive social system (Kleinman, 1987). The evidence for considering dysthymia as an affective disorder is largely non-specific. The positive response of a subgroup of patients to thymoleptics is no exception. Although tricyclic drugs are labelled as anti-depressants, they ameliorate not only depression, but also a diversity of other disease categories.

The official recommendation of 'recognising' dysthymia as a pharmaco-responsive variant of major depressive disorder runs the risk of encouraging practitioners to replace non-pharmacological ways of relieving chronic social distress with an excessive reliance on drug therapy. There is no perfect shorthand for the complex illness reality of chronically dysphoric subjects. The question of whether dysthymia is a 'sub-affective' or 'supra-neurotic' disorder is epistemological and political in nature.
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Where shall we put lithium et al?

Sir: It is now 32 years since the notion of a new and special psychiatric role for lithium was first aired in this Journal (Schou, 1963). Nevertheless there is still uncertainty about the category in which this drug and its alternatives, carbamazepine, valproate, etc., should be placed and what they should be called. In textbooks and lists of 'current reading' they have appeared under tranquillisers, or anti-manic drugs, or antidepressant drugs, or they have been catalogued as 'mood stabilisers'.

This confuses and misleads. Lithium and its alternatives act therapeutically on manias and depressions and prophylactically on manic and depressive recurrences. They are neither neuroleptics nor tranquillizers, and they have a wider range than drugs with exclusively anti-manic or exclusively antidepressant action. Clearly they belong in a class of their own.

But what should that class be called? The term 'mood stabilisers' is hardly a happy choice, for the drugs do not stabilise abnormal moods; they do not perpetuate a mania or 'freeze' a depression. What they do is to counteract episodes of abnormal mood and maintain normal mood in patients with recurrent manic-depressive illness.

In 1963 the terms 'normothymics' and 'mood normalisers' were proposed. They never caught on, and the former has been spurned for being ugly and of bastard linguistic origin (Johnson, 1984). Well, the beauty or ugliness of a name is a matter of personal taste, and there is precedence for words of mixed Latin-Greek parentage. However, that particular term is not important; the crucial point is that lithium and its alternatives are placed in a special class, and I still feel that 'mood normalisers' is an apt name. But there may be other possibilities. The adjective 'euthymic' has been used for the condition of manic-depressive patients during the intervals between episodes; can it possibly function as a noun and a class name, 'euthymics'? Linguistically minded readers of the BJP may have further proposals, and a discussion could perhaps lead to agreement about a fitting term.