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Abstract. We determine the Lie superalgebras that are graded by the root systems of the basic classical simple Lie superalgebras of type $C(n), D(m, n), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, and $G(3)$.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of a Lie algebra graded by a finite root system was defined and investigated by Berman and Moody [BM] as an approach for studying various important classes of Lie algebras such as the intersection matrix Lie algebras of Slodowy [S], which arise in the study of singularities, or the extended affine Lie algebras of [AABGP]. The unifying theme is that these Lie algebras exhibit a grading by a finite (possibly nonreduced) root system $\Delta$. The formal definition depends on a finitedimensional split simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero having a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}$ relative to a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Such a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an analogue over $\mathbb{F}$ of a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra.

Definition 1.1 A Lie algebra $L$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is graded by the (reduced) root system $\Delta$ or is $\Delta$-graded if
( $\Delta \mathrm{G} 1$ ) $L$ contains as a subalgebra a finite-dimensional split simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=$ $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}$ whose root system is $\Delta$ relative to a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} ;$
( $\Delta \mathrm{G} 2$ ) $L=\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta \cup\{0\}} L_{\mu}$, where $L_{\mu}=\{x \in L \mid[h, x]=\mu(h) x$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ for $\mu \in \Delta \cup\{0\} ;$ and
( $\Delta$ G3) $L_{0}=\sum_{\mu \in \Delta}\left[L_{\mu}, L_{-\mu}\right]$.
There is also a notion of a Lie algebra graded by the nonreduced root system $B C_{r}$ introduced and studied in [ABG2] (see also [BS] for the $B C_{1}$-case). The Lie algebras

[^0]graded by finite root systems (both reduced and nonreduced) decompose relative to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ into a direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. There is one possible isotypic component corresponding to each root length and one corresponding to 0 (the sum of the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-modules). Thus, for the simply-laced root systems only adjoint modules and trivial modules occur. For the doubly-laced root systems, copies of the module having the highest short root as its highest weight also can occur. For type $B C_{r}$, there are up to four isotypic components, except when the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has type $D_{2} \cong A_{1} \times A_{1}$, where there are five possible isotypic components. The complexity increases with the number of isotypic components. These $\mathfrak{g}$-module decompositions and the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$ play an essential role in the classification of the Lie algebras graded by finite root systems, which has been accomplished in the papers [BM], [BZ], [N], [ABG1], [ABG2], [BS].

Our focus here and in [BE1], [BE2] is on Lie superalgebras graded by the root systems of the finite-dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n), C(n), D(m, n), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, and $G(3)$. (A standard reference for results on simple Lie superalgebras is Kac's ground-breaking paper [K1].)

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional split simple basic classical Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero with root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}$ relative to a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{g}$ is an analogue over $\mathbb{F}$ of a complex simple Lie superalgebra whose root system $\Delta$ is of type $A(m, n)(m \geq n \geq 0, m+n \geq 1)$, $B(m, n)(m \geq 0, n \geq 1), C(n)(n \geq 3), D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in$ $\mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, and $G(3)$. These Lie superalgebras can be characterized by the properties of being simple, having reductive even part, and having a nondegenerate even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form. Mimicking Definition 1.1, we say

Definition 1.2 (Compare [BE1, Definition 1.4] and [GN, Section 4.7]) A Lie superalgebra $L$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is graded by the root system $\Delta$ or is $\Delta$-graded if
(i) $L$ contains as a subsuperalgebra a finite-dimensional split simple basic classical Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}$ whose root system is $\Delta$ relative to a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{g}_{0}$;
(ii) ( $\Delta \mathrm{G} 2$ ) and ( $\Delta \mathrm{G} 3$ ) of Definition 1.1 hold for $L$ relative to the root system $\Delta$.

The $B(m, n)$-graded Lie superalgebras were determined in [BE1]. These Lie superalgebras differ from rest because of their complicated structure and most closely resemble the Lie algebras graded by the nonreduced root systems $B C_{r}$. In this work we tackle $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebras for $\Delta=C(n), D(m, n), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in$ $\mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, and $G(3)$. Our main theorem (Theorem 5.2) completely describes the structure of the Lie superalgebras graded by these root systems. The $A(n, n)$ graded Lie superalgebras are truly exceptional for several reasons, and their study (along with $A(m, n)$-graded Lie superalgebras for $m \neq n$ ) forms the subject of [BE2].

We would like to view a $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra $L$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module in order to determine its structure. However, a major obstacle encountered in the superalgebra case is that $\mathfrak{g}$-modules need not be completely reducible. We circumvent this roadblock below (and previously in [BE1]) by showing that a $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra $L$ must be completely reducible as a module for its grading subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in all
cases except when $\Delta$ is of type $A(n, n)$.

## 2 The $\mathfrak{g}$-Module Structure of $\Delta$-Graded Lie Superalgebras For $\Delta=$ $C(n), D(m, n), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, and $G(3)$

The following result is instrumental in examining $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebras.
Lemma 2.1 ([BE1, Lemma 2.2]) Let L be a $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its grading subsuperalgebra. Then $L$ is locally finite as a module for $\mathfrak{g}$.

This result says that each element of a $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra $L$, in particular each weight vector of $L$ relative to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, generates a finitedimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Such a finite-dimensional module has a $\mathfrak{g}$-composition series whose irreducible factors have weights which are roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ or 0 . Next we determine which finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules have weights which are roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ or are 0 . For this purpose, we will need to do a case-by-case analysis.

## G(3) Case

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $G(3)$, its even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a sum of two ideals, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{s}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{2}$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is a simple Lie algebra type $G_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2}$ is sl${ }_{2}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{2}=F h$, a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathrm{sl}_{2}$, and $\mathfrak{h}_{1}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of an $\mathrm{sl}_{3}$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$.

As in [K1, Section 2.5.4], $\Delta=\Delta_{\overline{0}} \cup \Delta_{\overline{1}}$ (even and odd roots relative $\mathfrak{h}$ ), where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\overline{0}}=\left\{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}, \pm \varepsilon_{i} \mid i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3, \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}=0\right\} \cup\{ \pm 2 \delta\} \\
\Delta_{\overline{1}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \delta, \pm \delta\right\}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.2}\\
\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}=\delta+\varepsilon_{1}, \alpha_{2}=\varepsilon_{2}, \alpha_{3}=\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

is a system of simple roots. Here we suppose that $\delta(h)=1$, and that $\mathfrak{h}_{1} \subset \operatorname{sl}_{3} \subset \mathfrak{s}_{1}$ consists of diagonal matrices $d=\operatorname{diag}\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right\}$ with trace $d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}=0$, and $\varepsilon_{i}(d)=d_{i}$. We also assume that $\delta\left(\mathfrak{h}_{1}\right)=0=\varepsilon_{i}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{2}\right)$ or all $i$. Solving the system $\alpha_{j}\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i, j}$, where $a_{i, j}$ is the $(i, j)$ entry of the Cartan matrix (see p. 49 of [K1])

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{2.3}\\
-1 & 2 & -3 \\
0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain the coroots

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{1}=2 h+\operatorname{diag}(-2,1,1) \\
h_{2}=\operatorname{diag}(-1,2,-1)  \tag{2.4}\\
h_{3}=\operatorname{diag}(0,-1,1)
\end{gather*}
$$

Now the conditions for $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ to be the highest weight of a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V(\Lambda)$ are given in [K1, Theorem 8] or [K2, Proposition 2.3] in
terms of the values $\Lambda\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i}$. For $G(3)$ they are
(i) $a_{2}$ and $a_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
(ii) $k=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}-2 \overline{a_{2}}-3 a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k \neq 1$;
(iii) If $k=0$, then all $a_{i}=0$, (i.e. $\Lambda=0$ ); and if $k=2$, then $a_{2}=0$.

The roots that satisfy constraints (i) and (ii) are $\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{1}$ (the highest long root of $G_{2}$ ) , $-\varepsilon_{1}$ (the highest short root of $G_{2}$ ), and $2 \delta$ (the positive root of $\mathrm{sl}_{2}$ and the highest root of $G(3))$. (Note that $\delta$ satisfies (i) but has $k=1$.) Both $\Lambda=\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\Lambda=-\varepsilon_{1}$ have $k=0$ so they can be ruled out. Thus, the only finite-dimensional irreducible modules having weights that are roots or 0 are the adjoint module (with highest weight $2 \delta$ ) or the trivial module. We allow the possibility that the highest weight vector in these modules has its parity changed from even to odd.

## $F(4)$ Case

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $F(4)$, its even part is a sum of two ideals, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{s}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{2}$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is a simple Lie algebra type $B_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2}$ is $s_{2}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{2}=F h$, a Cartan subalgebra of $\operatorname{sl}_{2}$, and $\mathfrak{h}_{1}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ (which we identify with the orthogonal Lie algebra $o_{7}$ ).

As in [K1, Section 2.5.4],

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\overline{0}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \pm \varepsilon_{i} \mid i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3\right\} \cup\{ \pm \delta\} \\
\Delta_{\overline{1}}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left( \pm \varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3} \pm \delta\right)\right\}, \text { and } \\
\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}+\delta\right), \alpha_{2}=-\varepsilon_{1}, \alpha_{3}=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}, \alpha_{4}=\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{3}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a system of simple roots. Here we suppose that $\delta(h)=2$, and that $\mathfrak{h}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{w}_{1}$ consists of diagonal matrices $d=\operatorname{diag}\left\{0, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3},-d_{1},-d_{2},-d_{3}\right\}$ with $\varepsilon_{i}(d)=d_{i}$. We also assume that $\delta\left(\mathfrak{h}_{1}\right)=0=\varepsilon_{i}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{2}\right)$ for all $i$. Let $t_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\{0,1,0,0,-1,0,0\}$, $t_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\{0,0,1,0,0,-1,0\}$, and $t_{3}=\operatorname{diag}\{0,0,0,1,0,0,-1\}$. Then solving the system $\alpha_{j}\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i, j}$ coming from the Cartan matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
-1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain the coroots

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{1}=-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}+\frac{3}{2} h \\
h_{2}=-2 t_{1}  \tag{2.8}\\
h_{3}=t_{1}-t_{2} \\
h_{4}=t_{2}-t_{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here the conditions for $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ to be the highest weight of a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V(\Lambda)$ are, in terms of the values $\Lambda\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i}$, given by
(i) $a_{2}, a_{3}$, and $a_{4} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
(ii) $k=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 a_{1}-3 a_{2}-4 a_{3}-2 a_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k \neq 1$;
(iii) If $k=0$, then all $a_{i}=0$; if $k=2$, then $a_{2}=0=a_{4}$; if $k=3$, then $a_{2}=a_{4}+1$.

Only the roots $-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{3},-\varepsilon_{3}, \delta$, and $-\frac{1}{2}\left(-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{3}+\delta\right)$ satisfy (i), and for each of them except $\delta$, the corresponding value of $k$ is 0 . For $\Lambda=\delta$ (the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ ), the value of $k$ is 2 and $a_{2}=0=a_{4}$, so that all conditions hold. Thus, again the only finite-dimensional irreducible modules having weights that are roots or 0 are the adjoint module (with highest weight $\delta$ ) or the trivial module and parity changes of them.

## $D(2,1 ; \alpha)$ Case

For a simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\})$, the even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathrm{sl}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{sl}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{sl}_{2}=\mathrm{sl}_{2} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}^{3}$. We identify $\mathbb{F}^{3}$ with triples $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$, and the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $h \otimes \mathbb{F}^{3}$, where $\mathbb{F} h$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\operatorname{sl}_{2}$. Let $\varepsilon_{i}(h \otimes \xi)=\xi_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$. Then the even and odd roots and simple roots are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\overline{0}}=\left\{ \pm 2 \varepsilon_{i}, \mid i=1,2,3\right\} \\
\Delta_{\overline{1}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3}\right\}  \tag{2.10}\\
\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}=-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}\right), \alpha_{2}=2 \varepsilon_{2}, \alpha_{3}=2 \varepsilon_{3}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the Cartan matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & \alpha  \tag{2.11}\\
-1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

we determine that the coroots are

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{1}=h \otimes \frac{1}{2}(-(1+\alpha), 1, \alpha) \\
h_{2}=h \otimes(0,1,0)  \tag{2.12}\\
h_{3}=h \otimes(0,0,1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By [K2, Proposition 2.3], a root $\Lambda$ gives a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module when the values $\Lambda\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i}$ satisfy the conditions,
(i) $a_{2}$ and $a_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
(ii) $k=\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\left(2 a_{1}-a_{2}-\alpha a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
(iii) If $k=0$, then all $a_{i}=0$; and if $k=1$, then $\left(a_{3}+1\right) \alpha= \pm\left(a_{2}+1\right)$.

The only roots for which (i) and (ii) hold are $2 \varepsilon_{2}, 2 \varepsilon_{3},-\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}$ and $-2 \varepsilon_{1}$ (which is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ ). But for the first two, $k=0$. Now when $\Lambda=-\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+$ $\varepsilon_{3}, k=1$, and (iii) says that $2 \alpha= \pm 2$ must be true. But $\alpha$ is assumed to be different from 0 and -1 . When $\alpha=1$, the Lie superalgebra $\mathrm{D}(2,1 ; \alpha)$ is isomorphic to $D(2,1)$. (We consider this next as part of the general $D(m, n)$ case.) Hence for $D(2,1 ; \alpha)$ with $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$, the only finite-dimensional irreducible modules with weights that are roots are the adjoint and trivial modules (and parity changes of them).

## $D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1)$ Case

Let $V=V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero, with $\operatorname{dim} V_{\overline{0}}=2 m$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{\overline{1}}=2 n$, where $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. We assume $(\mid)$ is a nondegenerate supersymmetric bilinear form of maximal Witt index on $V$. Thus, we may suppose there is a basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 m}\right\}$ of $V_{\overline{0}}$ and a basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right\}$ of $V_{\overline{1}}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\left(u_{i} \mid u_{i+m}\right)=1=\left(u_{i+m} \mid u_{i}\right) & (i=1, \ldots, m) \\
\left(v_{j} \mid v_{j+n}\right)=1=-\left(v_{j+n} \mid v_{j}\right) & (j=1, \ldots, n) \tag{2.14}
\end{array}
$$

and all other products are 0.
The space $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ of transformations on $V$ inherits a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading: $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)=$ $\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)\right)_{\overline{0}} \oplus\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)\right)_{\overline{1}}$ where $x \cdot u \in V_{a+b}$ (subscripts read mod 2) whenever $x \in\left(\operatorname{End}_{F}(V)\right)_{a}$ and $u \in V_{b}$. Setting

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathfrak{g}=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V) \mid(x \cdot u \mid v)=-(-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{u}}(u \mid x \cdot v) \text { for all } u, v \in V\right\}  \tag{2.15}\\
\mathfrak{s}=\left\{s \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V) \mid(s \cdot u \mid v)=(-1)^{\bar{s} \bar{u}}(u \mid s \cdot v) \text { for all } u, v \in V \text { and } \operatorname{str}(s)=0\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

we have that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the orthosymplectic split simple Lie superalgebra osp ${ }_{2 m, 2 n}$ of type $D(m, n)$. (In displays such as (2.15), we assume all elements shown are homogeneous, and our convention is that $\bar{u}=b$ (viewed as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ ) whenever $u \in V_{b}$.) The transformations $s \in \mathfrak{s}$ are supersymmetric relative to the form on $V$ and have supertrace 0 . Thus, $\operatorname{str}(s)=\operatorname{tr}_{V_{\overline{0}}}(s)-\operatorname{tr}_{V_{\overline{1}}}(s)=0$ whenever $s \in\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)\right)_{\overline{0}}$, and the supertrace is automatically 0 for all transformations in $\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)\right)_{\overline{1}}$. The space $\mathfrak{s}$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module under the natural action.

Using the basis in (2.14), we may identify linear transformations with their matrices. The diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$ form a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$. The corresponding even and odd roots and a system of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by [K1, Section 2.5]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\overline{0}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \pm \delta_{r} \pm \delta_{s}, \pm 2 \delta_{r} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq m, 1 \leq r<s \leq n\right\}  \tag{2.16}\\
\Delta_{\overline{1}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \delta_{r} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq r \leq n\right\} \\
\Pi=\left\{\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}-\delta_{n}, \delta_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}-\varepsilon_{m}, \varepsilon_{m-1}+\varepsilon_{m}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for any $h=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m},-b_{1}, \ldots,-b_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n},-c_{1}, \ldots,-c_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\varepsilon_{i}(h)=b_{i}$ and $\delta_{r}(h)=c_{r}$ for any $i, r$. The corresponding Cartan matrix is
(2.17)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 
& & & & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & \vdots & & & & \\
& A_{n-1} & & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & -1 & & & & \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & & & -1 & & & & \\
& & & & & 0 & & & \\
& & & & \vdots & & & D_{m} & \\
& & & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $m \geq 3$ (if $n=1$, it is just the $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ bottom right corner above), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & & & \\
-1 & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & & -1 & \\
& & & 2 & -1 \\
& & & & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& D_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 & -1 & & & & & \\
-1 & & & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & & & \\
& & & & -1 & & \\
& & & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
& & & & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
& & & & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

while for $m=2$, the Cartan matrix is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 
& & & & 0 & &  \tag{2.17'}\\
& & & & \vdots & & \\
& A_{n-1} & & 0 & & \\
& & & & -1 & & \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
& & 0 & & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
& & & & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+m} \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the dual basis to $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m}$. Then relative to
this basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, the coroots $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n+m}$ have the following expressions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{i}=t_{i}-t_{i+1} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n-1) \\
h_{n}=t_{n}+t_{n+1} \\
h_{n+j}=t_{n+j}-t_{n+j+1} \quad(1 \leq j \leq m-1) \\
h_{n+m}=t_{n+m-1}+t_{n+m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, suppose

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i} \delta_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} \varepsilon_{j}
$$

and $\Lambda\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i}$ in Kac's notation. The conditions for $\Lambda$ to be the highest weight of a finite-dimensional irreducible module are given in [K1, Theorem 8]:
(i) $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \neq n$;
(ii) $k=a_{n}-\left(a_{n+1}+\cdots a_{n+m-2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{n+m-1}+a_{n+m}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
(iii) If $k \leq m-2$, then $a_{n+k+1}=\cdots=a_{n+m}=0$; and if $k=m-1$, then $a_{n+m-1}=a_{n+m}$.

The first condition in (2.18) says

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{i}-\pi_{i+1}=a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\mu_{j}-\mu_{j+1}=a_{n+j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1 \\
\mu_{m-1}+\mu_{m}=a_{n+m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second requirement is $\pi_{n}=a_{n}-\left(a_{n+1}+\cdots a_{n+m-2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{n+m-1}+a_{n+m}\right)\right)=k \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. These two conditions imply that $\pi_{1} \geq \pi_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \pi_{n} \geq 0$ is a partition and $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{m-1} \geq\left|\mu_{m}\right|$, with $\mu_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, m$ (compare the results of [LS]).

The final condition is that when $k=\pi_{n} \leq m-2, \mu_{k+1}=\cdots=\mu_{m}=0$; while if $k=\pi_{n}=m-1, \mu_{m}=0$. Hence both cases can be combined to say that when $\pi_{n} \leq m-1$, then $\mu_{k+1}=\cdots=\mu_{m}=0$.

If $\Lambda \in \Delta_{\overline{0}} \cup \Delta_{\overline{1}}$, then $\pi_{n}=0,1$ or 2 , and the three conditions above imply that for $n \geq 2, \Lambda$ is either $2 \delta_{1}$ or $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$; while for $n=1, \Lambda$ is either $2 \delta_{1}$ or $\delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}$. But $2 \delta_{1}$ is the highest root, so $V\left(2 \delta_{1}\right)$ is the adjoint module. The root $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$ if $n \geq 2$ or $\delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}$ if $n=1$ is the highest weight of $\mathfrak{s}$ in (2.15). However, $2 \varepsilon_{1}$ is a weight of $\mathfrak{s}$ which is not a root. Thus, again only the adjoint and trivial modules appear.

## $C(n)(n \geq 3)$ Case

The simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $C(n)$ may be identified with the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\operatorname{osp}_{2,2(n-1)}$. (The restriction $n \geq 3$ comes from the isomorphism
$\operatorname{osp}_{2,2} \cong \mathrm{sl}_{2,1}$. Thus, $C(2)$-graded superalgebras are regarded as $A(1,0)$-graded superalgebras and are described in [BE2].) For simplicity of notation, take $r=n-1$ so that $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{osp}_{2,2 r}$, and suppose in what follows that $r \geq 2$. We make the same identifications as for $D(m, n)$, but here $m=1$, and as above assume the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ ) of $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of the diagonal matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\operatorname{diag}(\mu,-\mu, d,-d) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$, and $d=\operatorname{diag}\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right\}$ is a diagonal matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}$. Now for $C(r+1)=C(n)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\overline{0}}=\left\{ \pm 2 \delta_{i}, \pm \delta_{i} \pm \delta_{j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r\right\} \\
\Delta_{\overline{1}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon \pm \delta_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.20}\\
\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{0}=\varepsilon+\delta_{1}, \alpha_{i}=\delta_{i}-\delta_{i+1},(1 \leq i \leq r-1), \alpha_{r}=2 \delta_{r}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

is a system of simple roots. If $h$ is as in (2.19), then $\varepsilon(h)=\mu$, and $\delta_{i}(h)=d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. The corresponding Cartan matrix is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & & & & &  \tag{2.21}\\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & & & \\
& -1 & 2 & & & & \\
& & & \ddots & & & \\
& & & & & & \\
& & & & -1 & 2 & -2 \\
& & & & & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the corresponding coroots $\left(\alpha_{j}\left(h_{i}\right)=a_{i, j}\right)$ are given as follows (note that the row and column indices here are $-1,0, \ldots, 2 r)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{0}=\left(E_{-1,-1}-E_{0,0}\right)+\left(E_{1,1}-E_{r+1, r+1}\right) \\
h_{i}=\left(E_{i, i}-E_{r+i, r+i}\right)-\left(E_{i+1, i+1}-E_{r+i+1, r+i+1}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq r-1)  \tag{2.22}\\
h_{r}=E_{r, r}-E_{2 r, 2 r}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order for $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ to correspond to a finite-dimensional irreducible module $V(\Lambda)$, we must have $\Lambda\left(h_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $\Lambda\left(h_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consideration of the roots in (2.20) shows that only $\Lambda=2 \delta_{1}, \delta_{1}+\delta_{2},-\varepsilon+\delta_{1}$, and $\varepsilon+\delta_{1}$ (the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ ) are possible solutions.

Now the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation, $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Kac [K2, Section 2] shows that for a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V=V(\Lambda)$, $V^{\prime}=\left\{x \in V \mid \mathfrak{g}_{1} \cdot x=0\right\}$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-submodule of highest weight $\Lambda$, and $V$ is a quotient of the induced module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes u\left(g_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right) V^{\prime}$, which as a vector space is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right) \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} V^{\prime}$ (where $\mathcal{U}()$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra). Thus, the weights of $V$ are of the form $\omega+\nu$, where $\omega$ is a weight
of the $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-module $V^{\prime}$ and $\nu$ is a weight of $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right)$. Hence $\nu$ is either 0 or a sum of roots of the form $-\varepsilon \pm \delta_{i}$.

Assume that $\Lambda$ is either $2 \delta_{1}, \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$, or $-\varepsilon+\delta_{1}$. Then with $c=E_{-1,-1}-E_{0,0}$, $(\omega+\nu)(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. But if $V$ is a finite-dimensional module, the supertrace of the action of $c$ is 0 , so it must be $(\omega+\nu)(c)=0$ for any weight $\omega+\nu$ of $V$. This implies that $c$ lies in the kernel of the representation, which is impossible since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, and $V$ is a faithful module. Therefore, the only possibility left is $\Lambda=\varepsilon+\delta_{1}$, so $V$ is the adjoint module.

## 3 Complete Reducibility

Proposition 3.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the split simple Lie superalgebras $C(n)(n \geq 3)$, $D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$ with split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Assume $V$ is a locally finite $\mathfrak{g}$-module satisfying
(i) $\mathfrak{h}$ acts semisimply on $V$;
(ii) any composition factor of any finite-dimensional submodule of $V$ is isomorphic to the adjoint module g or to a trivial module (possibly with the parity changed).
Then $V$ is a completely reducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module.
Proof Assume $X$ is a submodule of $V$, and $Y$ is a submodule of $X$ such that $Y$ and $X / Y$ are trivial or adjoint modules. By the diagonalizability of the action of $\mathfrak{b}$ on $X$, if $X / Y$ and $Y$ are isomorphic (possibly with a change in parity) with highest weight $\mu$, then there are linearly independent weight vectors $x_{\mu}, y_{\mu} \in X_{\mu}$ so that $X=\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) x_{\mu}+$ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) y_{\mu}$. But $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) x_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) y_{\mu}$ are strictly contained in $X$ (the dimension of their highest weight spaces is 1 ), and both $X / Y$ and $Y$ are irreducible. The only possibility is that both submodules are irreducible and that $X=\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) x_{\mu} \oplus \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) y_{\mu}$, so that $X$ is completely reducible (this is the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [BE1]).

As a result, it suffices to show that if $Y$ is an adjoint module and $X / Y$ is trivial, or if $Y$ is trivial and $X / Y$ is adjoint, then $X \cong Y \oplus X / Y$. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $C(n)$, $F(4)$, or $G(3)$, its Killing form is nondegenerate and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \neq \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$. Therefore in these cases, the supertrace of the Casimir element is $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \neq 0$. Hence the Casimir element acts nontrivially on the adjoint module, and $X$ is the direct sum of the two different eigenspaces for the Casimir element.

Now in all the remaining cases, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is an irreducible module for $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$, which is a semisimple Lie algebra. In addition, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \mathbb{F}\right)=0$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \mathbb{F}\right)$ is spanned by a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form.

Assume initially that $Y$ is an adjoint module. Changing the parity of $X$ if necessary, we may assume that there is an even isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow Y$. By complete reducibility for $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$-modules, $X=Y \oplus \mathbb{F} v$ for some $0 \neq v \in V$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} . v=0$. If $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \cdot v \neq 0$, then by the irreducibility of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$, we may scale $v$ so that $x \cdot v=\varphi(x)$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$. But then for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=[x, y] \cdot v & =x \cdot(y \cdot v)+y \cdot(x \cdot v)=x \cdot \varphi(y)+y \cdot \varphi(x) \\
& =\varphi([x, y])+\varphi([y, x])=2 \varphi([x, y])
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}\right)=\varphi\left(\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right]\right)=0$, a contradiction.
Finally, suppose that $Y$ is trivial and $X / Y$ is adjoint. As $X$ is a completely reducible $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$-module, $X=\mathbb{F} v \oplus Z$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \cdot v=0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \cdot Z \neq 0$. Again we may assume that there is an even isomorphism $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow Z$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$-modules. If $Z$ is not a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $X$, then $v$ is odd, and for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}, x \cdot \psi(y)=\psi([x, y])+(x \mid y) v$, where $(\mid)$ is a skew-symmetric form spanning $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \mathbb{F}\right)$, and $x \cdot \psi(z)=\psi([x, z])$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi([[x, y], z]) & =[x, y] \cdot \psi(z)=x \cdot(y \cdot \psi(z))+y \cdot(x \cdot \psi(z)) \\
& =x \cdot \psi([y, z])+y \cdot \psi([x, z]) \\
& =\psi([x,[y, z]]+[y,[x, z]])+((x \mid[y, z])+(y \mid[x, z])) v \\
& =\psi([[x, y], z])+2(x \mid[y, z]) v
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \mid\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right]\right)=0$. We have arrived at a contradiction, so it must be that $Z$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $X$.

## 4 The Structure of Lie Superalgebras With Certain g-Module Decompositions

From Proposition 3.1 it follows that every Lie superalgebra graded by the root system $C(n)(n \geq 3), D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$ decomposes as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module into a direct sum of adjoint modules and trivial modules. The next general result (which resembles Proposition 2.7 of [BZ]) describes the structure of Lie superalgebras $L$ having such decompositions. The restrictions imposed on $L$ in the next lemma will hold in particular in the $\Delta$-graded case.
Lemma 4.1 Let L be a Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with a subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and assume that under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$, $L$ is a direct sum of
(1) copies of the adjoint module $\mathfrak{g}$,
(2) copies of the trivial module $\mathbb{F}$.

Assume that
(1') $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})=1$ so that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is spanned by $x \otimes y \mapsto[x, y]$.
$\left(2^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})=\mathbb{F} \kappa$, where $\kappa$ is even, nondegenerate and supersymmetric,
and the following conditions hold:
(ii) There exist $f, g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ such that $[f, g] \neq 0$ and $\kappa(f, g) \neq 0$;
(iii) There exist $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ such that $[f, h]=[g, h]=0$; and $\kappa(f, h)=\kappa(g, h)=$ $0 \neq \kappa(f, g)$,
(iv) There exists $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ such that $[[f, g], h]=0 \neq[[g, h], f]$.

Then there exist superspaces $A$ and $D$ such that $L \cong(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \oplus D$ and
(a) $A$ is a unital supercommutative associative $\mathbb{F}$-superalgebra;
(b) D is a trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module and is a Lie superalgebra;
(c) Multiplication in L is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[f \otimes a, g \otimes a^{\prime}\right]=(-1)^{\bar{a} \bar{g}}\left([f, g] \otimes a a^{\prime}+\kappa(f, g)\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)} \\
{[d, f \otimes a]=(-1)^{\bar{d} \bar{f}} f \otimes d a} \\
\left.\left[d, d^{\prime}\right] \quad \text { (is the product in } D\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{g}, a, a^{\prime} \in A, d, d^{\prime} \in D$, where

- $\langle\rangle:, A \times A \rightarrow D,\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear, even and superskew-symmetric,
- $\left[d,\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle\right]=\left\langle d a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle+(-1)^{\bar{d} \bar{a}}\left\langle a, d a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ holds for $d \in D$ and $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. In particular, $\langle A, A\rangle$ is an ideal of $D$.
- $\Phi: D \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(A), d \mapsto \Phi(d)$ where $\Phi(d): a \rightarrow$ da is a representation with $\langle A, A\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \Phi$.
- $0=\sum_{\circlearrowleft}(-1)^{\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}}\left\langle a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle=0$ for any $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$.

Conversely, the conditions above are sufficient to guarantee that a superspace $L=$ $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \oplus D$ satisfying $(a)-(c)$ is a Lie superalgebra.

Proof When a Lie superalgebra $L$ is a direct sum of copies of adjoint modules and trivial modules for $\mathfrak{g}$ (allowing for changes in their parity), then after collecting isomorphic summands, we may assume there are superspaces $A=A_{\overline{0}} \oplus A_{\overline{1}}$ and $D=D_{\overline{0}} \oplus D_{\overline{1}}$ so that $L=(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \oplus D$. Suppose such a superalgebra $L$ satisfies conditions (1), (2), (1) ${ }^{\prime}$, and (2) ${ }^{\prime}$. Notice first that $D$ is a subsuperalgebra of $L$, since it is the (super)centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fixing basis elements $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $A$ and choosing $a_{i}, a_{j}, a_{k}$ with $i, j, k \in I$, we see that the projection of the product $\left[\mathfrak{g} \otimes a_{i}, \mathfrak{g} \otimes a_{j}\right]$ onto $\mathfrak{g} \otimes a_{k}$ determines an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, which is spanned by the supercommutator on $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus, there exist scalars $\xi_{i, j}^{k}$ so that

$$
\left.\left[x \otimes a_{i}, y \otimes a_{j}\right]\right|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes A}=\sum_{k \in I} \xi_{i, j}^{k}[x, y] \otimes a_{k}=[x, y] \otimes\left(\sum_{k \in I} \xi_{i, j}^{k} a_{k}\right)
$$

Defining $A \times A \rightarrow A$ by $a_{i} \times a_{j} \mapsto \sum_{k \in I} \xi_{i, j}^{k} a_{k}$ and extending it bilinearly, we have a product on $A$. Necessarily this multiplication is supercommutative because the products on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $L$ are superanticommutative. By similar arguments (compare [BZ]), there exist bilinear pairings $A \times A \rightarrow D, a \times a^{\prime} \mapsto\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle \in D$, and $D \times A \rightarrow A$, $d \times a \mapsto d a \in A$, such that the multiplication in $L$ is as in (c).

Now the Jacobi superidentity $\mathcal{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\sum_{\circlearrowleft}(-1)^{\bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{3}}\left[\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right], z_{3}\right]=0$ (cyclic permutation of the homogeneous elements $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ ), when specialized with homogeneous elements $d_{1}, d_{2} \in D$ and $f \otimes a \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, and then with $d \in D$ and $f \otimes a$, $g \otimes a^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ will show that $\Phi(d) a=d a$ is a representation of $D$ as superderivations of $A$. We assume next that $f, g$ are taken to satisfy (i). Then for homogeneous elements $d \in D, a, a^{\prime} \in A$, the identity $\mathcal{J}\left(d, f \otimes a, g \otimes a^{\prime}\right)=0$ gives the condition $\left[d,\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle\right]=\left\langle d a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle+(-1)^{\bar{d} \bar{a}}\left\langle a, d a^{\prime}\right\rangle$. From $\mathcal{J}\left(f \otimes a, g \otimes a^{\prime}, h \otimes a^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$
with homogeneous $a, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in A$ and with $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$ as in assumption (ii), we determine that $\langle A, A\rangle$ is contained in the kernel of $\Phi$. Finally, $\mathcal{J}\left(f \otimes a_{1}, g \otimes a_{2}, h \otimes\right.$ $\left.a_{3}\right)=0$ for $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ homogeneous and $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$ as in assumption (iii) gives $0=\sum_{\circlearrowleft}(-1)^{\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}}\left\langle a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right) a_{1}=(-1)^{\bar{a}_{2}\left(\bar{a}_{3}+\bar{a}_{1}\right)}\left(a_{3} a_{1}\right) a_{2}$. By supercommutativity, this is the same as $\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right) a_{1}=a_{2}\left(a_{3} a_{1}\right)$, and hence the associativity of A follows.

The converse is a simple computation.

## 5 The Main Theorem

In order to apply Lemma 4.1 to the $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebras considered here, it has to be checked that both $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$ are one-dimensional, $\mathfrak{g}$ being a split simple classical Lie superalgebra of type $C(n)(n \geq 3), D(m, n)(m \geq$ $2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$. The existence of a nondegenerate even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ is central simple over $\mathbb{F}$ immediately imply the assertion for $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$.
Lemma 5.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a split simple classical Lie superalgebra of type $C(n)(n \geq 3)$, $D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$. Then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})=1$.

Proof Assume first that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $C(n)(n \geq 3)$ and consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation used in Section 2, $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathbb{F} c \oplus \mathrm{sp}_{2 r}$, where $c=E_{-1,-1}-E_{0,0}$ as in Section 3, which is central in $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, and $r=n-1$. The spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ are isomorphic, as $\mathrm{sp}_{2 r}$-modules, to the natural $2 r$-dimensional irreducible module for $\mathrm{sp}_{2 r}$, while $c$ acts as the identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and as minus the identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. Once the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{c} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and a system of simple roots are chosen as in (2.19) and (2.20), we may take a highest weight vector $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and a lowest weight vector $w \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (as $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-modules). Then $v \otimes w$ generates $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module (one gets easily that $\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes w$ is contained in the $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-module generated by $v \otimes w$, and hence that $\mathfrak{g} \otimes w$ is contained in the $\mathfrak{g}$ module generated by $v \otimes w$. But $\mathfrak{g} \otimes w$ generates $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module). Thus, any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is determined by $\varphi(v \otimes w)$, which belongs to $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{F} c \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ because $v \otimes w$ has weight 0 . In particular, $\varphi$ restricts to a $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-module homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {sp }_{2 r}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathrm{sp}_{2 r}\right)$ has dimension 1 (as $\mathrm{sp}_{2 r}$-modules, this is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{sp}_{2 r}}\left(V\left(\omega_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\omega_{1}\right), V\left(2 \omega_{1}\right)\right)$, where $\omega_{1}$ is the first fundamental dominant weight for $\left.\mathrm{sp}_{2 r}\right)$, it follows that there is $0 \neq h \in \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi(v \otimes w) \in \mathbb{F} \mathcal{c} \oplus \mathbb{F} h$ for any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \leq 2$. If this dimension were 2, there would exist a $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ with $\varphi(v \otimes w)=c$ and, therefore, $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right)=\mathbb{F} c$. Then, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes\left[x, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]\right) \subseteq \mathbb{F}[c, x]=\mathbb{F} x$. It is not difficult to find linearly independent elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ such that both $\left[x, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]$ and $\left[y, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]$ are not contained in $\operatorname{sp}_{2 r}$, and there is a nonzero $z \in\left[x, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right] \cap\left[y, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right] \cap \operatorname{sp}_{2 r}$. Then $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes\right.$ $z) \subseteq \mathbb{F} x \cap \mathbb{F} y=0$, which implies $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{sp}_{2 r}\right)=0$, since $\mathrm{sp}_{2 r}$ is simple and hence generated by $z$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-module. But then $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes c\right)=\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes\left[x, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]\right) \subseteq$ $\mathbb{F} x$, and also $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{F} y$. Therefore, $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is ad ${ }_{c}$-invariant, $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=0$ too. In the same way we prove that $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right)=0$.

Finally, $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(\left[\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right] \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right) \subseteq\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)\right]+\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)=0$ and also $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore $\varphi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, but $0 \neq \varphi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, a contradiction.

Assume now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\})$, $F(4)$, or $G(3)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has a Z-gradation [K1, Section 2] $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$. The spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ are irreducible contragredient $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$-modules as are $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} ; \mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathbb{F} \mathfrak{c} \oplus\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]$, where $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]$ is a semisimple Lie algebra; and $\left[c, x_{i}\right]=i x_{i}$ for any $x_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{i}, i= \pm 2, \pm 1,0$. As before we fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{F} \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and take a highest weight vector $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ and a lowest weight vector $w \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Then $v \otimes w$ generates $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, and any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is determined by $\varphi(v \otimes w)$, which belongs to $\mathfrak{h}$ (by $\operatorname{ad}_{c}$-invariance, $\varphi$ must respect the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation).

For types $D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3), \mathfrak{g}_{ \pm 2}$ is one-dimensional and annihilated by $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]$. Hence $\varphi(v \otimes w) \in \mathbb{F} c$ and, therefore, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is one-dimensional. For type $D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1),\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]=o_{2 m} \oplus \operatorname{sl}_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ are annihilated by $o_{2 m}$. The argument in [BE1, Proof of (3.5)] applies here to give the result.
Theorem 5.2 Assume $L$ is a $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to a root system $\Delta$ of type $C(n)(n \geq 3), D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$. Then there exist a unital supercommutative associative $\mathbb{F}$-superalgebra $A$ and an $\mathbb{F}$-superspace $D$ such that $L \cong(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \oplus D$. Multiplication in $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[f \otimes a, g \otimes a^{\prime}\right]=(-1)^{\bar{a} \bar{g}}\left([f, g] \otimes a a^{\prime}+\kappa(f, g)\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)} \\
{[d, L]=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{g}, a, a^{\prime} \in A, d \in D$, where $\kappa(f, g)$ is a fixed even nondegenerate supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\langle\rangle:, A \times A \rightarrow D$ is $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear and superskewsymmetric and satisfies the two-cocycle condition, $\sum_{\circlearrowleft}(-1)^{\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}}\left\langle a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle=0$.

Proof The results of Sections 2 and 3 show that every such $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra $L$ is a direct sum of adjoint and trivial modules. Most of the conclusions of the theorem will be immediate consequences of Lemma 4.1, once we verify that the hypotheses in $(1)^{\prime}$ and $(2)^{\prime}$ of that lemma are satisfied. The fact $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})=$ $1=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ comes from Lemma 5.1 and the paragraph preceding it. When $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is a reductive Lie algebra of rank at least 2 (which happens in all our cases), conditions (i)-(iii) of (2)' are always satisfied. Indeed, assume we have a root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ relative to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$. For (i) take $f$ in a root space (say of root $\alpha$ ) and $g$ in the root space corresponding to the root $-\alpha$; while for (ii) and (iii) choose $f, g$ as before. Let $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ be such that $\alpha(h)=0$ for (ii); and for (iii), take $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ with $\alpha(h) \neq 0$.

The only point left is the proof of the centrality of $D$. Condition (ii) of Definition 1.2 implies that $L_{0}=\sum_{\mu \in \Delta}\left[L_{\mu}, L_{-\mu}\right]$. This forces $D=\langle A \mid A\rangle$, which by Lemma 4.1 is contained in $\operatorname{ker} \Phi$. Therefore $D=\langle A \mid A\rangle$ is abelian and centralizes $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, hence it is central.

Recall that a central extension of a Lie superalgebra $L$ is a pair $(\tilde{L}, \pi)$ consisting of a Lie superalgebra $\tilde{L}$ and a surjective Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\pi: \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ (preserving the grading) whose kernel lies in the center of $\tilde{L}$. If $\tilde{L}$ is perfect ( $\tilde{L}=$ $[\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}])$, then $\tilde{L}$ is said to be a cover or covering of $L$. Any perfect Lie superalgebra $L$ has a unique (up to isomorphism) universal covering superalgebra ( $\hat{L}, \hat{\pi}$ ) which is also perfect, called the universal central extension of $L$. From Theorem 5.2 we can draw the conclusion that our $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebras are coverings:
Corollary 5.3 A $\Delta$-graded Lie superalgebra with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to a root system $\Delta$ of type $C(n)(n \geq 3), D(m, n)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1), D(2,1 ; \alpha)(\alpha \in$ $\mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,-1\}), F(4)$, or $G(3)$ is a covering of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, where $A$ is a unital supercommutative associative superalgebra.

Suppose now that $A$ is a unital supercommutative associative superalgebra. Set $\{A \mid A\}=(A \otimes A) / I$, where $I$ is the subspace spanned by the elements $a_{1} \otimes a_{2}+$ $(-1)^{\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{2}} a_{2} \otimes a_{1}$ and $\sum_{\circlearrowleft}(-1)^{\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}} a_{1} a_{2} \otimes a_{3}\left(a_{i} \in A_{\overline{0}} \cup A_{\overline{1}}, i=1,2,3\right)$. As a shorthand we write $\left\{a \mid a^{\prime}\right\}=a \otimes a^{\prime}+I$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.2 that the universal central extension of the Lie superalgebra $L=\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}=(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \oplus\{A \mid A\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\{A \mid A\}$ central and with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f \otimes a, g \otimes a^{\prime}\right]=(-1)^{\bar{a} \bar{g}}\left([f, g] \otimes a a^{\prime}+\kappa(f, g)\left\{a \mid a^{\prime}\right\}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. In the special case that $A$ is a commutative associative algebra, this result appears in [IK].
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