Hair cortisol detection in dairy cattle by using EIA: protocol validation and correlation with faecal cortisol metabolites
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Hair may be a useful matrix to detect cumulative cortisol concentrations in studies of animal welfare and chronic stress. The aim of this study was to validate a protocol for cortisol detection in hair from dairy cattle by enzyme immunoassay (EIA). Seventeen adult Holstein–Friesian dairy cows were used during the milking period. Hair cortisol concentration was assessed in 25-day-old hair samples taken from the frontal region of the head, analysing black and white coloured hair separately. Concentrations of cortisol metabolites were determined in faeces collected twice a week during the same period of time. There was a high correlation between cortisol values in faeces and cortisol in white colour hair samples but such correlation was not significant with the black colour hair samples. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.9% and 10.6%, respectively. The linearity showed R² = 0.98 and mean percentage error of −10.8 ± 1.55%. The extraction efficiency was 89.0 ± 23.52% and the parallelism test showed similar slopes. Cortisol detection in hair by using EIA seems to be a valid method to represent long-term circulating cortisol levels in dairy cattle.
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Implications
The main implication of this study is the validation of a methodology for hair cortisol detection by enzyme immunoassay in dairy cattle. Hair cortisol detection is a promising methodology able to give information on long-term retrospective cortisol levels, which cannot be provided by any other established matrix. This study opens the possibility to use this methodology in chronic stress studies in dairy cattle welfare research, which is nowadays a hot topic.

Introduction
The importance of welfare in dairy cattle production is increasing not only as a consequence of society demands, but also due to the relationship between animal welfare and performance (von Keyserlingk et al., 2009). Different methodologies have been developed to objectively assess cattle welfare, including quantification of cortisol response to stressors (Morrow et al., 2002; Rigalma et al., 2010; Probst et al., 2014).

Depending on the matrix where cortisol is analysed, the information provided by its concentration is different (Russell et al., 2012). Cortisol concentration in serum and saliva can be used to detect acute changes in circulating cortisol concentrations although they do not represent long-term circulating cortisol levels (Thun et al., 1981; Negrão et al., 2004; Hernandez et al., 2014). Cortisol in urine should be collected over 24 h to provide an integral daily cortisol value and this may be rather complicated in farm animals (Aardal and Holm, 1995; Russell et al., 2012). Cortisol in faeces is measured indirectly by detecting its metabolites (Möstl et al., 1999 and 2002; Palme et al., 1999) and despite it has been extensively used and validated (Möstl et al., 1999 and 2002; Palme et al., 1999) this matrix still have some limitations. Cortisol metabolites concentrations could be modified by changes in diet, intestinal transit and/or bacterial activity (Möstl et al., 2002; Möstl and Palme, 2002) and have storage requirements (Morrow et al., 2002; Palme et al., 2013). However, faecal collection is less invasive and stressful than saliva, blood or urine and cortisol metabolites detection has been demonstrated as a valid tool to assess 12 to 24 h retrospective cortisol levels in cows (Möstl et al., 1999; Touma and Palme, 2005).

Detection of cortisol concentrations in hair seems to provide an integrated value of retrospective circulating cortisol levels during the hair growth (Meyer and Novak, 2012). This is due to the hair growth physiology, hair vascularisation and
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hormone accumulation mechanisms that are associated (Koren et al., 2002; Gow et al., 2010; Stalder and Kirschbaum, 2012). No other matrix seems to have the same potential to evaluate long-term hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity and thereby, long-term or chronic stress (Van Uum et al., 2008; Macbeth et al., 2012; Russell et al., 2012). In spite of the clear advantages and potential applications of hair cortisol detection, several gaps need to be clarified, especially regarding the origin of the cortisol and the factors that modulate its accumulation. Some accumulation mechanisms are still incompletely understood (Henderson 1993; Cone 1996; Boumba et al., 2006) and the presence of local steroidogenesis in skin has been demonstrated (Taves et al., 2011; Slominski et al., 2013 and 2014). As a consequence, several studies discuss the main source of cortisol accumulated in hair by highlighting the importance of local cortisol production in the final hair cortisol concentration and its different regulation from adrenal-origin cortisol (Ito et al. 2005; Keckes et al., 2012; Sharpley et al., 2012). However, several studies have associated elevations of hair cortisol concentrations with stressful situations (Comin et al., 2008 and 2013; Dettmer et al., 2012), providing that hair cortisol concentrations (regardless of cortisol origin and regulation) can be sensitive to the presence of certain stressors.

Although enzyme immunoassay (EIA) and ELISA methodologies have been used to detect hair cortisol in cows and beef (Ceri et al., 2012; Moya et al., 2013; Burnett et al., 2014), a complete validation of the methodology has only been done in beef cattle (Moya et al., 2013). Other studies have detected cortisol in hair from dairy cows using radio-immunoassay with validation tests (Comin et al., 2011 and 2012; González-de-la-Vara et al., 2011). Nevertheless, validation tests cannot be generalised or extrapolated from one technique to another or after using different extraction protocols (Buchanan and Goldsmith, 2004; Gatti et al., 2009; Stalder and Kirschbaum, 2012).

The aims of this study were to validate a protocol and the use of an EIA test to measure cortisol concentrations in hair from Holstein–Friesian cows and to evaluate if a single hair cortisol value can represent retrospective cortisol concentrations during a specific period of time in milking cows. This evaluation was done by correlating hair cortisol levels with average values of faecal cortisol metabolites measured repeatedly during the same period of time.

Material and methods

Animals, housing conditions, diet and handling
Seventeen adult Holstein–Friesian dairy cows (mean age 4.0 years old; range 2.1 to 7.2 years old) were used during the milking period (mean days in milk 146.5; range 13 to 338 days). All animals were under the same conditions on a covered farm with cubicles (one lying stall/cow) located in Caldes de Malavella (Girona, Spain, 41° 49’ 34.4”, 2° 46’ 19.19”). Milking was done robotically twice a day.

Faecal sampling and steroid extraction
Faeces were individually collected twice a week for 4 weeks (days 0, 4, 7, 11, 14, 17, 21, 23). The number of faecal samples required to provide comparable repeatability to that of a single hair sample was based on study of Bryan et al. (2013) performed in dogs. Faeces were collected by direct extraction from the rectum and stored in a zip-lock plastic bag at −20°C until steroid extraction.

For steroid extraction, DetectX™ Steroid Solid Extraction Protocol (Arbor Assays®, MI, USA) was followed with modifications based on de Lima et al. (2013) and Sabés-Alsina et al. (2015) studies. Faecal samples were put into an oven (Heraeus model T6; Kendro® Laboratory Products, Langenselbold, Germany) at 60°C for ~48 h in order to evaporate the water. Once dried, faecal samples were manually ground by using a mortar and 300 mg faecal powder were weighted and put into a 15-ml conical tube (Deltalab, S.L., Rubí, Spain). After that, 2.5 ml distilled water and 3 ml methanol (methanol reagent grade 99.9%, Sharlab, S.L., Sentmenat, Spain) were added to each sample and vortexed (Vortex Mixer S0200-230 V-EU; Labnet International Inc., NJ, USA) for 30 min. Then, the samples were centrifuged (Hermle Z300K; Hermle® Labortecnik, Wehingen, Germany) at 1750 × g for 15 min and 1 ml of the supernatant was transferred into a 1.5-ml eppendorf tube (Sharlab) and immediately stored at −20°C until analysis.

Hair sampling and hormone extraction
Hair samples were collected on the first day (d0) and last day (d25) of the study. The hair collected at d25 was the only new hair regrowing after d0 collection. White and black hair samples were collected from each animal and analysed separately. White hair samples were collected from the frontal region of the head (forehead). Black hair samples were collected from the frontal region of the head and also from the occipital crest area in order to obtain enough amount of sample. All samples were collected using the same electric hair clipper (X3 ceramic-titanium hair clipper; Palson® Trading España S.L., Colbató, Spain) and trying to acquire the longest possible hair sample while at the same time avoiding to injure the skin or to take out the hair follicles. The clipper blade was disinfected with alcohol 70% after each animal recollection. Each hair sample weighed around 5 g and was stored into zip-lock plastic bag at room temperature and darkness until washing and cortisol extraction.
For the hair cortisol extraction, a modified protocol from that described by Davenport et al. (2006) and developed by our lab for other species was followed (Tallo-Parra et al., 2013). From each sample 250 mg of hair were weighed and placed into a 15-mL conical tube. Each sample was washed by adding 2.5 mL of isopropanol (2-propanol 99.5%, Sharlab) and vortexed at 1800 r.p.m. for 2.5 min in order to remove external steroid sources but avoiding the loss of internal steroids as suggested by Davenport (2006). The supernatant was separated by decantation and the process was repeated twice (three washes in total). The hair samples were left to dry completely for ~36 h at room temperature. Then, the hair was minced into <2 mm length fragments by using a peeler and 50 mg of trimmed hair were carefully weighted and placed into a 2-mL eppendorf tube. For each sample, 1.5 mL pure methanol was added and the samples were shaken at 100 r.p.m. for 18 h at 30°C (G24 Environmental Incubator Shaker; New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc., Edison, NJ, USA) to steroid extraction. Following extraction, samples were centrifuged at 7000 × g for 2 min. Subsequently, 0.750 mL of supernatant were transferred into a new 2-mL eppendorf tube and then placed in an oven at 38°C. Once the methanol was completely evaporated (approximately after 24 h), the dried extracts were reconstituted with 0.2 mL EIA buffer provided by the EIA assay kit (Cortisol ELISA KIT; Neogen® Corporation, Ayr, UK) and shaken for 30 s. Then the samples were immediately stored at −20°C until analysis.

Steroid analysis and validation tests

The cortisol concentrations from hair extracts, the metabolites concentrations from faecal extracts and all the validation tests were determined per duplicate by using cortisol EIA detection kits (Neogen® Corporation Europe, Ayr, UK), with a sensitivity of 0.32 pg cortisol/mg of hair and 7.3/10^−6 ng cortisol/mg of dry faeces.

All the validation tests used different pool of samples constituted by five hair extracts each one with high, low and medium final concentrations. Each final solution was analysed by duplicate. The precision within test was assessed by calculating intra-assay coefficients of variation from all duplicated samples analysed. The inter-assay coefficients of variation was calculated from 10 pool samples with markedly different concentrations and analysed per duplicate in each EIA kit. The linearity of dilution was determined by using 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 5 and 1 : 10 dilutions of pools with EIA buffer. Accuracy was assessed through the spike-and-recovery test, calculated by adding to 50, 100 and 200 µL of pool, volumes of 200, 100 and 50 µL of pure standard cortisol solution, respectively. Combinations were repeated with three different pure standard cortisol solutions (20, 2 and 0.2 ng/mL). Although cross-reactivity was provided by the EIA manufacturer, specificity was also evaluated comparing slopes from the straight lines resulting from the application of common logarithm (log10) to the values from the standard curve (mstandard) and from new pool curve (mpool) created with the same serial dilutions (1 : 1, 1 : 5, 1 : 10, 1 : 25, 1 : 50 and 1 : 100). According to the manufacturer, cross-reactivity of the EIA antibody with other steroids is as follows: prednisolone 47.4%, cortisone 15.7%, 11-deoxycortisol 15.0%, prednisone 7.83%, corticosterone 4.81%, 6β-hydroxycortisol 1.37%, 17-hydroxyprogesterone 1.36%, deoxycorticosterone 0.94%. Steroids with a cross-reactivity <0.06% are not presented.

Statistical analysis

All data were processed and analysed using the SAS software (Statistical Analysis System, version V.8; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and a P-value <0.05 was considered significant. The values are presented as means ± standard deviation. A Shapiro–Wilk test was carried out to check normality. Data with non-normality distribution were transformed in log10 and normality was evaluated again. All parameters transformed in log10 were normally distributed and used in statistical analysis. A parametric test (two tails paired data t-test, PROC TTEST with PAIRED option in SAS) was done to compare the cortisol concentrations between white and black hair samples and between d0 and d25 hair samples. A different parametric test (Pearson’s correlation analysis, PROC CORR in SAS) was done to detect correlations within cortisol parameters. A simple linear regression was performed in order to analyse statistically the relations between hair cortisol and faecal metabolites of cortisol concentrations.

Results and discussion

Validation tests

Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.9 ± 2.39% and 10.6 ± 1.77%, respectively. The linearity of dilution showed a R² = 0.98 and a mean percentage error of −10.8 ± 1.55%, providing confirmation that pool samples interacted with the assay antibody in a dose-dependent manner and supporting the assumption that the antibody-binding characteristics of standard and pool samples are similar. The average recovery percentage from spike-and-recovery test was 89.0 ± 23.52% which indicate that no other components of the hair extracts interfere acutely with the estimation of cortisol hormone. The lines from the log10 of the standard curve values and the log10 of the pool curve values showed similar slopes (mstandard = 0.33, mpool = 0.35; Figure 1) detecting immunological similarities between the standard and sample hormones.

These results indicate that hair cortisol detection in dairy cows through this methodology is successful even using an EIA kit no designed specifically for hair cortisol detection. According to Stalder and Kirschbaum (2012) or Meyer and Novak (2012), the major source of cortisol presented in hair should be free or unbound cortisol, which is the cortisol detected by the EIA kit used. However, further research is necessary to describe the type of cortisol present in hair and the role of hair follicle and local production in the final hair concentration.

Hair cortisol concentrations

As is shown in Table 1, the average cortisol concentrations in white and black hair differed significantly at d5 and at d25.
substances from the bloodstream into the hair (Pötsch et al., 2006). The presence of higher cortisol concentrations in black hair samples in relation with the white ones is in concordance with studies that suggest the number of melanocytes located in the basal layer of the hair (Pragst and Balikova, 2006). The growth rate and hormone accumulation or production type was also longer and thicker (especially the tail switch). Hair from the occipital crest in Holstein Friesian cows is longer and thicker than hair from frontal region and studies performed by Burnett et al. (2014) and Moya et al. (2013) found higher cortisol concentrations in locations where hair type was also longer and thicker (especially the tail switch). The growth rate and hormone accumulation or production rate could be different between types of hair (Moya et al., 2013; Burnett et al., 2014) and these differences could be the cause of the presence of higher cortisol levels in the heterogeneous black hair samples.

Mean values for the same hair colour samples at d0 and d25 showed also significant differences (Table 1, P < 0.01). The colour in hair is produced by melanocytes located in the basal layer of the hair (Pragst and Balikova, 2006). The presence of higher cortisol concentrations in black samples in relation with the white ones is in concordance with studies that suggest that number of melanocytes and pigmentation favour the incorporation of lipophilic substances from the bloodstream into the hair (Pötsch et al., 1997; Pragst and Balikova, 2006). However, other studies found higher cortisol concentrations in white hair than in the black ones (González-de-la-Vara et al. 2011; Cerri et al., 2012; Burnett et al., 2014). Our contradictory results may be because while the white hair samples were harvested only from the frontal region of the head, the black hair ones were a mixture of hair from frontal region of head and also from the occipital crest. Thus, black hair samples were homogeneous in colour but not in location and so, hair type. The authors did not find any study comparing cortisol concentrations from these two close regions but other studies found differences in hair cortisol levels among other locations (Cerri et al., 2012; Moya et al., 2013; Burnett et al., 2014). Hair from the occipital crest in Holstein–Friesian cows is longer and thicker than hair from frontal region and studies performed by Burnett et al. (2014) and Moya et al. (2013) found higher cortisol concentrations in locations where hair type was also longer and thicker (especially the tail switch).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the validation tests confirm that it is possible to detect cortisol concentrations in hair with an acceptable repeatability and reliability by using EIA. The correlations between concentrations in hair and faeces verify, indirectly, the use of hair cortisol as a parameter to represent retrospective circulating cortisol levels and consequently, long-term HPA

### Table 1 Cortisol concentrations (pg cortisol/mg hair) from hair sampled at the beginning (d0) and at the end (d25) of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hair colour</th>
<th>Sampling day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d25</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.73c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d Means with different superscripts are different (two tails paired data t-test between colour samples and between day of sampling, P < 0.01).

The authors suggest that these results could be explained by the different lifetime between d0 samples (unknown lifetime, probably months-old samples) and d25 samples (25-day-old). Hair from d0 could have accumulated, in terms of cortisol variations, unknown stressful or physiologically compromising experiences (such as parturition, disease or hierarchy re-establishment). Comin et al. (2011) cautiously suggested hair cortisol variations due to seasonal differences in hair growth rate in dairy cows. This fact could also add differences between d0 and d25 samples. Additionally, the different hair growth phases present in samples from regions not previously harvested has been considered as a cause of hair cortisol variations, especially in short hair types (Moya et al., 2013; Peric et al., 2013; Carlitz et al., 2014).

### Hair cortisol and faecal cortisol metabolites

A significant correlation was detected between faecal cortisol metabolites (average value of all faecal samples 25.27 ± 4.16 ng/g dry sample, range 10.14 to 54.83) and cortisol in white colour hair samples from d25 (r = 0.75926, P < 0.001). A simple linear regression was done between white hair samples at d25 and average of all faecal samples (Figure 2) in order to better understand the relationship between cortisol and cortisol metabolites found in these matrices. The good correlation between white colour hair samples from d25 and the average of all faecal samples (Figure 2) in order to better understand the relationship between cortisol and cortisol metabolites found in these matrices. The good correlation between white colour hair samples from d25 and the average of all faecal samples confirms the possibility to use hair as a matrix to assess retrospective concentrations of cortisol. No correlation was found between faecal cortisol metabolites and black colour hair samples from d25 (P > 0.05). The absence of correlation between black hair samples at d25 and average faecal levels could be explained also by the lack in homogeneity of location of these hair samples and so, a lack in hair type homogeneity.

The authors suggest that these results could be explained by the different lifetime between d0 samples (unknown lifetime, probably months-old samples) and d25 samples (25-day-old). Hair from d0 could have accumulated, in terms of cortisol variations, unknown stressful or physiologically compromising experiences (such as parturition, disease or hierarchy re-establishment). Comin et al. (2011) cautiously suggested hair cortisol variations due to seasonal differences in hair growth rate in dairy cows. This fact could also add differences between d0 and d25 samples. Additionally, the different hair growth phases present in samples from regions not previously harvested has been considered as a cause of hair cortisol variations, especially in short hair types (Moya et al., 2013; Peric et al., 2013; Carlitz et al., 2014).
axis activity. Although hair sampling is simple, non-invasive and fast, collected hair must be homogeneous in colour, sampling region and days old in order to be used in dairy cattle welfare studies.
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