Cooking Matters Mobile Application: a meal planning and preparation tool for low-income parents
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Abstract

Objective: To describe low-income parents’ and caregivers’ perceptions of the Cooking Matters Mobile Application (CM App) meal planning and preparation features.

Design: Explanatory mixed-methods design where data were gathered via online surveys based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour and the Theory of Reasoned Action, followed by telephone interviews.

Setting: CM App, a mobile phone-based resource geared towards low-income parents and caregivers of young children (pregnancy/infant to age 5 years) for meal planning and preparation, with features based on skills taught in the Cooking Matters course: recipes, shopping list and meal planning.

Participants: Low-income parents and caregivers (survey participants, n = 461; interview participants, n = 20) who had downloaded the CM App to their smartphone and agreed to participate in the current evaluation.

Results: Attitudes and self-efficacy related to CM App’s subject matter and functions (meal planning; recipe use; creating and using a shopping list) were measured via surveys and interviews. Mean (SD) responses were positive towards ‘meal planning’ and ‘shopping and cooking’ (4.17 (0.63) and 3.49 (0.86) on a 5-point Likert scale, respectively). Interviewees described meal planning and preparation behaviours as intrinsic, based on habit, and influenced by family preference and food costs. Early adopters of the CM App may already be engaged in and/or are motivated to engage in the targeted health behaviours.

Conclusions: Users may benefit most from incorporating into their routines new ways to prepare easy, cost-efficient, healthy meals at home that their families will enjoy.
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Poor dietary behaviours contribute to an increased risk for obesity, which is a significant public health concern in the USA among adults and children living in low-income households. Research has demonstrated a relationship between parent and child dietary behaviours1,2, which are potentially modifiable via home food behaviours and environment3–5. Specifically, frequent and skilful food preparation has been shown to be associated with healthier dietary intake, such as increased fruit and vegetable intake among young adults6, adolescents7 and low-income women8. Additionally, time spent preparing food is inversely related to BMI among racially and ethnically diverse samples of women9,10. Energy consumed from food prepared outside the home has increased in recent decades (18% in 1977/1978 to 30% in 2009/2010)11, while consumption of food from the home supply, as well as time spent preparing food, has decreased12. Healthier food preparation in the home may support existing healthful dietary patterns among adults and their children and may eventually shift trends towards more frequent consumption of healthier foods prepared at home.

Share Our Strength’s Cooking Matters campaign empowers caregivers with essential food skills to improve the health and well-being of their families and young children13,14. Cooking Matters, much like other cooking or food preparation-based interventions, is modelled based on the Social Cognitive Theory15, primarily focusing on observed learning and mastery experiences via classes or demonstrations in community or clinical settings13,16. Constructs addressed via Cooking Matters and other cooking and food preparation-based interventions often include knowledge and skills, attitudes and self-efficacy related to food preparation13,16.
which may be supported by behaviours such as planning meals before going grocery shopping, creating and following a shopping list, and utilizing recipes. Participants of the Cooking Matters for Adults course have reported improved dietary behaviours (e.g. more fruit intake), food-resource management and self-efficacy with regard to food preparation and cooking. While effective, the in-person courses, which are designed to be two hours per week for six weeks, may limit reach to only families who are inclined or able to attend, prompting the exploration into new methods for reaching low-income parents and caregivers of young children.

In June 2017, Share Our Strength, in partnership with Savvy Apps, launched the Cooking Matters Mobile Application (CM App), a mobile phone-based resource geared towards low-income parents and caregivers of young children (pregnancy/infant to age 5 years) for meal planning and preparation, with features based on skills taught in the Cooking Matters course: recipes, shopping list and meal planning. Since over 77% of Americans own a smartphone and 64% of smartphone users live in households earning less than $US 30 000 per year, there is potential for broad reach of mobile health (mHealth) technology, which includes using mobile and wireless devices to improve health outcomes among lower-income populations. Emerging research has suggested that mobile apps may be an effective approach to supporting healthier food purchasing behaviours, healthier food preparation methods, and healthier recipe management and meal planning behaviours, but that there is a need to engage mobile app users and nutrition professionals in the design.

The goal of the CM App was to better reach parents of young children with tools that enable planning, shopping for and cooking healthy meals on a budget. While the use of mHealth may facilitate modest improvements that encourage behaviour change, it was unknown how a meal planning and preparation-based mobile application would be utilized and perceived by low-income families with young children. The purpose of the present study was to understand confidence and attitudes regarding meal planning and preparation, as well as meal planning and preparation behaviours, of low-income parents and caregivers who downloaded the CM App to their mobile phone. Further, the study aimed to explore their perceptions of the CM App’s meal planning and preparation features. Findings from the study were used to make recommendations for the next iteration of the CM App and apps of this nature.

Methods

Study design

An explanatory mixed-methods approach was utilized in which quantitative data were first gathered via online surveys administered to an audience of CM App users who were also parents and guardians to assess potential psychosocial correlates (e.g. instrumental attitude, experiential attitude, perceived control and self-efficacy) of recipe use, shopping list development and meal planning. Qualitative data were then collected via semi-structured interviews administered to a sub-sample of survey respondents who were also members of the intended audience (i.e. low-income parents and caregivers of young children) to supplement quantitative findings. Survey participants were invited to complete a follow-up survey approximately one month after completing the first survey, but despite numerous recruitment attempts, the sample size was low and therefore the post-test findings are not included in the current paper. Study activities were approved by the University of Nebraska Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Surveys

Participants and recruitment

In June 2017, the CM App was available for download to the public via the Google Play Store on Android devices. In order to ensure that the intended audience was aware of the CM App, direct advertisement took place at state and local levels of federal food assistance programme offices, such as the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). The app was also promoted to attendees of a national Head Start conference that took place in September 2017. From June to October 2017, CM App users were recruited to participate in the web-based survey via a promotional banner in the app that read, ‘Parents! Tap here to earn $10 for completing a quick survey’. The promotional banner was shown only after the initial download, thus prior to use of the app. If they tapped the banner prior to using the app, users were asked two screener questions: the number of child(ren) between the ages of 0 and 5 years who lived in their household and the number of children between the ages of 6 and 18 years who lived in their household. If the participant answered ‘0’ to both questions, s/he was automatically disqualified from the survey. Five hundred and fifty-four users completed the screener and ninety-three were excluded due to responding ‘0’ to both screener questions or taking the survey more than once, resulting in a final analytical sample of 461.

Survey instrument

Survey items were based on integrated constructs from the Theory of Planned Behaviour and the Theory of Reasoned Action to assess potential correlates (e.g. instrumental attitude, experiential attitude, perceived control and self-efficacy) of CM App’s subject matter and features: cooking, recipe use, shopping list development and meal planning. These theories posit that constructs and other factors influence intention to perform a behaviour, as well as the behaviour itself, and therefore focus on the potential for incremental behaviour change, which may be more
appropriate for evaluating a mobile app than actual change to dietary intake and patterns of intake\textsuperscript{23}.

An initial list of validated and new items that addressed several theoretical constructs from the Theory of Planned Behaviour and the Theory of Reasoned Action, as well as perceived barriers, was compiled by the research team, who then worked with Share Our Strength and Savvy Apps to narrow down the items to those of highest interest to the study (i.e. instrumental attitude, experiential attitude and self-efficacy). Instrumental attitude is the belief about outcomes of a behavioural performance (e.g. ‘Using a shopping list would help me stay on budget to buy enough groceries’), experiential attitude is the emotional response to the idea of performing a behaviour (e.g. ‘Cooking meals at home takes too much time’) and self-efficacy is the confidence in the ability to perform the behaviour in the face of barriers (e.g. ‘I am confident that I can cook a well-balanced meal at home most evenings during the week’). The survey was then tested in the field at a local Cooking Matters for Adults class and minor adjustments for clarity and verbiage were made.

The final version of the survey was administered to users via Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT, USA) and was intended to take about 5 min to complete. Including the two screener questions, the final survey was twenty items in total. Survey participants received a $US 10 e-gift card emailed to them by Share Our Strength. Twelve items were newly developed or modified from existing survey items\textsuperscript{14,26–28} and asked about: types of meals eaten for dinner during a typical week (two items); grocery shopping (two items); planning meals (four items); using a shopping list (one item); and cooking meals (three items). For items that asked about types of meals eaten for dinner during a typical week (e.g. ‘Thinking about a typical week (including the weekend), about how many times does your family eat a home-prepared meal for dinner?’), response options ranged from 0 to 7 times/week. For items that asked about grocery shopping (e.g. ‘How often do you plan meals before you go grocery shopping?’), Likert-scale response options ranged from ‘never’ to ‘always’. For all other items (e.g. ‘Planning meals before I go grocery shopping takes too much time’), responses included Likert-scale options ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree’. Four sociodemographic questions including participation in federal and (WIC; Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)) non-federal food assistance programmes (e.g. food pantry), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic White; non-Hispanic Black or African American; Hispanic/Latino(a); Multiracial/Other races/ethnicities), education (< high school or General Education Development (GED) diploma; > high school or GED diploma) and annual income (< $US 25 000; $US 25 000).

Survey data analyses
Statistical analysis was conducted using the statistical software package SAS for Windows version 9.4. Frequencies and percentages were reported for survey characteristics. Medians and ranges were reported for frequencies of home-prepared meals and frozen or boxed meals because data were not normally distributed. Exploratory factor analysis was conducted on ten items (the two items addressing types of meals eaten for dinner during a typical week were excluded because they asked about frequency and not about potential psychosocial correlates) using SAS PROC FACTOR, with eigenvalues > 1 considered for scales. Two scales emerged from the ten survey items that asked about correlates of dietary behaviours related to CM App’s subject matter and functions. The first scale was described as the ‘perceptions of meal planning’ scale (five items; see Table 2 for survey items) and the second scale was described as the ‘perceptions of shopping and cooking’ scale (four items; see Table 3 for survey items). One item was not statistically associated with either scale and therefore was a standalone item: ‘Cooking meals at home doesn’t take too much time’. At the scale level, means and SD of observed summed scores were calculated. Internal consistency was measured using Cronbach’s $\alpha$. Means and SD were computed at the item level.

Interviews
Participants and recruitment
While the CM App was available to the general public, it was designed for an audience of low-income parents and caregivers of young children (pregnancy/infant to age 5 years). In order to better understand this intended audience, survey participants were eligible to be interviewed if they indicated they were a parent of a child between the ages of 0 and 5 years and a participant in at least one federal food assistance programme. Those eligible were recruited to participate via prompting at the end of the survey or direct emails. Trained interviewers conducted interviews over the telephone until themes were saturated. Of the forty-six individuals invited to participate, three declined to participate, sixteen did not respond to interview requests, seven did not respond to multiple interview attempts, and twenty completed an interview (eighteen females, two males). Interviewees ranged in their reported use of the CM App, from those who were no longer using the app at the time of the interview to those who said they used it multiple times per week. Interviewees were emailed $US 20 e-gift cards for participating.

Interview guide
A semi-structured interview guide was developed with input from Share Our Strength and Savvy Apps, to supplement findings from the survey, in particular: (i) mealtimes routines and habits; (ii) meal planning behaviours and attitudes; (iii) grocery shopping behaviours and attitudes; and (iv) perceptions of and experiences with the CM App features and functions. Interview guide questions were
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tailored to the interviewee based on whether analytical
data showed s/he opened the CM App after the initial
download or not. Initially, interviews were intended to last
60 min, but were later shortened to 30 min due to recruit-
m ent difficulties.

Interview data analyses
Interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim and
analysed using the qualitative data analysis software NVivo
version 11 (QRS International Pty Ltd, 2017). Two members
of the research team independently reviewed transcripts
and developed an initial list of codes using a Grounded
Theory approach, where theory is generated as data are
collected29. The list of codes was then reviewed and dis-
crepancies were discussed further until consensus was
reached, resulting in a final list (available upon request).
To ensure inter-coder reliability, a constant
comparison methodology was employed whereby two coders used
the initial code list to independently review ten transcripts
each29. Codes were grouped into conceptual themes through frequency of coding within similar context across
interviews.

Results

Surveys
Four hundred and sixty-one participants met eligibility cri-
eria and were included in the analytical sample.
Approximately 70 % of the participants had at least one
child aged 0–5 years and 70 % had at least one child aged
6-18 years (Table 1). A majority (59 %) reported an annual
income of less than US$ 25 000. Almost half (43 %) of the
participants reported participating in WIC and 62 %
participated in SNAP. Forty per cent identified as non-
Hispanic White, 33 % as Hispanic/Latino(a) and 18 % as
non-Hispanic Black or African American.

Participants indicated their families ate home-prepared
meals a median of 5 times/week and ate frozen or boxed
meals a median of 2 times/week (out of a maximum of 7
times/week). The ‘perceptions of meal planning’ scale
resulted in a mean score of 3.49 (SD 0.86) out of a maximum
of 5 with strong internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = 0.79;
Table 2). The ‘perceptions of shopping and cooking’ scale
resulted in a mean score of 4.17 (SD 0.63) out of a maximum
of 5 with moderate internal consistency (Cronbach’s
α = 0.72; Table 3). The item that did not load in either scale,
‘Cooking meals at home doesn’t take too much time’, had a
mean of 3.74 (SD 1.05).

Interviews
Six salient themes emerged from the twenty interviews and
included: (i) barriers to meal planning; (ii) occasional cre-
ation and use of a simple shopping list; (iii) multiple
influences on grocery shopping habits; (iv) recipes used
as guidelines or inspiration; (v) influences on meal prepa-
ration behaviours; and (vi) recipe feature of CM App.
Barriers to meal planning
Most interviewees stated that they did not plan specific, daily meals prior to grocery shopping due to lack of time, inability to stick to the meal plan, plans changing and simply because they chose to not plan meals. One interviewee stated:

‘I think for me that it’s a waste of time to just spend two hours planning meals if when I’m going to get to the store, I will see something different and I will want something different than what I already planned.’

For the few who planned meals ahead of grocery shopping, it generally occurred the day of the meal or as a weekly routine.

Occasional creation and use of a simple shopping list
Most interviewees reported that they ‘sometimes’ created a shopping list. When creating shopping lists, simplicity was key, as a majority said that they preferred using pen and paper. Others described using electronic methods (e.g. phone, text message, other mobile applications) or a mix of methods, mostly whichever mode was most convenient at the moment. In determining what to put on the shopping list, interviewees explained that decisions were made mainly based on what ingredients they ran out of, sales and coupons, and a usual set of products they typically purchased. Reasons for not creating shopping lists included that they did not have enough time, did not stick to the list, only needed a few items, kept the list in their head, purchased ‘the usual’ or simply did not use grocery shopping lists.

Multiple influences on grocery shopping habits
Foods purchased at the grocery store were influenced by an amalgamation of factors: browsing the store’s selection, impulse purchases, child(ren)’s wants and especially store sales. Interviewees also described using a shopping list, as well as purchasing a basic set of items they needed and typically used. One stated:

‘We just go and try to remember what we eat and what we need, which is almost every time the same.’

Interviewees described making larger grocery shopping trips and augmenting with occasional smaller trips to stores for supplemental items (e.g. perishable products). Lastly, prices, products available, and distance from home, work or public transportation were important factors in where they shopped.

Recipes used as guidelines or inspiration
While many interviewees stated they did not regularly use recipes, most said that recipe use was dependent on the type of meal they cooked. Some used recipes to incorporate new meals into their routines or as a guideline or inspiration for a meal. One said:

‘I probably use them [recipes] about 75% of the time, at least as reference. I don’t always necessarily stay exactly with it.’

Interviewees preferred recipes with short meal preparation times, as well as ones that were simple and required few ingredients. Recipes were usually obtained from the Internet and mobile applications due to the availability and abundance; as one interviewee described, they appreciated being able to find recipes that used ingredients already on hand.

Influences on meal preparation behaviours
Meals and snacks prepared were often a result of what ingredients or items interviewees had on hand at home. Interviewees also explained that they had a set of ‘go-to’ meals they were comfortable with, as one person stated:

‘I made a list of everything I know how to cook, and normally I just pick from there.’

Interviewees also said the meals they chose to cook were influenced by their children’s food preferences. Interviewees mainly noted that they felt confident in their ability to cook well-balanced meals at home; however, they described that a tight budget and their children as picky eaters affected their ability to cook well-balanced meals. Many interviewees described wanting to make time for dinners and eating as a family, but work schedules, children’s activities and getting children to bed at a reasonable time were commonly cited as barriers to doing so. In instances when interviewees were short on time or they did not end up preparing the meal that they planned to prepare, they mostly described preparing simpler meals, eating leftovers or purchasing takeaway meals.

Recipe feature of CM App
For those who said they had been using the app since the initial download (compared with those who described themselves as having downloaded it, but not using it), the recipe feature was most often utilized. A common theme among interviewees was using the CM App’s recipe catalogue for inspiration, specifically for new and healthier ways to make meals they were already familiar with preparing. Interviewees mentioned that they appreciated that the recipes were aesthetically appealing and not overly time-consuming, as lack of time was identified as a prominent barrier to meal preparation. However, they identified that they wanted to see more recipes (e.g. quick meals, slow-cockpot, freezer-friendly) that could alleviate this barrier.

The meal planning and grocery list features were used to a lesser extent or not at all, either because interviewees did not know these tools were included in the CM App, or they had established habits in these areas or they did not have a desire to establish a new habit. Some interviewees identified mobile phone data usage as a barrier to using these features in locations (e.g. grocery store) where Wi-Fi was not available and recommended an offline feature. Lastly,
interviewees described that weekly meal planning recommendations and making the shopping list sharable across members of a household may increase their usage of these features.

Discussion

The present study aimed to understand behaviours, confidence, and attitudes regarding meal planning and preparation of low-income parents and caregivers who downloaded the CM App to their mobile phone. While many mobile applications exist with goals of changing nutrition and dietary behaviours, most have been developed with a lack of evidence-based features or lack of consumer appeal. The CM App was a collaborative process between Share Our Strength and Savvy Apps, who were also key partners in the evaluation, which contributed to a study where findings were applicable in a ‘real-world’ setting. Overall, findings suggested that survey participants may already be engaged in and/or are motivated to meal plan. Interestingly, interviewees generally did not consider themselves to be meal planners, but rather being adapt at having to fit meals into hectic day-to-day schedules. In survey participants and interviewees, meal planning, shopping list development and food purchasing may happen concurrently and intermittently, and behaviours appeared to be intrinsic, highly based on habit, and influenced by family preference and food costs.

The present study also aimed to explore interviewees’ perceptions of the CM App’s meal planning and preparation features in order to make recommendations for the next iteration of the CM App and apps of this nature. Early adopters of the CM App, much like those who use other apps of this nature, were already engaged in and/or motivated to engage in targeted health behaviours (i.e. cooking, recipe use, shopping list development, meal planning), which may be indicative of potential for incremental change to promote healthier dietary patterns, but not behaviour change. Thus, the CM App and apps of this nature should consider that when the audience is derived from the general population, users likely have high levels of confidence in and positive attitudes towards their current meal planning, grocery shopping and meal preparation habits. This suggests that the development of subject matter and functions should be tailorable to a variety of current behaviours and habits.

Meal preparation and cooking

As a whole, the proportion of time spent cooking has decreased from 1965 to 2007 across all income groups, but it has decreased the most among low-income people, which has not been compensated by increased eating out, suggesting a greater reliance upon foods requiring little preparation. Other studies have shown that low-income households exhibited a higher likelihood of either always or never cooking dinner at home (as opposed to sometimes cooking dinner at home), suggesting that low-income household are engaging in a response to other circumstances. As previously stated, more frequent and skillful food preparation, as well as cooking enjoyment, may be associated with healthier dietary intake. When looking at specific items that make up the ‘perceptions of shopping and cooking’ scale, respondents reported highly valuing cooking well-balanced meals at home, but being less confident in their ability to cook meals at home. Interestingly, interviewees said they often cooked simple meals that they knew their families like or relied on takeaway meals. Neither of these necessarily falls outside the realm of cooking well-balanced meals at home, as they can serve as components of cooking meals at home (e.g. paring a takeaway meal with a vegetable side-dish cooked at home). These responses aligned with previous literature, which has demonstrated variability among interpretations of what home cooking means to individuals, as well as differences among perceived time and effort necessary to cook a meal at home

Recipe and meal planning features

The recipe feature, which was described as inspirational for incorporating more fruits and vegetables into meals, was considered the favourite feature among users. The moderate perceptions of meal planning, indicated by the mean score of 3.49 on the ‘perceptions of meal planning’ scale, may give indication that the recipe feature fit well into users’ current habits, perhaps supporting healthier food preparation, rather than prompting making lifestyle changes. Planning meals ahead of time has been shown to be associated with increased intake of fruits and vegetables among women and also has the potential to contribute to food security in a household; however, the literature on this topic is limited with regard to what constitutes meal planning. In the present study, the recipe feature was well liked, but the meal planning feature of the CM App received relatively less favour or interest and interviewees did not consider themselves to be meal planners. Despite this self-assessment, they described engaging in meal planning-related skills, such as often cooking meals that they had confidence in making and knew that their families would eat, as well as having an adaptable framework in mind.
where they would swap specific meals or foods (e.g. type of meat or vegetable) while grocery shopping based on what is on sale. While CM App users may benefit from practical sessions to increase cooking self-efficacy on the topics of meal planning and other methods such as batch cooking and freezing to facilitate cooking from scratch\(^{(33)}\), they would need to be delivered via mobile application in a way that allows individuals to incorporate practices into their current habits and lifestyles, such as through short demonstration videos. More exploration is needed on meal planning practices across households in order to better define meal planning and learn ways that mobile applications may enhance a variety of current practices.

**Creating a shopping list and grocery shopping**

Creating a shopping list may support healthy dietary behaviours\(^{(6,17)}\), especially among high-risk adults\(^{(36)}\). Unexpectedly, despite the very positive perceptions of shopping and cooking (indicated by the scale mean score of 4\(^{17}\)), interviewees did not respond favourably to using a shopping list or the shopping list feature of the CM App. Interviewees indicated that they made food purchasing decisions based on what is cheapest, on sale and/or coupons, which may be especially prominent given that participants were sampled from a low-income population. Among those who reported creating shopping lists, they preferred using pen and paper and seemed unwilling to change their current habits. Studying grocery list habits may help determine if there are opportunities to support grocery list development and use in general, but also via the CM App or mobile applications of this nature.

**Study limitations**

These findings should be interpreted with a level of caution, as there are limitations to the present study. First, survey and interview respondents were comprised of a convenience sample of CM App users, suggesting findings may not be generalizable to other populations. Second, all data were self-reported and likely influenced by social desirability bias. For example, participants know meal planning, creating a shopping list and recipe usage are considered ‘good’ behaviours, so they may have rated themselves higher than they actually were at these tasks. Third, while the survey resulted in good reliability as assessed through internal consistency of scales, the present study did not allow for validity testing, so the extent to which the survey items measured what they intended to measure is unknown. Fourth, users who were recruited may have been highly motivated to use the CM App; wanting to collect an incentive; early adopters of this technology (meaning they may not represent the majority of the population)\(^{(37)}\), and/or willing and available to participate in the surveys and interviews. Also, this method did not allow for understanding the reach of the survey to the potential audience compared with the responding sample. Despite these limitations, there were also several strengths of the present study. Chiefly, not many mobile applications of this type have been evaluated, so lessons learned will be highly valuable to future iterations of the CM App, as well as potentially contributing to the development and study of other evidence-based dietary behaviour apps. The relatively large sample size for the survey allowed for psychometric testing of survey scales. Also, survey participants comprised a relatively large representation of the target population (62% of survey respondents participated in SNAP and all interviewees participated in at least one federal food assistance programme). Last, the mixed-methods approach to collect both quantitative and qualitative data allowed for elaboration on and interpretation of survey findings.

**Conclusions**

Early adopters of the CM App, much like those who use other apps of this nature, appear to be motivated to engage in the targeted health behaviours\(^{(23)}\) and may benefit from support for small changes, such as incorporating into their routines new ways to prepare easy, cost-efficient, healthy meals at home that that their families will enjoy. While aspects of the CM App were received well by the intended audience, the present study highlights considerations for improving reach, and potentially adoption, of the CM App. Primarily, it is recommended that apps of this nature be tailored to audiences of varying levels of confidence in and attitudes towards meal planning, grocery shopping-list use and recipe use, specifically aiming to incrementally enhance current habits and behaviours towards healthier habits and behaviours in order to have a broader reach.
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