
issue nine • spring 2005



The Royal Institute of Philosophy

Think

Contributions and communications should be sent to:

Dr Stephen Law (editor)

Think

Heythrop College

University of London

Kensington Square

London W8 5HQ

Email:think@royalinstitutephilosophy.org.

Guidelines for contributors

The editor welcomes accessible contributions from philosophers and other thinkers on any topic broadly related either to philosophy or to the development of thinking skills. It is anticipated that most contributors will be academics.

Contributions should be below 3K words (unless otherwise agreed with the editor). Very short pieces are welcome.

Think welcomes submissions which are clear and to the point and in the straightforward prose characteristic of the best philosophy. At the same time, the editor would also like to encourage the use of imaginative and unusual ways of making ideas engaging and accessible, e.g. through the use of dialogue, humour, illustrations (black and white: line and photos), examples taken from the media, etc. Papers engaging with some topical debate are especially welcome.

Contributors should presuppose no philosophical background knowledge on the part of the reader. The use of jargon and logical notation, especially where unexplained, should be avoided.

While the presentation of original thought is very much encouraged, a submission need not go beyond providing an engaging and accessible introduction to a particular philosophical issue or line of argument. Authors are asked to include within their submissions clear and fairly thorough introductions to any debates to which they wish to make a contribution.

The Royal Institute of Philosophy retains the option of reprinting published pieces in later collections and in electronic form. Authors may republish pieces with the Institute's permission (the requirement being that *Think* be credited with first publication). Authors are automatically free to republish in any collection of their own work. Work should only be submitted if the author is willing to be bound by the conditions set out in this paragraph and is in a position to assign to The Royal Institute of Philosophy the appropriate permission.

The editor has the assistance of a panel of referees drawn from the Institute's Council.

Please include with your contribution a brief statement of your position and institution (where relevant).

Think

philosophy for everyone

issue nine • spring 2005

A periodical of The Royal Institute of Philosophy

ISSN: 1477-1756

Think spring 2005 • 3

Contents

Editorial	5
Should philosophy replace religious education? Brenda Watson	7
The God of Eth Stephen Law	13
Civil rights and the abortion debate Jennifer McErlean	27
The most beautiful girl in the world Camilla Kronqvist	33
Reflecting on our 'yuk!' Daniel K Sokol	39
The metaphysics and epistemology of time travel Heather Dyke	43
Mr Turkey and Humpty Dumpty Peter Cave	53
Thinking tools: The relativist fallacy Stephen Law	57
Paradoxes: Heraclitus' paradox Michael Clark	59
Should philosophers 'apply ethics'? Gerald Gaus	63
'I should rather be a man of paradoxes' Timothy O'Hagan	69
The transmigration of souls: a multicultural dialogue Berel Dov Lerner	: <i>77</i>
Why liberty is necessary for morality Tibor Machan	87
The psychology of French bashing Jeff Mitchell	91
Elusive pursuits: a brief history of happiness Ziyad Marar	101
Chalkface	110