Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 18 (3), 1975

## ON THE DERIVATIVES OF THE Γ-FUNCTION

## by Z. A. MELZAK

1. The coefficients of the two series

(1)  

$$\Gamma(1+z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad |z| < 1,$$

$$1/\Gamma(1+z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad |z| < \infty,$$

are recursively given by Nielsen [1]:  $c_0 = g_0 = 1$  and

$$(n+1)c_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} s_{j+1} c_{n-j},$$
  
$$(n+1)g_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{j} s_{j+1} g_{n-j},$$

where  $s_1$  is the Euler constant  $\gamma$  and for n > 1  $s_n = \zeta(n)$ . We have then from (1):  $c_n = (n!)^{-1} \Gamma^{(n)}(1)$ . Nielsen (loc. cit., p. 40) remarks that no simple direct representation of the coefficients  $c_n$  is known. Using the Faa di Bruno formula for the *n*-th derivative of a compound function, he shows, following Schlömilch, that

$$c_n = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^k s_{r_i} / r_i$$

where the second summation is over all positive solutions of  $r_1 + \cdots + r_k = n$  and the  $s_1$  are as above. In this note we use a completely self-contained elementary method to calculate the coefficients  $c_n$  or, what amounts to the same thing, the derivatives of the  $\Gamma$ -function. We show that

(2)  

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = 2 \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ (-1)^n \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{k/2} (2j)! \zeta(2j) (1-2^{1-2j}) {k \choose 2j} \cdot \frac{d^n (\log^{k-2j} a/a)}{da^n} \Big|_{n=a} \right].$$

2. Starting with the Euler integral

$$\Gamma(1+x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^x \, dt$$

we have by differentiating k times and putting x=0

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \log^k t \, dt.$$
439

Z. A. MELZAK

440

To evaluate this we begin by setting

(3) 
$$I_k(a) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\log^k x}{(a+x)^2} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The reason for this indirection is following. Once  $I_k(a)$  is known we have, differentiating *n* times with respect to *a* and setting a=n,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+x)^{n+2}} \log^k x \, dx = (-1)^n \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+1)!} \frac{d^n I_k(a)}{da^n} \bigg|_{a=n}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+x)^{n+2}} = e^{-x},$$

justifying the passage to the limit on n under the integral above we find

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} \log^k x \, dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ (-1)^n \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+1)!} \frac{d^n I_k(a)}{da^n} \Big|_{a=n} \right].$$

3. To evaluate  $I_k(a)$  we put x = ay in (3) getting

(4) 
$$I_k(a) = a^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} b_j \log^{k-j} a$$

where

(5) 
$$b_{j} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\log^{j} y}{(1+y)^{2}} \, dy.$$

The integral (5) is evaluated by being broken up into two parts corresponding to the integrals 0-1 and  $1-\infty$ ; letting y=1/u in the first one we get

(6) 
$$b_j = [1+(-1)^j] \int_1^\infty \frac{\log^j y}{(1+y)^2} dy$$

This is evaluated by the substitution  $x = \exp(y)$  and observing that

$$(1+e^x)^{-2}e^x = (1+e^{-x})^{-2}e^{-x};$$

expanding in series and integrating term by term we have

(7)  $b_j = 0$  for j odd,  $b_j = 2(j!)(1-2^{1-j})\zeta(j)$  for j even. This holds for all j including j=0 as can be verified, either from (6) directly or recalling that  $\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Now, putting together (3)-(7) we get (2).

4. It may be verified that for the first few values of k (2) gives us the correct  $c'_k s$  in (1). For instance, with k=1

$$\Gamma'(1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ (-1)^n \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+1)!} \frac{d^n (\log a/a)}{d^n a} \Big|_{a=n} \right].$$

The *n*-th derivative of  $a^{-1} \log a$  is computed by the Leibniz rule and we get

$$\Gamma'(1) = -\lim \frac{n}{(n+1)} \left( \log n - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) = \gamma.$$

[August

Similarly, we verify that

1975]

$$\Gamma''(1) = \gamma^2 + \pi^2/6, \qquad \Gamma'''(1) = -\gamma^3 - 2\zeta(3) + \pi^2\gamma/6, \text{ etc.}$$

Here it is to be observed that the quantity  $\zeta(3)$  does not arise from the  $b_j$  of (7) but from the limit of the *n*-th derivative of  $a^{-1} \log^3 a$ .

5. The foregoing allows us to evaluate some improper integrals. For instance integrating (3) with respect to a from p to q we evaluate

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log^k x}{(x+p)(x+q)} \, dx, \, p \neq q, \, p \text{ and } q > 0.$$

In conclusion, we mention the well-known theorem of Hoelder which states that the  $\Gamma$ -function satisfies no polynomial differential equation of the type

$$P(x, y, y', \ldots, y^{(n)}) = 0.$$

Thus any formulas, recursive or otherwise, for its n-th derivative are apt to be complicated.

## Reference

1. N. Nielsen, Handbuch der Theorie der Gammafunktion, Chelsea, 1965.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

441