Food-based diet quality score in relation to depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged Japanese women
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Abstract

Only a few studies have focused on the association between overall diet, rather than intakes of individual nutrients or foods, and depressive symptoms in Japanese. This cross-sectional study examined associations between a diet quality score and depressive symptoms in 3963 young (age 18 years) and 3833 middle-aged (mean age 47.9 (SD 4.2) years) Japanese women. Dietary information was collected using a diet history questionnaire. A previously developed diet quality score was computed mainly based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top. The prevalence of depressive symptoms was 22.0% for young women and 16.8% for middle-aged women, assessed as a Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) score ≥23 and ≥19, respectively. As expected, the diet quality score was associated positively with intakes of ‘grain dishes’, ‘vegetable dishes’, ‘fish and meat dishes’, ‘milk’ and ‘fruits’ and inversely with intakes of energy from ‘snacks, confection and beverages’ and Na from seasonings. After adjustment for potential confounders, OR for depressive symptoms in the highest vs. lowest quintiles of the diet quality score was 0.65 (95% CI 0.51, 0.84) in young women (P_05 < 0.0005). In middle-aged women, the corresponding value was 0.59 (95% CI 0.45, 0.78) (P_05 < 0.0001). Analyses where the diet quality and CES-D scores were treated as continuous variables also showed inverse associations. In conclusion, this cross-sectional study showed that a higher diet quality score was associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged Japanese women. Prospective studies are needed to confirm a public health relevance of this finding.

Key words: Depressive symptoms: Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top: Diet quality score: Japanese women: Cross-sectional design

Depression is a common mental disorder1). In Japan, the number of patients of depression has been increasing2, although a cross-national analysis based on data from selected eighteen countries has shown that Japan is among the lowest for the prevalence of major depressive episodes3). Emerging evidence suggests that intakes of specific nutrients (such as folate4,5) and long-chain n-3 PUFA6,7) and foods (such as fish8,9) and fruit and vegetable10,11) are associated with a lower risk of depressive symptoms. However, given that people eat foods and thus nutrients in combination, an inherent limitation of the study of single nutrients or foods in isolation is no consideration of complicated interactions and cumulative effects that individual dietary components involve12). Accordingly, focus has shifted in recent years towards overall diet and dietary patterns13-16).

Two main approaches are used to identify patterns of dietary intake. One is the a posteriori approach, which uses statistical techniques based on dietary intake reported by a population17. Another is the a priori approach, which uses diet quality scores or indices based on dietary guidelines17). Many Western studies have investigated associations between overall diet and depressive symptoms using either of the approaches. Generally, a healthy dietary pattern, characterised by higher intakes of fruit, vegetables, fish and whole grains, has been associated with a lower risk of depressive symptoms18-24).

The associations of dietary intake with depressive symptoms observed in Western populations may not be applicable to Japanese people, given differences in dietary habits. The diets consumed by Japanese are typically characterised by high intakes of rice, soya products, fish, seaweeds and green tea and low intakes of animal fat and soft drinks25). Actually, previous Japanese studies have shown inverse associations of intakes of fish26, seaweed27, soya products28 and green tea29 with
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the prevalence of depressive symptoms. To our knowledge, however, only a few studies have focused on the association between dietary patterns and depressive symptoms in Japanese(30–32). Furthermore, the findings from these studies are not readily applicable to, for example, the development of dietary recommendations or public health messages because of the use of data-driven approach for dietary patterns.

We previously developed a diet quality score mainly based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top, using intakes of ‘grain dishes’, ‘vegetable dishes’, ‘fish and meat dishes’, ‘milk’, ‘fruits’, ‘snacks, confection and beverages’ and seasonings(33). Although depressive symptoms were not specifically considered in its development, the diet quality score was associated with nutrient intake patterns possibly favourable for the prevention of depressive symptoms(33), including lower intakes of total and saturated fats(8) and higher intakes of dietary fibres(34), Ca(35), Mg(36,37), Fe(38), folate(43) and vitamin C(39). Therefore, the aim of this cross-sectional study in young and middle-aged Japanese women was to examine the hypothesis that a higher diet quality score is associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms.

**Methods**

**Survey design and analytic sample**

This cross-sectional study was based on data obtained from the Three-generation Study of Women on Diets and Health, details of which have been described elsewhere(33,40). In brief, a total of 7016 Japanese dietetic students from eighty-five higher education institutions in thirty-five (of forty-seven) prefectures in Japan were asked to answer two questionnaires on dietary habits and other lifestyle factors during the orientation session or first lecture developed diet quality score was computed. The calculation method for the diet quality score has been described in detail(43). In brief, the DHQ is a structured, self-administered questionnaire which asks about the consumption frequency and portion size of selected foods commonly consumed in Japan, as well as general dietary behaviour and usual cooking methods. Estimates of daily intake of foods (151 items in total), energy and selected nutrients were calculated using an ad hoc computer algorithm for the DHQ based on the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan(44). To minimise the influence of dietary misreporting(45,46), energy-adjusted values of dietary intake based on the density method were used (i.e. percentage of energy for energy-providing nutrients and amount per 4184 kJ of energy for foods and other nutrients). The validity of the DHQ was examined in ninety-two women aged 31–69 years using a 16-d weighed dietary record as reference(41,42). In brief, the median of Spearman’s correlation coefficients for food groups was 0.43 (range: 0.09–0.77), and the median of Pearson’s correlation coefficients for nutrients was 0.57 (range: 0.27–0.87).

**Calculation of diet quality score**

Using dietary information derived from the DHQ, a previously developed diet quality score was computed. The calculation method for the diet quality score has been described in detail elsewhere(33) and in the online Supplementary Table S1. In brief, the diet quality score (which is described as ‘the modified score’ in the previous publication(33)) is based on six components for nutrients was 0.43 (range: 0.09–0.77), and the median of Pearson’s correlation coefficients for nutrients was 0.57 (range: 0.27–0.87).

Using dietary information derived from the DHQ, a previously developed diet quality score was computed. The calculation method for the diet quality score has been described in detail elsewhere(33) and in the online Supplementary Table S1. In brief, the diet quality score (which is described as ‘the modified score’ in the previous publication(33)) is based on six components for nutrients was 0.43 (range: 0.09–0.77), and the median of Pearson’s correlation coefficients for nutrients was 0.57 (range: 0.27–0.87).
young women and 3108 mg/7531 kJ for middle-aged women) for Na from seasonings. For a subject who fell short of or exceeded the recommended value, the score was calculated proportionately between 0 and 10 (the scoring system is shown in the online Supplementary Table S1). The seven scores were then summed to provide the diet quality score, which ranged from 0 to 70.

Assessment of depressive symptoms

Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Japanese version\(^{(47)}\) of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale\(^{(48)}\). This scale is composed of twenty items addressing six symptoms of depression, including depressed mood, guilt or worthlessness, helplessness or hopelessness, psychomotor retardation, loss of appetite and sleep disturbance experienced during the preceding week. Each item was scored on a scale of 0 to 6. The criterion validity of the CES-D scale has been well established in Western\(^{(48)}\) and Japanese\(^{(47)}\) subjects. Because of a previously demonstrated over-rating of symptoms by the Japanese\(^{(49,50)}\), particularly younger people\(^{(51,52)}\), prevalent cases of depressive symptoms were defined as having a CES-D score of ≥23\(^{(49)}\) for young women and ≥19\(^{(49)}\) for middle-aged women, rather than a widely used cutoff point of ≥16\(^{(47,48)}\). The use of the cutoff points ≥16 for both age groups, ≥19 for young women and ≥23 for middle-aged women did not change the conclusion on the association between the diet quality score and depressive symptoms (data not shown).

Assessment of other variables

Age at the time of the study was computed based on birth date. BMI was computed as self-reported body weight (kg) divided by the square of self-reported body height (m). Information on current smoking and use of any type of prescribed medicine (both yes or no) was also used. Physical activity was computed as the total metabolic equivalent-hours score per d on the basis of the frequency and duration of seven activities (walking, bicycling, standing, running, high-intensity activities, sleeping and sedentary activity) over the preceding month\(^{(53)}\). For middle-aged women only, education level (low (≤12 years), middle (13–15 years) or high (≥16 years)), occupation (housewife, part-time job or full-time job), and marital status (married or unmarried) were considered. Living alone (yes or no) was considered for young women, but not for middle-aged women because almost all lived with family. Self-reported level of stress was classified as very low, low, normal, high or very high. Dietary reporting status was evaluated on the basis of the ratio of reported energy intake (EI:BMR) (Goldberg’s cut-off\(^{(54)}\)). A detailed description of the procedure has been published elsewhere\(^{(55)}\). In brief, BMR was estimated according to an equation specifically developed for Japanese women\(^{(55,56)}\). Subjects were identified as plausible, under- and over-reporters of EI according to whether the individual’s ratio was within, below or above the 95 % confidence limits for agreement between EI:BMR and a physical activity level for sedentary lifestyle (i.e. 1·55)\(^{(54)}\). As a result, under-reporters, plausible reporters and over-reporters were defined as having an EI:BMR < 1·09, 1·09–2·21 and > 2·21, respectively.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9·4 (SAS Institute Inc.). All reported \(P\) values are two-tailed, and \(P<0·05\) was regarded as statistically significant. We decided a priori to conduct analyses for young and middle-aged women separately, mainly because of the differences in potential confounding factors that should be considered. We did not conduct any formal tests for interaction across age groups. Descriptive data are shown as means and standard deviations for continuous variables and numbers and percentages of subjects for categorical variables. Differences in characteristics between subjects with and without depressive symptoms were evaluated using an independent \(t\) test (for continuous variables) and the \(\chi^2\) test (for categorical variables). The diet quality score was categorised at quintile points on the basis of the distribution of young and middle-aged women. Associations between selected variables and diet quality score (in quintiles) were examined by a linear trend test (for continuous variables) and a Mantel–Haenszel \(\chi^2\) test (for categorical variables).

Crude and multivariate adjusted OR and 95 % CI for depressive symptoms for each quintile category of the diet quality score were calculated using logistic regression. The lowest quintile category of diet quality score was used as a reference category. Potential confounding factors considered were BMI, current smoking, medication use, self-reported level of stress, dietary reporting status, physical activity and EI. Adjustment for EI was due to a significant difference in EI between subjects with and without depressive symptoms (as described in Table 1), despite the use of energy-adjusted values for the calculation of the diet quality score. Further adjustment was made for living status in young women and age, education, occupation and marital status in middle-aged women. Further adjustment for the presence of child’s depressive symptoms in the analysis of middle-aged women and that of mother’s depressive symptoms in the analysis of young women did not change the results materially (data not shown). We tested for linear trends with increasing levels of diet quality score by assigning each subject the median value for the category and modelling this value as a continuous variable. These analyses were repeated after excluding under- and over-reporters of EI.

Results

All of the young women were 18 years old while mean age of the middle-aged women was 47·9 (SD 4·2) years. The prevalence of depressive symptoms was 22·0 % for young women and 16·8 % for middle-aged women. Young and middle-aged women with depressive symptoms were more likely to use medication and have higher levels of stress and had a higher mean value of EI and a lower mean value of the diet quality score (Table 1). In addition, middle-aged women with
depressive symptoms were more likely to be younger, have lower education and be unmarried (data not shown).

As expected, the diet quality score was associated positively with intakes of ‘grain dishes’, ‘vegetable dishes’, ‘fish and meat dishes’, ‘milk’ and ‘fruits’ and inversely with intakes of energy from ‘snacks, confection and beverages’ and Na from seasonings in both age groups (Table 2). For food group level, a higher diet quality score was characterised particularly by higher intakes of dairy products, fruit, seaweed, soya products and vegetables and lower intakes of confectioneries, sugar and soft drinks (online Supplementary Table S2). For nutrient intakes, the diet quality score was associated inversely with total and saturated fats and positively with EPA + DHA, dietary fibre and micronutrients examined (online Supplementary Table S3). There were significant associations between the diet quality score and most of the potential confounding factors considered in both young and middle-aged women (online Supplementary Tables S4 and S5, respectively).

Table 3 shows the association between the diet quality score and depressive symptoms. In young women, with adjustment for potential confounding factors (i.e. BMI, current smoking, medication use, self-reported level of stress, dietary reporting status, physical activity, EI and living alone), a higher diet quality score was associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms. A similar inverse association was also observed in middle-aged women after adjustment for potential confounding factors (i.e. age, education, occupation, marital status and the variables listed above except for living alone). After excluding under- and over-reporters of EI (n 1001 for young women and n 728 for middle-aged women), the inverse associations did remain in both age groups. Further, the analysis where both the diet quality score and CES-D score were treated as continuous variables did not change the conclusion (online Supplementary Table S1 for more details).

### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine a diet quality score, mainly based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top, using intakes of ‘grain dishes’, ‘vegetable dishes’, ‘fish and meat dishes’, ‘milk’, ‘fruits’, energy from ‘snacks, confection and beverages’ and Na from seasonings, in relation to depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged women from a variety of regions in Japan. We found that a higher diet quality score, characterised particularly by higher intakes of dairy products, fruit, seaweed, soya products, vegetables, EPA + DHA, dietary fibre, Ca, Mg, Fe, folate and vitamin C and lower intakes of confectioneries, sugar, soft
studies(18–24) in that a healthy dietary pattern is inversely associated with depressive symptoms. In this study, however, a higher diet quality score was characterised by higher intakes of, for example, seaweed and soya products, foods seldom consumed in Western countries. Thus, healthy diets are country-specific.

Our findings are generally consistent with previous Western studies(18–24) in that a healthy dietary pattern is inversely associated with depressive symptoms. In this study, however, a higher diet quality score was characterised by higher intakes of, for example, seaweed and soya products, foods seldom consumed in Western countries. Thus, healthy diets are country-specific.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the diet quality score in young and middle-aged Japanese women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Quintile 1</th>
<th>Quintile 2</th>
<th>Quintile 3</th>
<th>Quintile 4</th>
<th>Quintile 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Min–Max</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Min–Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median diet score</td>
<td>n = 3963</td>
<td>n = 792</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score range†</td>
<td>41–1</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>36–7</td>
<td>41–1</td>
<td>45–3</td>
<td>51–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5</td>
<td>84·1</td>
<td>84·1</td>
<td>84·1</td>
<td>84·1</td>
<td>84·1</td>
<td>84·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 1</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 2</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 3</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 4</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
<td>30–7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Associations between the diet quality score and depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged Japanese women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Only subjects reporting plausible energy intake†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crude model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median diet score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 1</td>
<td>n = 3963</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 2</td>
<td>n = 792</td>
<td>0·81 (0·65, 1·02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 3</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·63 (0·50, 0·79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 4</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·66 (0·52, 0·83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·60 (0·47, 0·76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median diet score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 1</td>
<td>n = 3963</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 2</td>
<td>n = 792</td>
<td>0·75 (0·59, 0·97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 3</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·61 (0·47, 0·79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 4</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·56 (0·43, 0·73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5</td>
<td>n = 793</td>
<td>0·54 (0·41, 0·70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref., reference.

* The diet quality score (0–70) was developed based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top with some modifications, and calculated using intakes of ‘grain dishes’, ‘vegetable dishes’, ‘fish and meat dishes’, ‘milk’, ‘fruits’, energy from ‘snacks, confection and beverages’ and Na from seasonings (see online Supplementary Table S1 for more details).
† A liner trend test was used with the median value in each quintile category of the diet quality score as a continuous variable in linear regression.
‡ Per 7531kJ of energy.
§ Logistic regression models were used with the median value in each quintile category of the diet quality score as a continuous variable in logistic regression.
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specific, and their health effects should be investigated in each country.

Only a few studies have examined associations between dietary patterns assessed by a data-driven statistical approach and depressive symptoms in Japanese. In a cross-sectional study in 309 men and 212 women aged 21–67 years, a healthy Japanese dietary pattern characterised by higher intakes of vegetables, fruit, mushrooms and soya products was associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms (52). Another cross-sectional study in 1792 men and 214 women aged 19–69 years showed that a dietary pattern characterised by higher intakes of folate, vitamin C, Mg, Ca, Fe and Zn was associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms (53). These findings are generally consistent with the present observation. Conversely, a prospective study showed no clear associations of a healthy pattern (characterised by higher intakes of vegetables, seaweed, mushrooms, soya products, potatoes, fish and fruits and lower intakes of soft drinks and confectioneries) or a traditional Japanese pattern (characterised by higher intakes of rice, miso soup and pickled vegetables and lower intakes of bread, confectioneries and dairy products) during pregnancy with the risk of postpartum depression in 865 women (mean age: 29 ± 4.0 years) (54). The discrepant findings may be because of the differences in the population and the outcome examined, as well as the study design.

A range of individual dietary components may have a potential role on the development or prevention of depression. For example, decreased intake of B vitamins, particularly folate, may result in the accumulation of homocysteine and in a decreased synthesis of monoamines in the brain, likely contributing to mechanisms related to the origins of depression (55–57). In addition, long-chain n-3 PUFA, particularly EPA and DHA, may play an important role in neurotransmitter synthesis, degradation, release, reuptake and binding, resulting in a pattern of neurotransmitter activity that has been associated with depression (58–59). In this study, the diet quality score was positively associated with the intakes of specific dietary components whose higher intakes were previously suggested to lower the risk of depressive symptoms. These include dairy products (55,60), fruit (61,62), seaweed (63), soya products (64), vegetables (65–67), EPA + DHA (67), dietary fibre (54,55), Ca (56,57), Mg (56,57), Fe (58), folate (59,60) and vitamin C (59). The score was also inversely associated with intakes of confectioneries and sugar (as a source of added sugar (30), soft drinks (62,63) and total and saturated fats (88)) and higher intakes of these foods and nutrients have been suggested to increase the risk. Overall or cumulative effects derived from these individual dietary components may explain the association between the diet score and depressive symptoms observed in this study.

Several limitations of the present study should be acknowledged. First, because exposure and outcome were assessed at the same time, the results of this cross-sectional study are susceptible to reverse causation. As a result, we cannot exclude the possibility that depressive symptoms may lead to a lower diet quality. The relationship between dietary intake and mental health is complex and likely bidirectional, and the temporal direction of the association between diet quality and depressive symptoms could be both ways (69). In any case, only a prospective study would provide better understanding of the relationship between diet quality and depressive symptoms.

Second, given the proportion of Japanese adolescents who study in college or university (57 %) (65), our subjects (i.e. dietetic students and their mothers) are likely to have a relatively high socio-economic status. Further, dietetic students may be more conscious of their diet than the general population, whereas the present study was conducted in most institutions within 1 month after the dietetic course began to minimise the influence of dietetic education. In addition, the response rate was not high in mothers (57.6%) compared with students (70.3%), which may have caused self-selection bias. Therefore, our results might not be applicable to the general Japanese population.

Third, depressive symptoms were assessed by using the CES-D rather than a clinically administered structured diagnostic interview. CES-D is not a comprehensive psychiatric assessment. The absence of a clinical diagnosis may have led to the inclusion of subjects with chronic fatigue syndrome or atypical depression, resulting in an overestimation of the prevalence of depressive symptoms. Nevertheless, the prevalence of depressive symptoms assessed as a CES-D score ≥ 16 in the present population (50% for young women and 27% for middle-aged women) was generally comparable with that observed in undergraduate students (50%) (52) and in a representative sample of women aged 30–59 years (31%) (56).

Fourth, all self-reported dietary assessment methods are susceptible to both random and systematic measurement errors (45). To minimise these, we evaluated dietary habits during the preceding month using a well-established dietary assessment questionnaire with reasonable validity in terms of commonly studied nutritional factors (DHQ:41–45) as well as the use of energy-adjusted dietary variables (45,46). However, reporting bias in dietary questionnaires can differ by type of foods and therefore cannot be entirely adjusted for by the energy adjustment. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the present results did not change materially after excluding under- and over-reporters of EI. Finally, although we tried to adjust for a range of potential confounding factors, residual confounding factors could not be ruled out.

In conclusion, this cross-sectional study showed that a higher diet quality score, mainly characterised by higher intakes of dairy products, fruit, seaweed, soya products, vegetables, EPA + DHA, dietary fibre, Ca, Mg, Fe, folate and vitamin C and lower intakes of confectioneries, sugar, soft drinks and total and saturated fats, was associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged Japanese women. A diet quality score of ≥ 39 for young women and ≥ 41 for middle-aged women (i.e. those in quintiles 3–5 of the score) may be preferable for the prevention of depressive symptoms. Prospective studies are needed to confirm a public health relevance of the present finding.
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